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 XIAODONG WANG, MINGXI WAN, YI FENG, FENG XU, HUI ZHONG, AND JINWEN TANHigh-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) has been testified as a promising surgical modality to ablate deepseated tumors noninvasively and precisely [1]-[4]. The faster pace of the advanced HIFU technology owes a great deal to the sufficiently sophisticated imaging techniques and the significant advancements in high-power ultrasound transducers, which now allow the focused ultrasound surgery system to be more reliable and precise [1]-[7]. The early pioneer work in the clinical trials and HIFU clinical equipment carried out by the researchers in China (Chongqing, Beijing, and Shanghai) are valuable and encouraging in the world of HIFU [8]. The ultra-sound-guided equipment of the JC HIFU system has been put into clinical trials, both in China and Oxford, United Kingdom [9]. Now, a variety of tumors such as those in the liver, breast, kidney, and pancreas as well as the uterine fibroids and osteosarcoma are treated clinically with focused ultrasound surgery [8]-[12].

Currently, either diagnostic ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has been used for image guidance in focused ultrasound surgery. The MRI guidance has the advantages of better image quality and the ability to monitor temperature, but the price of the MRI-compatible system is relatively high. Nevertheless, ultrasound guidance has the remarkable merits of cheaper cost as well as the capability of a near-realtime image monitor.

Typically, there are two types of therapeutic transducers: one is a single-element applicator and the other is a phased array. The phased array offers several advantages over the single-focused transducers, such as electronically steering foci in three-dimensional (3-D) spaces, providing subarray modalities to avoid the beam obstacles like human rib cages, and correcting the beam distortions caused by the focused beam through the human skull and tissue inhomogeneity [12]-[16]. To correct the distortions arising from transmission through the skull bone, large apertures with hundreds of phased arrays were employed [7], [13], [14].

Previously, we developed a number of algorithms and methods that are basically important for the precise multifocus control in the phased-array focused ultrasound surgery, such as the explicit expression of the spherical-section phased-array pressure calculation [15], the genetic algorithm for multifocus pattern opti-
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mal control [15], and the subarray modalities to steer the beam avoiding the human rib-cage obstacles in noninvasive surgery [16].

In this article, we first describe the construction and functions of our ultrasound-guided 256 -element phased-array surgery system. We then concentrate on several flexible multifocus control methods for accurate, highly efficient, and fit-to-shape ultrasound surgery. Then, the simulation and experiment results are implemented to support the multifocus control methods.

## Image-Guided 256-Element Phased-Array Ultrasound Surgery System

## System Description

Figure 1 shows the state of the art of our image-guided 256element phased-array ultrasound surgery system. The system employs an extracorporeal therapy transducer, a 256 -element phased-array applicator, for noninvasive focused ultrasound surgery. A diagnostic ultrasound device is introduced in the system for image guidance in surgery. The therapeutic phased arrays combined with the diagnostic probe are mounted in a water bag of degassed water, below the center of the therapeutic bed. The ultrasound is directed upward, and the degassed water provides acoustic coupling between the transducer and the patient. The integration of the therapeutic transducer and the image probe mounted on the 3-D robotic positioner can move in 3-D to target and identify tumors precisely. The surgeon can perform the ultrasound surgery conveniently on the current diagnostic image in the main control computer through the surgery procedures of 3-D navigation, targeting, and monitoring. The main control computer with the main operative program controls the main subsystems: the 256-channel phased-array driving system that drives the 256element phased array, the robotic electrical controller that controls the 3-D robotic positioner's movement, the image acquisition that acquires the image from the diagnostic ultrasound image system, and the degassed water device.

## 256-Element Phased Array and 256-Channel Driving System

The 256-element spherical-section phased array, which is designed by us (see Figure 2), is constructed from 1-3 piezocomposite material and operates at a frequency of 1.1 MHz . The array has a $14-\mathrm{cm}$ outer diameter, with a $3.4-\mathrm{cm}$ diameter central hole
for mounting the diagnostic ultrasound imaging probe, an $11-\mathrm{cm}$ radius of curvature, with the element projection area of $7 \mathrm{~mm} \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.5-\mathrm{mm}$ interelement spacing, and around 0.78 of $f$ number.
An in-house built 256-channel phased-array driving system is used to drive the 256 -element spherical-section ultrasound phased array. According to the excitation signals obtained with the combined method [15], the amplitude and phase of the excitation signals of each driving channel should be independently controlled, and each channel's phase signal shifts in the range of $0-2 \pi$. The main control computer gives the instructions of focus pattern, power level, operation time, and mode to the 256 -channel phased-array driving system. The 256-channel phased-array driving system uses a distributed control architecture, which includes an upper-embedded controller and the distributed lower-embedded controller. The upper-embedded controller, an embedded single-board computer based on an advanced reduced instruction set computer (RISE) machine (ARM) CPU, receives the main control computer's instructions and controls the 64 lower-embedded controllers. In each lower-embedded controller, a microcontroller controls four channel drivers with an 8-b resolution for both the amplitude and phase signals. The direct digital synthesis technique is used in the master clock generator. A Class D power converter for each amplifier channel is implemented in this system. A digitally controlled de is supplied to the Class D power converter, and a shifted square waveform as the phase signal is the input signal of Class D power converter. Each element is connected to the channel driver through a 4-m long microcoxial cable and is electronically matched to $50 \Omega$ to maximize the output electrical power. The local feedbacks such as the phase feedback, voltage feedback, and electrical power feedback are used to stabilize the outputs.

## Navigation and Image

The integration of the therapeuticphased array and image probe is mounted on a 3-D robotic positioner, and thus, the integrated transducer gains an appropriate position in 3-D to target the tissue objects (see Figure 1). The robotic positioner provides the navigation movements in 3-D with 6 degrees of freedom (DoF). Five DoF are the three linear movements along perpendicular $x, y, z$ directions and the two swings moving around two perpendicular axes around the same cone tip within the swing angle of $\pm 30^{\circ}$. Another DoF is the diagnostic
probe rotation inside the central hole of the therapeutic transducer, thus, any orientation image can be obtained for a better 3-D monitoring. A servo motor drives the ball screw for each axis movement. The system target precision including the mechanical movement precision is 0.2 mm by the transparent tissue-mimicking phantom measurement. A programmable multiaxis controller is used in the robotic electrical controller (in-house built) for the parallel control of six axes.

The main operative program provides a surgeon with convenient navigation based on the viewpoint of current ultrasound image. The viewpoint-based navigation allows the surgeon to operate the surgical navigation only by operating the 3-D displacements (up or down, left or right, and forward or backward in the viewpoint coordinate system) for 3-D navigation and not by worrying about each actual axis displacement, because the main operative program calculates and controls the displacements automatically. We use two 3-D coordinate systems. One is the global $(x, y, z)$ coordinate system, and the other is the viewpoint-based local $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$ coordinate system with coordinate origin at the surface center of the image probe; the two-dimensional (2-D; $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) coordinates


Fig. 1. The schematic block diagram of an image-guided 256 -element phased-array focusedultrasound surgery system.


Fig. 2. Schematic illustration of a spherical-section phased array with its coordinate systems: Calculation field and $x$ - $y$ projection of 256 -element phased array.


Fig. 3. The navigation submenu interface of the image-guided main operative program.
setting the focus patterns and other therapy parameters. A lesion is formed by a sonication with a focus pattern; a linear lesion is formed by a set of sonications in succession. The region therapy is composed of those linear lesions. The sonication priority for therapy is first the farthest region and last the nearest region. The transient monitoring image includes the gray image and the transient lesion image, based on digital technique sum squared differences that are acquired from radio frequency data frames [17].

## Multifocus Pattern Control

## Accurate Field Calculation

A spherical-section phased array shown in Figure 2 has the same rectangular projection area $\Delta A$. The width and height of each element projection are $\Delta w$ and $\Delta h$, respectively. Previously, an efficient field-calculation formula of the explicit expression [15] that comes from Rayleigh-Sommerfeld integral was developed. In our further research, we improved the previous explicit expression for spherical-section phased array, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{j \rho c k}{2 \pi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_{n} \frac{F_{n} \Delta A}{R_{n}} e^{-(\alpha+j k) R_{n}} \sin c \frac{k x_{s n} \Delta w}{2 R} \sin c \frac{k y_{s n} \Delta h}{2 R}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the complex acoustic pressure at a point, $j=\sqrt{-1}$, $\rho$ and $c$ are the density $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ and sound speed $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ in medium, respectively, $k=\omega / c$ is the wavenumber, $N$ is the number of element, $\alpha$ is the sound attenuation coefficient $[\mathrm{Np} /(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{MHz})], u_{n}$ is the particle velocity $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ of $n$th array element. Other parameters are calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{n}=\sqrt{\left(z-z_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(x-x_{n}\right)^{2}},  \tag{2}\\
& F_{n}=\frac{R_{\mathrm{SR}}}{\sqrt{R_{\mathrm{SR}}^{2}-\left(x_{n}^{2}+y_{n}^{2}\right)}}, \text { and } R_{z n}^{2}=R_{\mathrm{SR}}^{2}-\left(x_{n}^{2}+y_{n}^{2}\right),  \tag{3}\\
& x_{s n}=x+\frac{z-R_{\mathrm{SR}}}{R_{z n}} x_{n}, \text { and } y_{s n}=y+\frac{z-R_{\mathrm{SR}}}{R_{z n}} y_{n} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The accurate explicit expression (1) is nearly the same as the previous expression; the only difference between the two is the distance $R_{n}$ appearing in the exponential term of (1) instead of $R_{S n}$ in the previous expression. In the derivation of previous expression, the distance $r$ in the exponential term of Rayleigh-Sommerfeld integral has the square root form with respect to parameters, such as $x$ or $y$. To make the exponential term integrable, we previously used the strategy of the

# The MRI guidance has the advantages of better image quality and the ability to monitor temperature. 

binominal expansion of this distance $r$. Nevertheless, in this step of the binominal expression, we kept only the first two terms and omitted the other high-order nonlinear terms. This omitting may bring an error in certain circumstances, such as large-aperture phased arrays. To compensate this error, a more accurate expression (1) is formed here. The details to testify the accuracy of the expression (1) will be presented in another article.

## Fit-to-Shape Multifocus Patterns

The combined method made up of the accurate explicit expression (1) and the genetic algorithm [15] is used to obtain a set of array driving signals corresponding to a focus pattern. Each set of driving signals is put into the focus pattern library for later ultrasound surgery use. The focus pattern library consists of all the possible focus patterns; thus, a set of driving signals correlated with a focus pattern can be promptly selected from the focus pattern library in the course of ultrasound surgery.

The more the number of elements the array has, the more flexible or the more complex-shaped multiple foci can be
formed. We can use the fit-to-shape multifocus pattern to target the tissue surrounded by important tissue. Normally, the fit-to-shape multifocus pattern can be arranged in the shapes of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{O}$, square, etc. The criteria for the design of the fit-toshape multifocus patterns are as follows:

1) The number of array elements should be four times more than the number of foci of fit-to-shape multifocus pattern.
2) The peak intensity at each focus should be larger than $1,000 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.
3) The multifocus locations that do not overlay are ranged to have low level of side lobes.

## Random Signal Control

By using the combined method, a variety of simulations can be implemented for analysis of many actual focus circumstances, such as to mimic the practical array-driving control system with random system control error by means of adding additional random signals to the normal array-driving signals. The situations of both single focus and multiple foci influenced by using the additional random-amplitude signals and


Fig. 4. The fit-to-shape multifocus pattern of nine foci in $X$ shape. (a) The intensity profile at focus plane. (b) $x$ - $y$ contour plot. (c) $x$ - $z$ contour plot of $X$. $x$ - $y$ contour plots of fit-to-shape multifocus patterns: (d) $Q$-shaped focus pattern. (e) $X$-shaped focus pattern with additional $30 \%$ main-max random-amplitude driving signal. (f) Square-shaped focus pattern with nine foci and with the additional $20 \%$ main-max random-phase driving signal.
additional random-phase signals to the normal array-driving signals have been analyzed systematically and can be summed up as follows. Generally, the focus positions are unmoved when using the additional random amplitude signals, larger or small, while peak intensity may lower a little bit; the focus positions depend on the accurate phase signal control, and the multifocus pattern needs more accurate phase signal control than the single focus condition. As a result, the system can assure the designed focus positions and peak intensities when the additional random amplitude and phase signals are less than $10 \%$ of the main-max driving signals.

Ideally, the therapy demands a sharply focused intensity distribution at the focus without grating lobes and with low level of the side lobes. In addition, the therapy demands the target tissue temperature be $56{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ more than the tissue-protein denature temperature without cold spots inside the focal region of multiple foci. To arrive at these two goals or to improve the therapeutic properties, we adopt three schemes of random control. The additional random-amplitude signals on original control may not influence the focus positions but can have the effect of reducing the levels of the side lobes. The first random control method is that the larger than $10 \%$ main-max random-amplitude signals will be added purposely to the original amplitude driving signals. As a result, the additional random-amplitude signal condition
will bring the effect of suppressing unwanted grating lobes and side lobes. Additional random phase signals that are too large may change the focus positions, but a certain amount of additional random-phase signals may have the effect of increasing the levels of the side lobes. The second random control method is to add additional random-phase signals to the original phasedriving signals. The additional random-phase signals have the effect of elevating the intensity valley by increasing side lobe levels inside the region of multiple foci, thus fuzzing the tightly arranged multiple foci to obtain the therapeutic result of no cold spots inside the focal region of multiple foci. The third random control method is to randomly arrange the multifocus locations within a certain range to fuzz the multiple foci.

## Results

The transducer was used in the simulation above the 256-element phased array. Normal exciting signals were obtained by using the combined method in the simulation. In the simulation, the homogeneous loss medium density $\rho$, sound speed $c$, and water attenuation coefficient $\alpha$ were $1,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, 1,500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, and $0.000115 \mathrm{~Np} /(\mathrm{cm} \cdot \mathrm{MHz})$, respectively.

Figure 4(a)-(f) shows the simulations of the fit-to-shape multifocus patterns. Three styles of the intensity profiles of X-shaped display are shown in Figure 4(a)-(c). The peak intensity at focus is larger than $1,000 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ in Figure 4(a), and each single focus has the size of 1.25 mm $\times 1.25 \mathrm{~mm} \times 9 \mathrm{~mm}$. If you look carefully at the focus distribution in Figure 4(b), you will find beside the foci three small dots that are the side lobes of the level higher than -20 dB and less than -13.9 dB. Figure 4(d) shows the ideal large focus formed by multiple foci without cold spots inside the large focal region when making a lesion in the target tissue. We use three random control methods to improve focal properties, to reduce the level of side lobes, and to get thermally even lesions inside the large focus. To reduce the level of the side lobes, we use additional $30 \%$ main-max random-amplitude driving signals, and the effective result is shown in Figure 4(e), which shows no side lobes (no dots, which means the side lobe's level is below -20 dB in Figure 4(e), whereas Figure 4(b) shows three small dots). To get an even thermal lesion inside large focus, we use two random control methods. As a control result of the additional $20 \%$ main-max ran-dom-phase driving signals,

Table 1. Experiment results in the BSA tissue-mimicking phantom and bovine liver in Figure 5.

| Material | Focus Patterns in Figure 5(c)-(f) | Total Acoustic Power (W) <br> and Sonication Time (s) | Lesion Dimensions <br> $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{M}} \times \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{M}} \times \boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{M}}\left(\mathbf{m m}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BSA phantom | Single focus in Figure 5(e) and (f) | $109(25)$ | $2.2 \times 2.2 \times 9$ |
|  | Q-type multifoci in Figure 5(e) and (f) | $84(25)$ | $5.3 \times 5.3 \times 11$ |
| Bovine liver | Q-type multifoci in Figure 5(c) | $222(3)$ | $10 \times 10 \times 9$ |
|  | Linear moving of single focus in Figure 5(d) | $122(20)$ | $20 \times 3 \times 9$ |

Figure 4(f) shows the fuzzed focal region of the square-shaped large focus composed of nine foci. To get the effect of a fuzzed focal region, we can use the control method of randomly arranging the multifocus locations within the focal region.
Figure 5 shows the experiment results of our surgery system. A transparent, thin slice of polymethyl methacrylate with $1-2-\mathrm{mm}$ thickness is placed at the position of the focus plane; marks will be made at exact focus positions on the slice when short and high-intensity ultrasound emit. Five single focuses are formed, respectively, on the thin slice in Figure 5(a), with $50-\mathrm{W}$ total acoustic power (for each single focus) applied to the transducer (the electroacoustic efficiency is $70 \%$; therefore, 71 W of electrical power is needed) and 1 -s sonication time. Q-shaped multiple foci [same pattern as Figure 4(d)] are formed on the thin slice in Figure 5(b), with 50-W total acoustic power and $1-\mathrm{s}$ sonication time. The results of the focus marks in Figure 5(a) and (b) indicate that the focus positions are exactly at designed locations, and the experiment results agreed well with the simulations.

An optically transparent polyacrylamide gel ( $40 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $40 \mathrm{~mm} \times 40 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) containing bovine serum albumin (BSA) [19] was used in experiments as a tissue-mimicking phantom. The density, sound speed, attenuation coefficient, specific heat, and thermal conductive of the BSA tissue-mimicking phantom were $1,060 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, 1,600 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, 0.07 \mathrm{~Np} /(\mathrm{cm} \cdot \mathrm{MHz})$, $3,850 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{K})$, and $0.55 \mathrm{~W} /(\mathrm{cm} \cdot \mathrm{K})$, respectively. The white lesion that indicates that the BSA protein became thermally denatured will form at the focus place in the transparent phantom shortly after HIFU sonication. Figure 5(e) and (f) shows two lesions, one small and one big. The small lesion was formed by the single focus, with $109-\mathrm{W}$ total acoustic power and 25 s sonication time. The big lesion was formed by the Q-shaped multifocus pattern [same patterns as Figure 4(d)] with $84-\mathrm{W}$ total acoustic power and $25-\mathrm{s}$ sonication time. The volume of the big lesion is 6.6 times larger than that of the small lesion. Therefore, using the Q -shaped multifocus pattern will shorten the therapy time greatly.

Two experiment results of bovine liver are shown in Figure 5(c) and (d). Figure 5(c) shows a large lesion formed inside the bovine liver, which is of $10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 9 \mathrm{~mm}$, using multifocus Q-shaped pattern control, total acoustic power of 222 W, and 3-s sonication time. Figure 5(d) shows a large linear-shaped lesion formed inside the bovine liver, which is of $20 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3 \mathrm{~mm} \times 9 \mathrm{~mm}$, using single focus moving at a speed of $0.8 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}, 16-\mathrm{mm}$ linear distance, and total acoustic power of 122 W . Table 1 contains a summary of lesions formed in BSA phantom and in bovine liver shown in Figure 5(c)-(f).

## Discussion

A series of simulations testify that many fit-to-shape multifocus patterns have excellent field properties and can be applied to
focused ultrasound surgery. Different random control methods have different effects on multifocus patterns. The less than 5\% main-max random signals do not have any effect on the original properties of multiple foci at all. The additional randomamplitude driving signals, larger than $10 \%$ main-max, may reduce the level of the side lobes (same effect as the sparse ran-dom-phased array [18]). The additional random-phase driving signals and randomly arranging the multifocus locations within the focal region may help to make the lesion thermally even.

The experiment results confirm that the system can control complex focus patterns precisely. The focus positions and shapes of the experiments agreed well with the simulations. With the same ultrasound exposure dosage, the large lesion volume is 6.6 times larger than that of the single focus.

In our experiments of single-focus patterns, when less than 100 W of the total acoustic power is employed and the lesion is formed at a depth of $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ in bovine liver tissue or in the tissue-mimicking phantom, the lesion has a cigar-like shape. When more than 130 W of total acoustic power is employed and the lesion is formed at a depth of $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ in bovine liver tissue or in the tissuemimicking phantom, the lesion has a tadpole-like shape and moves toward the transducer, which means the cavitation may contribute more to the lesion shape in the situation [19].

The fit-to-shape focus patterns may be classified into two types. One has the shape of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C}$, etc., which is suitable to the target tissue surrounded by the important tissue like the important nerve or blood vascular. Another type has the large focal shapes such as square and $Q$ shapes, which has the excellent therapeutic property of an even thermal large lesion. The square-shaped multifocus pattern may be more welcome in making linear or cubic lesions with multiple sonications, because the smallest focal zone overlay between two succession sonications is needed in this type of focus pattern, and the lesion edges may appear smooth.

## Summary

An image-guided phase array therapy system has been developed. In this article, we have presented the comprehensive system functions and framework, as well as the multifocus pattern control methods. The system experiment results show that the multiple foci are positioned exactly at designed locations. In addition, the experiment results agreed well with the simulations.
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