# Efficiency and sensitivity definitions for reflector antennas in radio-astronomy

Wim van Cappellen



ASTRON

Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### What is this?





Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### and this?





Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007



#### Regarding efficiencies, we have exactly the same problem!



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# Introduction

Sensitivity is defined by the smallest flux △S that a radio telescope can detect

$$\Delta S \propto rac{T_{sys}}{A_e \sqrt{B \tau}}$$

where *B* is the considered bandwidth and  $\tau$  is the integration time.

- Let's consider the effective area A<sub>e</sub> and the system temperature T<sub>sys</sub> in more detail
- There turns out to be a lot of confusion!



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# Introduction

- In this study, the following books/authors were considered:
  - IEEE Standard Definitions of Terms for Antennas
  - C.A. Balanis
  - R.E. Collin
  - G. Cortés Medellín
  - M.V. Ivashina
  - P-S. Kildal
  - J.D. Kraus
  - Y.T. Lo & S.W. Lee
  - K. Rohlfs
  - A.W. Rudge
  - M.I. Skolnik
- If you stay with your favorite author, it is mostly fine. But don't mix them up.



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Outline**

- Reference planes
- Efficiency definitions
- Sub-efficiencies
- Temperature
- Conclusions



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### **Reference planes**

• Although the ratio of  $A_e$  to  $T_{sys}$  remains constant, the individual values of  $A_e$  and  $T_{sys}$  depend on where they are considered



We refer them to the terminals of the physical antenna

Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### Receiving (reflector) antenna system

- Consider the antenna as black box with single output port (includes feed, reflector and all interactions)
- Incident power density W<sub>in</sub> [W/m<sup>2</sup>]
- Available output power P<sub>av,ant</sub> [W] at the antenna terminals





Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### **Effective area**

- IEEE definition
  - Effective Area



• Everyone agrees on this one



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# Antenna efficiency

• IEEE definition (of an aperture type antenna)

$$\eta_{ant} = \frac{A_e}{A_{phys}}$$

- Many authors (at least 5 from my list) use the term 'aperture efficiency' for what the IEEE defines as antenna efficiency
- It is proposed to adopt the IEEE definition



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Aperture efficiency**

• IEEE definition

$$\eta_{ap} = \frac{D_0}{D_{\text{max}}}$$
 where (mostly)  $D_{\text{max}} = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda^2} A_{phys}$ 

- In the IEEE version, both the co and cross-pol components are included.
- Many authors (at least 5 from my list) use the term 'aperture efficiency' for what the IEEE defines as antenna efficiency
- One excludes the spillover part
- One considers only the co-pol contribution.
- It is proposed to adopt the IEEE definition



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Radiation efficiency**

- The radiation efficiency is a measure of the losses in the antenna
- IEEE: The ratio of the total power radiated by an antenna to the net power  $P_{\rm acc}$  accepted by the antenna

$$\gamma_{\rm rad} = \frac{P_{rad}}{P_{acc}}$$

- Also  $G(\theta, \varphi) = \eta_{rad} D(\theta, \varphi)$  and  $\eta_{ant} = \eta_{ap} \eta_{rad}$
- Some authors also include mismatch, the IEEE does not
- It is proposed to adopt the IEEE definition

ASTRO

Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Polarisation efficiency**

- IEEE: The ratio of the power received by an antenna from a given plane wave of arbitrary polarisation to the power that would be received by the same antenna from a plane wave of the same power flux density and direction of propagation, whose state of polarisation has been adjusted for a maximum received power.
- However:
  - A high polarisation purity requirement for the end result (usually maps) does not automatically imply a low cross-polarisation of the antenna
  - Two sufficiently orthogonal components of the field are sampled by a telescope
  - The final purity depends on the antenna properties, coupling in the receiving chain and the system calibration.



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Polarisation efficiency**

- Low cross-polarisation of the antenna eases the calibration
- But as long as the degree of cross-polarisation is accurately known (and preferably stable) the measured signals can be corrected for this instrumental polarisation
- In astronomy, the polarisation efficiency itself is of minor importance and does not contribute to the antenna efficiency.

It is proposed to adopt the IEEE definition



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### **Overview**





Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### **Overview of sub-efficiencies**



ASTRON

Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Spillover efficiency**

- Spillover is usually considered in transmit mode
- Spillover is the ratio of the power intercepted by the reflecting elements to the total power.



$$\eta_{sp} = \frac{\int_{\Omega_R} G_f(\theta_f, \phi_f) d\Omega}{\int_{4\pi} G_f(\theta_f, \phi_f) d\Omega}$$

The *total* power is considered (in co and cross polarisations)



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Spillover efficiency**

- Almost everyone agrees on this one, but one author names this quantity 'feed efficiency'.
- It is proposed to adopt the IEEE definition



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Illumination efficiency**

- Efficiency loss due to non-uniform amplitude *and* phase illumination of the aperture plane
- Defined at aperture plane

$$\eta_{ill} = \frac{1}{A} \frac{\left| \int_{A} \vec{E}_{a}(x, y) dA \right|^{2}}{\int_{A} \left| \vec{E}_{a}(x, y) \right|^{2} dA}$$

There is no IEEE standard

ASTRON



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### **Taper and phase efficiency**



• The phase efficiency is not independent of the taper efficiency!



# Illumination, taper & phase efficiency

- The above illumination efficiency includes amplitude AND phase errors
- Some authors only consider amplitude errors in the illumination efficiency
- One author integrates only over the co-polar power. He introduces a separate term for the power-loss in the orthogonal polarisation ('polarisation sidelobe efficiency')
- It is proposed to adopt the efficiencies on the previous slide



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

### **Blockage and diffraction**

 Reduction of efficiency due to blockage by for example the support struts and the feedbox



Example of feed box and struts blockage



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Blockage and diffraction**

- IEEE: Condition resulting from object lying in the path of rays arriving at or departing from the aperture of an antenna.
- The blockage and diffraction efficiency is the efficiency factor related to these losses

$$\eta_{b+d} = \left| 1 - \Delta_{cb} - \Delta_d \right|^2$$

It is proposed to adopt this definition



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### **Reflector surface**

- Random deviations of the reflector profile from the ideal parabolic shape will cause the radiation pattern to deteriorate, primarily by a decrease in the antenna's aperture efficiency, and an increase in its sidelobe levels.
- Since the illumination and phase efficiency are defined in the aperture plane of the reflector, the effect of reflector surface errors is formally included in them.
- In practice the illumination and phase efficiencies are often calculated from the feed radiation pattern. In that situation one should include the reflector surface efficiency separately.



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### **Reflector transparency**

- The IEEE has no standard definition of reflector transparency efficiency.
- Reflectors with a mesh surface instead of solid metal plates will loose some efficiency due to the transparency of the mesh.
- It reduces the directivity of the main lobe and increases the sidelobe level.
- The reflector transparency efficiency is defined as the ratio of the directivity of the secondary pattern that is obtained with a mesh reflector surface and the directivity of the secondary pattern of a solid reflector.



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

# **Feed efficiency**

- The IEEE has no standard definition
- At least 4 different definitions have been found in literature
  - Product of illumination, spillover and polarisation efficiencies
  - Efficiency describing the losses in the feed (=radiation efficiency)
  - Substitute for just the spillover efficiency
  - As the first, but including the radiation efficiency
  - Etc, etc.
- There is no need for a definition of feed efficiency. The use of the term 'feed efficiency' is strongly discouraged to avoid confusion.
- If one does use the term, then its definition must be clearly specified.



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

## **Beam efficiency**

- Does not contribute to the antenna efficiency
- IEEE: The ratio of the power received over a specified solid angle when an antenna is illuminated isotropic by uncorrelated and unpolarised waves to the total power received by the antenna.

$$\eta_{beam} = \frac{\Omega_M}{\Omega_A} = \frac{\iint U(\theta, \varphi) d\Omega}{\iint_{4\pi} U(\theta, \varphi) d\Omega}$$

- One author only includes the co-polar contributions
- As we will see later, it can be a practical quantity in noise calculations
- It is proposed to adopt the IEEE definition

ASTRO

Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### System Temperature



• For more info about *T<sub>a</sub>* see SKA Memo 95 by Germán Cortés Medellín at http://www.skatelescope.org

Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

ASTRON



- T<sub>main</sub> contributions
  - Cosmic and galactic background
  - Atmpspheric contributions
  - Source under study
- T<sub>B</sub> is brightness temperature distribution



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### Conclusions

- If we cannot even distinguish apples from apples, how can we ever distinguish efficiencies?
- So beware: not everyone means the same although they use the same name
- A set of consistent definitions is proposed, but most importantly:

Define (or refer to) the definitions that you use!



Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007

#### Conclusions





Wim van Cappellen SKADS MCCT Workshop, 26-30 November 2007