

PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICE

# Plant Cell and Tissue Culture – A Tool in Biotechnology

Basics and Application

Karl-Hermann Neumann, Ashwani Kumar,  
Jafargholi Imani

 Springer

# **Principles and Practice**

Karl-Hermann Neumann • Ashwani Kumar  
Jafargholi Imani

# Plant Cell and Tissue Culture - A Tool in Biotechnology

Basics and Application



Springer

Prof. Dr. Karl-Hermann Neumann  
Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen  
Institut für Pflanzenernährung  
Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32  
35392 Giessen, Germany  
Karl-Hermann.Neumann@ernaehrung.  
uni-giessen.de

Dr. Jafargholi Imani  
Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen  
Institut für Phytopathologie und Angewandte  
Zoologie  
Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32  
35392 Giessen, Germany  
Jafargholi.Imani@agr.uni-giessen.de

Prof. Dr. Ashwani Kumar  
University of Rajasthan  
Department of Botany  
Jaipur 302004, India  
ashwanikumar214@gmail.com

ISBN 978-3-540-93882-8

e-ISBN 978-3-540-93883-5

Principles and Practice ISSN 1866-914X

Library of Congress Control Number: 2008943973

© 2009 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

Figures 3.2-3.5, 3.8, 3.10, 3.12, 3.13, 3.16, 4.1, 4.4, 5.2, 5.4, 5.5, 5.7, 6.3, 6.5, 6.6, 7.3, 7.5-7.9, 7.11, 7.15, 7.16, 7.33, 8.1, 8.3, 8.15, 9.2, 12.1, 13.3 and Tables 2.1, 3.3-3.8, 5.1, 6.1-6.3, 7.1, 7.3, 7.5, 7.8, 12.1 are published with the kind permission of Verlag Eugen Ulmer.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permissions for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

*Cover design:* WMXDesign GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany.

*Cover illustration:* Several stages of somatic embryos in carrot cell suspension.

Printed on acid-free paper

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

springer.com

# Preface

This book is intended to provide a general introduction to this exciting field of plant cell and tissue culture as tool in biotechnology, without overly dwelling on detailed descriptions of all aspects. It is aimed at the newcomer, but will hopefully also stimulate some new ideas for the “old hands” in tissue culture. Nowadays, with the vast amount of information readily available on the internet, our aim was rather to distill and highlight overall trends, deeming that a complete report of each and every tissue culture investigation and publication was neither possible, nor desirable. For some techniques, however, detailed protocols are given. We have tried to be as thorough as possible, and regret if we have inadvertently overlooked any pertinent literature or specific development that belong in this work.

The three authors have been associated for many years, and have worked together on various aspects in this field. Without this close interaction, this book would not have been possible. At this opportunity, we wish to reiterate our mutual appreciation of this fruitful cooperation. An Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung fellowship to Ashwani Kumar (University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India) to work in our group at the Institut für Pflanzenernaehrung der Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen, supported this close cooperation and the completion of this book, is gratefully acknowledged.

Such a book takes time to grow. Indeed, its roots lie in a 3–4 week lecture and laboratory course by one of us (K.-H.N.) about 30 years ago as visiting professor at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, which later led to the development of a graduate training unit at the University of Giessen, Germany, and other universities. So, also older key literature, nowadays risking being forgotten, has been considered, which could be of help for newcomers in this domain.

Thanks are due to our publisher for all the help received, and for patiently waiting for an end product that, we feel, has only gained in quality.

Giessen,  
March 2009

K.-H. Neumann  
A. Kumar  
J. Imani

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Historical Developments of Cell and Tissue Culture Techniques</b> .....	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Callus Cultures</b> .....	13
3.1	Establishment of a Primary Culture from Explants of the Secondary Phloem of the Carrot Root. ....	16
3.2	Fermenter Cultures .....	19
3.3	Immobilized Cell Cultures .....	21
3.4	Nutrient Media. ....	22
3.5	Evaluation of Experiments .....	28
3.6	Maintenance of Strains, Cryopreservation .....	29
3.7	Some Physiological, Biochemical, and Histological Aspects .....	31
<b>4</b>	<b>Cell Suspension Cultures</b> .....	43
4.1	Methods to Establish a Cell Suspension .....	43
4.2	Cell Population Dynamics .....	46
<b>5</b>	<b>Protoplast Cultures</b> .....	51
5.1	Production of Protoplasts .....	54
5.2	Protoplast Fusion .....	57
<b>6</b>	<b>Haploid Techniques</b> .....	61
6.1	Application Possibilities .....	61
6.2	Physiological and Histological Background .....	64
6.3	Methods for Practical Application. ....	67
6.4	Haploid Plants .....	70

<b>7</b>	<b>Plant Propagation—Meristem Cultures, Somatic Embryogenesis</b> . . . . .	75
7.1	General Remarks, and Meristem Cultures . . . . .	75
7.2	Protocols of Some Propagation Systems . . . . .	83
7.2.1	In vitro Propagation of <i>Cymbidium</i> . . . . .	83
7.2.2	Meristem Cultures of Raspberries . . . . .	86
7.2.3	In vitro Propagation of <i>Anthurium</i> . . . . .	89
7.3	Somatic Embryogenesis. . . . .	91
7.3.1	Basics of Somatic Embryogenesis. . . . .	95
7.3.2	Ontogenesis of Competent Cells . . . . .	106
7.3.3	Genetic Aspects—DNA Organization . . . . .	107
7.3.4	The Phytohormone System . . . . .	113
7.3.5	The Protein System . . . . .	118
7.3.6	Cell Cycle Studies . . . . .	127
7.4	Practical Application of Somatic Embryogenesis . . . . .	130
7.5	Artificial Seeds. . . . .	134
7.6	Embryo Rescue . . . . .	135
<b>8</b>	<b>Some Endogenous and Exogenous Factors in Cell Culture Systems</b> . . . . .	139
8.1	Endogenous Factors . . . . .	140
8.1.1	Genetic Influences . . . . .	140
8.1.2	Physiological Status of “Mother Tissue” . . . . .	140
8.1.3	Growth Conditions of the “Mother Plant” . . . . .	143
8.2	Exogenous Factors . . . . .	145
8.2.1	Growth Regulators . . . . .	146
8.2.2	Nutritional Factors . . . . .	148
8.3	Physical Factors . . . . .	158
<b>9</b>	<b>Primary Metabolism</b> . . . . .	161
9.1	Carbon Metabolism . . . . .	161
9.2	Nitrogen Metabolism . . . . .	176
<b>10</b>	<b>Secondary Metabolism</b> . . . . .	181
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	181
10.2	Mechanism of Production of Secondary Metabolites . . . . .	183
10.3	Historical Background . . . . .	186
10.4	Plant Cell Cultures and Pharmaceuticals, and Other Biologically Active Compounds . . . . .	190
10.4.1	Antitumor Compounds. . . . .	194
10.4.2	Anthocyanin Production . . . . .	199
10.5	Strategies for Improvement of Metabolite Production. . . . .	202
10.5.1	Addition of Precursors, and Biotransformations . . . . .	203
10.5.2	Immobilization of Cells . . . . .	205

10.5.3	Differentiation and Secondary Metabolite Production . . . . .	206
10.5.4	Elicitation . . . . .	208
10.6	Organ Cultures . . . . .	210
10.6.1	Shoot Cultures . . . . .	210
10.6.2	Root Cultures . . . . .	211
10.7	Genetic Engineering of Secondary Metabolites . . . . .	212
10.8	Membrane Transport and Accumulation of Secondary Metabolites . . . . .	215
10.9	Bioreactors . . . . .	219
10.9.1	Technical Aspects of Bioreactor Systems . . . . .	221
10.10	Prospects . . . . .	225
<b>11</b>	<b>Phytohormones and Growth Regulators . . . . .</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Cell Division, Cell Growth, Cell Differentiation . . . . .</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Genetic Problems and Gene Technology . . . . .</b>	<b>249</b>
13.1	Somaclonal Variations . . . . .	249
13.1.1	Ploidy Stability . . . . .	249
13.1.2	Some More Somaclonal Variations . . . . .	252
13.2	Gene Technology . . . . .	258
13.2.1	Transformation Techniques . . . . .	258
13.2.2	Selectable Marker Genes . . . . .	265
13.2.3	$\beta$ -Glucuronidase (GUS) . . . . .	268
13.2.4	Antibiotics Resistance Genes . . . . .	270
13.2.5	Elimination of Marker Genes . . . . .	272
13.2.6	<i>Agrobacterium</i> -Mediated Transformation in Dicotyledonous Plants . . . . .	275
13.2.7	<i>Agrobacterium</i> -Mediated Transformation in Monocotyledonous Plants . . . . .	282
<b>14</b>	<b>Summary of Some Physiological Aspects in the Development of Plant Cell and Tissue Culture . . . . .</b>	<b>287</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>Summary: Applications of Plant Cell and Tissue Culture Systems . . . . .</b>	<b>291</b>
	<b>References . . . . .</b>	<b>295</b>
	<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>325</b>



# Chapter 1

## Introduction


Experimental systems based on plant cell and tissue culture are characterized by the use of isolated parts of plants, called explants, obtained from an intact plant body and kept on, or in a suitable nutrient medium. This nutrient medium functions as replacement for the cells, tissue, or conductive elements originally neighboring the explant. Such experimental systems are usually maintained under aseptic conditions. Otherwise, due to the fast growth of contaminating microorganisms, the cultured cell material would quickly be overgrown, making a rational evaluation of experimental results impossible.

Some exceptions to this are experiments concerned with problems of phytopathology in which the influence of microorganisms on physiological or biochemical parameters of plant cells or tissue is to be investigated. Other examples are co-cultures of cell material of higher plants with *Rhizobia* to study symbiosis, or to improve protection for micro-propagated plantlets to escape transient transplant stresses (Peiter et al. 2003; Waller et al. 2005).


Using cell and tissue cultures, at least in basic studies, aims at a better understanding of biochemical, physiological, and anatomical reactions of selected cell material to specified factors under controlled conditions, with the hope of gaining insight into the life of the intact plant also in its natural environment. Compared to the use of intact plants, the main advantage of these systems is a rather easy control of chemical and physical environmental factors to be kept constant at reasonable costs. Here, the growth and development of various plant parts can be studied without the influence of remote material in the intact plant body. In most cases, however, the original histology of the cultured material will undergo changes, and eventually may be lost. In synthetic culture media available in many formulations nowadays, the reaction of a given cell material to selected factors or components can be investigated. As an example, cell and tissue cultures are used as model systems to determine the influences of nutrients or plant hormones on development and metabolism related to tissue growth. These were among the aims of the “fathers” of tissue cultures in the first half of the 20th century. To which extent, and under which conditions this was achieved will be dealt with later in this book.

The advantages of those systems are counterbalanced by some important disadvantages. For one, in heterotrophic and mixotrophic systems high concentrations of organic ingredients are required in the nutrient medium (particularly sugar at 2% or more), associated with a high risk of microbial contamination. How, and to which extent this can be avoided will be dealt with in Chapter 3. Other disadvantages are the difficulties and limitations of extrapolating results based on tissue or cell cultures, to interpreting phenomena occurring in an intact plant during its development. It has always to be kept in mind that tissue cultures are only model systems, with all positive and negative characteristics inherent of such experimental setups. To be realistic, a direct duplication of in situ conditions in tissue culture systems is still not possible even today in the 21st century, and probably never will be. The organization of the genetic system and of basic cell structures is, however, essentially the same, and therefore tissue cultures of higher plants should be better suited as model systems than, e.g., cultures of algae, often employed as model systems in physiological or biochemical investigations.

The domain *cell and tissue culture* is rather broad, and necessarily unspecific. In terms of practical aspects, basically five areas can be distinguished (see Figs. 1.1, 1.2), which here shall be briefly surveyed before being discussed later at length. These are callus cultures, cell suspensions, protoplast cultures, anther cultures, and organ or meristem cultures.



**Fig. 1.1** Schematic presentation of the major areas of plant cell and tissue cultures, and some fields of application



**Fig. 1.2** Various techniques of plant cell and tissue cultures, some examples: *top left* callus culture, *top right* cell suspension culture, *bottom left* protoplast culture, *bottom right* anther culture

### Callus cultures (see Chap. 3)

In this approach, isolated pieces of a selected tissue, so-called explants (only some mg in weight), are obtained aseptically from a plant organ and cultured on, or in a suitable nutrient medium. For a primary callus culture, most convenient are tissues with high contents of parenchyma or meristematic cells. In such explants, mostly only a limited number of cell types occur, and so a higher histological homogeneity

exists than in the entire organ. However, growth induced after transfer of the explants to the nutrient medium usually results in an unorganized mass or clump of cells—a callus—consisting largely of cells different from those in the original explant.

#### Cell suspensions (see Chap. 4)

Whereas in a callus culture there remain connections among adjacent cells via plasmodesmata, ideally in a cell suspension all cells are isolated. Under practical conditions, however, also in these cell populations there is usually a high percentage of cells occurring as multicellular aggregates. A supplement of enzymes is able to break down the middle lamella connecting the cells in such clumps, or a mechanical maceration will yield single cells. Often, cell suspensions are produced by mechanical shearing of callus material in a stirred liquid medium. These cell suspensions generally consist of a great variety of cell types (Fig. 1.2), and are less homogenous than callus cultures.

#### Protoplast cultures (see Chap. 5)

In this approach, initially the cell wall of isolated cells is enzymatically removed, i.e., “naked” cells are obtained (Fig. 1.2), and the explant is transformed into a single-cell culture. To prevent cell lysis, this has to be done under hypertonic conditions. This method has been used to study processes related to the regeneration of the cell wall, and to better understand its structure. Also, protoplast cultures have served for investigations on nutrient transport through the plasmalemma, but without the confounding influence of the cell wall. The main aim in using this approach in the past, however, has been interspecies hybridizations, not possible by sexual crossing. Nowadays, protoplasts are still essential in many protocols of gene technology. From such protoplast cultures, ideally plants can be regenerated through somatic embryogenesis to be used in breeding programs.

#### Anther or microspore cultures (see Chap. 6)

Culturing anthers (Fig. 1.2), or isolated microspores from anthers under suitable conditions, haploid plants can be obtained through somatic embryogenesis. Treating such plant material with, e.g., colchicines, it is possible to produce dihaploids, and if everything works out, within 1 year (this depends on the plant species) a fertile homozygous dihaploid plant can be produced from a heterozygous mother plant. This method is advantageous for hybrid breeding, by substantially reducing the time required to establish inbred lines.

Often, however, initially a callus is produced from microspores, with separate formation of roots and shoots that subsequently join, and in due time haploid plants

can be isolated. Here, the production of “ploidy chimeras” may be a problem. Another aim in using anther or microspore cultures is to provoke the expression of recessive genes in haploids to be selected for plant breeding or gene transfer purposes.

Plant propagation, meristem culture, somatic embryogenesis (see Chap. 7)

In this approach, mostly isolated primary or secondary shoot meristems (shoot apex, axillary buds) are induced to shoot under aseptic conditions. Generally, this occurs without an interfering callus phase, and after rooting, the plantlets can be isolated and transplanted into soil. Thereby, highly valuable single plants—e.g., a hybrid—can be propagated. The main application, however, is in horticulture for mass propagation of clones for the commercial market, another being the production of virus-free plants. Thus, this technique has received a broad interest in horticulture, and also in silviculture as a major means of propagation.



## Chapter 2

# Historical Developments of Cell and Tissue Culture Techniques

Possibly the contribution of Haberlandt to the Sitzungsberichte der Wissenschaftlichen Akademie zu Wien more than a century ago (Haberlandt 1902) can be regarded as the first publication of experiments to culture isolated tissue from a plant (*Tradescantia*). To secure nurture requirements, Haberlandt used leaf explants capable of active photosynthesis. Nowadays, we know leaf tissue is rather difficult to culture. With these experiments (and others), Haberlandt wanted to promote a “physiological anatomy” of plants.

In his book on the topic, with its 600 odd pages, he only once cited his “tissue culture paper” (page 13), although he was not very modest in doing so. Haberlandt wrote:

*Gewöhnlich ist die Zelle als Elementarorgan zugleich ein Elementarorganismus; mit anderen Worten: sie steht nicht bloß im Dienste der höchsten individuellen Lebenseinheit, der ganzen Pflanze, sondern gibt sich selbst als Lebenseinheit niedrigen Grades zu erkennen. So ist z.B. jede von den chlorophyllführenden Palisadenzellen des Phanerogamenlaubblattes ein elementares Assimilationsorgan, zugleich aber auch ein lebender Organismus: man kann die Zelle mit gehöriger Vorsicht von dem gemeinschaftlichen Zellverbände loslösen, ohne daß sie deshalb sofort aufhören würde zu leben. Es ist mir sogar gelungen, derartige Zellen in geeigneten Nährlösungen mehrere Wochen lang am Leben zu erhalten; sie setzten ihre Assimilationstätigkeit fort und fingen sogar in sehr erheblichem Maße wieder zu wachsen an.*

In English, this reads:

Usually, a cell is an elementary organ as well as an elementary organism—it is not only part of an individual living unit, i.e., of the intact plant, but also is itself a living unit at a lower organizational level. As an example, each palisade cell of the phanerogamic leaf blade containing chlorophyll is an elementary unit of assimilation, and concurrently a living organism—careful isolation from the tissue keeps these cells alive. I have even been able to maintain such cells living in a suitable nutrient medium for several weeks; assimilation continued, and considerable growth was possible.

With this, the theoretical basis of plant and tissue culture systems as practiced nowadays was defined. Apparently, this work was of minor importance to Haberlandt, who viewed it only as evidence of a certain independence of cells from the whole organism. Nevertheless, it has to be kept in mind that at the time

Schleiden and Schwann's theory of significance of cells was only about 60 years old (cf. Schwann 1839). Later, Haberlandt abandoned this area of research, and turned to studying wound healing in plants. A critical review is given by Krikorian and Berquam (1986).

It was not before the late 1920s–early 1930s that *in vitro* studies using plant cell cultures were resumed, in particular due to the successful cultivation of animal tissue, mainly by Carrell. In a paper published in 1927, Rehwald reported the formation of callus tissue on cultured explants of carrot and some other species, without the influence of pathogens. Subsequently, Gautheret (1934) described growth by cell division *in vitro* of cultured explants from the cambium of *Acer pseudoplatanus*. Growth of these cultures came to a halt, however, after about 18 months. Meanwhile, the significance of indole acetic acid (IAA) became known, as a hormone influencing cell division and cellular growth. Rehwald did not continue his studies, but based on these, Nobecourt (1937) investigated the significance of this auxin for growth of carrot explants. Successful long-term growth of cambium explants was reported at about the same time by Gautheret (1939) and White (1939).

For Gautheret and Nobecourt, continued growth could be maintained only in the presence of IAA. White, however, was able to achieve this without IAA, by using tissue of a hybrid of *Nicotiana glauca* and *Nicotiana langsdorffii*. Intact plants of this hybrid line are also able to produce cancer-like outgrowth of callus without auxin. Many years later, a comparable observation was made on hybrids of two *Daucus* subspecies produced by protoplast fusion, yielding somatic embryos for intact plants (Sect. 7.3) in an inorganic nutrient medium. *Daucus* and *Nicotiana* have remained model systems for cell culture studies until now, but have recently been rivaled by *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

In the investigations discussed so far, the main aim was to unravel the physiological functions of various plant tissues, and their contributions to the life of the intact plant. In the original White's basal medium often used, not much fresh weight is produced, and this mainly by cellular growth. Only a low rate of cell division has been observed.

A new turn of studies was induced in the late 1950s and early 1960s by the work of the research group of F.C. Steward at Cornell University in Ithaca, NY, and of F. Skoog's group in Wisconsin. Steward was interested mainly in relations between nutrient uptake and tissue growth intensity. To this end, he attempted to use fast and slow growing tissue cultures of identical origin in the intact plant as model systems. He was aware of the work of van Overbeck et al. (1942), who used coconut milk, i.e., the liquid endosperm of *Cocos nucifera*, to grow immature embryos derived from hybrids of crossings between different *Datura* species. Usually, the development of embryos of such hybrids is very poor, and they eventually die. Following the application of coconut milk, however, their development was accomplished. A supplement of coconut milk to the original medium of P. White induced vigorous growth in quiescent carrot root explants (secondary phloem), compared to that in the original nutrient medium. For Steward, this meant he now had an experimental system in which, by addition or omission of coconut milk, it was possible to evaluate the role played by variations in growth intensity of tissue of identical origin in



the plant (Caplin and Steward 1949). The supplement of coconut milk induced growth mainly by cell division that resulted in dedifferentiation of the cultured root explants, and the histological characteristics of the secondary phloem tissue was soon lost. This probably provoked P. White, at a conference in 1961, to ask “What do you need coconut milk for?”

The observation of the induction of somatic embryogenesis in cell suspensions was an unexpected by-product of such experiments (Steward et al. 1958; see Sect. 7.3), a process described at about the same time also by Reinert (1959). Contrary to Steward, who observed somatic embryogenesis in cell suspensions derived from callus cultures, Reinert described this process in callus cultures.

At the beginning of the 1950s, the Steward group initiated investigations to isolate and characterize the chemical components of coconut milk responsible for the vigorous growth of carrot explants, after its supplementation to the nutrient medium. Similar influences on growth became known for liquid endosperms of other plant species, like *Zea* or *Aesculus*, and these were consequently included into the investigations. Some years ago, when already retired, Steward (1985) published a very good summary of these investigations, and therefore no detailed discussion of this work will be attempted here, but some highlights will be recalled.

In summary, using ion exchange columns, three fractions with growth-promoting properties have been isolated from coconut milk. These are an amino acid fraction that, to promote growth, can be replaced by casein hydrolysate, or other mixtures of amino acids. Then came the identification of some active components of a neutral fraction. This fraction contains mainly carbohydrates, and other chemically neutral compounds. Particularly active in the carrot assay were three hexitols, i.e., myo- and scyllo-inositol, and sorbitol. Of these, the strongest growth promotion was obtained with m-inositol: 50 mg/l of this as supplement induced the same amount of growth as did the whole neutral fraction of coconut milk. Actually, earlier also White (1954) recommended an m-inositol supplement to the media as a promoter of growth. Finally, there remains the so-called active fraction of coconut milk to be characterized, the analysis of which is yet not really completed. Still, the occurrence of 2-isopentenyladenine, and of zeatin and some derivatives of these have been detected, and it seems justifiable to label it as the cytokinin fraction of coconut milk. The occurrence of these cytokinins would be responsible for the strong promotion of cell division activity by coconut milk, as will be described later.

In terms of when they were discovered, cytokinins are a rather “young” group of phytohormones, the detection of which is tightly coupled with cell and tissue culture. The first characterized member of this group was accidentally detected in autoclaved DNA. Its supplementation to cultured tobacco pith explants induced strong growth by cell division, and consequently it was named kinetin (Miller et al. 1955). Chemically, kinetin is a 6-substituted adenine. In plants, this compound has not been detected yet; it should be the product of chemical reactions associated with the process of autoclaving, and deviating from enzymatic *in situ* reactions.

Using tobacco pith explants, Skoog and Miller (1957) carried out by now classic experiments demonstrating the influences of changes in the auxin/cytokinin concentration ratio on organogenesis in cultures. If auxin dominates, then the

formation of adventitious roots is promoted; if cytokinins dominate, then the differentiation of shoot parts is observed. At a certain balance between the two hormone groups in the medium, undifferentiated callus growth results (Skoog and Miller 1957). These results are not as distinct in other experimental systems, but the principle derived from these experiments seems to be valid, and to some extent it can be applied also to intact plants.

As mentioned above, the liquid endosperm of *Zea* exerts a similar influence on growth as does coconut milk. Based on the work of the Steward group, Letham (1966) isolated the first native cytokinin, and fittingly it was named zeatin. Shortly after, a second native cytokinin, 2-isopentenyladenine, was identified, which is a precursor of zeatin. Since then, several derivatives have been described, and today more than 20 naturally occurring cytokinins are known, a number that will certainly grow.

In the early 1960s, the way was paved to formulate the composition of synthetic nutrient media able to produce the same results as those obtained with complex, naturally occurring ingredients such as coconut milk or yeast extracts (of unknown composition). Nowadays, mostly the Murashige–Skoog medium (Murashige and Skoog 1962) is used, with a number of adaptations for specific purposes (cf. MS medium; see tables and further information in Chap. 3). In such synthetic media, somatic embryogenesis in carrot cultures was soon also induced (Halperin and Wetherell 1965; Linser and Neumann 1968).

Another line of research was initiated by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), which started to support research on plant cell cultures for regenerative life support systems (Krikorian and Levine 1991; Krikorian 2001, 2003). Since the early 1960s, experiments with plants and plant tissue cultures have been performed under various conditions of microgravity in space (cf. one-way spaceships, biosatellites, space shuttles and parabolic flights, and the orbital stations Salyut and Mir), accompanied by ground studies using rotating clinostat vessels (<http://www.estec.esa.nl/spaceflights>).

Neumann's (1966) formulation of the NL medium (see tables and further information in Chap. 3) was based on a mineral analysis of coconut milk (NL, Neumann Lösung, or medium). The concentrations of mineral nutrients in this liquid endosperm were applied, in addition to those already used for White's basal medium; moreover, 200 mg casein hydrolysate/l was supplemented, and kinetin, IAA, and m-inositol were applied at the concentrations given in the tables.

Using such synthetic nutrient media, it was possible to investigate the significance of each individual ingredient for the growth and differentiation of cultured cells, or for the biochemistry of the cells, including the production of components of secondary metabolism. This will be dealt with in later chapters of the book.

In the early 1960s appeared the first reports on androgenesis (Guha and Maheshwari 1964), and on the production and culture of protoplasts (Cocking 1960). Concurrently, systematic studies on components of secondary metabolism, mainly of medical interest, were initiated. At that time, cell and tissue cultures were at an initial peak of enthusiasm and popularity, which stretched from the end of the 1960s to the second half of the 1970s. The state of knowledge was such as to stimulate expectations of an imminent practical application of these techniques in many

**Table 2.1** Some examples of patent applications in Japan in the 1970s

Ingredient	Plant species
Berberine	<i>Coptis japonica</i>
Nicotine	<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>
Hyoscyamine	<i>Datura stramonium</i>
Rauwolfia alkaloid	<i>Rauwolfia serpentine</i>
Camptothecin	<i>Camptotheca acuminata</i>
Ginseng saponins	<i>Panax ginseng</i>
Ubiquinone 10	<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> , <i>Daucus carota</i>
Proteinase inhibitor	<i>Scopolia japonica</i>
Steviosid	<i>Stevia rebaudiana</i>
Tobacco material	<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>
Silkworm diet	<i>Morus bombycia</i>

domains, e.g., plant breeding, the production of enzymes, and that of drugs for medical purposes. To this end, considerable financial resources were made available from governments, as well as from private companies. Potential applications seemed limitless, and included rather exotic ones such as the production of food for silkworms. These high investments were accompanied by first applications for patents (some examples from that time are given in Table 2.1). In the late 1970s, however, reality caught up—promises made by scientists (or at least by some) to sponsors, and expectations raised for an early application of these techniques on a commercial basis were not fulfilled—a “hangover” was the result.

All projects envisaged in that period had aspects related with cellular differentiation and its control. It was realized that without a clear understanding of these fundamental biological processes, enabling scientists to interfere accordingly to reach a given commercial goal, only an empirical trial and error approach was possible. In that pioneer phase in the commercialization of cell and tissue culture, a parallel was often drawn with the early days in the commercial use of microbes, i.e., the production of antibiotics with its originally low yield. It seemed to be necessary only to select high-yielding strains. Compared to microbes, however, the biochemical status of cultured plant cells is less stable, and many initially promising approaches were eventually found to lead to a technological blind alley. Furthermore, it has to be kept in mind that at the advent of antibiotics, no competitor was on the market. By contrast, for substances produced by plant cell cultures, well-established industrial methods and production lines exist. Also, the commercial production of enzymes and other proteins found solely in cells of higher plants would be based on microbes transformed by inserting genes of higher plants. Evidently, of more importance is certainly somatic embryogenesis to raise genetically transformed cell culture strains, and to produce intact plants for breeding—on condition that the transformation be carried out on protoplasts, or isolated single cells.

A first system of this kind was reported by Potrykus in 1984 at the Botanical congress in Vienna (see Sect. 13.2). Kanamycin resistance was incorporated into tobacco protoplasts, from which kanamycin-resistant tobacco plants were obtained.

Here, cell culture techniques were an indispensable, integral part of the experiments. Later, these basic principles were applied in many other systems and today, after hundreds of genetic transformations, 100,000s hectares are planted with genetically transformed cultivated plants (see Sect. 13.2). An initial attempt to introduce commercially useful traits into plants was to prolong the viable storage period of tomatoes (Klee et al. 1991); these tomatoes became known as “Flavr-Savr”. In spite of being patented (Patent EP240208), commercial success was rather limited, and they were never permitted on the European market. In Chapter 13, more details will be given on gene technology.

It was known for a long time that green cultured cells are able to perform photosynthesis (Neumann 1962, 1969; Bergmann 1967; Neumann and Raafat 1973; Kumar 1974a, b; Kumar et al. 1977, 1989, 1990; Neumann et al. 1977; Roy and Kumar 1986, 1990; Kumar and Neumann 1999; see review by Widholm 1992). In the 1980s were published the first papers reporting the prolonged cultivation of green cultures of various species growing at normal atmosphere in an inorganic nutrient medium (Bender et al. 1981; Neumann et al. 1982; Kumar et al. 1983a, b, 1984, 1987, 1989, 1999; Bender et al. 1985). Subsequently, the ability of such cultures to produce somatic embryos was demonstrated (see Chaps. 7, 9). More recently, methods have been published to raise immature somatic embryos of the cotyledonary stage under autotrophic conditions, yielding intact plants (Chap. 7). It remains to be seen to which extent such material will be useful to obtain plants with special genetic transformations involving photosynthesis. Later, more details on this will be given (see Sect. 13.2).

Based on much earlier work in Knudson’s laboratory at Cornell University in 1922 (cf. Griesebach 2002), in the early 1960s Morel (1963) reported a method to propagate *Cymbidium* by culturing shoot tips on seed germination medium supplemented with phytohormones in vitro. At Cornell, probably the first experiments with orchid tissue culture were performed, and inflorescence nodes of *Phalaenopsis* could be induced to produce plantlets in vitro cultured aseptically on seed germination media. Indeed, the Knudson C medium (with some variations) is still in use for orchid cultivation in vitro. During the last 40 years, techniques have been found to propagate many plant species, mainly ornamentals, generally employing isolated meristems for in vitro culture (see Chap. 7). These methods were developed empirically by trial and error, and the propagation in vitro of many plant species is used commercially. Up to the 1960s, orchids belonged to the most expensive flowers—the low price nowadays is due to propagation by tissue culture techniques (even students can afford an orchid for their sweetheart at their first date!).

In the following, the various branches of cell and tissue cultures will be described, including methods for practical applications.

## Chapter 3

# Callus Cultures

After the transfer of freshly cut explants into growth-promoting conditions, usually on the cut surface cell division is initiated, and as a form of wound healing, unorganized growth occurs—a callus will be formed. Following a supplement of growth hormones to the nutrient medium, this initial cell division activity will continue, and this unorganized growth will be maintained without morphological recognizable differentiation. However, under suitable conditions, the differentiation of, e.g., adventitious roots, shoots, or even embryos can be initiated. Such culture systems can be used to study cytological or biochemical processes of growth related to cell division, cell enlargement, and differentiation. For a description of callus cultures, the culture of carrot root explants here serves as detailed example. Significant deviations from this experimental system will be dealt with later.


Depending on the objectives of the investigations, the culture of the isolated tissue will be either on a solid medium (0.8% agar, 0.4% Gelrite), or in a liquid medium. For both, usually glass vessels are employed, and after transfer of the medium, sterilization by autoclaving follows. As a substitute for glass vessels, sterile “one-way” containers made of plastic material are available on the market (Table 3.1). These are quite costly, however, and it therefore depends on the financial situation of the laboratory which of the two alternatives is favored. To exclude influences of components dissolved from the plastic, control investigations using glass containers are always recommended.

After cooling of the autoclaved vessels containing the nutrient medium, the explants are inoculated. The actual culture is usually carried out in growth rooms at temperatures of 20–30°C under illumination conditions varying from continuous darkness to 10,000 lux, from fluorescent lamps. The lids on the vessels are closed by aluminum or paraffin foil, and consequently sufficient air humidity is provided for at least 4 weeks of culture.


For agar cultures, besides some shelves and climatization, no other provisions are required. Liquid cultures, however, if submersed, require sufficient continuous aeration. Using Erlenmeyer flasks as culture vessels, rotary shakers with about 100 rpm usually give good results (Fig. 3.1). An interesting setup for liquid cultures is a device called an auxophyton, developed in the early 1950s by the Steward group at Cornell University (Fig. 3.2). Here, wooden discs with clips are mounted onto

**Table 3.1** Autoclavability of some plastics (Thorpe and Kamlesh 1984)

Autoclavable	Not autoclavable
Polypropylene	Polystyrene
Polymethylpentene	Polyvinylchloride (PVC)
Teflon	Styrene acrylonitrile
Acryl	Tefrel
Polycarbonate	Polyethylene
Polysulfone	Polyallomer

**Fig. 3.1** *Top* Culture room with stationary cultures; *bottom* cell suspensions as liquid cultures on a rotating shaker

a slowly rotating, nearly horizontal metallic shaft. Onto these clips, glass containers of 3 cm diameter closed on both sides (about 70 ml volume) are fixed, to which 15 ml liquid medium is applied. For gas exchange, an opening of about 1.5 cm with a collar of about 1.5 cm is maintained. The shaft rotates at 1 rpm, resulting in the nutrient medium being continuously mixed and aerated. Due to the development of



**Fig. 3.2** Auxophyton (Steward et al. 1952): *top* T-tubes; *bottom* “star flask”


a film of liquid, the cell material is usually fixed to the glass of the container, being alternately exposed to air and to the nutrient medium. With this setup, a better reproduction of data on growth and development is generally observed than is the case with shaker or agar cultures, especially in physiological or biochemical investigations. These “Steward tubes” (or T-tubes; Fig. 3.2, top) in our standard experiments are supplied with three explants each. For many biochemical investigations, however, this is not enough cellular material. Based on the same principle for the production of more material, so-called star flasks (or nipple flasks) were developed (Fig. 3.2, bottom). The inner volume of these vessels is 1,000 ml, usually 250 ml of medium is applied, and 100 explants are inoculated. Due to the nipples in the wall of the container during rotation of the shaft to which they are mounted, the cellular material is fixed, and again as with T-tubes, alternate exposure to air and nutrient

medium is achieved. Basically, the same principle of alternating exposure of the cultures to the nutrient medium and the air was applied many years later to develop the RITA system, and similar setups described in Chapter 7.

To prevent microbial contamination, the culture vessels can be closed by cotton wool wrapped in cheesecloth, as a simple method. However, many other materials, such as aluminum foil, or more costly products on the market, can be used instead.

### 3.1 Establishment of a Primary Culture from Explants of the Secondary Phloem of the Carrot Root

To illustrate the method to obtain a primary culture, in the following a description of the original procedure of the Steward group for callus cultures from carrot roots will be described step by step (Fig. 3.3). This procedure can usually be adapted for use with other tissue types.



**Fig. 3.3** Preparation of explants from a carrot root. *Top* Equipment used for explantation: *A* sterilized aqua dest. to wash the tissue, *B* jar for surface sterilization of the carrot root, *C* jar in which to place the sterilized carrot root, *D* cutting platform to obtain root discs, *E* Petri dish to receive the root discs, and sterilized forceps to handle the root discs, *F* Petri dish with filter paper in which to place the root disc for cutting the explants, and troquar (or cork borer) to cut the explants, *G* jar in which to place the explants for rinsing, and needle (at the tip, with a loop) for explant transfer. *Middle, left* Cutting discs from the carrot root, *right* cutting of explants from the disc. *Bottom, left* Root disc after cutting the explants, *right* freshly cut carrot root explants



### Preparation

- To obtain discs of the carrot root, a simple cutting platform is used (Fig. 3.3, D); beforehand, this is wrapped in aluminum foil or a suitable paper bag, and placed for 4 h into a drying oven at 150°C for sterilization.
- Lids to close apertures in the culture vessels are prepared from aluminum foil by hand, and the vessels are labeled according to the design of the experiment.
- Preparation of the nutrient medium follows (see below), and adjustment of the pH of the medium with 0.1N NaOH and 0.1N HCl.
- The nutrient medium is transferred to the culture vessel (15 ml each) by means of a pipette, or more conveniently by using a dispenset. If stationary cultures are to be set up, it is necessary to apply also agar in solid form (e.g., 0.8%).
- The culture vessel is closed with aluminum foil caps, and sterilized at 1.1 bar and 120°C for 40 min in an autoclave.
- For each carrot root to be used for explantation, the following equipment should be sterilized (Fig. 3.3): several Petri dishes (diameter 9 cm) furnished with 3–4 layers of filter paper (autoclaving); one Petri dish for placing forceps, needle, troquar (Fig. 3.3, F); one Petri dish, and two 1-l beakers (dry sterilization); 1 l of aqua dest. distributed in several Erlenmeyer flasks (autoclaving); for each carrot to be used in the experiment, two forceps, one troquar, one needle with a loop made of platinum or stainless steel, wrapped into aluminum foil and dry-sterilized.
- All work to obtain explants for culture is carried out in a sterilized inoculation room, or more conveniently on a laminar flow (aseptic working bench). This has to be switched on 30 min before starting the experimental work.

### Procedure

To determine the vitality and potential growth performance of the explants before surface sterilization, a disc of the diameter of the carrot root is cut, and with the troquar explants are cut. These are put into a beaker with water, and if the explants swim on the surface, the root is not suitable for an experiment. Explants of healthy carrots sink to the bottom of the container.

- After the selection of a suitable carrot, the root is scraped and washed with aqua dest., dried with a paper towel, and wrapped into 3–4 layers of paper towel.
- The carrot is placed into a 1-l beaker, and covered with a sterilizing solution (e.g., 5% hypochlorite; see Table 3.2) for 15 min. Sterile gloves are needed for further processing. If gloves are not used, then it is necessary to wash one's hands here and then frequently in the following steps, with ethanol or a clinical disinfectant (e.g., Lysafaren).
- The forceps are dipped into ethanol (96%), flamed, and placed into a sterile Petri dish.
- Sterilized water is poured into a sterile Petri dish, ready to receive the explants.

**Table 3.2** Some disinfectants used in tissue culture experiments, and the concentrations applied (Thorpe and Kamlesh 1984)

Disinfectant	%
Sodium hypochlorite (5% active chlorine)	20.0
Calcium hypochlorite	25.0
Bromine water	1.0
Mercury chloride	0.2
Ethanol	70.0
Hydrogen superoxide	10.0
Silver nitrate	1.0

- From the cutting platform, the cover is removed and placed in the center of the sterile working bench. One sterile Petri dish (higher rim) is placed directly under the cutting platform, with a sterile forceps.
- The carrot is taken out of the sterilization solution, the cover removed, and it is washed carefully with sterilized water. Starting with the root tip, 2-mm discs (knife adjusted accordingly) are cut with the help of the cutting platform, using exact horizontal strokes (Fig. 3.3). Such strokes are required as a prerequisite to later obtain explants from the tissue of the carrot root selected. If a horizontal stroke is missed, then the explants of the secondary phloem (our aim) will often be contaminated by cells of the cambium.
- After having obtained the number of discs desired (from each disc, about 15–20 explants from the secondary phloem can be obtained), two forceps are flamed and put into a sterile Petri dish.
- Cutting the explants (Fig. 3.3): the root discs are transferred (with a sterile forceps) into a Petri dish containing filter paper. With the help of the sterilized troquar, about 20 explants are cut at a distance of about 2 mm from the cambium. The explants are transferred from the troquar to a Petri dish filled with sterilized water. It is practical to cut about 50 explants more than strictly needed.
- To remove contaminating traces of the sterilizing solution used for the roots, the explants should be repeatedly rinsed with sterilized water (5–6 times). After the last washing, almost all the water is removed from the dish. Only the liquid required to moisten the surface of the explants remains in the Petri dish.
- The needle, with a loop at the tip used for the transfer of the explants into the culture vessels, is dipped into abs. ethanol and flamed. After cooling of the needle, the explants are transferred into the culture vessel with the nutrient medium. The needle with explants should never touch the opening of the culture vessel (cf. avoid the generation of a “nutrient medium” for microbes). After the transfer of explants to several culture vessels, the needle should be flamed again. Immediately after the inoculation of the explants, the vessels are covered by lids (e.g., aluminum foil). As a further precaution, the opening of the vessel and the lid can be flamed before closing.

- After the work on the laminar flow, the culture vessels with the explants are transferred to the climatized culture room.

If it is difficult to obtain sterile cultures from plant material grown in a non-sterile environment, then explants can be obtained from seedlings derived from sterilized seeds in an aseptic environment. For this, the seeds are first placed into a sterilizing solution for 2–3 h, and it is advisable to use a magnetic stirrer. The duration of sterilization, and the type of sterilization solution used usually have to be determined empirically for each tissue and each plant species (Table 3.2). Seeds with an uneven seed coat, or with a cover of hairs, may cause problems. It may be of help to add a few drops of a detergent, e.g., Tween 80. After surface sterilization, the seeds are washed in autoclaved water. For germination, the sterilized seeds are then transferred to either sterilized, moist filters in Petri dishes (or another suitable container), or a sterile agar medium. The greater the chances of contamination, the smaller is the number of seeds recommended per vessel.


The cutting of explants from the seedling is usually done with the help of a scalpel or similar device (e.g., scissors, a razorblade, a cork borer) sterilized in a drying oven; the device should be frequently flamed. More procedures to this end, using embryo tissue, or explants of immature embryos, are described later in other chapters (e.g., Chap. 7).

### 3.2 Fermenter Cultures (see also Chap. 10)

Basically, the same principles as those just described can be applied to fermenter or bioreactor cultures. Although the bioreactor in Fig. 3.4 was originally developed for cultures of algae, this simple equipment (Fa. Braun, Melsungen, volume 5 l) has been successfully used to culture cells of several higher plants (Bender et al. 1981). After applying a “light coat” for illumination (ca. 33 W/m<sup>2</sup>), investigations on the photosynthesis of photoautotrophic cultured cells in a sugar-free medium have been carried out with success (see Chap. 9).

The bioreactor in the figure is filled with 4 l of nutrient medium, sterilized in a vertical autoclave; to check the success of autoclaving before the transfer of cells, it is placed in the culture room for 3 days. If IAA is a constituent of the nutrient medium, then the fermenter has to be kept in the dark to prevent its photooxidation, to be observed within a few days. If the bioreactor is still sterile after that time, then the cell material is transferred with a sterile glass funnel and a silicon pipe of 1-cm diameter. The fermenter has to be placed in front of the laminar flow to position the funnel in the sterile air stream of the inoculation cabinet. A sufficient growth of the culture can be achieved with an inoculation of about 30 g fresh weight for the 4 l of medium in the container (see also somatic embryogenesis, Sect. 7.3).

As an alternative, the separate sterilization of the container and the nutrient medium has also been successfully employed. The sterilization of the nutrient



**Fig. 3.4** Bioreactor with a carrot cell suspension (*E* inoculation devise, *L* air filter)

medium is the same as that described above, and the empty container was sterilized by autoclaving for 35 min at 1 bar and 130°C. For harvesting, the content of the bioreactor is simply poured out through some layers of fine cheesecloth.

Basically a bioreactor to culture plant material should provide adequate mixing, while minimizing shearing stress and hydrodynamic pressure. Since the 1970s, much work has been invested in developing airlift bioreactors, which seemed the most promising construction to fulfill these requirements. Still, hardly any damage was observed by using the bioreactor described above to produce somatic embryos of *Daucus*, or *Datura* cell suspensions for the production of scopolamine or atropine (see Chap. 10).

As an alternative to reusable glass containers, several devices made of disposable plastic have been developed to reduce operational costs. As an example, the pre-sterilized Life Reactor™ system developed by M. Ziff of the Hebrew University, and R. Levin of Osmototek, a company engaged in the development of “Advanced Products for Plant Tissue Culture”, is mentioned. This system is available with a volume of 1.5 or 5 l. Citing from an advertisement for the 1.5-l vessel: “Producing up to 1000 plantlets per litre of liquid medium, this easy to handle system allows research and small commercial laboratories to carry out

multiplication on a relatively large scale, in less than a square meter of space, with minimal manpower and at an easily affordable price. The body is a V-shaped bag from a special, heavy duty plastic laminate material. At the bottom of the vessel is a porous bubbler, which is connected to an inlet in the wall. During operation, sterile, humidified air is supplied through this port. Near the top of the vessel is a 1.5 diameter inoculation port, through which the plant material is initially added and later withdrawn. This is closed with an autoclavable cap. One of two ports on the cap is used to exhaust excess air and another is covered by a silicon rubber septum. This can be used to apply additions in aseptic manner.”


### 3.3 Immobilized Cell Cultures

Besides the methods described above, so-called batch cultures, attempts have also been made to establish continuous systems in bioreactors. Here, in analogy to animal cell cultures, the cells are fixed on a stationary carrier. Whereas animal cells have “self-fixing” properties to attach autonomously to a glass surface, or on synthetic materials like Sephadex, difficulties arise for plant cells, probably due to the rigid cell wall. A way out of this dilemma is the capture of the cells in the interior of the carrier material.

Originally, calcium-alginate was used as carrier; meanwhile, a number of polymers have been tested, such as agar, agarose, polyacrylamide, and gelatin. Pure synthetic materials, like polyurethane, or nylon and polyphenyloxide, have also been examined. All these have advantages and disadvantages, and often polyurethane is preferred. This material possesses a large inner volume (97% w/v), and the capture of the cells is brought about by a passive invasion of the carrier material (see Fig. 3.5). The carrier has to be submersed into the cell suspension, and in the pores of the foam, cells continue to divide and grow until the whole inner volume is invaded. This method requires no additional chemicals to fix the cells to the carrier, and no negative influences on the vitality and metabolism of the cells has been observed to date. Polyurethane is stable in the usual nutrient media, also during prolonged experimental periods. These cells fixed on polyurethane can be transferred to a flatbed container, or to a column where they are bathed by a continuous stream of nutrient media (Lindsey et al. 1983; Yuan et al. 1999).

Also here, as for bioreactors with microbes, circular setups with reuse of the medium were successful. Such continuous arrangements serve to produce substances of the primary or secondary metabolism of plant cells (e.g., Yin et al. 2005, 2006), which can be also extruded to the medium. This can be even increased, compared to free cell suspensions, as reported for immobilized cultures of *Juniperus chinensis* (Premjet and Tachibana 2004) using a 3% alginate gel to produce podophyllotoxin. More on this will be given in Chapter 10. This also offers possibilities, at desired culture stages, to change the composition of the nutrient medium to direct cell production.

**Fig 3.5** Plant cells in polyurethane foam (photograph by M.M. Yeoman)



### 3.4 Nutrient Media

Nutrient media occupy a central significance for the success of a cell culture system. Although almost all intact higher plants are able to grow autotrophically in light under normal air conditions and sufficient supply of water and mineral nutrients, this is not the case for all plant organs and tissue. For example, roots or the developing seeds require the import of assimilates from shoot tissue, or phytohormones produced in other, remote tissue to stay alive, function, and grow.

This situation is also characteristic for cells of the various cell culture systems being isolated from the intact plant body. The nutrient medium is a substitute for an import of substances, derived in the intact plant from other parts of its body with distinct metabolic properties. Although some cell culture systems have been reported to grow fully photoautotrophically in an inorganic nutrient medium (see Chap. 10 for details), by far most culture systems are either heterotrophic, or in the light after the development of chloroplasts, at best mixotrophic. For the cultures, a supplement of carbohydrates to the medium is necessary to fulfill the requirements of energy, as well as carbon, oxygen, and hydrogen as raw material for synthesis. For this purpose, usually mono- or disaccharides are supplied. In most media, sucrose is used at various concentrations, and for most investigations of the growth and development of cultures, it has proved sufficient. Moreover, good growth can be obtained by using monosaccharides, and many other materials, sometimes quite unconventional, are also employed. Generally speaking, a “best” carbohydrate does not really exist for all plant cell cultures—which will be chosen as a supplement to the nutrient medium always depends on the tissue, the study aim, and the plant species. These have to be determined in preliminary investigations.

In Tables 3.3 to 3.7, the composition of some nutrient media employed nowadays are given. The concentration of sucrose is usually 2–3%. A second key component of a nutrient medium is the mixture of mineral salts, which has undergone considerable changes since the first publication of a nutrient medium for plant cell

**Table 3.3** Compositions of some nutrient media in use for plant cell and tissue cultures (for 1 l aqua dest.; the compositions of the stock solutions are given in Table 3.4)

Nutrient medium <sup>a</sup>	BM	MS	NL	NN	B5
g Sucrose	20.00	30.00	20.00	20.00	50.00
g Casein hydrolysate	–	–	0.20	–	0.25
ml Glycine solution	1.0	1.0	–	–	–
ml Mineral solution	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ml Fe solution	1.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
ml Mg solution	10.0	–	30.0	–	7.0
ml Vitamin solution	1.0	1.0	1.0	10.0	1.0
ml Folic acid solution	–	–	–	1.0	–
ml Biotin solution	–	–	–	10.0	–
ml 2.4D solution	–	1.0	–	–	1.0
ml IAA solution	–	0.5	1.0	0.05	–
ml Kinetin solution	–	–	1.0	–	–
ml BAP solution	–	1.0	–	–	–
ml m-Inositol solution	–	2.0	10.0	–	10.0
ml Coconut milk (CM)	100.00	–	–	–	–
pH	5.6	5.5	5.7	5.5	5.7
g Agar	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0

<sup>a</sup>Nutrient medium: BM, White (1954); MS, Murashige and Skoog (1962); NL, Neumann (1966); NN, Nitsch and Nitsch (1969); B5, Gamborg et al. (1968)

**Table 3.4** Compositions of some nutrient media in use for plant cell and tissue cultures: stock solutions

Mineral solution (in 1 l aqua dest.)	BM	MS	NL	NN	B5
Macronutrients (g)					
KNO <sub>3</sub>	1.00	19	8.72	9.50	30.00
NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	–	16.50	–	7.20	–
MgSO <sub>4</sub> × 7H <sub>2</sub> O	–	3.70	–	1.85	5.00
CaCl <sub>2</sub> × H <sub>2</sub> O	–	4.40	–	0.68	–
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	–	1.70	–	0.68	–
NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> × 2H <sub>2</sub> O	0.21	–	2.34	–	1.50
KCl	0.78	–	0.65	–	–
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> × 10H <sub>2</sub> O	2.50	–	2.45	–	–
Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> × 4H <sub>2</sub> O	2.50	–	4.88	–	–
(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	–	–	–	–	1.34
Micronutrients (mg)					
MnSO <sub>4</sub> × H <sub>2</sub> O	56.00	170.00	36.00	250.00	100.00
H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>	15.00	62.00	15.00	100.00	30.00
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> × 7H <sub>2</sub> O	12.00	86.00	15.00	100.00	30.00
Na <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> × 2H <sub>2</sub> O	–	2.50	3.30	2.50	2.50
CuSO <sub>4</sub> × 5H <sub>2</sub> O	–	0.25	6.20	0.25	0.25
CoCl <sub>2</sub> × 6H <sub>2</sub> O	–	0.25	–	–	–

(continued)

**Table 3.4** (continued)

Mineral solution (in 1 l aqua dest.)	BM	MS	NL	NN	B5
KI	9.00	8.30	7.00	–	7.50
Mg solution					
MgSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O	36.00	–	36.00	–	36.00
Fe solution (g/l aqua dest.)					
Fe-EDTA	–	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63
Fe-tartrate	5.0	–	–	–	–
Glycine solution (mg/100 ml aqua dest.)	300.00	200.00	–	20.00	–
Hormones (mg/100 ml aqua dest.)					
m-Inositol solution	–	500.00	500.00	–	500.00
2.4D solution	–	22.10	–	–	10.00
IAA solution	–	200.00	200.00	200.0	–
Kinetin solution				10.00	
BAP solution	–	100.00	–	–	–
Coconut milk	10%				

**Table 3.5** Concentrations of some amino acids in casein hydrolysate (as mg/l nutrient medium, by an application of 200 ppm per liter nutrient medium)

Amino acid	Concentration	Amino acid	Concentration
Lysine	12.1	Alanine	5.4
Histidine	3.6	Valine	7.9
Arginine	4.3	Methionine	4.4
Aspartic acid	13.3	Isoleucine	5.9
Threonine	6.3	Tyrosine	5.1
Serine	9.3	Phenylalanine	5.4
Glutamic acid	36.1	Leucine	4.3
Proline	19.3	Glycine	3.4

**Table 3.6** Concentrations of mineral nutrients in some nutrient media used for cell and tissue culture (final concentration at the beginning of culture, mg/l nutrient medium)

Nutrient medium <sup>a</sup>	BM <sup>b</sup>	MS	NL	NN	B5
Nitrogen <sup>c</sup>	138.00	841.00	179.00	619.00	444.00
Phosphorus	43.00	39.00	47.00	16.00	39.00
Potassium	312.00	783.00	371.00	152.00	116.00
Calcium	60.00	94.00	83.00	35.00	32.00
Magnesium	102.00	53.00	107.00	18.00	97.00
Sulfur	121.00	70.00	165.00	24.00	98.00
Chlorine	31.00 <sup>d</sup>	167.00	31.00	63.00	57.00
Boron	0.272	1.10	0.27	1.80	0.54
Manganese	1.41	6.20	1.30	9.10	3.60

(continued)



**Table 3.6** (continued)

Nutrient medium <sup>a</sup>	BM <sup>b</sup>	MS	NL	NN	B5
Zink	0.39	2.00	0.33	2.30	0.46
Iron	3.002	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Molybdenum	0.005	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.10
Copper	0.04	0.01	0.16	0.01	0.01
Iodine	0.57	0.64	0.57	–	0.57
Cobalt	–	–	0.01	–	–

<sup>a</sup>See Table 3.3<sup>b</sup>Nutrients in 10% coconut milk in the medium were included<sup>c</sup>The following organic nitrogen sources were supplied (mg N/l): 35.4 with coconut milk, and from glycine in BM; 0.4 as glycine in MS; 31 as casein hydrolysate in NL; 39 as casein hydrolysate in B5<sup>d</sup>Concentration in coconut milk not available**Table 3.7** Final concentrations of organic components in some media used for plant cell and tissue culture at the beginning of the experiment (mg/l)

Nutrient medium <sup>a</sup>	BM	MS	NL	NN	B5
Sucrose	20,000.0	30,000.0	20,000.0	20,000.0	20,000.0
Casein hydrolysate	–	–	200.0	–	250.0
Glycine	3.0	2.0	–	2.0	–
Nicotinic acid	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.0
Pyridoxine	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.1
Thiamine	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.1
Biotin	–	–	–	0.5	–
Folic acid	–	–	–	5.0	–
m-Inositol	–	100.0	50.0	–	50.0
IAA	–	1.0	2.0	0.1	–
Kinetin	–	–	0.1	–	–
BAP	–	1.0	–	–	–
Coconut milk	100.0				

<sup>a</sup>See Table 3.3

cultures by P. White in 1954. An important difference to this in most modern media is an increase in the concentration of phosphorus; this could be increased by the factor of about 10 applying coconut milk to the medium, as practiced by the Steward group at Cornell University in the 1950s and 1960s. Now, also the concentrations of most of the other mineral components are enhanced. The group of micronutrients has been extended by the application of copper and molybdenum. In White's medium, nitrate is the only source of inorganic nitrogen. If grown in the light, a period of 8–10 days is required to develop sufficient functional chloroplasts with the ability to provide an efficient system to reduce nitrite. In White's basal medium, only a supplement of glycine serves as a source of reduced nitrogen. The same function, though more powerful, is associated with the supplement of casein

hydrolysate to other nutrient media, consisting of many amino acids (see Table 3.5). Based on the nutrient medium published by Murashige and Skoog (MS medium), ammonia is also supplied as a source of reduced nitrogen in many media, in the form of various salts.

The third major component of a nutrient medium is a mixture of vitamins usually containing thiamine, pyridoxine, and nicotinic acid. The cells isolated from the intact plant body are generally not able to produce enough of these compounds, essential in particular for the metabolism of carbohydrates and of nitrogen. Exceptions to these requirements are again those autotrophic cells mentioned above (see also Sect. 9.1).

As further components of nutrient media in Tables 3.3, 3.4, 3.6, and 3.7, various phytohormones or growth substances are listed. These are able to replace coconut milk as a supplement, used widely in the earlier days of plant cell cultures.

The requirement for a supply of phytohormones or other growth substances, and its influence on the growth and development of cultured cells, depends primarily on the plant species and variety, the tissue used for explantation, and the aim of the investigation or other use of the cultures. In Table 3.8, some examples are given for influences of various nutrient media with one or the other supply of hormones from our own research program to induce primary callus cultures.

If primary explants contain also meristematic regions, then considerable growth can be induced already in a hormone-free medium, which usually can be increased by the application of an auxin. By contrast, if so-called quiescent tissue is the origin of explants, such as the secondary phloem of the carrot root, then growth without hormonal stimulation is very poor, consisting mainly of cell enlargement. In a later chapter, the endogenous hormonal system of cultured explants, and its interaction with exogenous hormones stemming from the nutrient medium will be discussed in detail (Chap. 1.12). In describing the various culture systems, the significance of hormones related to specific cell reactions will also be addressed.

Most nutrient media contain an auxin, usually naphthylacetic acid (NAA) or 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4D); sometimes, also the natural auxin indole acetic acid (IAA) is used as supplement. These three auxins are distinguished by chemical and metabolic resistance to breakdown or inactivation. IAA is characterized by the highest lability. Mainly through photooxidation, but also due to metabolic breakdown, IAA is soon lost from the system. The stability of NAA is higher, and 2,4D exhibits the highest stability. Differences in stability correlate with the time

**Table 3.8** Growth (mg fresh weight/explant) of explants of the secondary phloem of the carrot root, and from the pith of tobacco in some liquid nutrient media (21 days of culture, 21°C, average of three experiments; original explants: carrot 3 mg, tobacco 7 mg)

Nutrient medium <sup>a</sup>	BM	MS	NL	NN	B5
Carrot	297	37	264	13	6
Tobacco	89	109	231	60	29

<sup>a</sup>See Table 3.3

**Table 3.9** Influence of some auxins (2 ppm IAA, 2 ppm NAA, 0.2 ppm 2.4D) on fresh weight, number of cells per explant, and rhizogenesis of explants of rapeseed (cv. Eragi, petiole explants, 21 days of culture, NL liquid culture; Elmshäuser 1977)

	0	IAA	NAA	2.4D
mg F. wt./explant	29	51	134	121
No. of cells×10 <sup>3</sup> /explant	186	296	916	2,114
Root formation				
Days after beginning of culture	–	7	14	42
mg Roots/explant	–	13	38	n.d.

required to induce rhizogenesis in rapeseed cultures, with earliest appearance of roots in IAA treatments (Table 3.9). The main, and most obvious function of auxins is to stimulate cell division. If a high cell division activity is to be maintained for prolonged periods, which usually prevents differentiation of cultures, then the metabolically very stable 2.4D is the auxin of choice. If the experimental aim is to initiate processes of differentiation that usually require a short period of cell division, then IAA or NAA are more suitable supplements. If, however, the formation of adventitious roots is not desired, as is often the case in primary cultures, then a doubling of the auxin concentration will usually help to prevent this. These are simply some general remarks, however, and as long as more reliable knowledge of the plant hormonal system is not available, the handling of auxin as an ingredient of nutrient media for cell cultures has to be determined empirically for each culture system.

Further promotion of cell division activity, especially by use of the more labile auxins, can be achieved by a simultaneous application of a cytokinin to the nutrient medium. Often, the synthetic cytokinin kinetin is used at very low concentrations (0.1 ppm). Higher concentrations can be quite toxic. For some culture systems, natural occurring cytokinins are also employed, such as zeatin or 2-isopentenyladenine (2-iP), or the synthetic 6-benzyladenine (BA).

In many nutrient media, also m-inositol is used, discovered as a functional component of coconut milk in the early 1960s by the Steward group at Cornell University (Pollard et al. 1961). However, an application of this substance to nutrient media was already suggested by P. White in the early 1950s (White 1954). Inositol is a component of many cellular membranes, and plays an important role in cell signaling systems. A short review, though based mainly on results in animal systems, is given by Wetzker (2004). Considering the rather high concentrations of inositol used in many nutrient media, it is actually not a hormone, but often significant responses like those associated with hormones can be observed. Yet, its concentration is too low for it to be considered as a nutrient, e.g., as an energy source.

Still, already during the 1960s it was observed that, under some circumstances, inositol can functionally replace IAA. Since then, the occurrence of a conjugate of IAA and inositol has been isolated, and one possible function could be the formation of a pool of such IAA–inositol conjugates to protect IAA from breakdown; alternatively, the formation of such conjugates of IAA could be one way to inactivate it. This was reported for the formation of IAA conjugates with glucose or

aspartic acid. In most cases, m-inositol increases the action of IAA, as well as that of cytokinins.

If thermolabile components used in nutrient media risk being altered or destroyed by autoclaving for the sterilization of the medium, then sterile filtration is employed at least for these ingredients. In our laboratory, for example, all components with radioactive isotopes are also filter sterilized. Another example for filter sterilization is fructose; during autoclaving, it is transformed into a number of toxic substances that inhibit the growth of cultured cells.

For sterile filtration, the nutrient medium or other compounds are passed through a bacterial filter (pore size 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ ). For this procedure, a broad range of suitable equipment can be found on the market. The simplest and cheapest approach is to use a syringe with a filter adapter, though this is suitable only for small volumes of liquid. For the sterilization of devices and filters, the instructions of the manufacturer should be followed.

### 3.5 Evaluation of Experiments

In many cases, the evaluation of experimental results is by determination of fresh weight (of air-dried material) and of dry weight (after drying at 105°C until constant weight). For a rough determination of the growth of cell suspensions, the cells have to be separated and the packed cell volume (PCV) determined. The least destructive and cheapest approach is to use a hand centrifuge at low revolution speed, and calibrated centrifuge beakers.

If the aim is to distinguish between growth by cell division and by cell enlargement, then the tissue has to be macerated to determine the number of cells in a defined piece of tissue; in a given cell suspension volume, this would be by counting the individual cells on a grid (a hemocytometer) under a microscope.

The tissue to be macerated is first put in a deepfreeze at -20°C for 24 h. After thawing, the cell material is placed usually overnight into the maceration solution (0.1N HCl and 10% chromic acid 1:1 v/v). Before cell counting, the macerated material is squashed with a glass rod, and several times pumped through a syringe (90  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Eventually, an aliquot of the macerate is placed on the counting grid for the counting of cells within a given area. Usually, ten counts are performed per treatment. For maceration, a few hundred mg fresh weight (or less) are generally sufficient (Neumann and Steward 1968). If the maceration fails, or if it proves to be unsatisfactory (cf. too many cells are destroyed, and therefore unusable for counting), the composition of the maceration solution has to be empirically adapted to the tissue being investigated. Many callus cultures, and most cell suspensions do not require prior freezing. The volume of the maceration solution in  $\mu\text{l}$  should be 10 times the fresh weight of the tissue to be macerated in mg. For calculation:

$$N = \frac{X * (Mv + f. wt.)}{VK * n}$$

where  $N$  is the cell number per explant,  $X$  the number of cells per count (average of several counts),  $Mv$  the volume of maceration solution, f. wt. the fresh weight of macerated tissue in mg,  $n$  the number of explants macerated, and  $VK$  the volume of the grid in  $\mu\text{l}$  (see image of chamber).

### 3.6 Maintenance of Strains, Cryopreservation

In many instances, it is desirable to maintain certain cell strains viable for prolonged periods, even up to several years. This is especially the case for extensive investigation required to relate several metabolic areas for which the use of the same genome is required.

To maintain such cell strains or cell lines, subcultures have to be regularly set up. This necessity is due to the growth of the cultures, depletion of components of the nutrient medium by the growing cultures, and accumulation of excretions of the cultures, or of dead cells. The frequency of setting up subcultures depends on the extent of these factors at intervals of a few days up to months or even years.

As an example, for *Phalaenopsis* cultures that produced high amounts of polyphenols excreted to the medium, a subculture had to be set up every 2 or 3 days. For slowly, photoautotrophically growing *Arachis* cell cultures, however, a subculture interval of 6–8 weeks was sufficient. Subcultures are usually stationary on agar.

A method usable for subcultures of many plant species consists of an aseptic transfer of vigorously growing callus pieces (10 to 15 per vessel), with a diameter of about 5 mm, to an Erlenmeyer flask (120 ml) containing 15 ml nutrient medium. Often, the subculture interval is about 4 weeks.

However, this method carries an important disadvantage, i.e., cytological and cytogenetical instability of the cell material after some passages. In terms of the purpose of the procedure, other negative effects include variations in metabolic processes, or even in the organization of the genome (see Chap. 13). Such programs are usually labor-intensive, and require much storage space. To some extent, a storage with less frequent subcultures can be achieved by varying the culture conditions, like lowering the temperature of the culture room, limiting the nutrients (mainly sugar), and reducing light intensity. For cold-tolerant species, the temperature can be reduced to nearly 0°C.

To circumvent such problems, especially during long-term storage, cryopreservation was adapted to plant cell cultures. With this technique, originally developed for animal systems, it is possible to preserve cell suspensions or somatic embryos of cell cultures; after thawing, these can be revived with up to 80% success. Protocols for more than 100 plant species are available. These somatic embryos, as well as cell suspensions can be kept in a cryopreserved state for several years. The storage temperature is that of liquid nitrogen (–196°C), at which cell division and metabolic activities cease (Sminovitch 1979). Otherwise, no modification and variation occurs in the cells at this temperature, and maintenance cost as well as storage space are much reduced, compared to those for strains maintained by multiple subculturing as described above.

The most important aspect of cryopreservation is to prevent the formation of ice crystals, which could destroy cell membranes. The success of the technique, however, differs among cultures of various plant species. Generally, smaller cells are more suitable than bigger ones, as are cells with a broad range of cytoplasm/vacuole ratios. A more recent review of the technique can be found in Engelmann (1997).

In a first step, exponentially growing cell cultures are transferred to the same nutrient medium as that used before, but which is supplemented with 6% mannitol for 3–4 days to reduce cell water osmotically under the original conditions. The mixture used for cryopreservation is set up at twice the concentration of components used in the working solution, and it is filter sterilized. This mixture consists of 1M DMSO (dimethylsulfoxide), 2M glycerin, and 2M sucrose. The pH is set at 5.6–5.8. In all, 10 ml of this mixture, and 10 ml of the cell suspension are each chilled for 1 h on ice, and then combined. This highly viscose solution has to be vigorously shaken. After this, 1 ml of the mixture of cells and cryopreservation solution is placed into sterile polypropylene vials, and left on ice a while. Having prepared all the vials, these are transferred to a chilling device, and the temperature is lowered slowly in 1°C intervals until –35°C, and left at that for 1 h. Finally, the vials are stored in liquid nitrogen.

To revitalize the cell material, the vials should be thawed fast in warm water at 40°C, and immediately after thawing a transfer of the material to an agar medium is required. After the initiation of growth, a transfer into a liquid medium can be performed (Seitz et al. 1985). The survival rate of *Daucus* or *Digitalis* cells preserved by this technique is between 50 and 75%; for *Panax* cultures, it is less. Evidently, as already mentioned, variations between species exist.

The methods referred to above were developed during the 1970s and 1980s, and were modified later especially to store differentiated material like apices or somatic embryos. The basic differences relative to the older methods are a rapid removal of most, or all freezable water, followed by very rapid freezing, resulting in so-called vitrification of the cellular solutes. This procedure leads to the formation of an amorphous glassy structure, and the detrimental influences of the formation of ice crystals on cell structure are avoided. Variations of this principle are encapsulation–dehydration, vitrification, encapsulation–vitrification, pre-growth desiccation, or droplet freezing (for summary, see Engelmann 1997). Actually, the methods of encapsulation–dehydration were developed based on earlier investigations on the production of artificial seeds (see Sect. 7.5). Here, cells are first pre-grown in liquid media enriched with high sucrose or some other osmoticum for some days, desiccated to a water content of about 20% (fresh weight), and then rapidly frozen. The samples are encapsulated in alginate beads. Survival rates of cell material is generally high (Engelmann 1997), and the technique has been applied to plants of temperate (apple, pear, grape), as well as of tropical climates (sugarcane, cassava). Encapsulation–vitrification consists of encapsulation in alginate beads, and a treatment with vitrification solutions before freezing (Matsumoto et al. 1994).

An interesting variation of these methods consists of preservation of potato apices (Schäfer-Menuhr et al. 1996) in droplets of a cryoprotective medium. Dissected apices are pre-cultured in DMSO for a few hours and then, frozen as droplets,

placed on aluminum foil and stored in liquid nitrogen. This method has been successfully applied to about 150 varieties, with an average recovery rate of 40%.


As an example of successful cryopreservation of trees, an extensive review of its application for storage of poplar cells is mentioned (Tsai and Hubscher 2004). This technique seems to be also established practice in somatic embryogenesis to preserve the clonal germplasm of 23 coniferous tree species (Touchell et al. 2002). Here, however, methods using slow cooling dominate, and also vitrification methods were applied. For fruit trees, reference is made to Reed (2001). The problem of safe storage will become increasingly important after modified genomes are used for plant breeding; indeed, commercial application for the production of medicinally important germ lines, in particular of recombinant proteins, is envisaged (Imani et al. 2002; Hellwig et al. 2004; Sonderquist and Lee 2008).

### 3.7 Some Physiological, Biochemical, and Histological Aspects

The carrot root explant system was originally developed by the Steward group at Cornell University, using coconut milk as a source primarily of hormones, but also of nutrients (Caplin and Steward 1949). Later, coconut milk, with its unknown and often variable composition (depending on its origin), could be replaced by a mixture of some additives like IAA or other auxins, m-inositol, and kinetin (Neumann 1966; see Tables 3.3, 3.4, 3.6). Furthermore, the inorganic nutrients in coconut milk were added to the original nutrient medium of White (1954). In this nutrient medium, about the same growth response of cultured carrot root explants could be induced as in White's medium supplemented with coconut milk (NL, see Table 3.8). With such a chemically defined system, it was now possible to characterize the significance of its components for cell division, cell growth, and differentiation, and to study the underlying physiological and biochemical processes.

Nowadays, coconut milk has largely lost its originally high significance for tissue culture systems. However, occasionally problems arise where it is worthwhile to give this liquid endosperm a second glance. If all other nutrient media fail to induce growth of explants, often a supplement of coconut milk (e.g., 10% v/v) can be successful. To obtain coconut milk, the germination openings of the nut are opened with a borer, and the crude liquid is first cleaned by pouring it through several layers of cheesecloth, followed by autoclaving for sterilization and to remove proteins by precipitation. The hot coconut milk is filtered, and then deep-frozen until use, when it is thawed in a water bath at about 60°C. Coconuts most useful to obtain coconut milk are available from late fall until end December in Europe, at least in Germany.

The fresh weight of cultured carrot root explants in NL3 indicates an initial lag phase of 5–6 days, followed by an exponential phase of 2–3 weeks, and then a stationary phase. As can be seen in Fig. 3.6, the pattern in cell division activity reflects that of fresh weight production. During the exponential growth phase, an increase in cell number, i.e., in cell division activity, obviously dominates in the capacity of the cells to grow. Consequently, the average size of the cells is reduced, compared




**Fig. 3.6** Fresh weight, average cell number, and cell weight of callus cultures from the secondary phloem of the carrot root during culture for 24 days in NL medium (cf. Table 3.3), supplemented with 50 ppm m-inositol, 2 ppm IAA, and 0.1 ppm kinetin (21°C, continuous illumination at 4,500 lux)


to that in the original explants. Later, due to a slowing down of cell division activity (cf. a reduction in the number of cell divisions per unit time, and primarily cellular growth or a decrease in the number of cells engaged in active cell division, or both), the cells of the cultured explant increase in average size (late log phase, and transition into the stationary phase). On average, cell size now approaches again that in the original explants. The duration of the various phases varies greatly among explants from different carrot roots, from roots of different varieties, and amongst explants of different species. Furthermore, marked differences can be observed in cell division activity during the exponential phase of cultures of different origin, despite being grown under identical conditions. This will be dealt with in the description of influences of hormones in the nutrient medium (Chap. 8), and other environmental factors of culture systems.

The carrot callus may seem morphological unstructured, but looking at hand cuttings even with the naked eye shows the presence of anatomical layers. Microscopic inspection of sections of 2- to 3-week-old callus cultures clearly reveals the existence of several cell layers (Fig. 3.7). On the periphery, a layer consisting of two or three rather large cells (in width) can be seen, followed by a broad layer of smaller cells. Toward the center of the explant, there is a sheath some cells wide, again of bigger cells. In the center itself, remains of the original explant can often be found. In older cultures, sometimes a hole can be seen. On scanning electron microscope graphs from the surface of cultured explants in Fig. 3.8, distinct species-specific differences can be observed.





**Fig. 3.7** Section of a carrot callus after 3 weeks of culture




**Fig. 3.8** Scanning electromicrographs of the surface of a callus culture. *Top, left Datura innoxia, right Arachis hypogea. Bottom, left Daucus carota, primary explants after 6 days of culture, right after 21 days of culture* (Photograph by A. Kumar)

The surface of the carrot callus looks quite compact, consisting of morphologically quite similar cells. However, the surface of cultures of *Datura* and *Arachis* are only loosely structured, and deep channels penetrating several layers of cells deep into the cultured explant can be observed (Fig. 3.8). Looking at the *Datura* culture, a clear differentiation of cell form is obvious. The significance of organization of the explant surface for the formation of cell suspensions will be discussed later (Chap. 4).


The surface of the carrot root callus is different. Starting from the 6th day onward, emerging new cells from within the explant can be observed pushing aside remains of cells cut when obtaining the original explants (Fig. 3.8). Already this indicates the initiation of cell division two or three cell layers below the surface of the explants.

The inspection of serial cuttings of the middle layer of the explants, consisting of small cells engaged in active cell division, indicates a random occurrence of many so-called meristematic nests (Fig. 3.9). These are composed of about 100 cells, and correspond to small cell clusters common in actively dividing cell suspensions. In the center of these meristematic nests, very small cells can be seen, and cell size increases toward the periphery. Although small, newly formed tracheids occur occasionally, it is safe to conclude that from the center of the meristematic nests toward the periphery, cell division activity is reduced, and the age of the individual cell increases. The small layer of larger cells at the periphery of the explants then should be from the periphery of meristematic nests near the surface of the explants. The same holds true for the layers of bigger cells toward the center of the explants. Based on this anatomical description, the propagation of cells in a growing callus with high cell division activity should occur predominantly in the center of these meristematic nests.



**Fig. 3.9** Section of a carrot root explant cultured for 6 days (NL medium). Note the two meristematic nests (*MN*), and cell fragmentation (*CF*)

For a better understanding of the growth performance of explants, and the basic factors involved, a short description of the origin and genesis of the meristematic nests shall be given. About 12–15 h after explantation and initiation of culture, 1–2 cell layers below the cut surface of the explants on the periphery, cell division is initiated, apparently at random (Fig. 3.10). These cell division initials seem to be



**Fig 3.10** Initiation of cellular fragmentation in cultured carrot root explants (secondary phloem). *Top* Appearance of phragmosomes (see arrows); *bottom, left* nuclear division (CN cell nuclei), *right* multi-fragmentation in cells


the origin of the meristematic nests observed later. This process of initiation of cell division continues for another 1–2 days, and the originally rather large distances between these initials of cell division activity are reduced, i.e., new division centers are induced. Concurrently, cell division in the previously induced cells continues. Consequently, after 4–5 days of culture, such initials exist at various stages of development beside each other. Histological differences related to the time of initiation of these centers have to date not been observed.

Those first cell divisions result from septation of the large, highly vacuolated parenchymatic cells of the original tissue. A preliminary indication of the initiation of cell division is the formation of long threads of cytoplasm crossing the central vacuole, containing so-called phragmosomes. The nucleus enters these cytoplasmic threads, and the first cell division occurs (Fig. 3.10). As a result of such processes, up to eight daughter cells can be produced from a single parenchymatic cell. This mode of cell division initiation is clearly distinct from that observed in cultured petiole explants at initiation, to produce adventitious roots or somatic embryos (see Sect. 7.3).

A cytophotometric determination of DNA content in cells of these initiation regions of cell division at the periphery of the explants indicates a clear maximum of 4C cells 20 h after the initiation of culture. In cytology, the C-value characterizes the level of DNA of a given cell. A 2C-value in diploid cells indicates that the cells are in the G1-phase of the cell cycle; 4C cells would be in G2 (see Chap. 12). If it is assumed that the majority of cells at explantation are in the G1-phase, then the 4C-value indicates position in the G2-phase after prior passage through the S-phase, with a doubling of the DNA and the initiation of active cell division (24 h). Twelve hours later, again a maximum of cells with 2C is observed, indicating the return to G1, and with this the completion of the first passage of the cell cycle. This first passage is quite synchronized. During this first passage of the cell cycle, hormonal influences have to date not been observed cytologically. In those explants cultured in a hormone-free nutrient medium, after this first cell cycle passage higher DNA values dominate. By contrast, in those explants growing on media supplemented with IAA or inositol, and even more pronounced for those media additionally supplemented with kinetin, a considerable population of cells continues with the passage through the cell cycle and cell division (Chap. 12; Gartenbach-Scharrer et al. 1990).

The function of these phytohormones supplied with the nutrient medium apparently is to induce a continuation of cell division originally evoked as a wound reaction at explantation (Fig. 3.11). This is in agreement with the production of ethylene shortly after the initiation of culture (see Chap. 11).

Without here going into details of an endogenous hormonal system of cultured explants, which will be dealt with later (Chap. 11), it is already pointed out that 24 h after the initiation of culture, IAA synthesis, and somewhat later that of 2iP occur. Apparently, shortly after the initiation of culture, the explants are able to establish an endogenous hormonal system. This native hormonal system is able to determine the course of further development, in interaction with the hormones supplied with the nutrient medium. Presently, it seems that these exogenous phytohormones are responsible for maintaining ongoing cell division activity, originally provoked as a wound reaction at explantation. In explants cultured in a hormone-free medium, cell




**Fig. 3.11** Hypothetic interaction between hormone supply to the nutrient medium, and an endogenous hormone system of callus cultures

division stops after about two rounds. Thus, the treatments with hormonal supplements are able to produce meristematic nests with meristematic cells not found in the case of hormone-free treatments, and these probably are able to develop the endogenous hormonal system required for further development of the cultured explants. In Fig. 3.11, a schematic representation of these concepts is given.

In an attempt to interpret results of physiological or biochemical investigations of cell populations, like callus cultures, it has to be kept in mind that these data are an average of reactions of all cells in the setup. Strong variations among individual cells have to be considered, just as was shown for the cytological and morphological variations revealed by microscope inspection. To some extent, this can be circumvented by synchronization, as will be described later for cell suspensions (see Chap. 12.). Like in physics, an apparent dichotomy exists between the certainty and stability of the macro-subject, and the uncertainty/complexity of the individual cell (or atom). In microbiology, this is referred to as “quantal microbiology”. Another aspect are disturbances of cellular performances, if in such investigations changes in the environment occur due to the use of experimental equipment. For example, it is not possible to reliably measure the distribution of carotene in living cells of traverse sections of the carrot root by use of a photometer-microscope. Due to the high light intensity of the microscope’s lamp, carotene will be destroyed. Actually, here Heisenberg’s so-called unschärfe principle has to be applied also to such experimental setups in biology.

As demonstrated in Fig. 3.12, some hours after the beginning of culture an intensive synthesis of protein is initiated, certainly related to the formation of those threads of cytoplasm going through the central vacuole, as described above. Using  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled leucine as a tracer to characterize the protein moiety synthesized during the early stages of callus induction, distinctly different protein specimens were synthesized in an hierarchical sequence. Two-dimensional electropherograms of soluble protein at the beginning of culture were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue to visualize all proteins on the electropherogram (Fig. 3.13), and by producing fluorograms, those proteins labeled with radioactive leucine synthesized during a




**Fig. 3.12** Protein concentration, and  $^{14}\text{C}$  incorporation from L- ( $^{14}\text{C}$ ) leucine in cultured carrot root explants during early stages of culture (Gartenbach-Scharrer et al. 1990)

3-h period were traced (Fig. 3.14) at early stages of culture. By means of Coomassie brilliant blue, all proteins present in the soluble fraction at a given time are visualized, and on the fluorograms those synthesized *de novo* at that time.


These investigations made it possible to subdivide the soluble protein into three classes. One class responds only to Coomassie brilliant blue, another can be made visible only on fluorograms, and a third responds to both. The first class would be proteins characteristic of the original tissue, i.e., the secondary phloem of the carrot root, which are no longer synthesized during culture. The second group would be synthesized only during culture labeled as characteristic for the transformation of cells related to callus induction, and the third group would be proteins of carrots, possibly so-called household proteins, already present at explantation, and that are continuously synthesized after culture initiation (Gartenbach-Scharrer et al. 1990). At the time of these investigations, proteomics had not yet emerged, and a correlation of the occurrence of a protein and cellular processes could not be attempted. Besides a hierarchical sequence of the synthesis of proteins, however, clear differences in the dynamics of distinct proteins could be seen. As an example, the synthesis of one protein increases continuously, but that of another increases only up to the eleventh hour in culture, and thereafter declines. Variations in the kinetics of synthesis and breakdown of individual proteins should bring about fast changes in the composition of the protein moiety of the cells during the first hours of culture, and the initiation of cell division (see also Chap. 12).

The specific activity of the protein, however, remains more or less constant (Fig. 3.12). With respect to quantity, the protein fraction concerned seems to be rather small.



**Fig. 3.13** Two-dimensional gel of soluble protein of cultured carrot root explants (secondary phloem): staining with Coomassie brilliant blue, semi-schematic representation extracted from Gartenbach-Scharrer et al. (1990). *LSU* Large subunit of chloroplast protein


Also beyond this early initial period due to the high rate of cell proliferation, the concentration of newly synthesized proteins is strongly increased (Fig. 3.12). On a cellular basis, protein content increases up to the 6th day in culture in the treatments with IAA and inositol, and up to the 9th day in those additionally supplied with kinetin. After these peaks, from about the 12th day onward the protein content per cell decreases, and then a slow increase can be observed again.



**Fig. 3.14**  $^{14}\text{C}$  distribution (applied as L- ( $^{14}\text{C}$ ) leucine) on two-dimensional electropherograms of soluble protein of cultured carrot root explants, at various stages of initiation of the experiment. Each labeling was for 3 h: *a* 0–3 h, *b* 4–7 h, *c* 8–11 h, *d* 15–18 h (Gartenbach-Scharrer et al. 1990)


The culture of carrot root phloem explants in the light results in the synthesis of chlorophyll, and from about the 5th or 6th day of culture onward, a continuous increase in chlorophyll concentration can be observed (see Fig. 3.15). Ultrastructural investigations from the 3rd day onward revealed a gradual transformation of carotene-containing chromoplasts present in the root explants, initially into amylochloroplasts and eventually into chloroplasts. Proplastids characteristic for young cells of the shoot apex were not observed (Sect. 9.1).





**Fig. 3.15** Chlorophyll concentration of explants of the secondary phloem during a 28-day culture period (NL3) (*Daucus carota*)

As a result of these changes in differentiation during culture, there occurs also a differentiation of the nutrient system of the cultures. During the first 8–10 days after initiation of the cultures, heterotrophic nutrition dominates, with the sucrose in the medium as a source of carbon and energy. Casein hydrolysate, with its amino acids as component of the nutrient medium, serves as a source of reduced nitrogen. With the establishment of the photosynthetic system, nitrate can be used as nitrogen source. Photosynthesis increasingly contributes carbon and energy, and from about the 10th day onward, a mixotrophic nutrition is established. Following a transfer into a sugar-free medium at this stage, the cultures can continue growing, via photosynthesis (see Sect. 9.1). After about 20–25 days, the sucrose and the casein hydrolysate originally supplied at  $t_0$  have been used up by the cultures, and eventually autotrophic nutrition is established. This transition coincides with the initiation of the stationary growth phase of the cultures. A transfer of the explants at this stage into a fresh medium supplemented with sucrose and casein hydrolysate initiates again an intensive cell division activity, and histologically the formation of “annual rings” can be observed. A detailed description of the nutritional system, and its differentiation in primary explants will be given in Chapter 9.



**Fig. 3.16** Influence of kinetin (0.1 ppm) on the formation of adventitious roots of cultured explants of the secondary phloem of carrot roots (after 3 weeks of culture). *Left* With kinetin; here, roots are sometimes formed after 4–6 weeks of culture; *right* without kinetin

If the explants are cultured on IAA and inositol but without kinetin, and despite an ample supply with nutrients in the medium, a transition into the stationary phase occurs already on the 10th to 12th day of culture. This indicates the dominance of the hormone regime over the nutritional one, which here should actually have a modifying function in this system. Some deviation from this general statement will be discussed together with the formation of somatic embryos.

At the transition of the kinetin-free cultures to the stationary phase, usually the formation of adventitious roots on the explants can be observed (Fig. 3.16). Using shoot explants of rapeseed, or *Datura* and other plant species at that stage of the cultures, shoots appear later, followed by the formation of adventitious roots. Roots and shoots eventually join, and these structures can be isolated and subsequently transferred into soil for further cultivation. The significance of the process for the propagation of plants, and its weaknesses that limit its application will be discussed later in detail. These observations already indicate, however, that in this case there is an influence of the kind of original tissue on the mode of differentiation during culture.

## Chapter 4

# Cell Suspension Cultures


Most cell suspension cultures originate from callus cultures due mainly to mechanical impact in agitated liquid media. In stationary cultures on agar, a suspension can be produced commonly by use of a sterile glass rod, or squeezing with a scalpel. In particular with 2.4D in an agar medium, a loosely connected cell population develops on the opposite side of the agar, which can be easily scraped off with a scalpel. An improvement can often be obtained by using ammonia as nitrogen source, probably due to the excretion of protons as exchange for its uptake by the cells.

Callus cultures in a liquid nutrient medium are usually agitated, and after 10–14 days, this mechanical impact results in the development of cell suspensions consisting of cells from the periphery of the explants (Fig. 4.1). Beside healthy cells that continue to grow, such a suspension contains also dead or decaying cell material. If the methods described above fail to succeed, then an enzymatic maceration of callus material should be attempted (0.05% crude macerozym, 0.05% crude cellulose Onozuka P-1 500, and 8% sorbitol; King et al. 1973). Another possibility to produce a cell suspension is to first obtain protoplasts, as described later (Chap. 5).

The definition of a cell suspension still provokes controversial discussions. The original aim in the 1950s was to establish culture systems in which, similarly to algae cultures, a suspension of cells of higher plants would consist solely of single cells. In practice, this aim was reached only for a few systems, using a hanging drop method. All other attempts failed. Even in experiments starting with a population of single cells in a liquid medium, cell aggregations of various size will develop soon after initiation of growth, coexisting with some free cells (Fig. 4.1b).

### 4.1 Methods to Establish a Cell Suspension

As done for callus cultures, the description of how to obtain a cell suspension shall be illustrated in a practical example that can be easily adapted to many other systems. In this example, shoot explants of *Datura innoxia* were originally used to produce callus




**Fig. 4.1** Histomorphological characterization of suspension cultures of *Datura innoxia* (Kibler and Neumann 1980). **a** Inoculum (250  $\mu\text{m}$  filtrate, ca. 25 $\times$ ), **b** microcluster (ca. 125 $\times$ ), **c** histological structure of a secondary cluster cultured with kinetin (ca. 25 $\times$ ), **d** histological characterization of cell material cultured without kinetin, **e** cell suspensions of *Datura innoxia* without kinetin, and **f** with kinetin in the medium (1 $\times$ )

cultures to study the synthesis of secondary metabolites. For a better understanding, the establishment of callus cultures, now from shoot tissue, will be briefly described.

Explants of the uppermost (youngest) internode are cut using an extremely sharp scalpel. For sterilization, cut ends are briefly dipped into liquid paraffin to prevent the entrance of the agent used for surface sterilization, for 5–6 min in the

hypochloride solution already described (Sect. 3.1). Using a laminar flow (aseptic working bench) for all further handling, internode segments 1–2 cm long are rinsed 4–5 times with sterilized distilled water. After this, the paraffin cover and the epidermis are removed with the help of a sterilized scalpel, and with a second sterilized scalpel, the tissue is cut into segments about 1 mm thick. These discs are cut into halves, which then serve to establish callus cultures. These segments are bigger than those used to establish primary carrot cultures, having a weight of about 7–8 mg, and consisting of about 30,000 cells each. If the diameter of the disc



**Fig. 4.2** Growth of haploid (*top*) and diploid (*bottom*) cell suspensions of *Datura innoxia* (Kibler and Neumann 1980)

is even larger, then even more explants can be obtained. The nutrient medium is given in Table 3.3 (NL medium), and is suitable for both stationary and liquid cultures. Within 3 weeks of culture, a highly proliferate callus develops from which peripheral cells can easily be scraped off with the help of a scalpel. This cell material is transferred to the MS medium with agar (Table 3.3) supplemented with kinetin, for growth at 27°C at a 12 h light/dark rhythm. After two subcultures at an interval of 3–4 weeks, the subsequent subcultures are initiated every 2 weeks. Also for subculturing, only peripheral cell material from newly developed callus pieces is used.


After the production of sufficient cell material, the rather loosely connected clusters are transferred into a liquid medium of the same composition in Erlenmeyer flasks on a shaker. Within 1 week, a dense cell suspension develops. An inoculation of 5 g fresh weight corresponds to a cell density of 40,000 cells per ml of nutrient medium, sufficient for optimal proliferation of the cell population (Fig. 4.2).

## 4.2 Cell Population Dynamics

A cell suspension usually consists roughly of three fractions, i.e., free single cells of various shapes, cell aggregates consisting of up to ten cells or more, and finally cell groups with a threadlike morphology. These fractions can be isolated by suitable sieving techniques. Investigations to characterize these three fractions indicated that cell proliferation by division occurs predominantly in cell aggregates, which are comparable to the meristematic nests of callus cultures (Chap. 3) In both, very small cells can be seen in the center, and cell size increases toward the periphery. Highest cell division activity occurs in the center of these structures.

Due to the agitation of the shaker, the outermost cells of the cell aggregates are mechanically removed (see Fig. 4.3), and then represent the fraction of free single cells. These cells should be older, and mostly quiescent in terms of cell division activity. However, some of these cells preserve the ability to divide, or this is re-induced. Such cells are possibly the origin of the third fraction, the cellular threads. A similar organization can be observed in carrot cell suspensions. As an example, the threadlike structure in Fig. 4.4 observed in a carrot suspension seems to be the result of three cell divisions. One terminal cell differentiates into a tracheid-like structure, the other accumulates anthocyanin, and the four central cells showing chlorophyll accumulation would be the youngest cells derived from the last rounds of cell division. The great differences in the structure of the two terminal cells point to an unequal first cell division, with differences in the distribution of cytoplasm. The nutrient medium can be regarded as identical for both cells.


A determination of DNA concentration indicated a near-cytogenetic homogeneity only for cells in the aggregates (secondary calli). In the population of free single cells, a strong inhomogeneity exists, sometimes with very high DNA content per cell (Fig. 4.5). This observation is consistent with results obtained from callus



**Fig. 4.3** Loosely structured surface of a callus (*top*), and remains of a mechanical break-off of a cell in a suspension (*bottom*, see *arrow*; photographs by A. Kumar)

material. Here, also the lowest  $C$ -values of a ploidy level can be found in the center of the meristematic nests with high cell division activity.

In both cases, these small cells in haploid cultures were found to have a DNA content essentially identical to that of microspores of the same species (G1-phase cells), or twice that of G2-phase cells. In diploid cultures, the DNA content was either twice that of G1-phase cells of haploids, or 4 times the value of microspores




**Fig. 4.4** A thread of cells in a cell suspension culture of carrot in White's basal medium containing 10% coconut milk. *Top* A thread consisting of six cells, resulting from three divisions of a single cell. By the third division, the inner four cells seem to be produced. *Bottom* The *right* terminal cell contains anthocyanin, and the *left* terminal is a trachea. The differences of differentiation of the terminal cells would be due to an unequal first cell division of the "mother cell". The higher degree of specialization of the terminal cells, compared to that of the four inner cells, could be due to more time elapsed since division took place relative to the last division

in G2-phase cells. In older cells located between meristematic nests in callus material, which would be comparable to the fraction of free cells in the suspension, a broad variation in C-values was determined. Apparently, cytogenetic stability is linked to the age of the cells, i.e., the length of time elapsed since the last division. In young material with high cell division activity, a high percentage of cells contains DNA content characteristic of the ploidy level. A supplement of kinetin, which increases cell division activity, results in a higher cytogenetic stability and homogeneity of the cell population (Sect. 13.1).

In cell suspensions, many cell structures occur that are morphologically difficult to classify. However, some well-defined cell types can also be observed, e.g., tracheids, as described above (Fig. 4.4). In a cell suspension, all free single cells are bathed in the same nutrient solution, and therefore the morphological diversification of its components should be based on the origin of the individual cell. The significance of unequal cell divisions has already been mentioned above—whatever the cause of this phenomenon may be. A direct relation between cell shape and vitality has not been observed.





**Fig. 4.5** DNA content of nuclei (microfluorometric determination, relative units) of haploid cell suspension cultures of *Datura innoxia* at inoculation ( $t_0$ ), and after 28 days of culture ( $n=13.5$ ; after Kibler and Neumann 1980)

In cell suspensions of some species like *Daucus* in an IAA-supplemented medium (NL medium, Table 3.3), after some weeks of culture the formation of early stages of embryo development can be observed, and these can eventually be raised to intact plants (somatic embryogenesis; for details, see Sect. 7.3).

Using the methods described above, only limited amounts of cell material can be produced, usually not sufficient to study physiological or biochemical problems of primary or secondary metabolism or, e.g., somatic embryogenesis. If greater amounts of material are required, fermenter cultures are performed (see also Sects. 3.2, 10.9). As an example, fermenter cultures of *Datura innoxia* shall be described. Here, within 2 weeks it was possible to produce 1 g of dry weight per day in a liquid nutrient medium of 3.5 l originally inoculated with a cell suspension of 30 g fresh

weight. The cell suspension was obtained by a method used to raise cytogenetically stable material, as described later. Pre-culture is carried out in 200 ml nutrient medium (MS+kinetin, see Table 3.3) in a 750-ml Erlenmeyer flask on a shaker (see above). For initiation of the pre-culture, the vessel is inoculated with 1–2 g fresh weight (90–250  $\mu\text{m}$  fraction). The main aim of the pre-culture is to propagate the cells. After 10–14 days of pre-culture, the content of the vessel (cells and nutrient medium) is transferred to the fermenter, as described above (see Sect. 3.2). In the fermenter, cell aggregates as well as free single cells occur.

The principle to distinguish between a propagation phase and a production phase is also applied to fermenter cultures used for biotechnological purposes. Here, fermenters of much larger volume are used; to produce cell suspensions for inoculation, however, smaller laboratory fermenters are used initially, as described later. Usually, the cell suspension is transferred with some nutrient medium from the smaller to the next bigger fermenter. For a semi-continuous culture, it is common practice to remove part of the cell material in certain intervals of time for processing, and to apply fresh nutrient medium. As described later (Chap. 10), plant cell suspensions are already today cultured in fermenters with a volume of thousands of liters (Mitsu Petrochem. Ind. Ltd), e.g., to produce shikonin derivatives using cultures of *Lithospermum officinale*. Also propagation via somatic embryogenesis has been carried out in a fermenter (e.g., *Daucus*; see Sect. 7.3).

To maintain cell strains in a healthy condition for prolonged periods, subcultures have to be made frequently, usually at 1- or 2-week intervals, and with a dilution of 1:5 after 1 week, and 1:10 after 2 weeks with the fresh nutrient medium. The optimal dilution, and the subculture frequency have to be determined for each individual strain. As described above, also cryopreservation is often used to maintain cell suspensions (Sect. 3.6).

## Chapter 5


# Protoplast Cultures

With suitable enzymes the cell wall of plant cells can be removed through hydrolysis of its macromolecular building material, i.e., “naked” cells called protoplasts are derived. In an isotonic medium, these protoplasts are healthy and can survive. Protoplasts are used to investigate a broad range of physiological problems reaching from the significance of the cell wall for nutrient uptake to mechanisms related to the synthesis of the cell wall. In an early investigation Bush and Jacobson (1986) show for protoplasts the same kinetics, time course and pH response, e.g., of potassium uptake as the intact cells of a suspension. Besides such basic problems since the 1960s in many instances protoplasts were used to solve problems of practical plant breeding.


It is an old dream of plant breeders to produce hybrids of different plant species not to be obtained by cross pollination to have plant material with properties characteristic of both parents. The probably most prominent example is a hybrid of potatoes and tomatoes as parents with the ability to produce tomatoes as fruits and potatoes growing on subterranean stolons. As can be seen from a reproduction from Strasburger’s *Lehrbuch der Botanik* printed at the beginning of last century (Fig. 5.1; cf. it is missing in later editions), this was also the aim of Winkler’s occlusion experiments using two solanaceous species. A histological inspection of the shoot apex clearly shows that the hybrids obtained are chimeras with quite interesting morphologies of fruits and leaves. The arrangement of cell layers of both “parents” is probably the result of a mixture of cells of wound callus formation on the cutting edges made for the occlusion procedure.

Based on first successful fusion experiments with protoplasts of different species in the seventies of last century hybrids of tomatoes and potatoes produced by fusion of protoplasts of the two species were reported (see Fig. 5.2). Mesophyll protoplasts of *Solanum lycopersicum*, and callus protoplasts of *Solanum tuberosum* were fused by Melchers et al. (1978; 80 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 4.5% PRG, pH 10), but the plants produced were sterile.

Restriction analyses of chloroplast DNA, and characterization of RuBisCO of both parents as well as the hybrid by electrophoresis clearly indicated the



**Fig. 5.1** Grafting chimeras of *Solanum nigrum* and *Solanum lycopersicum*, and parents (original H. Winkler). A leaf, a flower, the shoot apex, and a fruit are shown for each hybrid. In the apex, the cell layers stemming from *S. nigrum* are dark colored, those from *S. lycopersicum* are light (from Strasburger et al. 1913)




**Fig. 5.2** A hybrid produced by protoplast fusion of *Solanum tuberosum* and *Solanum lycopersicum* (topatoes; hybrid nucleus of *S. tuberosum* and *S. lycopersicum*, plastids from *S. lycopersicum*). *Top* Fruits developed after pollination with *Solanum stenotomum*. *Bottom* Underground storage organs

occurrence of two types of hybrids, despite fusion of the nuclei. Still, the number of chromosomes was higher than those of either parent. One type of hybrid apparently contained plastids only of the potato (pomatoes), and the other those of the tomato (topatoes). Mixed cases were not found, but only a limited number of individuals were investigated, of which two thirds were pomatoes and one third were topatoes. A successful fusion was identified after microscopically detecting the fusion of color-free (pre-grown in the dark) potato protoplasts, with protoplasts of light green tomato plants containing a genetically disturbed chlorophyll system. A transfer of the potato cells from darkness to the light resulted in the formation of chlorophyll, and regenerates had leaves with normal chlorophyll concentration. Callus cultures of the tomato parent regenerated only adventitious roots. Regenerates of the fusion experiments with normal chlorophyll concentration were either of potato origin, or offspring of a protoplast fusion, i.e., a hybrid. Based on numerous morphological properties, it was possible to distinguish between potatoes and the hybrid. Interestingly, a gas chromatographic analysis of volatile components of undifferentiated callus cultures of hybrids indicated the occurrence of substances absent in those of the parents.

These early experiments proved the possibility of producing crosses between different species, though these hybrids could not be used in practical plant breeding programs. At about the same time, the fusion of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Brassica campestris* was reported by Gleba and Hofmann (1978), and somewhat later the production of “synthetic” rapeseed plants by in vitro fusion of protoplasts of *Brassica oleracea* and of *Brassica campestris* (Schenck 1982), which are thought to be the parents of rapeseed following a spontaneous hybridization about 1,000 years ago. In the examples given above, plant species of the same genera or family were used as hybridization partners. Later, fusions were attempted also of species of quite distant systematics, like tobacco and carrot producing so-called NICA plants (Dudit et al. 1987). This fusion was successful and callus was produced, but the regeneration of plants failed. This could be achieved after the genome of carrot protoplasts was destroyed by irradiation with X-rays. As can be seen in Fig. 5.3, these NICA plants have the habitus of tobacco plants with narrow leaves.

The original aim of protoplast cultures was to produce new genomes with properties exhibited by neither parent. This has now been replaced by many experimental systems able to insert selected foreign genes into a recipient genome—gene technology. The first to successfully use this approach were possibly Potrykus and his research group in Basel (Potrykus et al. 1987). Here, a virus was employed as a vector to transfer the genetic information for resistance to the antibiotic kanamycin to tobacco protoplasts. These transformed protoplasts could be raised to intact plants carrying this resistance. Gene technology will be dealt with in a later chapter discussing its advantages and shortcomings (Sect. 13.2).

Even nowadays, protoplasts are often employed as recipients of foreign genetic material, and to produce plants through somatic embryogenesis that can be used in plant breeding programs. As an example, some results on using protoplasts of rice in gene technology shall be mentioned. Of the several methods available to transfer foreign genetic material based on biolistics, or *Agrobacterium*-mediated transfer to



**Fig. 5.3** The habitus of leaves of NICA plants (*left*), and that of the tobacco parent (*right*). NICA plants are the result of protoplast fusions of *Daucus* and *Nicotiana*

competent cells, best results and highest efficiency are achieved by a direct introduction into rice protoplasts. Whereas it is almost routine to obtain protoplasts of japonica varieties, those of indica rice are still recalcitrant to tissue culture procedures. A method to this end was published by Zhang (1995).

## 5.1 Production of Protoplasts

The methods described in this chapter were originally developed to obtain protoplasts from leaves of various Brassicaceae (Elmshäuser et al. 1979). Later, these could be successfully adapted to other plant species (various orchids, *Datura*, carrots, and others). For sterilization, the tissue used to obtain protoplasts is first exposed to 70% ethanol for 1 min, followed by submergence into a hypochlorite solution (0.6%) for 20 min. After this, the leaf material is washed 4 times with sterile aqua dest., and then transferred for 15 min to the nutrient medium used subsequently for the cultivation of the protoplasts (Table 5.1), without the organic components. Instead, 0.4M mannitol is supplied to detach the plasmalemma from the cell wall through plasmolysis. After this pre-incubation period, the leaves (still intact) are cut into pieces approximately 0.5 mm in length. Then, 150–200 mg fresh weight of this leaf material is incubated with 10 ml of the enzyme solution in Table 5.1, in 60 x 15 mm plastic Petri dishes. Beforehand, the enzyme solution is passed through a membrane filter (45  $\mu$ m) for sterilization. The Petri dishes are sealed with Parafilm, and covered with aluminum foil to prevent illumination.


**Table 5.1** Culture media used for protoplast culturing (Elmshäuser et al. 1979; macro- and microelements as in B5 medium, Table 3.3)

Component	Concentration	Component	Concentration
Macro- and micronutrients (mg/l; Gamborg et al. 1968)			
NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> × H <sub>2</sub> O	1,110.0	MnSO <sub>4</sub> × H <sub>2</sub> O	10.000
KNO <sub>3</sub>	3,000.0	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>	3.000
(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	134.0	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> × 7H <sub>2</sub> O	2.000
MgSO <sub>4</sub> × 7H <sub>2</sub> O	250.0	Na <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> × 2H <sub>2</sub> O	0.250
CaCl <sub>2</sub> × 2H <sub>2</sub> O	1,025.0 <sup>a</sup>	CuSO <sub>4</sub>	0.025
Fe-EDTA	46.3 <sup>a</sup>	KI	0.750
Organic components (mg/l; Kartha et al. 1974)			
Nicotinic acid	1.0	Mannitol	0.5M <sup>a</sup>
Thiamine	10.0	2.4D	2.3 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> M
Pyridoxine	1.0	BA	4.4 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> M
m-Inositol	100.0	NAA	1.6 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> M
Glutamine	200.0 <sup>a</sup>		
Casein hydrolysate	250.0 <sup>a</sup>		
Glucose	2,500.0		
Ribose	125.0		
Enzyme solution to produce protoplasts (pH 6.2)			
Cellulase Onozuka SS1 500	2.0%		
Mazerozyme <sup>b</sup>	1.0%		
Pectinase (Serva)	0.5%		
Potassium dextran sulfate <sup>b</sup>	0.5%		
Mannitol	0.5M		

<sup>a</sup>Changed from the original<sup>b</sup>Welding & Co., Hamburg

The leaf material is left for 6 h at 28°C in darkness in the enzyme solution. At the end of this incubation, the individual protoplasts are detached by gentle shaking (Fig. 5.4). By passing through a glass filter, or glass wool, the remaining leaf material is removed. After this, the protoplasts are separated from the incubation medium by gentle centrifugation at about 100 g with the help of a hand centrifuge, and the sedimented material containing the protoplasts is washed 4 times with the nutrient medium to be used for culture of the protoplasts (Table 5.1). Finally, 2 ml of the protoplast suspension is transferred to the same plastic Petri dishes as described above for incubation.

In experiments to produce protoplasts of several Brassicaceae, the highest efficiency in obtaining a healthy population was achieved by using leaves of 6-week-old plants. Important were also the growing conditions of the donor plant immediately before the experiment. An illumination period of 10 h was optimal. Possibly during this illumination period, the cells accumulate enough energy by photosynthesis to withstand the stress of being transformed into protoplasts. An optimal density at the beginning of culture is about 10<sup>5</sup> protoplasts per ml of suspension.



**Fig. 5.4** The isolation of protoplasts (*Brassica* ssp.: *left* initiation of disintegration and first free protoplasts, *right* freshly isolated protoplasts)

It was possible to successfully replace the enzymes in the solution by the culture supernatant from *Clostridium cellulovorans*, as shown for cultured cells of tobacco and *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

During the first 40 h after the protoplast production, culture is carried out at 500 lux, followed by a period of 5 days at 2,000 lux. The temperature is kept at 26–28°C, under 12/12 h light/dark illumination. Then follows the application of 0.2 ml of fresh medium of the same composition as that originally used, but in which mannitol is replaced by sucrose (2%; Table 5.2). After another 7 days, 2 ml of this nutrient medium is supplied per Petri dish, and the total volume is partitioned into two Petri dishes of the same size and volume as that of the former. Cell aggregates produced after another 10 days are transferred onto agar.

About 3 h after the start of incubation in the enzyme solution, a disintegration of tissue, and the first free-floating protoplasts can be observed (Fig. 5.4). In the spherical protoplasts, the chloroplasts initially gather at the periphery of the cell and later, i.e., about 30–35 h after the start of the experiment, an accumulation of chloroplasts occurs around the nucleus. Often these organelles exhibit a brownish color. Using calcofluoro white as a stain specific for cell wall material, the beginning of restructuring of the cell wall can be observed. Concurrently, the originally spherical protoplasts become oblong (oval; Fig. 5.5), and at about 100 h after isolation, the initiation of the first cell divisions can be observed. Apparently, the regeneration of the cell wall is a prerequisite to initiate cell division—a plausible explanation of this phenomenon is to date not possible.

An interesting, though usually negatively viewed phenomenon, is a “budding” of protoplasts (Fig. 5.6) during the regeneration of the cell wall. Apparently during



**Table 5.2** Nutrient solution to culture cell aggregates developed from protoplasts (macro- and microelements following MS medium, vitamins following Gamborg et al. 1968, Table 3.3)

Component	Concentration
Sucrose	2.0%
Agar	0.6%
Casein hydrolysate	0.1%
2.4D	0.2 ppm
Kinetin	0.1 ppm

the formation of the new cell wall, parts of the cytoplasm protrude through areas of the cell wall not yet completely regenerated. In these buds, no material belonging to the cell nucleus has been detected, but occasionally some plastids can be seen. Cells with such buds can not survive, and after 2 weeks at the latest they die. If the concentrations of the components of medium in Table 5.1 are halved, then budding can be considerable reduced, but not entirely prevented.


Cell division activity of protoplast material is usually limited, accounting for about 2–3% of cells after isolation. These few cells are the origin of cell clusters consisting of 200–300 cells after 2 weeks of culture. An increase of the population of healthy cells able to divide can be observed after a supplement of 0.05% charcoal, to absorb toxic substances produced during the process of protoplast isolation. This increase can be up to tenfold. These clusters can be used to produce somatic embryos, and eventually intact plants, as shown for *Daucus* and others.

The basic principle of the many methods described in the literature is the same as that described above; the procedure adopted has to be worked out for each plant species or tissue used.

## 5.2 Protoplast Fusion


The major aim of protoplast fusion has been to combine the genomes of two species that can not be combined by pollination. Due to the fast development of gene technology during the last 10–15 years, through which selected genes can be transferred from a donor genome to the genome of any other species, this aim can be achieved more precisely. Still, it may sometimes be desirable to include protoplast fusion in one or the other research program, and so the topic shall be briefly discussed here.

If protoplasts of different species are mixed, then a high percentage of fusionates are autofusion products of specimens of the same origin. To distinguish these from those of fusion between any two species, a reliable marker is required. The simplest way to this end is the use of different tissues with distinct morphological or other characteristics. A good example are cells with chloroplasts from the leaves of one “parent”, and cells free of chloroplasts from another part of the other parent (Fig. 5.7). Isoosmolarity of the two types of cells is a prerequisite. In an early




**Fig. 5.5** Protoplast isolation: *top* regenerated cell wall (70 h after isolation), *bottom* first cell division (about 100 h after isolation)

experiment using protoplasts of rapeseed leaves, and protoplasts of cells from the carrot root, an “explosion” of the leaf protoplasts was observed due to the high sugar content of the carrot root cells. Still, this was an indication of a successful combination.



**Fig. 5.6** “Budding” of a protoplast (*above*; turnip rape)



**Fig. 5.7** Protoplasts from a leaf (*R* turnip rape), and from roots (*F* Fodder Kale, *Brassica oleracea* var. *viridis*) are attached to each other

Other markers are anthocyanins, or other pigments of plants. The use of foreign genetic material as markers introduced into fusion partners will be described in detail in Sect. 13.2. Markers are not required if single isolated protoplasts of different origin are fused. Here, using a micromanipulator, these two protoplasts are brought into contact in a mini-jar, and the fusion is often accomplished by electroshock.

The plasmalemma, and consequently the protoplast, exhibits an excess of negative charges on its surface. This hinders a spontaneous attachment of two protoplasts. After about 40 years of research on protoplast culture, with many attempts to overcome this problem, only two methods are generally considered as really practical. In the one case, the macromolecular polyethylene glycol is applied at high concentrations (28%), and in the other electroschock is used. Both methods can be employed in various forms, associated with various costs; for example, the common laboratory will suffice for the polyethylene glycol method, but for electrofusion the original self-made equipment is today replaced by expensive, commercially made devices.

The success of fusion experiments is related to the temperature at which the original plant material grew, with higher efficiency at lower (10°C) than at higher (25°C) temperatures. Apparently, this is related to the fluidity of membranes, which depends on their composition, particularly for membrane lipids—protoplasts characterized by membranes containing more unsaturated fatty acids exhibit an increased rate of protoplast fusion.

Protoplast fusion of two plant species aims at the production of new genomes with the genetic information of both “parents”. Here, one way to create a new genome is to apply X-rays to one “parent” (50 kr). This results in chromosomes being injured, and partly eliminated in the following cell divisions (see NICA plants). The still viable chromosomes form a new genome with the untreated cells of the other “parent”. Often, haploid material is employed. Using gene technology methodology, it is today possible to insert defined genetic material, i.e., single genes, which will be described later (Sect. 13.2).

Beside mixing genetic information of the nuclei of protoplasts of different origin, also plastids and mitochondria can be merged. Some more recent data have become available on the fate of the chondriom. Before first division, the mitochondria apparently elongate and then divide, causing an increase in number. After this, an actin-dependent dispersion of the mitochondria results in a uniform distribution throughout the cytoplasm. This has been observed after fusion during the first 4–8 h of protoplast culture; within 24 h, a near-complete mixing of mitochondria of the fused protoplasts was achieved. The mixed mitochondria population is passed on to daughter cells (Sheahan et al. 2005).

# Chapter 6

## Haploid Techniques

During a systematic screening of reactions of various parts of flowers of *Datura innoxia* cultured in vitro, Guha and Maheshwari (1964) observed the development of haploid plants from anthers containing immature microspores. Later, especially tobacco anthers were extensively investigated by various research groups, and mostly microspores of this species were used to test the suitability of this technique for hybrid breeding programs. Meanwhile, the production of haploids of several hundreds of plant species has been reported in the literature. Of these, only a few have been used, with limited success, in breeding programs; some reasons for this will be discussed later.

### 6.1 Application Possibilities


A prerequisite to use the heterosis effect reproducible in hybrid breeding is the availability of homozygous parent lines. The production of such inbred lines requires many back-crossings of heterozygotic parent material. Inbred lines with desired properties are also required for outbreeding plant species. A considerable reduction of the time required to produce such plant material can be achieved by the use of haploids. Haploid higher plants are infertile, and therefore before haploids can be used in breeding programs, a diploidization is required (e.g., using colchicines). With the methods described later, such dihaploid plants can be ideally produced within 1 year. Considering the time necessary for the selection of haploid plants for further use in hybrid breeding, and the propagation of the selected plants (usually by rooting), one needs about 5 years to produce the first hybrid seeds. A time schedule to produce a tobacco hybrid, out of the pioneer days of the technique, can be seen in the following summary:

- 1976: anther culture and raising of haploid plants
- 1977: propagation by cuttings, and selection of diploid twigs for rooting
- 1978: propagation of dihaploid plants by rooting
- 1979: crosspollination of selected dihaploid parents
- 1980: planting of F1-hybrids.

Various methods have been used for the diploidization of haploids, of which only two will be mentioned here. The method of Jensen (1974, 1986), originally described for barley, uses young plants (five-leaf stage) submersed in a solution of 0.1% colchicines (without chloroform) containing 2% DMSO, and 0.2–0.5 ml Tween for 5 h in the light at 20–22°C. For dicots, the method of Ockendon (1986) will be described. Here, a colchicine solution of 0.05% is applied directly to the shoot apex of a young plant, with a microsyringe (10  $\mu$ l). An alternative is the application with cotton wool soaked with the colchicine solution. For both methods, a success of more than 70% has been reported.

Actually, three experimental approaches are available to produce haploid plants: (1) the anther culture method, or that of microspores derived thereof, (2) the embryogenesis of isolated unfertilized egg cells, and (3) production from hybrids of species from which the set of chromosomes of one parent has been eliminated during development. Before a detailed description of the first method will be given, the other two will be briefly summarized.

The now classical example for the utilization of interspecies hybridization is a crosspollination of *Triticum aestivum* and *Hordeum bulbosum* (the bulbosum method). Here, one set of chromosomes (*Triticum*) is organized at metaphase, whereas that of the other parent remains unorganized in the cell, and is lost during the following cell divisions. For the bulbosum method, also *Hordeum vulgare* can be used as a suitable parent (Fig. 6.1), and haploids, i.e., dihaploids, obtained by this method were soon used in breeding programs (Kasha and Rheinsberg 1980). Five years after initiation of this breeding program, the first new variety (Mingo) was available. During the last decades, many more examples of interspecies hybrids have been reported, and a summary can be obtained from Gernand et al. (2005).



**Fig. 6.1** Results of crossbreeding of *Hordeum vulgare* (V) and *Hordeum bulbosum* (B). Note the high percentage of fruit set (50–90%) with 20–100% of embryo development (after Jensen 1986)

In this paper, experiments are described to also follow the fate of the chromosomes of the “loosing” partner of the hybridization of wheat × pearl millet, by elimination. All pearl millet chromosomes were eliminated between 1 and 3 weeks after pollination. Chromosome elimination involves the formation of nuclear extrusions, and the post-mitotic formation of micronuclei the chromatin of which is fragmented later.

As will be discussed later, plants produced by such methods exhibit a higher degree of cytogenetic stability, compared to those derived by the anther culture method. Whereas in principle the anther or microspore method is applicable to all plants, the bulbosum method depends on the availability of suitable parent species.

Although basically there exists the possibility of obtaining haploid plant material by culture of immature egg cells, due to the easier handling of anthers their microspores are preferred. A summary on gynogenesis was published some years ago by Keller et al. (1987), in which nine successful examples are listed: *Hordeum*, *Triticum*, *Oryza*, *Beta vulgaris*, *Gossypium*, *Ephedra*, *Nicotiana*, *Crepis*, and *Lolium*. During recent years, there has been intensified research to exploit the possibility of gynogenesis—let’s wait and see!

A comparison of androgenetic and gynogenetic derived plants will be given below. An example is the use of protoplasts of dissected ovules. Whereas unfertilized protoplasts of barley did not divide, those fertilized developed into microcalli, and if co-cultivated with microspores undergoing embryogenesis, these developed embryonic structures and eventually fertile plants. If cultured alone, these microcalli degenerated (Holm et al. 1994). Another way is to use a floral-dip method, as for *Arabidopsis* for genetic transformation with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* carrying the *gus* gene and the 35S promoter. Five days or more before anthesis *gus* activity was detected only in developing ovules, and not in pollen or pollen tubes. This selectivity could be due to the special developmental path of *Arabidopsis* flowers. Here, the gynoecium develops as an open structure to form closed locules about 3 days before anthesis (Desfeux et al. 2000).

Reports can be found describing superiority for androgenic plants, others for gynogenic, often only in one or the other trait. Androgenesis, however, was generally considered as more efficient than gynogenesis (Foroughi-Wehr and Wenzel 1993); the success of both techniques seems genetically controlled, and broad variations of genotypes can be observed. In a tobacco system, doubled haploids of either origin and their self progeny were about equal, but the androgenic material exhibited more vigor and was highly variable (Kumashiro and Oinuma 1985). A more recent paper compares androgenic and gynogenic monoploid plants of *Solanum phurea* (Lough et al. 2001). In contrast to gynogenic plants, androgenic plants had an increase in leaf size of 15–20%, and total tuber yield was about doubled to tripled. Plant height, however, was significantly reduced in androgenic lines. Gynogenesis is often employed to surpass the high percentage of albino plants often observed in androgenic systems.

Another possibility to obtain gynogenic plants could be the use of X-ray irradiated pollen for fertilization. This should make pollen inactive as gene donor, but still capable of inducing cellular division of the ovule. Plant material obtained without fertilization, and only with the maternal set of genetic material could be produced.

## 6.2 Physiological and Histological Background

In many publications, a stress requirement is described as a prerequisite to induce androgenic development. The requirement of a stress treatment depends on the plant species, as well as the species genotype. This can be starvation and osmotic stress induced by a mannitol supplement to the culture medium, as for barley, or a combination of starvation and heat shock for tobacco and wheat, or heat shock alone for rapeseed and pepper. Other stress factors can be colchicines, nitrogen starvation (Heberle-Bors 1983), gamma irradiation, or cold shock; a summary is given by Maraschin et al. (2005). In other reports, androgenesis can be induced without an obvious shock treatment. Considering the high concentration of sucrose (2% and more) in most media, already the transfer of isolated tissue invokes some osmotic stress. Furthermore, the confrontation of cells *in vitro* with often rather high concentrations of phytohormones in embryogenic systems has to be considered as stress factor. Here, positive influences of ABA on embryogenesis match those of osmotic stresses. Also for the induction of somatic embryogenesis, a number of stress factors are under discussion as being necessary, such as wounding, osmotic stress, starvation, and heavy metal ions. Often a separation of explants from their origin in the intact plant, as well as setting a wound at explantation are considered as stress factors, and as prerequisites to induce somatic embryogenesis. The relevance of these factors is discussed elsewhere. Actually, compared to the cells in the original mother plant, all *in vitro* culture systems incur stress conditions for the cells of cultured explants.


To induce the potential for somatic embryogenesis, the dedifferentiation, or rather transdifferentiation (or more modern, reprogramming) of microspores, egg cells, or somatic cells is a prerequisite that is brought about by the environment under *in vitro* conditions—e.g., nutrient media, and temperature.

Following Maraschin et al. (2005), androgenic development, and probably any other somatic embryogenesis, consists of three major phases: acquisition of embryogenic potential, initiation of cell division, and pattern formation of the dividing cells. Initially, a stress (or phytohormones) induces a reprogramming of cellular metabolism, including a repression of gene expression related to starch biosynthesis, and the induction of proteolytic genes and stress-related genes. This is followed by the activation of key regulators of embryogenesis—e.g., the so-called BABY BOOM transcription factor. After induction of cell division during pattern formation of embryos, programmed cell death seems also to play an essential role. This is apparently related to the loss of extracellular ATP (Chivasa et al. 2005), to date of unclear function.

Metabolic rearrangement can be brought about by the ubiquitin-26S proteasomal system, which degrades molecules; autophagy for degrading and recycling organelles, in animals via lysosomes, and in plants via vacuoles is involved. The breakdown products are eventually metabolized.

Ideally, the induction of androgenesis basically consists of an induction of somatic embryogenesis in microspores after isolation from the mother plant. In






**Fig. 6.2** Isolation of anthers (after Reinert and Yeoman 1982)

many cases, however, first the formation of a callus can be observed, in which later embryos develop. Even more often, root and shoot formation occurs first, and both join later to produce an intact plant.

At the suitable developmental stage (see, e.g., Fig. 6.2), surface-sterilized flower buds are opened under sterile conditions, and the anthers are severed by means of a forceps, and placed onto an agar medium (see 6.3). A suitable medium is given in Table 3.3 (see also NN medium). Two or 3 weeks later, the appearance of the first embryos can be observed (Fig. 6.3). Highly significant for success is the proper developmental stage of the anthers, i.e., their microspores. The optimal stage for microspores of tobacco is the first mitosis that produces the first vegetative and the first generative nuclei. As far as is known, the haploid embryo develops from the vegetative nucleus. Some rough correlation exists between the development of the flower bud, and that of the pollen, providing guidelines for the proper developmental stage to obtain the anthers for the experiment. For tobacco, this stage is reached as soon as the corolla can be seen emerging from the calyx (Fig. 6.2). By putting the severed buds overnight into the refrigerator prior to taking the anthers, a 10–15% increase in the generally low number of haploid plants (usually below 0.1%) can be reached.

Heberle-Bors (1983) reports results on some factors that are significant in determining the potential to perform androgenesis, and consequently the success per experimental setup. Tobacco was used as model. For a start, a dimorphism of pollen was observed in mature anthers. Unfertile pollen, called P-pollen, are embryogenic, and can be separated by density gradient centrifugation from fertile pollen. P-pollen occurs mainly under stress situations promoting male sterility. Examples for this are short day conditions, low temperature, or nitrogen deficiency. Under these conditions, the efficiency of the nutritive tissue of the anther, i.e., the tapetum, is restricted or disturbed, and the pollen can not develop to maturity. The amount of P-pollen can also be increased by an application of growth substances that promote male sterility (auxins, antigibberellins), and therefore its increase is not solely due to disturbance of the nutritional system of the anther. Furthermore, also plants with a genetically based male sterility usually exhibit a high potential of androgenesis.



**Fig. 6.3** Various stages of anther culture. *Top* Anthers of *Datura* on agar, *middle* initiation of embryo development in a ruptured anther, *bottom* embryonic structures isolated from an androgenic anther (photographs by E. Forche)

Beside influences of variations in the growth conditions of the parent plant on the success to produce haploid plants from its microspores, an experimental system to increase the production of haploid plants from normal and healthy mother plants is described by Moreno et al. (1989). Here, microspores at an early developmental

stage (early two-nuclei stage) are cultured in a sugar-free nutrient medium (to preserve isoosmotic conditions, sucrose is replaced by mannose) for 1 week, resulting in a dominance of P-pollen. The abundance of haploid plants seems to be limited only by the survival rate of the pollen following this treatment.

### 6.3 Methods for Practical Application

Basically, two methods are available, which are practiced with many variations. In the first method, which seems to be the more original, whole anthers are placed on an agar medium, and in the second the anthers are cultured in a liquid medium in which the pollen is liberated by agitation. Using anthers of tobacco, an example for each method will be given.

#### Agar culture of anthers

- Severing the flower buds with a corolla length of 15–25 mm
- For surface sterilization, the buds are transferred into a hypochlorite solution (0.1% active chlorine) supplemented with some drops of Tween for 10–15 min
- Washing the buds at the sterile working bench with sterilized water, and transfer onto a sterile Petri dish
- Removal of the calyx and corolla with a flamed forceps
- Severing the anthers, and transfer into a sterile Petri dish. Gentle removal of the filaments.
- Transfer of the anthers onto an agar nutrient medium (Table 6.1, and see below; 5 ml medium per 50 × 18 mm Petri dish). The two pollen sacs should touch the surface of the agar, with the furrow oriented toward the air. The dishes are sealed with Parafilm.
- The cultures are transferred to a dark growth cabinet at 28°C
- At the appearance of the first embryonic stages after about 2–4 weeks, the cultures are illuminated (16/8 h, 20–25°C).

#### Agar medium (mg/l):

(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	463	MnSO <sub>4</sub> × 4H <sub>2</sub> O	4.4
KNO <sub>3</sub>	2,830	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> × 7H <sub>2</sub> O	1.5
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	400	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>	1.6
MgSO <sub>4</sub> × H <sub>2</sub> O	185	KI	0.8
CaCl <sub>2</sub> × 2H <sub>2</sub> O			
Glycine	2.0	Sucrose	20,000–30,000
Thiamine-HCl	0.5		
Nicotinic acid	0.5	Agar	800

Additionally, a freshly prepared EDTA-Fe solution: 27.9 mg FeSO<sub>4</sub> × H<sub>2</sub>O and 37.2 mg EDTA-Na

**Table 6.1** Agar medium for anther cultures (mg/l)

Component	Concentration	Component	Concentration
$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$	463	$\text{MnSO}_4 \times 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	4.4
$\text{KNO}_3$	2,830	$\text{ZnSO}_4 \times 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.5
$\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$	400	$\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$	1.6
$\text{MgSO}_4 \times \text{H}_2\text{O}$	185	KI	0.8
$\text{CaCl}_2 \times 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	166	Glycine	2.0
Sucrose	2,000	Agar	8,000.0
Thiamine-HCl	0.5		
Nicotinic acid	0.5		

Of this culture medium, 5 ml is transferred into Petri dishes (50 × 18 mm) on which, after cooling, the anthers are placed.

This medium was suggested by Sunderland (1984), and originally contained neither phytohormones nor activated charcoal, as used in many systems later. A supplement of 0.5% charcoal, or of 1% naphthylacetic acid (NAA), however, often clearly increases the number of haploid plants obtained.

#### Liquid culture of anthers

This method includes a pre-treatment of the anthers before culture to stimulate the development of the microspores into plantlets. This pre-treatment consists of a “cold stress”:

- Transfer of the freshly isolated flower buds into a sealable container (Petri dish, polyethylene bag)
- Placement of the container for 3 weeks in the dark into the refrigerator (7–9°C). Following Sunderland, this “cold” treatment increases the number of haploid plants 10- to 15-fold.
- To obtain the anthers, the method described for agar cultures is followed
- Transfer of up to 50 anthers into a Petri dish (50 × 18 mm) containing 5 ml of the nutrient medium given above without agar. The anthers float on the surface of the liquid medium.

After a few days, a sufficient number of pollen falls out of the anthers, which can then be used again to start a new culture by transfer to another Petri dish.

After about 2 weeks, the first embryonic structures can be observed; until transplantable young plants are available, the nutrient medium has to be renewed several times.

Still not completely understood is the significance of activated charcoal. This supplement is somehow associated with the inactivation (via absorption) of some agar components that can inhibit androgenesis, and the release of other, water-soluble substances that can promote it (Forche et al. 1981). As mentioned before, another key factor is temperature. A number of plant species are reported to require a storage of the anthers at low temperature (2–4°C) for at least 24–48 h

prior to cultivation. Temperature is important also during culture, and its requirement seems to depend on genetic factors. For example, whereas the tobacco variety “Wisconsin” requires 22°C to initiate androgenesis, this can be achieved for “Xanthi” only at 28°C. The requirements discussed here can be regarded only as tendencies, and the exact conditions to induce androgenesis have to be determined for each species or variety. Many examples can be found in the literature on the internet.

The success of microspore cultures depends also on the growing conditions of the donor plant. For example, temperature again seems to be of significance here. Higher yields of embryos were obtained if microspores originated from plants of rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) grown under a light/dark cycle of 16/8 h at 15/12°C, compared to 23/18°C (Lo and Pauls 1992). The authors relate this to a reduction in cytoplasmic granularity and/or exine density.

Finally, a description of haploid plants will be given. The tissue surrounding the haploid microspores in the anther (tapetum connective) is diploid. Thus, reliable methods are needed to distinguish between tapetum, haploid plants derived from the microspores, and diploids derived from the adjacent diploid tissue. Most reliable, of course, is to count the chromosomes in the dividing cells, e.g., in the cells of the root tip. However, usually only one root tip is available per plant, which one does not wish to “sacrifice”. As an alternative, the method described now has been used successfully in our investigations for many years, and it requires only a small piece of a leaf or callus. If the plant originates from microspores, then cells with 1C nuclei can be observed. An absence of such cells indicates that the plants are derived from diploid material of the anther. The 1C-value of the species can be determined using its microspores. Problems related to ploidy stability will be dealt with later (Chap. 13).

Microfluorometric determination of the ploidy level (Blaschke 1977; Blaschke et al. 1978): For the standardization of the method, the DNA was measured in the early tetrad stage of the nuclei in the developing microspore. The intensity of fluorescence of these structures is set equal to the DNA content of the haploid nucleus (1n). This old method is particularly suitable for using tissue with no, or only very few cell divisions available for chromosome counts. The plant material to be used for the investigation is first fixed in ethanol/glacial acetic acid (3:1) for 12 h, followed by an ascending alcohol series for dehydration. Then, the cells are embedded in paraffin, and with the help of a microtome sections of 12–15 µm are cut. The sections are fixed on a slide with a gelatine/glycerine solution (1 g pure gelatine dissolved in 100 ml aqua dest., supplemented with 15 ml glycerine and few crystals of thymol), and dried for 12 h at 40°C.

After removal of the paraffin on a heated plate, a descending alcohol series is applied, similar to other common histological methods. This is followed by staining with a 0.005% bisbenzimidazole solution (Dye Höchst 33258) for 10 min (pH 4.4–4.6), then rinsing for 5 min in running tap water, and differentiation for 15 min in 70% ethanol. After embedding the sections in “glycerine for fluorescence microscopy” (Merck), a cover glass is emplaced, and the next day the measurements can be made.

Measurements are made at a wavelength of  $>490$  nm using a Xenon high-pressure lamp for illumination at 500-fold magnification. To determine fluorescence intensity, the lens of the microscope is adapted to the size and shape of the nuclei. For the measurements, one has to be careful to select nuclei that do not touch others nearby. The intensity of fluorescence is stable for at least 6 months. The reading can be compared to values obtained from tetrad-state microspores. After enzymatic maceration of cell suspensions, an automation of C-value determination can be performed by flow cytometry. Here, protoplasts are more suitable than intact cells.

### Other methods

Recently, a method was published for DNA determination using genetically transformed *Arabidopsis* material (Zhang et al. 2005), by coupling GFP with the histone 2A. This construct (HTA6) complexed within chromatin, and it is therefore linearly related to the DNA content of the nucleus. This material could also be used for flow cytometry.

Sari et al. (1999) compared four different methods to determine the ploidy level, i.e., chromosome counting, flow cytometry, size and chloroplast number of the guard cells, and some morphological observations, using two cultivars of watermelon. All four were successful, and equally reliable. The most easy to perform was the method based on stomata measurements. The length of stomata of haploids was 17–18  $\mu\text{m}$ , the diameter 10–12  $\mu\text{m}$ , and the number of chloroplasts in the guard cells was 6–7. For diploids, the corresponding values were 23–24  $\mu\text{m}$ , 18  $\mu\text{m}$ , and 11–12. Chloroplasts with suitable equipment, a rough determination of the ploidy level could also be carried out on intact plants, in the greenhouse or in the field. Here, questions concerning relations of the ploidy level and the plastome should be followed in detail.

## 6.4 Haploid Plants


The most obvious morphological characteristics of haploid plants are a reduced height, smaller leaves with a reduced diameter of the leaf lamina, and an excessive number of small fruits (Table 6.2, Fig. 6.4). In Fig. 6.4, it can be seen that also haploid plants produce fruits. Seed formation, however, is absent, and since fruit size often depends on the development of seeds, the fruits remain small.

Furthermore, deformations of leaves and flowers often occur in haploid plants. In *Datura* plants, flowers with three petals, rather than five, have been observed, and in tobacco flowers a fused gynoeceum and 7–8 anthers could be seen. It seems that two flowers were fused into one; such fusions have been observed also for the leaves. Such deformations have not been observed in normal diploid plants growing under the same conditions. Possibly, the population of diploid plants observed was too small to give a definite result on this problem.

**Table 6.2** Some morphological characteristics of haploid, diploid, and dihaploid plants of *Nicotiana tabacum*, var. Xanthi (Zeppernick 1988)

Plant <sup>a</sup>	Height (cm)	Number of leaves	Number of side buds	Number of buds/flower	Stem	Leaves	Side buds	Fresh weight (g/plant)			LAI <sup>b</sup>
								Buds/flower	Roots	Yield/plant	
n	76	28	13	146	84	86	5	31	119	325	0.47
2n	112	35	5	52	173	185	2	8	99	467	0.63
2 × n	77	28	17	66	99	134	3	9	138	383	0.62

<sup>a</sup>Harvest: n, 13 July; 2n, 12 July; 2 × n, 12 July<sup>b</sup>Leaf area index (LAI) = leaf width (in cm)/leaf length (in cm)



**Fig. 6.4** Leaves, flowers and fruits of diploid (*left*), and haploid (*right*) *Datura innoxia* plants (photographs by E. Forche)

Beside a reduction of time required to establish inbred lines, another benefit of haploid plants is the possibility of bringing recessive genes to realization hidden in the parent generation. To consider the “gene dosage effect” in judging the genomes of plants derived by androgenesis, dihaploid plants are required. Such plants can be produced by applying, e.g., colchicines to the buds (see above). In some plant species (e.g., tobacco), dihaploids are spontaneously produced from leaf buds. If these dihaploids are propagated by cuttings, then the properties of these genomes can be preserved. With such material, China was the first to breed new varieties of tobacco, corn, wheat, and other plants, now since many years in use by farmers.

A clear characteristic of haploid plants is a reduced leaf diameter, at more or less normal leaf length (Table 6.2; cf. Figs. 6.5 and 6.6). Furthermore, haploids enter the flowering stage about 1 week earlier than dihaploids, consistent with the higher concentration of gibberellins in the leaves of the former (Table 6.3). Because spraying dihaploids with a gibberellin solution ( $GA_3$ ) induces the same leaf shape, it is reasonable to predict a strong influence of the ploidy level on the native gibberellin system of plants. Nevertheless, it is difficult to see in which way the gibberellin system and the ploidy level are related. Although the synthesis and the general metabolism of this group of phytohormones is quite well understood, its action as a signal to promote morphogenic responses such as leaf shape still requires investigations (for a summary, see Thomas and Sun 2004).






Fig. 6.5 Shape of leaves of three strains of dihaploid (2n) tobacco plants derived by androgenesis




Fig. 6.6 Shapes of leaves of two tobacco strains derived by androgenesis and an F<sub>1</sub> hybrid

**Table 6.3** Gibberellin activity of haploid and dihaploid strains, and an F1 hybrid (8×4) determined by a dwarf rice method (Tanginbozu) after separation of the ethylacetate extract of leaves of a defined size by thin layer chromatography (expressed as gibberellin equivalents, ng/g fresh weight; average of four replicates per strain; harvest 1988)

Strain	2/21, n	2/22, 2 × n	4/31, n	4/32, 2 × n	8/11, n	8/12, 2 × n	8 × 4, 2n	8 × 4, 2n
Area for thin l. chr.			Generative plants				Vegetative plants	
Polar	3.1	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.3	4.1	0.5
Unpolar	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
Total	3.5	1.3	1.5	0.7	0.5	0.5	4.2	0.6

**Table 6.4** Nicotine concentrations of two dihaploid strains of *Nicotiana tabacum*, and a hybrid thereof (F1; pot experiments, average of 3 consecutive years; Zeppernick 1988)

Strain	mg Nicotine/g dry weight leaves	mg Nicotine/plant ("Hauptgut")
4/32	2.2	8.4
8/12	4.1	22.9
F1	6.3	37.2

It is difficult to decide to which extent the variations in leaf shape of the three dihaploid strains in Fig. 6.6 are due to the expression of recessive genes. It should be pointed out that these strains were derived from anthers of the same diploid mother plant. These dihaploid plants are fertile, and can be used for crossings. The figure shows clear differences that were preserved through several generations of propagation by seeds. As can be seen from the images of comparable leaves of the two parents and a hybrid, a clear heterosis can be observed for leaf size (Fig. 6.5). The plant height of the hybrid, however, is between those of the parents. Heterosis can be seen again for the concentration of nicotine in the leaves (Table 6.4).

# Chapter 7

## Plant Propagation—Meristem Cultures, Somatic Embryogenesis

### 7.1 General Remarks, and Meristem Cultures


At present, the major commercial application of cell and tissue cultures is plant propagation. Although in some instances also field crops in breeding programs have been propagated *in vitro* (e.g., cereals, potatoes, sugar beet), the overwhelming practical use is made in propagations of ornamentals. In subtropical and tropical agriculture, however, this field is gaining significance for cash crops. A major factor for its general acceptance is certainly often the vegetative propagation practiced already in conventional farming methods. Here, propagation *in vitro* is simply an extension of the methods used for centuries. Also, the higher price of individual plants is certainly an important factor to apply *in vitro* methods for propagation.

In Germany, the economic value of ornamentals (though with a big gap) ranks second to that of cereals, well ahead of sugar beets. As an example, some details will be given for Germany for the years 1991 to 2000 (compiled by Prof. W. Preil, Ahrensburg). Statistics are available from 1985 onward, and it is interesting to note that the strongest increase in the number of plants occurred from 1985 to 1990. During this period, the increase was more than threefold, from 4,943 to 16,407 (all values in thousands). After this “starting period”, the increase slowed considerably to about 30%, indicating some kind of saturation (Table 7.1). This is due mainly to the reduction in the number of ornamentals produced, which, however, has been compensated by the rise in orchids, mainly *Phalaenopsis* (from 519 in 1991, to 9,150 in 2000).

These plants were produced by 25–29 laboratories (cf. this varies from year to year), of which two were producing >3 million plants annually. Of the orchids, *Phalaenopsis* dominates by far, followed by *Miltonia* and *Cymbidium*. *Anthurium adreanum* and *Anthurium scherzerianum* lead in the production of ornamentals. The head runner for berries is *Fragaria*, and for shrubs it is *Rhododendrum*, followed by *Rosa* and *Syringa*. Interestingly, potatoes are leading in the group of agricultural crops and vegetables, followed by *Asparagus* (albeit by much less in terms of volume). A complete listing of all plants propagated in Germany can be obtained from ADIVK on the internet. The number of plants produced *in vitro* per species, as well as in total varies from year to year. After a long stagnation period

**Table 7.1** In vitro propagation in Germany from 1991 to 2000 (in thousands)

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Orchids	2,575	3,951	3,300	3,032	2,797	3,160	3,820	5,740	9,433	12,106
Ornamentals	9,501	7,427	5,756	6,071	5,900	6,327	5,925	5,247	4,260	2,922
Berries	4,780	5,505	4,660	5,226	4,561	5,462	5,090	5,079	3,580	4,038
Woody plants	1,373	1,834	2,132	2,859	3,157	3,609	3,760	3,731	3,894	3,894
Shrubs, aquat. plants	725	991	1,998	1,610	1,729	2,020	1,861	2,836	2,902	1,276
Agricult. plants, vegetables	313	286	490	299	371	306	317	324	448	364
Total	18,837	19,533	18,038	18,370	18,217	20,432	20,622	22,986	24,354	26,670



**Fig. 7.1** Some commercial aspects of plant propagation in vitro in the USA

lasting up to 1995, at about 18,000 plants, a continuous increase has been observed up to 2000 (at least). This is likely due mostly to the accentuated increase in orchids, dominated by *Phalaenopsis*. Here, as well as for ornamentals, a general “in fashion” trend plays a role. Other species, like *Gerbera*, have dramatically reduced in number, from 500 in 1992, to 50 in 2000, or *Saintpaulia* from 1,500 to zero in the same period. Especially interesting is the volume of in vitro plants produced for *Cymbidium*. After a peak in production in 1989, there came a decrease, in some years even to zero. In the period 1994–1999, however, the level was of 2,000–5,000 specimens, and in 2000 the production increased to 26,000 specimens per year.

A similar, though more pronounced, tendency can be observed in the USA (Fig. 7.1). The total sale for orchids was US\$ 100,000,000, with about 75% of the orchid market for *Phalaenopsis* (Griesebach 2002). In a massive cooperative venture, production is today concentrated in the USA for cultivar development, in Japan for initiation of tissue culture, in China for mass propagation, and in The Netherlands for growth to maturity of tissue cultured plants. Orchid growing is nowadays an international business.

Presently, in vitro propagation is performed applying three major techniques, i.e., meristem culture, embryogenesis in vitro, and shoot induction in callus cultures, followed by rooting. The procedure for propagation of ornamentals, but also for banana and others, is meristem culture, usually initiated by culture of an isolated shoot apex (of various size) by an induction of growth of leaf buds. Beside the shoot apex, for some plants like *Cymbidium* also axillary buds are suitable. Primary explants are obtained aseptically using a sharp scalpel, and after differentiating of shoot organs, these are either rooted on the primary explant on a special rooting medium, and eventually separated, or they are rooted in isolation after severing, and continue growing separately. Plants like roses or fruit trees grow then on their own root system without grafting. For banana, 25–30 plants can be obtained from one shoot apex. Using these again for meristem culture, a great number of plants can rapidly be obtained from a single original, cultured apical meristem. This is of importance for banana plantations

susceptible to Panama disease. This disease is due to an infection from the soil, which prevents the conventional propagation by ratoons. Culturing meristems, however, requires a lot of manual labor, and is consequently quite costly.

Although the production of somatic embryos has been described in the literature for several hundred plant species, its commercial application to propagation *in vitro* is still rather rare. One basic reason could be that it is often difficult to definitely predict the success of the setup. The publication of a protocol to produce somatic embryos is not automatically associated with a method that functions reliably day after day. Still, this method of propagation offers the possibility to use a fermenter culture system to install a semiautomatic system. Later, some examples will be discussed in detail. Here, a fully automatic program to control the growth and development of cultures by changing several parameters like the pH of the medium, the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and others can be installed. After embryo production, in an appropriate developmental stage the culture can be transferred to a solid medium to promote the development to young plants. After thinning, these can be transplanted into soil, or another solid substrate to grow in open air.

In terms of financial costs, the highest expenditure for *in vitro* propagation is manual labor. An automation of somatic embryogenesis in bioreactor or fermenter cultures, after its optimization, should reduce this factor considerably (see, however, somaclonal variation, genome stability, and others). In a later chapter, this will be taken up again. Some years ago in a feasibility study of *in vitro* production, a price of about 20 cents was calculated per transplantable young seedling for meristem cultures, and of about 5 cents for plants produced by somatic embryogenesis in a bioreactor. More recent information can be found in de Fossard (2007). In Table 7.2, there is a procedure to produce celery hybrids commercially for

**Table 7.2** *In vitro* procedure, and conventional propagation from seeds of celery plants (adapted from Rowe 1986)

Conventional propagation by seeds	Plant production by somatic embryogenesis <i>in vitro</i>
Sowing of seeds in greenhouse	Obtainment of petiole explants from selected hybrids, and induction of callus formation (30–60 days)
80% germination	Formation of cell suspension in liquid medium
Selection and thinning in greenhouse (60–70 days)	Propagation of cell suspension (+auxin) until a packed cell volume (PCV) of ca. 5,000 ml (100–150 days)
Hardening in open air (7 days)	Division into portions of about 700 ml, and induction of somatic embryogenesis in an auxin-free medium (30 days)
Mechanic transplantation in field	Fractionation of embryos according to size, and to obtain uniform populations (about $1.5 \times 10^6$ embryos)
Harvest after ca. 120 days	Transfer to solid medium in greenhouse (85–90 days), hardening, and mechanical planting in field
Total about 200 days	Total about 400 days


transplanting 20 ha within 1 week. Ever more protocols are becoming available to propagate tropical cash crops like coffee, cacao, and date palms.

During recent years, cell material of immature or mature embryos is being increasingly used for in vitro propagation, in particular of so-called recalcitrant species like the many cereals, or woody plants like conifers. As will be discussed elsewhere, in this tissue apparently the competence to somatic embryogenesis is better preserved than in other parts of the plants. The aims of in vitro propagation vary broadly, and they are usually different for plants with conventional vegetative propagation, and those with propagation by seeds. In the former, the aim is a fast propagation of a great number of genetically identical plants from a selected mother plant, or to obtain virus-free specimens or to eliminate other pathological organisms commonly transmitted by vegetative propagation. Another aim can be the long preservation of valuable mother plants, or the production of plants growing on their own root systems. For seed-propagated plants, the aim often is a reduction of the time required to produce offspring for breeding and other purposes, or the cloning of highly valuable hybrids. As described elsewhere, genetic instability can often be observed in callus cultures, and to bypass this meristem cultures (if possible) are preferable.

One of the most important applications of in vitro propagation of vegetatively propagated ornamentals lies in obtaining healthy plants from infected “mother plants”. In conventional gardening, viruses and other pests would be transmitted when using rooted cuttings. In these mother plants, however, usually the uppermost shoot apex (<0.5 mm), generally without conductive elements, is free of infections, and its use for in vitro propagation can yield pathogen-free offspring. This is enforced by a heat shock treatment before explantation (up to 40°C). Still, often a stepwise treatment of several subcultures is necessary. For this work, a very sensitive control method is required to screen the plant material, often available only in tiny amounts. To control virus infection, immunological methods are commonly applied (e.g., an ELISA test). In Fig. 7.2, a schematic summary of the production of healthy offspring from an infected “mother plant” is given.

Despite the many advantages of in vitro propagation discussed above, also some weaknesses of such methods have to be addressed. As already described for rape-seed cultures, clear differences of reactions can be observed between varieties of a given species. Another example of this kind can be seen for the reaction of some *Pelargonium* varieties to various concentrations of IAA and BAP in the nutrient medium (Fig. 7.3). Consequently, it is recommendable to determine optimal conditions to obtain a method suitable for each origin. This is even more obvious for various species. Beside genetic instabilities of callus cultures used for propagation, already discussed several times, another disadvantage is the small number of plants obtained by employing this method. If the mother plant is a chimera, then a segregation of offspring usually has to be expected.

Malformations of plants produced via tissue culture should also be mentioned. These are caused largely by the conditions of tissue culture, and can be also observed for plants derived from embryogenesis in vitro. Often, more than one shoot tip appears, as shown in Fig. 7.4 for a carrot plant produced by somatic




**Fig. 7.2** Scheme for the production of *Pelargonium* mother plants and cuttings by means of tissue culture methods, and use in gardening (Reuther 1984)

embryogenesis. Also for mature taproots of this species, twisting and other malformations can be observed, as they are sometimes in seed-derived plants growing in unsuitable soil. Plants derived from seeds of such plants, however, are perfectly normal, indicating no heritability of such malformations. Thus, such plant material is still usable in plant breeding programs, but is not suitable for sale on the market.

One of the major problems is the adaptation of young plantlets, produced in vitro, to greenhouse conditions, and eventually to transplantation into soil. The problems are due to incomplete formation of the cuticle, missing or non-functional stomata, and a disturbed conductive system. These shortcomings are related mainly to the water system of the plants, but also to the endogenous distributions of assimilates and other substances.

All this would result from the culture in vitro with about 100% air humidity in the vessels used for propagation. Thus, the gradient of air moisture from the ambient air to the developing leaves, needed to induce the normal development of leaves,





**Fig. 7.3** Influences of various concentrations of IAA and BAP (ppm) on shoot formation of some varieties of *Pelargonium peltatum*. The columns represent the number of shoots as percentage of the number of shoot tips used

is absent. The use of water-absorbing pearls, or cooling of the shelves used for the culture vessels could help.

An improvement of transplantation success can often be achieved by removing all older leaves before transplanting into soil. Leaves produced anew are normally developed, with an intact cuticle and a fully developed stomata system.

Especially in commercial propagation, a disadvantage could be the dependence of a successful *in vitro* propagation on a special developmental stage of the “mother plant”, or even of a plant organ used for explantation. Here, the experience of skilled personnel certainly plays a decisive role. Often, dormancy of the origin of explants has to be considered, or an application of growth regulators, especially cytokinins, to the “mother plant” can be of help. As an example, a BAP solution of 100 ppm or more can be sprayed onto the “mother plant”, or the plant can be injected with a solution containing 500 ppm BAP.

Before presenting some practical examples, a summary of the major advantages and disadvantages of *in vitro* propagation will be attempted (see also Hartmann 1988). *In vitro* propagation will generally be preferred if

- propagation is desired year-round, independent of weather conditions
- diseases during propagation are to be avoided
- plants with difficult genetics are to be maintained and propagated (cf. aneuploidy, polyploidy, sexually sterile strains)
- the plants are to be free of pathogens, especially of virus infections
- fast propagation of valuable single plants is desired.



**Fig. 7.4** A carrot plant derived by somatic embryogenesis with several shoot tips

In many cases, the best procedure to achieve this should be automated, or at least semi-automated somatic embryogenesis. This will be described later in detail. Certainly, some considerable capital investment is required for installation of the equipment required for the technique (see later). Another negative factor for commercial companies in fulfilling contracts for the delivery of plants at fixed dates and costs is the high risk of microbial infection.

Usually for each species, and often for each variety of a given species, optimal conditions for the technique have to be determined. Still, the general principles described here should apply to most cases, although the exact conditions would


have to be worked out. As a help, some protocols will be described in more detail. Those for propagation of *Cymbidium* and carrot have been used extensively in our own laboratory; those on raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.) have been elaborated in thorough discussions with Geier (e.g., 1986), and Mrs. S. Merkle (Fa. Hummel, Stuttgart, pers. comm.).

## 7.2 Protocols of Some Propagation Systems

### 7.2.1 *In vitro* Propagation of *Cymbidium*

Orchid seeds are very tiny, contain neither cotyledons nor an endosperm, and usually consist only of some 100 cells. In a natural environment, a mycorrhiza is generally required for germination. Here, within about 1 month a small green, egg-shaped, seedling-like structure develops. This stage is designated as a protocorm. A shoot and a root primordial develop on this structure, and within several months the first root and the first leaf can be observed. The development of *Cymbidium* starts with an immature embryo in the seed, followed by the intermediate stage as protocorm, and ending with the fully developed plant. As will be shown below, the protocorms can be divided, and from these parts individual plants can be raised from protocorm formation on the cut surface. Basically, the same applies also for the propagation of other orchids, e.g., *Phalaenopsis*. Here, in particular hybrids play an important role. For a summary of *Phalaenopsis* production and commercialization, the reader is referred to Griesebach (2002).


As origin for *in vitro* propagation, apical shoot meristems (2 mm from the shoot tip), or axillary buds of shoots 3–10 cm in length are used, from which the explants are obtained after four vertical and one horizontal cut with a sharp razorblade. Sterilization is carried out by dipping the explant for 15 min in a hypochlorite solution, followed by a short submergence into 96% ethanol, and thorough washing in sterilized water. After this, the scale leaves are removed to expose the apex (see Fig. 7.5a). Then, the explant is transferred to the nutrient solution (NL in Table 7.3, supplemented with kinetin, m-inositol, and IAA—NL3), and after 4–7 days sprouting of buds can be observed. After about 4 weeks, on the cut surfaces created by explantation some outgrowth can be observed that develops eventually into “protocorms” (primary protocorms). These can yield plantlets after transfer into Knudson C medium (Table 7.3), or they can be used as basis for the production of additional “protocorms” (secondary protocorms). Such a protocorm contains several vegetative tips, and consequently several plants can develop that can be further increased in number by producing cuttings of the original “protocorm” from which again secondary protocorms arise, and so forth. For the formation of such secondary “protocorms”, the cuttings are again transferred into NL3 medium. Instead of NL3, Knudson C medium is also suitable, but the time required to produce primary protocorms is reduced by about 2 weeks, and the number of secondary “protocorms”



**Fig. 7.5 a** In vitro propagation of *Cymbidium*. *Top* Schematic illustration of the preparation of *Cymbidium* explants; for improved visualization, protruding side buds used for isolation are exaggerated (adapted from Reinert and Yeoman 1982). *Middle left* Shoot apex of *Cymbidium* after 4 days of culture. *Middle right* *Cymbidium* protocorm cut for new protocorm production. *Bottom left* Initial protocorm formation on the cut surface resulting from explantation. *Bottom right* *Cymbidium* protocorm with formation of secondary protocorms (S. Sakr, unpublished images of our institute)

is increased. In Fig. 7.5b, some histological sections are given to illustrate protocorm development.

If these protocorms are transferred to the Knudson C nutrient medium, development proceeds, and after about 3 weeks young plantlets are available. Protocorm propagation, as well as the development of the protocorms into young plants are possible without a supply of growth regulators. As indicated by the data in Table 7.4, however, an influence of such substances is clearly recognizable.



**Fig. 7.5 b** Some histological sections demonstrating some steps in *Cymbidium* culture (S. Sakr, unpublished images of our institute). *Top left* Apical shoot meristem at the initiation of culture. *Top right* Initial cell division on the cut surface produced at explantation. *Bottom left* Primary protocorms on the cut surface of an axillary bud explant. *Bottom right* Formation of secondary protocorms

**Table 7.3** Composition of the nutrient solution (g/l) for in vitro culture of *Cymbidium* explants (from Knudson 1946)

Component	Concentration
$\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \times 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.000
$\text{MgSO}_4 \times 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$	0.250
$\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$	0.250
Fe-EDTA	0.025
$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$	0.500
$\text{MnSO}_4$	0.008
Sucrose	30.000

For a production of four secondary protocorms, each of which produces two more protocorms, the reproduction rate per strain and per month amounts to factor 8. Following a calculation by Morel (1974), within a period of 9 months about one billion plants can be derived from one shoot tip explant. As already mentioned, the

**Table 7.4** Influence of various IAA and kinetin concentrations on protocorm propagation of *Cymbidium* cultures (NL3, see Table 3.3, with 50 ppm m-inositol; 6 weeks of culture, protocorms per culture vessel)

	4 ppm IAA	8 ppm IAA	16 ppm IAA
0.2 ppm kinetin	15	18	18
0.4 ppm kinetin	22	24	28
0.8 ppm kinetin	33	40	45

introduction of in vitro methods in commercial production has resulted in a dramatic decrease in the prices of individual plants, and of cut flowers of orchids. *Sphiccolae liocattleya*, Falcon “Alexandri”, shall serve as example. According to Griesebach (1986), the price for conventionally propagated plants amounted to US\$ 500; after the introduction of in vitro propagation, this dropped to about US\$ 5.

Recently, the production of viable artificial seeds of *Cymbidium* has been reported. Details will be given in the chapter on artificial seeds (cf. Sect. 7.5).

### 7.2.2 Meristem Cultures of Raspberries

Most methods for in vitro propagation are based on protocols employing meristem cultures. These are also commonly used in commercial applications in horticulture. Under suitable conditions, an apical meristem can be induced to initially produce shoot organs, followed by the formation of a root system. In meristem cultures, an induction of shoot regeneration can be rather easily promoted. For many plant species, including cultivated plants, after rhizogenesis such structures can be raised into intact plants ready to be transplanted into soil. The differentiation of these very young leaf primordials is quite similar to the differentiation status of the cells of apical meristems, with limited commitment to further developmental lines. The leaf primordials are determined to become shoot organs, but not necessarily only leaves. Older (base positioned) buds produce leaves under comparable cultural conditions. Apparently, some control point exists for those cells of the apical meristem to determine further development out of several possibilities. These shoot regenerates can be used again for apical meristem cultures, and soon a great number of offspring from a “mother plant” can be obtained. These plants should be genetically identical. At least plants derived by meristem culture methods show a much lower percentage of somaclonal variation, compared to those derived from callus cultures (see Sects. 13.1, 13.2). However, attempts of molecular characterization of the genome of plants derived by meristem cultures are rare. The uppermost shoot tip without conductive elements is usually free of virus infections, and for the regeneration of species highly susceptible to virus infections, meristem culture is often the only method to produce virus-free plant material. Raspberries (*Rubus idaeus* L.) are such a species, which will be used as an example for propagation by meristem cultures. Here, axillary buds have been used as origin for meristem cultures for many years on a commercial scale

by Fa. Hummel, Stuttgart, and the description of the protocol below was compiled together with Mrs. S. Merkle of this company (see also Merkle 1994).

The conventional method consists of root cuttings 5–20 cm in length obtained in winter to grow in a sand/peat mixture in a greenhouse. The plants developed by this method are commonly infected by viruses, resulting in loss of yield. An alternative is the use of virus-free “mother plants” found at locations where virus infection via transmission by insects from older, free-growing raspberry populations can be prevented. This is possible only if a distance of at least 200 m from these native stands is available.

To employ meristem propagation, first a careful selection of “mother plants” is required, which preferably should be virus-free. If this is not the case, then also root cuttings can be obtained from “mother plants” selected according to yield and other criteria. To produce virus-free plants, some temperature treatment in a room with a temperature up to 36–39°C is applied to the young plants regenerated from the root cuttings for 4–6 weeks. At this elevated temperature, the propagation of the virus is more inhibited than is the rate of apical cell division in these young plantlets.

After this treatment, the plantlets are surface sterilized with hypochlorite and ethanol, as described before, and the uppermost shoot tip (0.2–0.4 mm) consisting of the shoot apex and one leaf primordium is severed. Most chances to obtain virus-free explants are associated with explants free of conducting elements. Here, however, chances of survival and regeneration are strongly reduced, and the aim should therefore be to find some compromise.


The explants are transferred to an agar medium (0.9%) in test tubes with half the concentration of macronutrients of the MS medium, full concentration of the micronutrient and vitamin mixtures of MS, 2% sucrose, 1 g casein hydrolysate/l, and 0.5 ppm 6-BA (Chap. 3). The cultures are in a room with 16 h illumination per day at about 4,000 lux. The temperature in the light is set at 24°C, and in the dark at 22°C. After about 6 weeks, shoot development can be observed, with one shoot per explant. All manipulations and culturing are under aseptic conditions.

At a shoot length of about 1 cm, the explant is transferred to a rooting medium of the same composition as given above, in which 6-BA is replaced by 0.5 ppm IAA. About 2–3 weeks later, root development can be observed; another 2–3 weeks later, the root system is further developed, and the structure can be successfully transferred into a peat substrate. After 3 weeks of “hardening” in the greenhouse, the young plants are transferred to the open air, protected against insect contact. After this period, a virus test is performed, and virus-free plants are either used as “mother plants” to obtain root cuttings, or sold on the market.

To use the plant material produced by meristem culture for another passage of *in vitro* propagation, this is transferred to a propagation medium with the same composition as given above, but now containing 1 ppm 6-BA. Due to the higher BA concentration, leaf axillary buds sprout. Within 6 weeks, a propagation factor of about 5 can be obtained. For this, cultivation is carried out in conventional glass vessels (Fig. 7.6). To each vessel, ten shoots are transferred, and after 6 weeks 50 new shoots are available for further propagation. For this, the shoots are isolated on



**Fig. 7.6** Raspberry cultures in a glass container immediately before separation and transfer into the rooting medium (photograph by S. Merkle)



**Fig. 7.7** Various nutrient media used for raspberry meristem cultures (S. Merkle, pers. comm.)





**Fig. 7.8** Raspberry plants produced by *in vitro* propagation (photograph by S. Merkle)

a sterile bench, and then transferred to a fresh nutrient medium. Essentially, this procedure can be repeated unlimitedly, but not more than 30 subcultures are advisable because of genetic instability (see Chap. 13). After producing enough shoots, rooting is initiated as described above, and eventually development continues on the peat substrate. A summary of the whole method is given in Fig. 7.7. The plants produced by this method are at least phenologically homogenous (Fig. 7.8). From the initial propagation cycles, some shoots of each strain are rooted as described above, and checked for virus contamination (ELISA test). If virus infection is indicated, then the whole strain has to be discarded. In general, by using the method described above most viruses can be eliminated.

### **7.2.3 *In vitro* Propagation of Anthurium (following Geier 1986)**

The origin of explants are young leaves (50–70% final length) that are sterilized by submergence for 5 s into 70% ethanol, followed by a transfer for about 20 min into a hypochlorite solution (1.5% active chlorine, 0.5 ml Tween 20 per liter). To remove the remains of the sterilization solutions, washing with sterilized water first for 10 min, then for 30, and eventually for 60 min is performed. To obtain explants for cultivation, the leaf is cut into squares of 1–1.5 cm, avoiding the midribs. To prevent potentially heavy losses by microbial contaminations, per experiment


**Table 7.5** Compositions of some nutrient media (mg/l) for in vitro propagation of *Anthurium scherzerianum* from leaf segments (Geier 1986)

Nutrient medium <sup>a</sup>	A	B	C
KNO <sub>3</sub>	950	950	950
NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	720	720	720
MgSO <sub>4</sub> × 7H <sub>2</sub> O	185	185	185
CaCl <sub>2</sub> × 2H <sub>2</sub> O	220	220	220
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	68	68	68
FeSO <sub>4</sub> × 7H <sub>2</sub> O	27.8	27.8	27.8
Na <sub>2</sub> EDTA × 2H <sub>2</sub> O	37.8	37.8	27.8
MnSO <sub>4</sub> × H <sub>2</sub> O	19	19	19
H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>4</sub>	10	10	10
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> × 7H <sub>2</sub> O	10	10	10
Na <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> × 2H <sub>2</sub> O	0.250	0.250	0.250
CuSO <sub>4</sub> × 5H <sub>2</sub> O	0.025	0.025	0.025
m-Inositol	100	100	100
Nicotinic acid	5	5	5
Glycine	2	2	2
Pyridoxine-HC	0.5	0.5	0.5
Thiamine-HCl	0.5	0.5	0.5
Folic acid	0.5	0.5	0.5
Biotin			
Sucrose	20,000	20,000	20,000
2.4D	0.1	—	—
Benzyladenine	1	0.2-0.5	-
Agar	8,000	8,000	8,000
pH	5.8	5.8	5.8

<sup>a</sup>A, medium for induction and propagation caulogenic callus material; B, medium for shoot propagation by shoot proliferation; C, medium for rooting

usually only one explant is transferred to a small vessel. The culture of the explants on medium A (see Table 7.5) results in the formation of callus on the cut surface (continuous darkness). A few days later, some shoot primordials can be observed. If these are isolated and transferred to new media, then callus formation is again initiated, followed by differentiation of shoot primordials. Such subculturing can be repeated every 2–3 months (Fig. 7.9). Interestingly, the induction of primary callus formation is possible only in the dark, whereas these subcultures are successful in either light or darkness.


For in vitro propagation, shoots of 1 cm length are used after 4 weeks of illumination (2,500 lux for 14 h per day). For rooting, medium C is employed. About 6–8 weeks later, the plants are transferred into soil. A reduction of light intensity to about 300 lux, and lowering of temperature from 25 to 10°C strongly reduce growth—indeed, even after 2 years, transplantation into soil is successful. By cultivation on medium B (Table 7.5), shoot formation can be induced by the development of adventitious shoots, and that of axillary buds into shoots, followed by rooting on medium C. Economic considerations are discussed by Geier (1986).




**Fig. 7.9** In vitro propagation of *Anthurium scherzerianum* (Geier 1986). *Top left* Plants propagated by in vitro culture methods. *Top right* On medium A in darkness, subcultured leaf callus with excessive shoot formation. *Middle left* Leaf explants with callus and shoot formation after 20 weeks of culture in darkness with different cytokinins, otherwise medium A. *Middle right* Propagation by shoot proliferation on medium B. *Bottom* Rooted shoots on medium C

### 7.3 Somatic Embryogenesis

The term somatic embryogenesis describes a developmental process of somatic cells that results in morphological structures very similar in appearance to zygotic embryos. These somatic embryos can develop into intact plants, producing flowers and seeds. In an earlier chapter of this book, somatic embryogenesis in cell suspensions, or callus cultures obtained from explants of the carrot root have already been briefly described. In Fig. 7.10, this process is summarized by means of a hand drawing for explants of the carrot root, the original source to produce somatic embryos, and in Fig. 7.11 photographs are given for some of the stages. A similar development can be induced in explants of the hypocotyl, petiole, leaf lamina, or other plant parts. Actually, this is a developmental program in which it can be demonstrated that quite a number of somatic cells (although not all) can be induced in some ways to react like the fertilized egg, i.e., the zygote, to produce a complete plant to flower and to set seeds. In fact, it is a reversal of the time elapsed since the original mixture



**Fig. 7.10** The growth of carrot plants continuously maintained by means of cultured cells (drawing by M.O. Mapes, Steward et al. 1964)




**Fig. 7.11** Development of somatic embryos of a carrot cell suspension or a callus culture (Neumann 1995). *Left panel, top* Pro-embryonic cell cluster (*left*), and globular stage (*right*); *middle* heart stage (*left*), and torpedo stage (*right*); *bottom* development of plantlets from an embryogenic cell suspension poured onto an agar plate (*left*), and young plants isolated and transplanted onto agar (*right*). *Right panel, top* Young plants emerging from a carrot callus (*left*), and plantlet isolated out of callus (observe the cotyledons, *right*); *bottom* young plants a few days after transfer into soil (*left*), and flowering plant of callus origin (*right*)

of the male and female gametes of the parents was performed at fertilization, and from which the cells of the cultures were obtained. In a way, the “arrow of time” is reversed. Highly differentiated cells are transformed back into the original status they came from. From a certain viewpoint, our embryogenic cell cultures can be compared to embryonic stem cells of mammals presently discussed by many.

The plants produced by somatic embryogenesis, and in particular their offspring obtained from seeds, are hardly distinguishable from those produced by zygotic embryogenesis. Still, some differences exist at the cytological as well as at the molecular level, for which some examples will be given. For anatomy, in Fig. 7.12 the results of early studies by Street and Withers (1974) are summarized.

As an example, for the molecular level in horse chestnut (*Aesculus hippocastanum* L.) during zygotic as well as somatic embryogenesis, the activity of catalase (CAT) and of superoxide dismutase (SOD) increases, but differences in isozyme pattern were detected. In zygotic embryogenesis, a transition from a fast-migrating CAT form on PAGE, to a slowly migrating form occurred in July, i.e., about 2 months after pollination—in somatic embryo development, these two isoforms of CAT were continuously detectable. For SOD, one Mn-dependent form, and five Cu/Zn-dependent forms were detected at all stages of zygotic embryogenesis, whereas during somatic embryogenesis one Mn/SOD and one Fe/SOD were found (Bagnoli et al. 1998).



**Fig. 7.12** Embryogenesis of *Daucus carota* (Street and Withers 1974), and zygotic embryogenesis (after Borthwick 1931); somatic embryogenesis is based on observations in suspension cultures (a–f and a’–f’ are comparable stages; D, S, I, and P are cells of the four-cell stage embryos, and derivatives of these, e.g., P1, P2)

Also comparing zygotic embryogenesis and somatic embryogenesis in silver fir, SDS/PAGE profiles were very similar, but not identical. For zygotic embryogenesis, six storage proteins were found, and for somatic embryogenesis 11. At certain stages, peroxidase activity was lower in somatic than in zygotic embryogenesis, and esterase activity was higher in the former (Kormutak et al. 2003).

The examples given above could indicate the existence of different pathways to produce zygotic and somatic embryos of the same species. It is difficult to evaluate such data. During both forms of embryogenesis, enzyme concentration or activities continuously change, and therefore a direct comparison of developmental stages of zygotic and somatic embryos is difficult to make. Before one can postulate the existence of alternative developmental programs to produce embryos in the same species, more data should evidently be available.

Somatic embryogenesis was discovered in basic studies to understand the differentiation and development of higher plants (Steward et al. 1958; Reinert 1959). Soon, however, also practical applications were envisaged, mainly by plant breeders and in horticulture. Despite intensive work to this end, success was rather limited, mainly due to the high costs of the manual labor required. Consequently, for a long time somatic embryogenesis was largely a system of basic research. With the advent of gene technology, and the requirement to produce plants from transformed cells for further handling, somatic embryogenesis has become an indispensable part of the technique. In this domain, it today serves as a tool, and the basics are of less interest. Most suited for the purpose are cells of embryo origin for which protocols to produce somatic embryos are available for many plant species, and can easily be found on the internet.

Often, also cells of immature embryos are used as origin. The production of somatic embryos in liquid media offers a chance for automation that should substantially reduce production costs, compared to those incurred in propagation by meristem cultures or adventive organogenesis (see above). Some time ago, it was calculated that to produce somatic embryos at a price of 0.01 cent apiece would be profitable (Walker and Sluis 1983), although this mostly by far exceeded the cost of natural propagation by seeds in the field. Exception could be hybrids to be produced by manual crossing, like coffee. Such embryos can be used to produce artificial seeds, as will be described later. For propagation of coffee, a method was published to successfully transfer the embryos even directly into soil, using the RITA system described in the section on liquid cultures (and see below at the end of this chapter).

Based on different aims for work on somatic embryogenesis, below the discussion will be divided into:

- the basics of somatic embryogenesis, and
- the application of somatic embryogenesis.

Compared to plants produced by embryogenic callus material, or adventive organogenesis, i.e., indirect somatic embryogenesis, a usually higher cytogenetic stability (see Sect. 13.1) can be observed for plants produced by direct somatic embryogenesis. Here, the embryos develop from one cell, as e.g., described for

carrots by Li and Neumann (1985), whereas in indirect somatic embryogenesis a prior callus phase is required. Somatic embryogenesis has been described in the literature for more than 100 species, also on the internet. In most cases, however, this is for indirect somatic embryogenesis.

Again, the description of somatic embryogenesis for a given species in a research article is not identical with a reliable method that works at will every day. To this end, more understanding of the process is required. After all, as mentioned before, somatic embryogenesis is a key process not only for propagation of plants, but also to raise transgenic plants after artificial changes in the genome, and it is a model system to understand growth and differentiation in basic research. The aim of further research should be to understand this system to be able to apply it to any plant species at will.

### 7.3.1 Basics of Somatic Embryogenesis

As an introduction, first some remarks are given on embryogenesis in higher plants in general (see also Neumann and Grieb 1992). Beside zygotic embryogenesis, which occurs in all higher plant species, some detours to this basic process occur as substitutes for propagation by seeds without fertilization, and in some species both are possible. These detours are usually summarized as apomixes (Fig. 7.13). In the case of adventive embryogenesis, or nucellar embryogenesis, generation changes and the formation of the embryo sac are bypassed, and embryos develop from somatic diploid cells of the nucellus, or the integuments. This sporophytic form of apomixes often results in polyembryony (e.g., in dandelion, citrus).




Fig. 7.13 Some alternatives of embryo development of higher plants

In gametophytic apomixes, the diploid embryo sac develops from a vegetative cell of the nucellus (somatic apospory), or from the embryo sac mother cell, due to incomplete meiosis (generative apospory). In these cases, the embryo ( $2n$ ) develops parthenogenically from the egg cell, or from synergids or antipodals (diploid apogamic). Haploid parthenogenic and haploid apogamy occur as non-recurrent apomixes (Maheshwari 1979). Apparently, in addition to the embryo sac mother cell, other cells of the generative apex possess an embryogenic competence, and finally embryo development can be initiated in micro- and macropores (androgenesis, gynogenesis; see Chap. 6).

The induction of embryo development from these cells requires a stimulus—in zygotic embryogenesis, this is fertilization. Apomictic embryogenesis can be initiated by a number of factors, such as fertilization, pollination, and environmental factors including temperature shocks, and the photoperiod (Nogler 1984). The chemical nature of the actual stimulus is not yet known.

These detours, however, are confined to the generative apex. Still, this natural competence of cells to somatic embryogenesis seems to exist more generally, though usually camouflaged in the intact plant. Here, somatic embryogenesis, as practiced in many tissue culture laboratories, nevertheless has to be induced by specific conditions, as can be provided *in vitro* by a suitable environment consisting of a nutrient medium containing a stimulus, usually an auxin, sometimes also a cytokinin, or both, and some requirements for appropriate temperature and illumination. In some protocols, also an ABA supplement is beneficial. In most plant species, however, this competence is lost during ontogenesis, and somatic embryos can not be produced from explants of other parts of the plant. Thus, these species are heuristically defined as recalcitrant. Still, using cells of embryonic origin has often proved to be successful. This is the case, e.g., for some economically important cereals. Here, mature seeds are germinated in a medium containing high concentrations of 2.4D (e.g., 10–15 ppm 2.4D), resulting in excessive callus formation from which a great number of embryos can be derived after a transfer to an auxin-free nutrient medium (Imani 1999; unpublished results of our laboratory).


Generally, some hierarchical order within the plant seems to exist for many species, with highest success using embryonic cell material, followed by that of the hypocotyl, shoot buds, petiole, young leaves, and finally the root (Fig. 7.14).

There are some exceptions to this loss of embryogenic competence during ontogenesis, however, one being *Daucus carota*, the common carrot. Here, it is possible to culture intact 6- to 8-week-old plants aseptically and partly submersed in an appropriate medium (with enhanced salt concentrations) containing an auxin, and within about 4 weeks somatic embryos appear from all parts of the shoot. If IAA is used as the auxin, then adventitious roots emerge about 2 weeks earlier

Embryo > Hypocotyl > Petiole > Leaf lamina > Root
---

**Fig. 7.14** Competence to somatic embryogenesis in carrot (*Daucus carota* L.)





**Fig. 7.15** Development of somatic embryos on cultured intact carrot plantlets in an auxin-supplemented medium


(Schäfer et al. 1988). In this system, the competence to somatic embryogenesis is apparently preserved beyond the embryo stage, and it can be activated rather easily by a suitable environment. Under these conditions, embryo development can be also observed directly without prior callus formation, as shown for the emergence of embryos from the hypocotyl of a young plantlet in Fig. 7.15.

Such favorable conditions to initiate somatic embryogenesis apparently can be also invoked under particular genetic circumstances in intact plants on an inorganic agar medium. This was observed for about 6- to 8-week-old plantlets derived by somatic embryogenesis from fusion products of protoplasts obtained from transgenic plants of the wild carrot, and transgenic plants of a domestic variety of *D. carota*. Hygromycin resistance was introduced into the wild carrot strain, and 5-methyl-tryptophan resistance into the strain of the domestic variety (Rotin). From these fusion products, plants were raised through somatic embryogenesis, and on the petioles or also on roots of these hybrids a small callus developed on which later somatic embryos appeared. These could be used to obtain plantlets on which this process was observed again—this was repeated for three “generations” (Fig. 7.16; Chinachit 1991; De Klerk et al. 1997). This kind of development was not observed for sexual crosses of the “parents” of the hybrids, or for protoplast fusion products of the genetically unaltered parent genomes. In some as yet unknown way, the introduction of foreign DNA would have changed the developmental control system of the hybrids, possibly related to the hormonal system; no further explanation of these observations can be given at present.

For further studies to understand this developmental process, it is not suitable to use cultured entire plants *in vitro*, but rather more practical to use explants from various organs. In our laboratory, we mainly use explants of petioles of ca. 6-week-old plants. Here, two culture systems are practiced (Fig. 7.17). If the rather stable 2.4D is used as the auxin, then the cultured explants have to be transferred to an auxin-free medium 2 weeks after the beginning of culture, and about 2 weeks later the various stages of embryo development can be observed. Though rhizogenic centers can be observed that are histologically similar to those of the IAA treatment, as described below, rarely some adventitious roots



**Fig. 7.16** Development of embryogenic callus structures on petioles of fusion products of two transgenic carrot lines (wild carrot, hygromycin resistant; domestic carrot, 5-methyl-tryptophan resistant on an inorganic agar medium)



**Fig. 7.17** Developmental processes in cultured carrot petiole explants in two different nutrient media

also develop. In the other system using IAA as an auxin, after about 10 days adventitious roots appear, and again embryo development occurs about 4 weeks after the isolation of the explants. Since the IAA of the nutrient medium is destroyed after 2–3 days in the light (Bender and Neumann 1978a, b), a transfer to an auxin-free medium is not required. In the former system, the number of embryos developed is usually higher than in the latter system, and for many biochemical investigations often the inclusion of root tissue is not desirable. Therefore, in many studies the former system is preferred, in others the latter (Neumann 1995).

A culture of 48 h in the 2.4D medium, however, is sufficient to initiate embryo development at t12 to t14 after initiation of the culture (Grieb 1991/1992). Apparently, the switch to the embryogenic developmental pathway occurs very early after culture initiation. The auxin 2.4D is quite stable, and an alternative explanation could be a preservation of molecules within the explants for some time. No investigations have yet been made on the fate of this auxin in the cells after its uptake.

Below, some essential requirements for somatic embryogenesis are given; generally, these apply also to zygotic embryogenesis, and in fact essentially to all differentiation pathways.

Requirements to induce somatic embryogenesis

- competent cells
- a suitable environment
- a stimulus.


Based on these requirements, the following questions and aims have been formulated for further investigations:

- What constitutes embryogenic competence at the cytological and the molecular level?
- How are embryogenic competent cells produced during ontogenesis of plants?
- Molecular organization of the program of somatic embryogenesis, and its realization
- What is the stimulus to induce the program of embryogenesis in competent cells?


It is not possible to fully discuss these aspects here. Rather, a few examples are given, mainly from our own research program.

### Competent cells

The various non-zygotic pathways of embryogenesis originate from cells of the nucellus or the integuments, and the first sign of embryo development after the reception of the stimulus is an intensive growth of cytoplasm in some originally vacuolated cells (Fig. 7.18). The cells reacting to the stimulus are apparently distributed at random in the nucellus or the integuments. This indicates that at a given



**Fig. 7.18** Development of adventitious embryos of *Citrus trifoliata* (after Maheswari 1979). *A* Micropylary section of the embryo sac with fertilized egg cell, and pollen tube endosperm nuclei. Some cells of the nucellus are enlarged with a big nucleus and dense cytoplasm. *B* A more developed stage of *A*. *C* Upper part of the embryo sac with some early stages of embryo development; only the zygotic embryo has a suspensor



**Fig. 7.19** Development of the egg cell and the embryo sac of *Leiphaimos spectabilis* (after Maheswari 1979)

stage only some, and not all cells of these structures are competent to receive the stimulus, and transform it into the initiation of embryo development. Also the megaspore mother cell develops out of a vacuolated cell by an increase of cytoplasm (Fig. 7.19).

In the carrot petiole, originally vacuolated subepidermal cells are embryogenic, and also here the first sign of the induction of somatic embryogenesis is an increase of cytoplasm in these cells. In the following, a detailed description of the carrot petiole system will be given.

As mentioned before, somatic embryogenesis can be observed in two forms, i.e., as a direct somatic embryogenesis, and an indirect form. In direct somatic embryogenesis, the embryo develops from a single cell of, e.g., the hypocotyl, or

the petiole, without prior callus formation. Here, apparently a somatic cell can be directly transformed into an embryogenic cell. In indirect somatic embryogenesis, first the formation of a callus occurs, and subsequently embryo development can be observed in some of these callus cells. The indirect form has been described for many more plant species than has the direct one. Examples for direct somatic embryogenesis are *Daucus* (Li and Neumann 1985), *Trifolium rubens* (Cui et al. 1988), and *Dactylis glomerata* (Conge et al. 1983). For some plant species like *Daucus*, both forms are possible.


The various developmental processes are confined to specific areas in the petiole, and only some subepidermal cells (sometimes in close proximity to a glandular canal) are truly totipotent and competent to produce somatic embryos in a direct way, without a prerequisite for a callus phase (cf. direct somatic embryogenesis; Li and Neumann 1985; Neumann and Grieb 1992; Neumann 1995; De Klerk et al. 1997). Interestingly, the cells forming the glandular canal, characteristic for the species, contain high concentrations of auxins, as shown by using transgenic plants containing the auxin-sensitive MAS promoter coupled to the GUS gene, although the significance of this aspect is as yet not known (Grieb et al. 1997).

Rhizogenic centers develop near vascular bundles prior to these embryogenic centers. In both cases, some originally vacuolated cells start to produce new cytoplasm before the initiation of cell division. This contrasts with the initiation of cell division in cultured explants of the carrot taproot. Here, the first responses are associated with the formation of phragmosomes localized in strings of cytoplasm traversing the vacuoles (Neumann 1995, see also Chap. 3). In these strings of cytoplasm, nuclear division also takes place.

The cytological events described in Fig. 7.20 are summarized from an extensive histological investigation, and not all stages could be observed in all sections obtained (cf. Fig. 7.21). Looking at longitudinal cuttings of cultured petioles, regenerative centers are irregularly distributed along the axis. Apparently, the regenerative cells in these petiole explants contain at least a second competence to that brought about in the original petiole hidden during its development on the intact plant, i.e., rhizogenesis in the cells near the vascular bundles, or embryogenesis in these subepidermal cells. A rough summary for the 2.4D system is given in Fig. 7.22.

For a technical description of direct somatic embryogenesis, the carrot petiole system as used in our laboratory will be summarized as example, based on an earlier description (Neumann and Grieb 1992). The original explants are obtained from petioles of 6- to 8-week-old plants. The explants of about 1 cm length can be severed from petioles of either aseptically germinated or (after surface sterilization) of soil germinated plants, by means of sterilized scissors or a scalpel. Also, young leaves of older plants can serve as origin.

In histological investigations, not only a different morphogenetic capacity can clearly be observed in various parenchyma tissues of the petiole, but also a timescale for morphogenetic programs for the tissues described in detail in (Figs. 7.20, 7.21). In nutrient media supplemented with IAA as the auxin (NL), and in the

**EMBRYOGENIC AREA**

- a) growth of cytoplasm and cell division (12 days)
- b) tetraoidal stage (14 days)
- c) globular stage (18 days)
- d) heart-shaped stage (24 days)
- e) torpedo-shaped stage (28 days)

**RHIZOGENIC AREA**


- a) growth of cytoplasm and cell division (2 days)
- b) root primordia (5 days)
- c) root emergence (7 to 10 days)

**CAULOGENIC AREA**

- a) growth of cytoplasm and cell division (5 days)
- b) shoot primordia (12 days)


**Fig. 7.20** Regenerated areas in a carrot petiole, and morphogenic reactions during 4 weeks of culture in NL with IAA and m-inositol (time given in days after start of the culture; photograph by J. Imani; Schäfer et al. 1985)

medium with 2.4D (B5), 2–4 days after initiation of culture the cytoplasm increases in some vacuolated parenchyma cells adjacent to the conductive elements. These cells are usually in close proximity to glandular canals, and develop eventually into rhizogenic centers. Further development differs depending on the auxin supplied. In the IAA medium, after 4–6 days in culture root primordia, and later adventitious roots can be observed. If 2.4D is used as the auxin, then the rhizogenic centers often show some oriented growth, but root primordia and later roots are not differentiated. Nevertheless, if these cultures are transferred into a hormone-free medium, such root primordia and roots are eventually produced, though the latter in much lower numbers. Evidently, the competence to rhizogenesis exists also in the 2.4D cultured material, but its realization is prevented by 2.4D in the medium. Some days later, the formation of caulogenic centers can sometimes be observed in the



**Fig. 7.21** Some histological sections of cultured petiole explants of carrot. *Top* Rhizogenic centers near vascular bundles; *middle* cytoplasm-rich cell in the subepidermal area; *bottom* four-cell stage in embryogenic area

area of big parenchyma cells of the petiole (F. Schäfer, pers. comm.; see Fig. 7.20). After 10 days of culture, the petioles are transferred from the 2.4D medium into a B5 medium devoid of auxin.




**Fig. 7.22** Flow sheet on somatic embryogenesis

In both systems, after 12–14 days in culture some vacuolated cells of a subepidermal cell layer again show an increase in cytoplasm without prior cell division, and these cells are transformed into embryogenic cells (Fig. 7.21). Here, it seems to be basically a histological process similar to those occurring in the floral apex during the various stages of development of the egg cell and the embryo sac. At the initiation of these processes, again the cells that will become embryogenic are often adjacent to glandular canals, whatever the significance of this is. Again as already observed for rhizogenesis, further development of the two systems differs. In the cultures in the IAA-supplemented medium, mostly a direct embryo development is initiated, and these cytoplasm-rich cells differentiate first into a four-cell structure, followed by the globular stage, the torpedo stage, and the cotyledonary stage, eventually developing into the young plantlets to be transferred onto a solid medium. If cultured in a 2.4D medium, it is only after transfer from the 2.4D-supplemented nutrient solution into an auxin-free medium that the development of these initiated cells through multicellular stages can be observed. Often, initiated areas break off, and are found freely floating in the medium.

Some cells on the surface of these structures develop into the various stages of embryo development. Again from such clusters, new embryogenic structures can split off, and embryogenesis is initiated again. In both systems, however, often embryos with an abnormal morphology occur (Fig. 7.23). No convincing explanations for these are available yet. After the stimulus to somatic embryogenesis is received, embryogenic competence is preserved through many cell divisions (propagation phase) for years. Apparently, a realization of the embryogenic program can be blocked by an auxin as long as propagation is envisaged in a medium containing 2.4D, like the B5 medium. In Fig. 7.22, a scheme is given as a summary of somatic embryogenesis.





**Fig. 7.23** Somatic embryogenesis: *top* various stages of somatic embryogenesis of an embryogenic cell cluster; *bottom* abnormally developed embryos

For the initiation of indirect somatic embryogenesis, callus growth resulting from high cell division activity is first required. Early examples are explants of the carrot root (Linser and Neumann 1968), or of *Digitalis* (Luckner and Dietrich 1985, 1987). Apparently, cells of these original explants are not competent to develop somatic embryos. During high cell division activity, some processes of transdifferentiation (“reprogramming”) seem to proceed that then result in competent cells. Such systems show that in some cases, competent cells can be generated if absent in the original explant. As already shown for petiole explants and the generative apex, also here only a few cells are competent to develop into embryos.

An important function in the induction of somatic embryogenesis could possibly be attributed to adjacent cells, although no convincing evidence is yet available about this aspect. Before this can be clarified, a clear identification of “target cells” to become embryogenic is required. To this end, cytoimmunological and histochemical methods should be employed. On the basis of similarities of somatic embryogenesis and the various apomictic embryogenesis programs, somatic embryogenesis should essentially be simply another example of asexual embryogenesis in higher plants.

The influence of the hormonal supplement on morphogenesis varies between species. Whereas in the carrot system cytokinin application inhibits embryo development, in cultures of *Medicago truncatula*, and in addition to NAA as auxin, BAP as a cytokinin has to be supplied to initiate embryogenesis. With only NAA in the medium, only rhizogenesis is initiated (Nolan et al. 2003).

### 7.3.2 *Ontogenesis of Competent Cells*

How and where do competent cells develop to produce somatic embryos? Currently, this question can not be satisfactorily answered. Cutting an explant from a tissue represents a wound, and as a reaction in a suitable medium like the MS or NL medium, cell division is initiated and a wound callus is produced. This is accompanied by a dedifferentiation of the cells involved. Since embryo development can be induced also in cultured intact plantlets, as described above, this wounding would play no role in the induction of competence. This competence would be induced while the explant is still a part of the intact plant during its ontogenesis. As discussed above, such competent cells can occur more or less in any organ, though indistinguishable from adjacent cells in the same tissue under the microscope, from the embryo to the floral apex. These cells would be able to receive and react to the external stimulus, which can be a plant hormone like an auxin. As shown for transgenic material at initiation of culture, endogenous auxin, i.e., IAA, is evenly distributed within the petiole of carrots (see below). After a few days of culture, the IAA is polarized around vascular tissue, which then develops rhizogenic centers. Some days later, as described above, some subepidermal cells become embryogenic. If TIBA (an inhibitor of auxin transport) is applied, then the accumulation of IAA near vascular tissue does not occur, and somatic embryogenesis is not initiated (J. Imani, unpublished results of our institute). Possibly these rhizogenic centers produce signals that initiate this process. As discussed at length later, some glycoproteins are candidates for such a signal. Still, the question remains what distinguishes these receptive subepidermal cells to become embryogenic, among all other cells? The answer to this should come from a better anatomical and molecular understanding of the ontogenesis of leaves. In our experience, young leaves are better suited, being generally independent of the age of the plant from where the leaf to be used is obtained. Again the petiole is better suited to somatic embryogenesis than is the leaf lamina. The question then arises

in which way is the ontogenesis of the subepidermal cells of the petiole different from that of other leaf cells?

In the ontogenesis of petioles, like in the development of the lamina of many dicots, intercalary growth by meristems located between differentiated tissues plays an important role. This could also be of significance for the development of cells (subepidermal cells) between the epidermis and the vascular bundles. Also the small size of the cells of subepidermal tissue, as the origin of embryogenic cells, points to the descendents from intercalary activities. These rather young cells would be receptive to exogenous stimuli from nearby cells, like those of rhizogenic centers or others. Not all cells of the embryogenic subepidermal cell layer are able to produce somatic embryos. In the subepidermal cell layer, as well as those along the petiole axis, the embryogenic cells are distributed at random. Therefore, it is difficult to conceive the occurrence of competition due to diffusion gradients in a given cell group to select only certain cells to initiate embryo development. Maybe differences in the time elapsed since the last cell division, i.e., cell age, plays a decisive role that would vary among individual cells of this cell layer. A consequence of this would be cytological and biochemical variation. Further ideas to this end are discussed in Chapter 12. These considerations are based only on the somatic competence of cells of carrot petioles; the situation will certainly be different for other systems.

### 7.3.3 Genetic Aspects—DNA Organization


A rough estimation (see von Arnold et al. 2002) amounts to  $3 \times 10^4$  genes to be expressed in embryos and seedlings, and 3,500 genes seem to be required to complete embryo development. In *Arabidopsis*, about 40 genes seem to direct the formation of all body pattern elements in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* embryo (von Arnold et al. 2002). Genetic factors also play a central role in inducing somatic embryos, i.e., in providing the competence of the species for the process. Here, using petiole explants, strong variations can be found even within a single genus such as *Daucus*. Eight of 12 *Daucus* species or subspecies cultured under identical conditions produced somatic embryos (*D. halophilus*, *D. capillifolius*, *D. commutatus*, *D. azoricus*, *D. gadacei*, *D. maritimus*, *D. maximus*, *D. carota*), whereas four (*D. montevidensis*, *D. pussillus*, *D. muricatus*, *D. glochidiatus*) were not competent to do so in similar conditions (Fig. 7.24). Still, the non-competent genomes may be embryogenic, using explants of embryonic origin.

Since two of the recalcitrant species/subspecies are native to the Mediterranean, as well as three of the competent ones, by and large the geographic location of origin seems to be of secondary importance (Le Tran Thi, unpublished results of our laboratory).

We used several molecular approaches to characterize the DNA of competent and recalcitrant genomes; one was the RAPD technique, which gives random amplified polymorphic DNA sequences employing PCR, i.e., the polymerase chain reaction. Here, selected primers with special sequences of about ten nucleotides are

	Species	Origin
1	<i>D. halophilus</i> (e)	Mediterranean
2	<i>D. capillifolius</i> (e)	North Africa
3	<i>D. montevidensis</i> L. (n)	Mediterranean / South America
4	<i>D. commutatus</i> (e)	Mediterranean
5	<i>D. azoricus ssp.</i> (e)	Azores, Iran
6	<i>D. gadacei ssp.</i> (e)	France
7	<i>D. pusillus</i> Michx. (n)	North and South america
8	<i>D. muricatus</i> L. (n)	Mediterranean
9	<i>D. glochidiatus</i> (n)	Australia
10	<i>D. maritimus</i> (e)	Mediterranean
11	<i>D. maximus ssp.</i> (e)	Mediterranean
12	<i>D. carota</i> (wild carrot) (e)	Germany
13	<i>D. carota sativus</i> var. (Rotin) (e)	Germany

(e) = embryogenic; (n) = non embryogenic



**Fig. 7.24** Some embryogenic and non-embryogenic *Daucus* genomes, and their seeds


applied as starters for replication, and the number of nucleotides between the primer sequences yields DNA stretches of various lengths that can be separated on a gel by electrophoresis. The location of the primer sequences on the DNA is genetically fixed, and characteristic of the species.

The RAPDs of these *Daucus* genomes in Fig. 7.25 were compared, using some 30 primers. For one of these, two areas were identical in the embryogenic species, and absent in the recalcitrant species, i.e., the areas with RAPDs at about 1,100 bp, and at about 650 of primer 3.

We do not yet know what the function of these “marker DNA” sequences for an embryogenic potential could be, and whether they have anything to do with somatic embryogenesis at all. The two conspicuous bands were isolated and sequenced. Without going into all previously published details of this study (Imani et al. 2001), both bands were quite similar in the embryogenic species, with an identity of 70–95% in the nucleotide sequence (Table 7.6).

Neither indicated an open reading frame, and it is safe to conclude that no sequences of genes occur in these stretches of DNA. A search in databanks did not help in further characterization; these DNA stretches had apparently not been described before. Further investigations are required to see whether these bands can be regarded as markers for the ability to produce somatic embryos in cultured explants from mature plants, at least for the genus *Daucus*. The dendrogram in Fig. 7.26 indicates the genetic relations of these *Daucus* genomes. The genomes with no potential to produce somatic embryos form a separate group with chromosome numbers of more than 18, as found in *Daucus carota*.

The group of De Vries used a different approach to find marker genes for somatic embryogenesis. Starting also with carrot cultures, in hypocotyl explants,




**Fig. 7.25** RAPD analysis (primer 5'-d(GTAGACCCGT)-3') of some embryogenic (lanes 5–8) and non-embryogenic (lanes 1–4) *Daucus* species and subspecies (arrows indicate fragments of 1.1 and 0.68 kbp). **a** Lanes: *M* marker, 1 *D. muricatus* L. (n), 2 *D. pusillus* Michx. (n), 3 *D. montevidensis* Link ex Sprengel (n), 4 *D. glochidiatus* (Labill.) Fischer et al. (n), 5 *D. carota* ssp. *carota* L. (wild carrot, e), 6 *D. carota* ssp. *maritimus* (Lam) Batt. (e), 7 *D. carota* ssp. *halophilus* Brot. (e), 8 *D. carota* ssp. *maximus* (Desf.) Ball (e). *e* Embryogenic, *n* non-embryogenic. **b** See **a** for lanes (Imani et al. 2001)

**Table 7.6** Degree of identity (%) in the nucleotide sequence of some DNA stretches of various *Daucus* genomes

	<i>Daucus carota halophilus</i> , 1	<i>Daucus carota maritimus</i> , 2	<i>Daucus carota maximus</i> , 3	<i>Daucus carota carota</i> (wild carrot), 4
1.1 kbp				
1	–	80	84	92
2	80	–	74	82
3	84	74	–	87
4	92	82	87	–
0.68 kbp				
1	–	97	97	92
2	97	–	97	97
3	97	97	–	97
4	92	97	97	–

and later also in established cell suspensions derived thereof, a gene was identified in a population of embryogenic cells. Based on the nucleotide sequence, a protein could be derived that is a leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase (SERK). Using a construct consisting of the SERK promoter, and the luciferase gene as reporter, it



**Fig. 7.26** Dendrograms of eight *Daucus* accession construct by RAPD analysis of genomic DNA (primer 5'-d(GTAGACCCGT)-3') (Imani et al. 2001)

could be shown that SERK expression occurs only up to the globular stage of embryo development. SERK mRNA was also found in zygotic embryogenesis up to the globular stage, but not in non-pollinated flowers or other tissues. Apparently, SERK is quite specific for the early stages of somatic and zygotic embryogenesis, indicating some similarity of both (Schmidt et al. 1997).

SERK was also detected in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and here it was shown that SERK is localized in cell membranes from where it can be transported in intracellular vesicles in the cytoplasm (Shah 2000). In *A. thaliana*, a gene family of SERK, now called AtSERK, apparently exists of which AtSERK1 is best characterized. Homologues to SERK have been described also for other plant species. In sugarcane cultures (*Saccharum* sp.), for example, the genes of the SERK family are called SoSERK, and this family has five members. SoSERK1 has a 72% identity with AtSERK1. Some members of the SoSERK family have a higher percentage identity with those of other monocots. Also for *Zea mays*, two SERK genes have been described (ZmSERK1 and ZmSERK2). These single copy genes have about 80% identity in nucleotide sequence, and they share similar intron/exon structures to those of the SERK genes. Whereas the expression of SERK2 occurs more or less in all tissues investigated to date, expression of SERK1 dominates in reproductive tissue, especially in microspores. These SERK genes, however, are expressed in embryogenic and non-embryogenic callus cultures. Furthermore, in callus cultures

of sugarcane, SoSERKs are also expressed (Engelmann 1997). All this casts some doubt on the specificity of expression during the early stages of embryogenesis, as described above. Doubts on the specificity were already expressed by Nolan et al. (2003) in an extensive investigation using a culture system of *Medicago truncatula*, a legume. Here, a Serk1 gene (MtSERK1) orthologous to AtSERK1 (92% identity) was characterized, and the results suggested that this gene would have a broader role in morphogenesis in cultured tissue, and not only in somatic embryogenesis.

Let us go from species to varieties. Also here differences exist with respect to competence to produce somatic embryos, as reported some years ago (Table 7.7).

Whereas petioles from wild carrots, a French variety (Vosgeses), and the old German variety Rote Riesen are moderately competent under the conditions employed, the variety Lobbericher is not embryogenic under identical conditions; the more recent German variety Rotin, however, is highly competent. The DNA of these varieties has been compared by density gradient centrifugation (Table 7.8; Dührssen and Neumann 1980) already years ago. The GC content of DNA sequences obtained by mechanical sheering of total DNA varies, and the density increases with GC content. GC-rich sequences appear as heavy satellites of the main band DNA. The highest number of GC-rich satellites ( $\text{Cs}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{Ag}^+$  density gradient centrifugation) was found for wild carrots; in the domestic varieties, always one or the other of the satellites are missing. If the wild carrot is considered as an ancestor of domestic carrot varieties, then this indicates that during domestication some DNA sequences were lost, or altered in concentration (Dührssen et al. 1984).

The wild carrot is embryogenic, and also the variety Rote Riesen, whereas Lobbericher and an Italian variety are not. The common denominator of wild carrots and Rote Riesen is the satellite with a density of  $1.422 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , absent in the non-embryogenic. Here again we do not yet know whether the DNA of this satellite has anything to do with somatic embryogenesis, or if it could be regarded only as a “marker” for the potential.

**Table 7.7** Somatic embryogenesis (s. e.) in cultured petiole explants of some carrot varieties (B5 system, 32 days of culture)

Wild carrot	Vosgeses	Lobbericher	Rote Riesen	Rotin
+	+	–	+	++

<sup>a</sup>Symbols: –, no s. e.; +, <50 s. e./15 ml; ++, >50 s. e./15 ml

**Table 7.8** DNA density gradient profiles of some carrot varieties (+, present; –, absent)

	Density ( $\text{g/cm}^3$ ) <sup>-3</sup>						
	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII
	Main band	1.422	1.448	1.498	1.502	1.520	1.539
Wild carrots	1.485	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lobbericher	1.482	–	–	–	+	+	+
Rote Riesen	1.478	+	–	–	+	+	+
Italian	1.484	–	+	–	–	+	+

These GC-rich satellites are due to either highly or moderately repeated sequences generally not coding for proteins, and are nowadays often defined as so-called junk DNA. This probably holds true also for the RAPDs discussed above. The function of this “junk DNA” is to date largely unknown, but one has to keep in mind that it can represent more than 90% of the DNA of an organism. The genes as such could be similar or even identical in these varieties, and even in species with different embryogenic potential. What distinguishes them could be the organization of the genetic system, i.e., the ways and sequences in which they are activated to produce proteins and enzymes. Here possibly junk DNA could play a crucial role. A high heritability of embryogenic potential has also been demonstrated in extensive investigations using sunflower cultures for two experimental traits, i.e., the number of embryogenic explants, and the number of embryos produced (Flores Berrios et al. 2000).

As mentioned above, apparently not all cells of the petiole explant are able to be induced to use the stimulus transmitted at explantation to develop into root primordia and somatic embryos. Using the carrot system, also cells of other tissues beside those in the petiole can be induced to produce somatic embryos, i.e., these cells also possess embryogenic competence. To trigger this competence, the chemical environment is of importance, i.e., in vitro it is the nutrient medium, and for zygotic embryo development and apomixes it is the endosperm.

More information became available following the application of proteomics and its methodology, as recently published by Imin et al. (2005), and serving as example. Here two lines of *Medicago truncatula* were studied, one recalcitrant and the other highly embryogenic. More than 2,000 proteins were detected, of which 54 were significantly changed in expression during 8 weeks of culture with embryo development of the embryogenic line. Of these, more than 60% had differences between the two lines in the pattern of gene expression. Sixteen could also be identified; still, post-translational modification should be considered.

Of what consists the stimulus to induce the program of embryogenesis in competent cells? Beside the question of what consists the competence of those embryogenic cells in the various tissues of the carrot plant to receive a stimulus, what can we say on the nature of that stimulus to induce somatic cells to produce embryos? Again, basically three possibilities arise:

- the shock of isolation, and in general the isolation of the explant from the mother plant
- the auxin (or any other growth substance) in the nutrient medium
- or both.

As described above, it is possible to induce the production of somatic embryos in intact young plants cultured partly submersed under otherwise identical conditions as petiole explants, and embryos appear on the petioles, leaf lamina, and other parts. Consequently, and although often discussed in the literature, the first argument regarding shock and/or isolation as initiator can be discarded. The other possibility is the auxin as stimulus. This agrees with data on the IAA concentration in an embryonic and a non-embryonic carrot variety. In explants of the embryogenic




variety, during induction a steep increase of IAA occurs, and in the non-embryogenic variety this was not the case (Li and Neumann 1985). Possibly, an increase in endogenous auxin occurs also parallel to the induction of embryo development in zygotic and apomictic embryogenesis. The petiole program as described above can be initiated in light and in darkness.


#### ***7.3.4 The Phytohormone System***

Competent cells have to be induced to somatic embryogenesis by a trigger, which in the carrot system is an auxin in a suitable nutrient medium such as a modified B5 medium, or the NL medium developed in our laboratory (Neumann 1966, 1995). If these induced cells continue to grow in an auxin-free medium, resulting either from photooxidative destruction of IAA, or from a transfer into an auxin-free medium, as in the case of the 2.4D medium, then embryo development will proceed. If, however, these induced cells are continuously subcultured in the 2.4D medium, then this commitment will be preserved for many years, and the realization of the embryogenic program will be prevented until these cells are transferred into an auxin-free medium. Many investigations on somatic embryogenesis use cell suspensions isolated often a long time beforehand, and of unknown history. These cultures are hardly suitable to investigate somatic competence; at best, they are useful to study the release of the program of embryo development of cells initiated earlier. As in the case of our 2.4D medium, embryo development possibly was inhibited in such cultures by some component of the chemical or physical environment.

Let us first turn to the induction process. As shown for many cultures, the hormonal system plays a key role in the induction of somatic embryogenesis. It is known from earlier investigations that cultured cells develop an endogenous hormonal system different from that of the original explants (Bender and Neumann 1978a; Stiebeling and Neumann 1987), and therefore as a first approach the concentration of some phytohormones was determined at various stages during the induction of somatic embryogenesis in cultured petiole explants (Fig. 7.27; Grieb et al. 1997). Of those phytohormones determined, ABA dominates in the original petiole explants, followed by much lower concentrations of IAA and of cytokinins. Whereas the ABA concentration more or less continuously decreases during culture, the IAA concentration reaches a maximum 6 days after the start of the experiment, concurrently with the start of the development of adventitious roots. After this, the concentration of this native auxin continuously decreases until, on the 14th day, again a small peak appears. On the tenth or 11th day, there is also a small maximum in the cytokinins concentration (though at a lower level), dominated by 2iP and its riboside. This coincides with the onset of cytoplasmic growth in small, originally vacuolated subepidermal cells, to become embryogenically induced as described above. In summary, the cultured petiole explant produces its own hormonal system, with continuous changes in the ratios between concentrations of the




**Fig. 7.27** Concentrations of some endogenous phytohormones in cultured carrot petioles (NL system) at several stages during the induction and realization of somatic embryogenesis



**Fig. 7.28** Concentrations of IAA and of cytokinins in cultured carrot root tissue (constant environment: continuous illumination of ca. 5,000 lux, 22°C) during a 26-h experimental period. The samples were taken at 2-h intervals (Nessiem, unpublished results from our institute)


phytohormones investigated to date. The significance of such changes to plant development was recognized many years ago by Skoog and Miller (1957), and this could possibly play a decisive role also in cultured petiole explants to become embryogenic. As mentioned before, this is to some extent confirmed by comparing these data, obtained from a highly embryogenic variety, with those from a recalcitrant variety characterized by completely different patterns for the concentrations



**Fig. 7.29** Plasmid pPCV812 with the MAS promoter and the GUS reporter gene: Hyg denotes hygromycin resistance, and Amp denotes ampicillin resistance (courtesy of Dr. Z. Koncz, Max-Planck-Institut Cologne, Germany, who provided the plasmid)

of IAA and of cytokinins, as published earlier (Li and Neumann 1985). An increase in the endogenous IAA concentration in cultured carrot cells competent to perform somatic embryogenesis was also reported by Michalczuk et al. (1992a, b), and by Pasternak et al. (2002) for an alfalfa culture system. The concentration of phytohormones follows a circadian rhythm also in cultured cells, which has to be considered in interpreting results on the phytohormone system *in vitro*. This has been demonstrated at least for carrot callus cultures of root origin (Fig. 7.28).

Using petiole explants from transgenic plants containing the auxin-responsive MAS promoter linked to the GUS reporter gene (Figs. 7.29, 7.30), the distribution of auxin within the cultured petiole could be followed during the induction phase of somatic embryogenesis. As mentioned before, whereas in the original petiole explant at explantation the auxin is more or less evenly distributed throughout the petiole, after 5–6 days in culture, and concurrently with the formation of root primordia near vascular bundles, IAA is now accumulated in this area of the petiole (Fig. 7.31). After 9 days of culture, immediately before root development can be observed, the auxin concentration is substantially reduced in this area, and IAA




**Fig. 7.30** Reaction system of the MAS promoter coupled to the GUS reporter gene


now accumulates in the emerging embryogenic areas. Apparently, in the cultured petioles not only changes in the total concentrations of IAA occurs, but also distinct changes in its distribution related to histogenic events can be observed (Grieb et al. 1997; Imani 1999).

Such transgenic plants will also be excellent tools in general to study the concentration trends of hormones during the development of intact plants. Some examples are given in Fig. 7.32.

Although not required for the *Daucus carota* system to be supplied to the medium to induce somatic embryogenesis, cultures of other plant species often require an ABA or a cytokinin supplement for the process. In *D. carota* cultures, an



**Fig. 7.31** Sections of petiole explants of transgenic carrots. The explants were obtained from plantlets containing the MAS promoter (auxin sensitive) coupled to the GUS reporter gene. GUS activity was detected after application of X-Gluc to indicate the occurrence of auxin (*dark spots* in **A** and **B**). **A** At explantation, **B** after 5 days of culture (note the strong response of cells forming the glandular canals; *dark*), **C** historadioautogram at d0 after labeling for 3 h with  $^{14}\text{C}$  leucine, **D** the same labeling duration as in **C** after 7 days of culture



**Fig. 7.32** IAA distribution in various parts of transgenic carrot plants after introduction of the system MAS promoter and GUS reporter gene (J. Imani, unpublished results of our institute; see Imani et al. 1999): **a** somatic embryos, **b** leaf lamina, after chlorophyll extraction, **c** root tip, **d** leaf with open stomata, **e** mechanical wound on petiole, **f** cross section of storage root (*dark spots* indicate GUS activity)

ABA supplement actually seems to be slightly inhibitory. If ABA plays a role in the process, then it should do so during the first week of culture when its concentration in the petiole explants is high. Of all the *Daucus* genomes investigated to date (see above), *D. carota* exhibits the highest embryogenic potential. To investigate the role of ABA in somatic embryogenesis, first its concentration in the original petiole explants of the various embryogenic and non-embryogenic *Daucus* species was

determined. The highest concentration of free ABA was found in the highly embryogenic domestic carrot variety Rotin. The other embryogenic species, with a much lower potential for the process, had considerably lower concentrations of this phytohormone. Here the number of embryos produced was greatly increased, and the time required for the initiation of embryogenesis was clearly reduced by application of ABA to the medium used for culture of these genomes (Le 2001; Tran Thi Le and Pleschka 2005; and unpublished results of our laboratory). In still unknown ways, ABA seems to be as highly involved in embryogenesis as are auxins. Possibly, the high potential of *D. carota* for somatic embryogenesis is related to the high concentration of free ABA in the original explants of this species at explantation.


With respect to ABA, Hays et al. (1999) studied its interaction with jasmonic acid (JA) in microspore-derived embryos of *Brassica napus*. The experimental system was the expression of napin and oleosin genes. Treatments with ABA plus JA gave an additive accumulation of mRNA of napin and oleosin. After treatment with JA, however, endogenous ABA levels were markedly reduced. It was concluded that possibly these cultures use endogenous JA to modulate ABA effects on the transcription of these genes. Furthermore, JA could have an effect on ABA, which would be reduced during later stages of seed development.

Beside these small molecules described to date, for *Cryptomeria japonica* a hormone-like plant growth factor involved in somatic embryogenesis was characterized, called phytosulfokine (PSK). This molecule is a sulfate peptide of 102 amino acids, with an aminoterminal hydrophobic signal sequence of 28 amino acids. PSK can be found in monocots as well as dicots, including *Arabidopsis*. This compound is involved in first steps in cellular proliferation, dedifferentiation, and redifferentiation, and a gene coding for its precursor has been identified. As discussed elsewhere, some osmotic stress often has a positive effect on somatic embryogenesis, and the high molecular osmoticum polyethylene glycol (PEG) stimulates the formation in somatic embryos of *Cryptomeria* cultures. If a supplement of PEG and PSK is combined, then a positive interaction of the two can be observed. It is interesting that in this culture system also GA3 (10  $\mu$ M) seems to be important, which is an unusual requirement for somatic embryogenesis (Igasaki et al. 2003).

### 7.3.5 The Protein System

For some of these stages of the petiole system used for hormonal investigations, also the protein synthesis pattern was determined (Grieb 1991/1992; Neumann and Grieb 1992; Grieb et al. 1997). For the localization of protein synthesis activities within the cultured petioles,  $^{14}$ C leucine was applied, and its distribution was followed by histoautoradiography and electrophoresis after protein extraction. Most of the labeling was concentrated in those parts of the petiole that indicated also an accumulation of auxin, i.e., the protein synthesis at one stage or the other would occur in cells engaged in differentiation. For these elaborate studies, the cultured petiole explants

in the induction medium, i.e., the B5 medium supplemented with 0.5 ppm 2.4D, were labeled for 3 h each with <sup>14</sup>C leucine, starting at 0 h, 5 h, then at day 7, and the last labeling at day 14 of culture. The soluble protein was extracted as described earlier (Gartenbach-Scharrer et al. 1990), and the extracts were separated by two-dimensional gelelectrophoresis, followed by either staining with Coomassie brilliant blue to visualize proteins on the gels, or by fluorography to detect the distribution of <sup>14</sup>C in the various proteins. In all, ca. 280 proteins were detected on the gels in these investigations, by either one or both detection methods. According to the staining, the labeling pattern, and the occurrence during the various labeling periods, the proteins were arranged in nine groups, and were related to cytological events during the induction of somatic embryogenesis. A continuous change in the composition of the protein moiety occurs with the initiation or termination of the synthesis of proteins in one or the other group, in a sequential and hierarchical pattern during the induction of somatic embryogenesis. Some proteins, however, were detected throughout the whole experimental period, and would represent so-called household proteins (Fig. 7.33). Of special interest are the 17 proteins that could be detected only on day 14, and that would be somehow related to the occurrence of the cytoplasm-rich subepidermal cells destined to be the origin of somatic embryos, and/or to the initiation of embryo development (Grieb 1991/1992).



**Fig. 7.33** Occurrence of various protein groups in cultured carrot petioles during specific periods of the culture cycle 133 (B5 medium with 0.5 ppm 2.4D; Neumann and Grieb 1992; Neumann 1995)

**Table 7.9** Detection of Coomassie brilliant blue-stained and  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled analogs of proteins of cultured petiole explants of carrot after feeding  $^{14}\text{C}$ -leucine ( $93.3 \times 10^4$  Bq L- $[\text{U}^{14}\text{C}\text{-leucine}]$ ) for 3 h in each case

Analog of proteins during induction phase	IP <sup>a</sup>	MW (kd)	t7		t14	
			CBB	$^{14}\text{C}$	CBB	$^{14}\text{C}$
Pyruvate decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1)	5.7	60.93	X	X	X	X
A-amylase (EC 3.2.1.1)	5.8	53.67	X			X
LC-RuBisCO (EC 4.1.1.39)	6.2	52.87	X	X	X	X
RuBisCO (EC 4.1.1.39)	5.5	49.89	X	X	X	X
Alcohol dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.1)	6.0	40.97	X		X	X
Phosphofructokinase (EC 2.7.1.11)	6.6	34.12			X	X
Acetaldehyde dehydrogenase	6.6	32.62	X	X		
DNA-binding protein (homeobox containing genes of carrot)	5.9	34.84		X		X

<sup>a</sup>IP, isoelectric point; MW, molecular weight; t7, t14, days of culture; CBB, Coomassie brilliant blue;  $^{14}\text{C}$ ,  $^{14}\text{C}$ -leucine


According to the technical standard at the time of the investigation, as a first approach to understand the physiological significance of these proteins for somatic embryogenesis based on the isoelectric point and the molecular weight, a search was undertaken for analogs published in databases like Swiss-Prot or Trembl (e.g., Table 7.9). Of those 17 proteins synthesized only on day 14 after the start of the cultures, analogs could be found for only three. All three are related to carbohydrate metabolism, namely, alpha-amylase, phosphofructokinase, and alcohol dehydrogenase (Mashayekhi-Nezamabadi 2001; Imani et al. 2001; and unpublished results of our laboratory).

A protein described by the Komamine group as characteristic for an embryogenic status was already synthesized on the seventh day of culture, prior to the cytoplasmic growth of subepidermal cells destined to become embryogenic, usually observed from the 10th or 12th day of culture onward. This indicates that the initiation of the embryogenic program starts quite some time before its histological evidence.

As reported for other systems, also in cultured carrot petiole explants a starch accumulation can be observed during the induction phase of somatic embryogenesis (unpublished results of our laboratory, Pleschka et al.). Histochemical studies on starch distribution indicate starch accumulation near vascular bundles of these cultured explants, and at the end of the induction phase this disappears almost completely (Fig. 7.34; Pleschka et al.). In Table 7.9 are given some data on the dynamics of a few enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism of the petiole explants at two stages of somatic embryogenesis, as derived from two-dimensional electropherograms of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled soluble proteins.

Most of these proteins (selected from about 50) could be detected by CBB at t7 (here, appearance of rhizogenic centers), and also de novo synthesis occurs at both stages, as indicated by  $^{14}\text{C}$  labeling. Alpha-amylase, the enzyme catalyzing starch breakdown, occurs at t7 as CBB spot, but not labeled, i.e., it is not synthesized. At t14, its concentration is below detection by CBB, but now it is labeled, i.e., it is synthesized de novo, coinciding with substantial starch breakdown in the cultured





**Fig. 7.34** Distribution of starch in cultured carrot petiole explants: *left* 12 days of culture, *right* 14 days of culture

explants at the end of the induction phase, and the development of embryogenic centers. The same pattern can be observed also for alcohol dehydrogenase. As these few examples in Table 7.9 indicate, different protein synthesis programs are in operation during the two stages of culture. It remains to be seen whether these changes in protein synthesis pattern are related to somatic embryogenesis. Such studies were first attempts to understand the coordinated activities of the information–transformation chain (DNA, RNA, protein). New methods developed in the recent fields of proteomics and genomics, like those applied to somatic embryogenesis of rice (Koller et al. 2002) and of *Medicago truncatula* (Imin et al. 2005), should soon be able to yield new approaches to this long-standing problem of understanding the regulation of growth and development—probably, however, this will again be a step that opens many new questions. At the time of these experiments, the terms, methods, and philosophy of proteomics and metabolomics were not yet available, and such experiments were first attempts in that direction. Nevertheless, the genomics of *Daucus carota* is still not available today.

A relation of the occurrence of proteins to development and differentiation has been published recently for rice (Koller et al. 2002). Here, 2,528 unique proteins have been detected and identified; enzymes involved in central metabolic pathways occur in all tissues investigated, i.e., leaf, root, and seed. Metabolic specialization was associated with tissue-specific enzyme complements, and the majority of metabolic enzymes belong to this group. It has to be kept in mind, however, that such proteomic studies are performed with soluble proteins, and that proteins associated with membranes are usually not considered.

As mentioned above for the characterization of the function of alpha-amylase, its synthesis, starch content, and starch distribution were compared by means of histochemistry on the 12th and 14th day. Whereas up to the 12th day high starch accumulation was observed in the parenchyma cells of the petiole, starch concentration was reduced on the 14th day, presumably the result of the action of the newly synthesized alpha-amylase molecules. This tendency was confirmed by the enzymatic determination of starch (Table 7.10). The glucose resulting from starch breakdown should be phosphorylated by hexokinase, in preparation for further metabolic processing.

**Table 7.10** Starch concentration in cultured petiole explants of *Daucus carota* (cv. Rotin) during the induction of somatic embryogenesis

Days of culture	Starch concentration (mg/g fresh weight)
0	0.79±0.48
6	1.10±0.23
9	1.51±0.48
12	0.93±0.35
14	0.66±0.53

**Table 7.11** Influence of various carbohydrates on the development of somatic embryos in a photoautotrophic cell suspension (*Daucus carota* L., var. Vosgeses, hormone-free medium, ambient CO<sub>2</sub>): +, embryogenesis; -, no embryos produced

0.06M		0.003M	
Sucrose	+	Sucrose	+
Glucose	+	3-OM-glucose	-
Ribose	-		
Xylose	-		
Arabinose	-		
Pyruvate	-		

Apparently for further processing of starch breakdown products, hexokinase activation with free hexose or glucose-6-phosphate as substrates, as described for animal systems, seems to be required for embryo development. To substantiate these ideas, the occurrence and the metabolic activity of hexokinase should be investigated further. Especially important would be investigations on the histological and cytological distribution of the enzyme. The results of some preliminary investigations to this end have been published recently by Pleschka et al. (2001).

As described for other plant species (Widholm 1992), also cultured cells of carrot can perform photosynthesis (see Sect. 9.1; Neumann 1962, 1995; Neumann and Raafat 1973; Bender et al. 1981). Further studies on the function of free glucose have employed a photoautotrophic cell culture strain that was also embryogenic. The cells of this strain are able to grow slowly at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> in the light without differentiating somatic embryos. This, however, is the case after a supply of sucrose, of glucose, and (less pronounced) of fructose or mannose at low concentrations (Grieb et al. 1994; Pleschka 1995; Table 7.11).

To distinguish between nutritive and regulatory effects of these sugars on somatic embryogenesis, cell suspensions of this autotrophic strain were cultured at an elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 2.3%. Here, growth is comparable to that after a supplement of 2% sucrose, but also here somatic embryogenesis could not be observed (Grieb et al. 1994; Pleschka 1995; Table 7.12). This, however, is the case after an additional supply of 0.1% sucrose (or less?) to the nutrient medium. These

**Table 7.12** Influence of sucrose, and an elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on the fresh weight, cell number/g f. wt., and somatic embryogenesis (s. e.) of a photoautotrophic carrot cell suspension culture (*D. carota*, var. Vosgeses, 42 days of culture)

Sucrose concentration (%) in the medium	Ambient CO <sub>2</sub>			2.34% CO <sub>2</sub>		
	mg F. wt. increment per 100 mg inoculum	Cell number per g f. wt. × 10 <sup>6</sup>	S. e.	mg F. wt. increment per 100 mg inoculum	Cell number per g f. wt. × 10 <sup>6</sup>	S. e.
0	288.6	2.90±0.5	–	753.9	10.49±0.30	–
0.1	436.8	3.48123±0.44	+	644.3	7.49±2.28	+
0.5	1,282.1	5.62±038	+	1,151.8	9.68±1.71	+
1.0	2,109.4	6.39±1.4	+	1,174.6	8.14±1.61	+
2.0	2,341.1	7.28±0.8	+	1,875.4	10.85±2.67	+

**Table 7.13** Influence of various carbohydrates on the somatic embryogenesis of petiole explants of carrot

Carbohydrates	Somatic embryogenesis
15 mM Sucrose (control)	+++
15 mM Glucose	+++
15 mM Mannose	++
15 mM Glucose-1-P	–
6 mM Sucrose (control)	+++
6 mM Glucose-6-P	+
6 mM Fructose-1,6-P	–
3 mM Sucrose (control)	++
3 mM 3-OM-glucose	–

results indicate that the requirement for sugar should not be its only function as a nutrient, but also as a regulator in the development of embryos (Grieb et al. 1994; Pleschka 1995; see also above). Glucose can be substituted by mannose or glucose-6-phosphate (Table 7.13; Pleschka et al. 2001), though with reduced efficiency, but not by the same concentration of glucose-1-phosphate in petiole cultures, which is the result of starch breakdown by starch phosphorylase, and bypasses hexokinase for further processing.

For induction of somatic embryogenesis, petiole explants were cultured in a modified B5 medium with  $2.26 \times 10^{-6}$  M 2,4-D for 14 days, and for realization the petiole explants were transferred into an auxin-free, modified B5 medium for 14 days. The carbohydrates indicated above were each reapplied (as at initiation of culture) to the media employed.

Somatic embryos of *Coffea arabusta* (a hybrid of *Coffea arabica* and *Coffea canephora*) can perform autotrophic growth and development from the torpedo stage onward.

Whereas the investigations of the carrot system were concerned with the induction of somatic embryogenesis, a paper by Dong and Dustan (1996) followed the

development of the embryos up to the cotyledonary stage of *Picea glauca*. Here, by differential screening against non-embryogenic tissue, 28 cDNAs with temporal expression were detected. For this development, 2,4D and N6-benzyladenine had to be replaced by ABA.


Of other reports on the synthesis of proteins associated with somatic embryogenesis, only a few shall be discussed here; more can be found on the internet. From cucumbers, two genes coding xyloglucan endotransglycosilases that were differentially expressed were detected after the induction of somatic embryogenesis (Malinowski et al. 2004). These enzymes seem to be involved in cell wall synthesis during cell growth and differentiation. Some sequence motifs in the promoter region were characterized (responsible for embryo-specific expression, auxin-inducible expression, ethylene-inducible expression). Glycosylated acidic endochitinase excreted to the medium promotes somatic embryogenesis in embryogenic suspensions of *Daucus carota* (von Arnold et al. 2002). Interestingly, an endochitinase from sugar beet stimulates the development of somatic embryos of *Picea abies* at early developmental stages (Egersdotter and von Arnold 1998).

Another example of proteins expressed during somatic embryogenesis are the arabinogalactan proteins, often found in culture media. These proteins are a heterogeneous group, distinguished by a high content of carbohydrates, and some lipids localized in the cell walls and plasma membranes (Majewska-Sawka and Nothnagel 2000). Application of an inhibitor (a synthetic phenyl glycoside) to the medium that binds specifically to arabinogalactans inhibits the somatic embryogenesis of *Daucus carota* and a *Cichorium* (von Arnold et al. 2002). These and other compounds could be the active components of so-called conditioned media obtained after prior cultivation of embryogenic material in the nutrient solution. Such media can often promote somatic embryogenesis in follow-up cultures. In addition to stimulatory molecules, however, this group of glycoproteins includes components inhibitory to somatic embryogenesis (Kreuger and van Holst 1996).

Possibly these glycoproteins are precursors of some signaling molecules like lipochitooligosaccharides (von Arnold et al. 2002), stimulatory for the cell division of plant cells. These substances were originally described to be significant for the formation of nodules of legumes, produced by *Rhizobium* (von Arnold et al. 2002). These compounds promote embryo development up to a late globular stage in carrot cultures (De Jong et al. 1993). Furthermore, in carrot and *Picea alba* embryogenic systems, the effect of chitinase on somatic embryogenesis can be substituted (De Jong et al. 1993; Egersdotter and von Arnold 1998). As shown in vitro, chitinase is able to cleave arabinogalactan proteins, and both could be found in developing seeds; if arabinogalactans are incubated with chitinase, then somatic embryogenesis is enhanced (van Hengel et al. 2001). Although all this information is still very vague, a hypothesis was put forward that endogenous lipochitooligosaccharides are released from arabinogalactans by endochitinase, as signals to stimulate somatic embryogenesis (von Arnold et al. 2002). Many questions remain, like where do these arabinogalactans come from, what stimulates the synthesis, what

triggers the synthesis and the activity of chitinases, where is the primary site of action of these signals to initiate the program of somatic embryogenesis, and finally what is the relation with the influence of phytohormones such as auxins.

Another example of this kind of dual function, as that described for hexoses above, is the role of the form of nitrogen applied to the nutrient medium. In experiments dealing with this aspect, the same nitrogen concentrations of ammonia, nitrate, and casein hydrolysate were applied to carrot cell suspensions. In the ammonia treatment, the pH of the medium was decreased, and growth was strongly inhibited. Using casein hydrolysate as the only nitrogen source, growth was vigorously stimulated, but embryo development did not proceed beyond the torpedo stage, not even after a prolonged culture of 8–10 weeks. Here, a rough synchronization of embryo development (often desirable) was observed up to the torpedo stage. In the treatment with only nitrate as nitrogen supply, growth was visually less than in the former treatment, and less embryonic structures were observed, which, however, developed into plantlets, as in the control with a mixture of all three nitrogen compounds. In other experiments with successive application of first casein hydrolysate, followed by nitrate, there was a strong increase in the number of fully developed cotyledonary embryos being synchronously promoted (see Fig. 7.35; Mashayekhi-Nezamabadi 2001).




**Fig. 7.35** Somatic embryos cultured with casein hydrolysate as only nitrogen source (*top left*), and after transfer into nitrate-containing medium (*top right*); higher magnification of embryos in the casein hydrolysate medium (*bottom left*), and after transfer from the casein hydrolysate medium to the nitrate medium (*lower right*)

Working on the influence of mineral nutrients on gene activity, of 1,280 mineral nutrition-related cDNAs, 115 were upregulated following nitrate supply after several weeks of nitrogen starvation. Beside genes for nitrate and nitrite reductase, and other metabolic enzymes, some were also potentially involved in transcriptional regulation, and two in the regulation of methyltransferases. Some genes were also suppressed (R. Wang et al. 2000; Y.H. Wang et al. 2001). Apparently, nitrate not only serves as a nitrogen source, but also induces diverse responses at the mRNA level. However, no such information is available for the system of somatic embryogenesis described above. Still, it has to be investigated whether the activity of these genes is induced directly by the nitrate molecule, or rather as an expression of a general stimulation of metabolism as a response to increased availability of the macronutrient nitrogen of which nitrate is a source (R. Wang et al. 2000; Y.H. Wang et al. 2001). Genomic analysis of a nutrient response in *Arabidopsis* reveals diverse expression patterns, and novel metabolic and potential regulatory genes are induced by nitrate.

The concentration of other mineral nutrients in the culture medium is also important for embryo development. As an example, at zero boron or at very low concentrations of this micronutrient, shoot development of the somatic embryos is almost negligible, and root development by far dominates (Fig. 7.36; Mashajekhi-Nezamabadi 2001; Mashajekhi and Neumann 2006). This is reversed at higher boron concentration.

Here, some relations with the ratios of concentrations of endogenous IAA/cytokinins at certain boron concentrations were determined (see Table 7.14). In the treatment with no boron supplement and with a preference for root development, a high ratio of IAA to cytokinins was determined, whereas at higher boron concentrations and shoot dominance, this ratio is more pronounced for cytokinins. An exception is the treatment with 1 ppm boron, which showed very low cytokinin concentrations—to date, no explanation can be given for this finding. Still, the investigation was repeated several times, with similar results. The tendency is clearly a promotion of root development at lower boron concentrations accompanied by a high IAA/cytokinin ratio, and a preference for shoot development at the expense of root development at a low IAA/cytokinin ratio. This is in agreement with generally accepted ideas based on the earlier reports by Skoog and Miller (1957) mentioned above, dealing with the influences of such changes in the auxin/cytokinin ratio on morphogenesis.

Influences of the concentration of boron on embryo development have already been described by Behrendt and Zoglauer (1996) for *Larix*. The significance of boron was also reported for the development of somatic embryos of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.), based on extensive investigations of the mineral composition of zygotic and somatic embryos at various developmental stages (Pullman et al. 2003). These examples again indicate a significance of mineral nutrients in the development of somatic embryos, an aspect greatly neglected to date. Indeed, no systematic study is yet available on the function of the mineral nutrition of culture systems in producing somatic embryos. Such a study, including relations to the endogenous hormonal system of the cultures, could be quite rewarding.



**Fig. 7.36** Influences of various boron concentrations on the development of somatic embryos in carrot cell suspensions after 20 days of culture in B5 medium without 2.4D. **a** No boron: root development is promoted, and the development of cotyledons is retarded. **b** boron 0.1 mg/l: root and shoot development seems to be more or less balanced. **c** boron 1 mg/l: root development is inhibited, and shoot growth is promoted. **d** boron 2 mg/l: differentiation of adventitious shoot buds is initiated (*arrows*), and root development is inhibited. **e** boron 8 mg/l: there is strong promotion of the development of the cotyledons, and root development is almost completely arrested; adventitious shoot buds can be recognized in the root area (see *arrow*)

### 7.3.6 Cell Cycle Studies

Although it is generally assumed that the position of foreign DNA in the receiving genome will have an influence on its realization, evidence on this topic is rather scarce. Within this context, some results will be presented of experiments using cell

**Table 7.14** Influence of various boron levels on the concentrations of some endogenous phytohormones, zeatin (Z), zeatinriboside (ZR), dihydrozeatin (DHZ), isopentenyladenine (IP), isopentenyladenosine (IPR), indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), and abscisic acid (ABA) in somatic embryo cultures of *Daucus carota* L., after 21 days<sup>a</sup>

Boron (mg/l)	IAA	ABA	IP	IPR	DHZ	Z	ZR	Total cytokinins	IAA/cytokins
0.00	3.01d	87.5a	0.19	0.21	0.3	0.05	n.d.	0.74e	4.06
0.10	100.5a	12.15c	0.07	44.68	1.7	0.28	n.d.	46.72a	2.15
0.50	31.75b	5.1d	0.04	30.84	0.89	0.18	n.d.	31.96c	0.99
1.00	12c	23b	0.04	0.3	0.81	0.07	n.d.	1.21e	9.91
4.00	11c	3.58d	0.5	12.85	0.07	0.03	n.d.	13.45d	0.82
8.00	9c	6.9d	0.03	39.84	1.15	0.09	n.d.	41.11b	0.22


<sup>a</sup>Duncan test (significance level 95%), a, b, c indicate significant differences; n.d., not detectable

cycle synchronized carrot cell suspensions. The experimental outline is based on two assumptions: (1) as known from the literature, foreign DNA is preferentially inserted into replicating DNA, and (2) some hierarchical sequence exists in the replication of DNA during the S-phase of the cell cycle, e.g., euchromatin before heterochromatin. The synchronization of the cell cycle was induced (as described elsewhere) using the FDU/thymidine system, and after release of the blockage by FDU after applying thymidine, the S-phase was initiated again. The DNA of rol genes A, B, C was applied either at 30-min (up to 2 h) or 60-min (2–6 h) intervals. The duration of co-culture was 8 h for each application. To raise embryos and plantlets, the same procedure as described above was used, but in this material in most treatments, embryo development could be observed only after application of 10% coconut milk, for some as yet unknown reason. Based on mainly morphological characteristics, six “morphotypes” could be distinguished, examples of which are given in Fig. 7.37.

The occurrence of these morphotypes in the various treatments is summarized in Table 7.15. These are preliminary data, and based on the results already obtained, the experimental setup should be changed in some ways. Still, some relation between the status of the replication system at application of the foreign DNA, and morphogenesis can be recognized, indicating the significance of the position of foreign DNA in the receiving genome. This is substantiated by southern blots of some treatments, given as examples in Fig. 7.38. Here, the foreign DNA is integrated at different positions according to the time of application in the cell cycle of the receiving genome, at one treatment (rol genes applied 60 min after initiation of the S-phase) also at two positions. This can be also observed for the 6-h treatment in the form of an additional band at 25 kbp, though here some doubts arise. This band could be due to incomplete digestion of DNA. An additional aspect is the integration of the three rol genes, which, although applied as a mixture, indicate individual positions of insertion into the DNA. At present, no unequivocal interpretation of this is possible.

A detailed description of the whole investigation is given by Geisler (2001) in her Ph.D. Thesis. There also the data for other durations of co-culture can be found.






**Fig. 7.37** “Morphotypes” observed in carrot cultures after insertion of “rol ABC” genes (Geisler 2001)

**Table 7.15** Formation of some morphotypes due to foreign DNA following the application of thymidine (in hours)

Morphotype	1	2	3	4	5	6
Transformation II (8 h co-culture)						
Control (no synchr. and no rol gene appl.)	+	-	-	-	-	-
0 h	+	-	+	-	+	-
0.5 h	+	-	+	+	+	+
1 h	+	-	+	-	+	-
1.5 h	-	-	+	-	+	-
2 h	-	-	+	-	+	-
3 h	+	-	+	-	-	-
4 h	+	+	-	-	-	-
6 h	+	-	+	-	-	+



**Fig. 7.38** Southern blots of cell cultures transformed with rol genes. *Right Slots:* 1 marker, 2 t0, 3 t0.5, 4 t1 to t5, 5 t2, 6 marker: examples of southern blots of DNA after co-culture with “rol genes” for various durations (Geisler 2001). *Middle Slots:* 1 marker, 2 appl. at T0, 3 appl. at t1, 4 appl. at t2, 5 appl. at t3, 6 appl. at t0, 7 marker: occurrence of high molecular DNA (25 kbp), incomplete digestion of DNA, results are not valid. *Left Slots:* 1 marker, 2 appl. at t1, 3 appl. at t2, 4 appl. at t3, 5 appl. at t4, 6 marker

These investigations were an early attempt to gain some information on possibilities to insert foreign genetic material at selected targets within the receiving genome. Meanwhile, many efforts can be seen in the literature to obtain targeted mutations, and also gene replacements by site-specific induction of double-strand breaks of DNA (cf. Pabo et al. 2001).

## 7.4 Practical Application of Somatic Embryogenesis

In the previous section, investigations on somatic embryogenesis dealt with understanding more of the development of embryos for which somatic embryogenesis served as a surrogate. Furthermore, this was also used as a model system for basic studies related to growth, differentiation, and cell development of higher plants. Somatic embryogenesis, however, has also great practical significance for plant propagation, including the production of artificial seeds, plant breeding, and gene technology. In the following, some examples will be given. As already published by the Steward group at Cornell University decades ago, somatic embryogenesis can be also induced in explants of embryos. Only rather recently, this was applied to so-called recalcitrant species after it was clear that in immature embryos, mature embryos, and sometimes also in early seedling stages, the competence to become embryogenic was preserved, to be lost later in development. Here, often cell suspensions are used that are suitable, first, to integrate foreign genetic material, and, second, to raise these new genomes into intact plants via somatic

embryogenesis for selection, breeding, and finally, propagation by seeds. In this domain in recent years, an explosion in the number of papers describing protocols for dicots, monocots, and several conifers has been observed in the literature. Here, somatic embryogenesis is used as a “tool”, with less attention being paid to the basics of the process. These protocols can be easily found on the internet.

As an example, a method used to produce somatic embryos of *Arabidopsis thaliana* will be described (Hecht et al. 2001), and for monocots that for wheat. The protocol to produce somatic embryos in carrot suspensions originally obtained from petiole explants has already been described. In principle, the methods are quite similar. It is surprising to note that a method using non-embryonic somatic cells of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to induce somatic embryos was developed only rather recently (Ikeda-Iwai et al. 2003). Here, stress treatments like heavy metal ions (CdCl), osmotica like mannitol or sorbitol, and dehydration were applied to apical shoot tip explants of 5- or 6-day-old seedlings.


The use of embryonic cells to induce somatic embryogenesis starts with the placement of surface sterilized seeds of *Arabidopsis* into the MS medium containing 2% sucrose (w/v), 4.5  $\mu$ M 2.4D, 10 mM MES (2-(N-morpholino)-ethanesulfonic acid) at pH 5.8. After a treatment at 4°C for 2 days, cultures were transferred onto a rotary shaker at 25°C in the light at 3,000 lux (16 h light/8 h dark), and the germinating seedlings developed callus aggregates. After 3 weeks, and some subcultures with fresh MS medium, green embryogenic clusters with a smooth surface were transferred into a 2.4D-free medium for 1 week for embryo development. Non-embryogenic callus material had a yellowish appearance.

For somatic embryogenesis of monocots, an example of our own research group will be given (Imani 1999). Here, somatic embryos are produced from callus material derived from wheat or barley seeds. The seeds are sterilized first for 1 min in 70% ethanol, followed by gentle shaking in a diluted sodium hypochlorite (1:1.5) solution (ca. 7% active chlorine) for about 30–45 min, which contains also a drop of Tween 80. After this, the seeds are washed several times with sterilized water under sterile conditions, and then placed on B5 medium supplied with 10 ppm 2.4D-containing agar plates. Culture is for 4–6 weeks in the light at 28°C. As shown in Fig. 7.39, first some callus is produced from which, after transfer onto a hormone-free B5 medium, embryos, and finally seedlings develop.

The same method was used also to produce somatic embryos of barley, carrots, *Hypericum*, and other dicots.

Some differences can be observed between the pathways of angiosperms and gymnosperms. In gymnosperm cultures starting with aggregates of a few cells in an auxin- and cytokinin-containing medium, three types of PEMs are successively produced within 25 days. The embryo develops in the hormone-free medium first by producing some kind of suspensor, which then degenerates within 3 weeks, and the development of mature embryos requires a supplement of ABA to the medium (Fig. 7.40; von Arnold et al. 2002).


Somatic embryos can be also produced in a bioreactor. In the experiment in Fig. 7.41, 3 g of an embryogenic carrot cell suspension was passed through a sieve of 90  $\mu$ m to remove the biggest aggregates, which were discarded. The smaller clumps were




**Fig. 7.39** Somatic embryogenesis in wheat seeds

cultivated for 1 week in the B5 medium with 2.4D in an Erlenmeyer flask on a rotary shaker. After this pre-culture, the cells were incubated in a bioreactor with 4 l of B5 without the auxin. Immediately before this, the cells were transferred for 24 h in an auxin-free medium to remove 2.4D on the surface of the cell material. After a culture period of 2 weeks, a great number of young plants could be observed in the bioreactor (Fig. 7.41), a rough estimate amounting to about 100,000 plantlets. In addition, many different stages of embryo development occurred in the suspension, which in a prolonged experimental period could have developed into plantlets (Imani 1999).

As mentioned above, based on the principles of the Steward auxophyton method, a technique called “RITA bioreactor” was developed some years ago (Teisson and Alvard 1995). The unit consists of two containers, one mounted on top of the other (Fig. 7.42). The plant material is placed in the upper container, and the nutrient solution in the lower. By use of a pneumatic system, the plant material is bathed by the nutrient solution from the lower container for various durations, from twice for 1 min per day




**Fig. 7.40** Schematic presentation of somatic embryogenesis of gymnosperms. The graph was adapted from von Arnold et al. (2002)



**Fig. 7.41** Somatic embryogenesis, and development of plantlet of a carrot suspension in a bioreactor

upward, according to the plant species, the developmental stage, and other criteria. A review of the technique is given by Etienne and Berthouly (2002). With this method, the production costs per plant can be dramatically reduced, depending on the plant species—e.g., for sugarcane, this amounts to about 50(46)%, compared to the standard procedure on semi-solid medium, mainly due to the reduction in labor and space.




**Fig. 7.42** Production of coffee plants by means of somatic embryogenesis using the RITA bioreactor system (Etienne-Barry et al. 1999)

This system has been used to produce coffee plants *in vitro* using F1 hybrids. Complete embryo development was achieved in this system within 4 months. For development of the embryos, the immersion frequency was set at 2 times for 5 min per day. The embryos were eventually transferred to a mixture of soil (2 parts), sand (1 part), and coffee pulp (1 part), after chemical sterilization of the substrate. As can be seen in Fig. 7.42, the plants produced by this technique appear absolutely normal (Etienne-Barry et al. 1999).

Another, similar system is the TRI bioreactor reported by Afreen et al. (2002), in which only the root zone of cotyledonary stage somatic embryos is in contact with the nutrient solution under autotrophic conditions. Here, the roots are immersed for 15 min every 6 h. The selection of suitable embryos, and the transfer into the system, however, have to be performed by hand (see Fig. 7.43). The conversion into plantlets was 84%.

## 7.5 Artificial Seeds

Despite the successful sowing of *in vitro* produced somatic embryos directly into soil, as described for coffee, work is pursuing to develop methods to produce artificial seeds. Here as example, mature somatic embryos are covered by alginate, which contains some nutrients as a replacement for the endosperm, to which also some fungicide can be mixed (Redenbaugh et al. 1987). These artificial seeds are sown like conventional seeds into soil. Protocols are available for a number of plant



**Fig. 7.43** Schematic diagram of the temporary root zone immersion (TRI) bioreactor with forced ventilation system (Afreen et al. 2002)

species, like carrots, cotton, lettuce, celery, and alfalfa. This has also been employed using cultures of explants of mature *Pinus patula* trees (Malabadi and Staden 2005). Somatic embryos derived from vegetative shoot apices were encapsulated into sodium alginate. Such synthetic seeds could still be germinated after 120 days of storage at 2°C, and normal plants developed. More information and descriptions of methods for individual species can be found on the internet. The aim is to produce homogenous plant material for practical applications, e.g., of outbreeders, or of F1 hybrids. A method for automatic production of such seeds is available. The costs of 100 “artificial seeds” amount to nearly 2.5 cents, which is considerably higher than the costs for conventional seeds. Artificial seeds, however, could be of interest to obtain hybrids, e.g., of cauliflower, broccoli, or *Geranium*.


Recently, the production of viable artificial seeds was reported also for *Cymbidium*, an orchid. Here, 3-month-old protocorm-like bodies (PLBs) were encapsulated in a sodium alginate solution. The survival rate of these seeds was 100%, and this was not affected after 1 year of storage in a sucrose-free liquid medium. After coating with chitosan solution, these seeds were successfully transferred to a non-sterilized substrate in the greenhouse (Nhut et al. 2005).

## 7.6 Embryo Rescue

For products of crossings of species of a genus, the development of embryos is often abnormal, and a technique called embryo rescue is employed to obtain viable plants. In such crossings, the unripe embryo is aborted in the F1 generation. To promote further development of embryos, these are cultured in a suitable medium

in vitro. Some years ago, this method was used to raise plants of hybrids of an early ripening strain of cherries, and of a cherry strain with a reduced stem height. Embryos of the hybrids were prematurely aborted, and in order to raise seedlings, those embryos were obtained 35–40 days after full bloom, and cultured in vitro. The development of shoots was unproblematic; however, the development of the root system required the application of extracts of cotyledons of stratified cherry seeds. Later, these extracts could be replaced by GA3 and inositol (Abou-Zeid and Neumann 1973).

In interploid sexual hybridization of a number of citrus strains to obtain improved seedless triploid acid fruit hybrids, embryo rescue was employed to avoid



**Fig. 7.44** Use of an embryo rescue program to obtain hybrid plants in a *Vitis* breeding program. *A* Immature berries, *B* ovules, *C* germination of embryos, *D* early stages of embryos, *E* hybrid plantlet in test tube, *F* hybrid plants (Bharathy et al. 2006)



embryo abortion caused by endosperm failure. More developed embryos, i.e., embryos with fully developed cotyledons, were more suitable than those in earlier developmental stages. Up to 65% developed into normal plants, with differences between the crosses (Viloria et al. 2005).

As another example, this technique was also used successfully to raise hybrids of Thompson seedless grapes, and a number of other *Vitis* species. Thompson seedless grapes are highly susceptible to downy mildew (*Plasmospora viticola* Beri. and de Toni), and the aim of the investigation was to introgress resistance to this disease into this high-quality subspecies (*Euvitis*,  $2n=38$ ) by pollination with a resistant subspecies (*Muscadinia*,  $2n=40$ ). A summary is given in Fig. 7.44 (Bharathy et al. 2005). Here, also embryo rescue was employed.



## Chapter 8

# Some Endogenous and Exogenous Factors in Cell Culture Systems

The performance of defined processes of differentiation forms the basis to use cell and tissue cultures for propagation, and the production of valuable compounds on a commercial scale. To ensure reliability in both these domains, a thorough understanding of the procedure is a prerequisite. The core of this is an understanding of cellular growth and differentiation, and based on this, to develop ways and means to exert influences on productivity. In commercial production, the systems should work reliably and reproducibly every day. As long as more knowledge on differentiation is not available, our only option are empirical assessments based on trial and error. Indeed, from the newly emerged fields of genomics, proteomics, and metabolics, to date only very limited contributions have been made to achieve a better understanding of growth and differentiation. Still, these new approaches are in their infancy.

The many parameters exerting an influence on growth, development, and the biochemical performance of cells can be tentatively grouped in terms of “endogenous and exogenous factors”. Genetic influences, the developmental status of the “mother plant” used to obtain primary explants (or the state of the subculture used as origin), and also the developmental status or age of the plant organ from which primary explants are obtained are all endogenous factors. Nutrition, the hormonal supplement, and physical environmental factors like light, temperature, or humidity of the ambient air are grouped as exogenous factors. Certainly, the list, especially of endogenous factors, is not complete yet. An all-encompassing discussion of these factors also will not be attempted here within the limited space available in this volume, and in view of the tremendous wealth of literature available on the internet. Still, some examples, mainly from our own research program, will be given to indicate tendencies in the significance of such factors.

## 8.1 Endogenous Factors

### 8.1.1 Genetic Influences

In callus growth performance, it is difficult to distinguish between genetic influences, and those stemming from the status of the organ serving as origin of the explants. Nevertheless, clear genetic influences can usually be observed by comparing the growth performance of explants from a given organ in a given developmental status in different varieties of a given species. One example of such strong influences is the ability to perform somatic embryogenesis in *Daucus* (Table 8.1), as has also recently been described for, e.g., *Medicago trunculata* following proteomic analysis of recalcitrant and readily embryogenic lines (Imin et al. 2005, see above).

In Table 8.1, some examples on the differentiation of cultured root explants from three carrot varieties cultivated under identical conditions are given. The explants of one variety produced only callus, those of the two others differentiated roots, and one variety could additionally be induced to somatic embryogenesis.

Differences can also be observed in pith explants of *Datura* plants from two different species, derived by androgenesis using anthers of a given flower of each. As a result of meiosis, these strains would differ in their genetics, and due to this, variations in growth and in the compactness of the developing callus material can indeed be observed (Table 8.2).

For a more detailed discussion of the topic, see Chapter 13.

### 8.1.2 Physiological Status of “Mother Tissue”

Often, clear relations of the physiological status of the original tissue, and the mode of reactions of explants taken thereof can be observed. This could be shown for callus growth of explants obtained from different parts of the stele of tobacco (Table 8.3). Best growth was obtained in explants from the upper third of the tobacco plant, which would represent the physiologically youngest part. A position effect can also be observed for differentiation (Fig. 8.1). With increasing distance to the apex, the ability of the explants to produce flower buds is reduced (Van Tran Than 1973).

**Table 8.1** Growth (number of cells  $\times 10^3$ /explant), and development (rhizogenesis, somatic embryogenesis) in NL medium (see Table 3.3) of cultured root explants (cambium) of some carrot varieties (*rhiz.* adventitious roots, *s. e.* somatic embryogenesis)


Variety	No horm.		IAA + inositol		IAA + inositol + kinetin	
	t0		Rhiz.	S. e.		
Frühbund	73.0	270.1	320.6	-	-	1,394.9
Zino	43.2	217.0	257.1	+	-	913.1
Rotin	41.6	124.8	109.2	+	+	1,157.1

**Table 8.2** Fresh weight (mg/explant) of stem sections of some strains of haploid plantlets of *Datura innoxia* and *Datura meteloides* (six strains each) cultured in NL+IAA + inositol + kinetin (3 weeks of culture; Kibler 1978)

Strain	Basis	Middle	Upper third	Growth characteristics of callus
<i>Datura innoxia</i>				
i1	115	162	97	Compact callus
i2	137	130	136	Friable callus
i3	203	196	168	Compact callus
i4	115	77	–	Friable callus
i5	120	92	98	Friable callus
i6	195	–	–	Sec. callus formation
<i>Datura meteloides</i>				
m1	67	72	54	Compact callus
m2	65	38	38	Sec. callus formation
m3	66	57	45	Compact callus
m4	–	68	54	Sec. callus formation
m5	34	37	49	Friable callus
m6	80	92	92	Compact callus

**Table 8.3** Fresh weight (mg/explant) of some sections of the shoot of haploid plants (8–10 leaves) of *Nicotiana tabacum* var. Xanthi (2 weeks of culture in NL medium)

Growth regulator applied	Basis	Middle	Upper third
0	11.3	9.3	37.3
Inositol+IAA	23.2	16.8	76.2
Inositol+IAA+kinetin	33.6	39.1	123.6



**Fig. 8.1** Influences of the region of tissue used for explantation on the development of flower buds in culture (*Nicotiana tabacum*; Van Tran Than 1973)

**Table 8.4** Influence of kinetin on the fresh weight, number of cells per explant, root formation, and somatic embryogenesis of cultured explants of various root tissues of *Daucus carota* (I, II denote tissue of two carrot roots; NL medium, 21 days of culture)

Tissue	mg F. wt./explant		Cells $\times 10^3$ /explant	
	Exp. I	Exp. II	Exp. I	Exp. II
Secondary phloem (4–6) <sup>a</sup>				
T0	2.0	2.0	8.9	9.1
NL	13.0	12.0	37.8	20.5
NL+I+IAA	26.4 R	62.0 R	80.0	156.6
NL+I+IAA+K	106.0	236.0	488.4	792.0
Cortex				
T0	2.0	2.0	9.4	8.8
NL	10.0	7.0	16.7	23.1
NL+I+IAA	24.0 R	35.0 R	66.2	113.1
NL+I+IAA+K	111	213.0	440.0	1,183.2
Xylem (10–12) <sup>a</sup>				
T0	2.0	2.0	6.3	7.3
NL	10.0	37.0	36.2	76.7
NL+I+IAA	11.0	38.0	54.5	168.0
NL+I+IAA+K	142.0	201.0	399.6	2,450.0
Cambium (2–3) <sup>a</sup>				
T0	2.0	2.0	11.1	9.8
NL	16.0	16.0	30.0	30.9
NL+I+IAA	23.0 R	22.0 R	59.5	42.6
NL+I+IAA+K	95.0	214.0	279.0	1,484.0

<sup>a</sup>Duration of preculture for somatic embryogenesis, in weeks; R, adventitious roots; I, 50.0 ppm m-inositol; IAA, 2.0 ppm; K, 0.1 ppm kinetin

**Table 8.5** Influence of iron, manganese, and molybdenum on the fresh weight, number of cells per explant, and average cell weight of carrot callus cultures (BM medium, see Table coconut milk, 3 ppm Fe, 3.6 ppm Mn, 0.25 ppm Mo; 3 weeks of culture; Neumann and Steward 1968)

	0	Fe	Mn	Fe+Mn	Mo	Fe+Mo	Mo+Mn	Fe+Mn+Mo
mg Fresh weight	8	94	18	150	20	152	24	175
Number of cells $\times 10^3$ per explant	18.6	650.0	78.6	742.0	68.6	486.0	68.3	951.2
$\mu\text{g}$ per cell	0.43	0.14	0.23	0.20	0.29	0.31	0.35	0.18

Variation was also observed in explants of various tissues from the same organ of carrot plants (Tables 8.4, 8.5). Using identical conditions of culture, somatic embryogenesis was observed 2 weeks after prior rhizogenesis in cambium explants, in explants of the secondary phloem after 4–6 weeks, and in explants of the xylem area after 10–12 weeks. Also explants of different organs of the same plant vary in growth, as shown in Table 8.6 for young poppy plants. These variations are certainly related to the number of meristematic cells and of parenchyma cells of an explant inducible to cell division, at least as far as callus growth is concerned.

**Table 8.6** Influence of kinetin on the fresh weight, and number of cells per explant of cultured explants of various tissues of *Papaver somniferum* L. (var. Scheibes Ölmo) in NL3 medium (see Table 3.3, 73 days of culture)

Tissue	Original tissue		No kinetin		+0.1 ppm Kinetin	
	F. wt. <sup>a</sup>	No. cells	F. wt.	No. cells	F. wt.	No. cells
Root	0.3	2.9	1.0	22.2	9.0	275.2
Hypocotyl	1.0	2.1	7.0	132.2	24.0	368.9
Cotyledons	1.0	6.6	1.0	6.6	11.0	122.1
Leaves	0.4	3.1	1.0	16.0	17.0	168.9

<sup>a</sup>F. wt., mg fresh weight per explant; no. cells, number of cells  $\times 10^3$  per explant


Particularly the differentiation of the explants, but also “plain” growth of cultured explants are strongly influenced by the phytohormone supplement to the nutrient medium (see callus cultures in Chap. 3, and Chap. 11). Therefore, some relation of the endogenous hormonal status to the reaction of explants in culture would be expected. Evidence of this is rather scarce, and some examples will be discussed later.

The physiological status of cell suspensions is also important for the growth performance of subcultures derived thereof. In Fig. 8.2, the influence of duration of pre-culture (before setting up subcultures) on the growth of haploid and diploid callus cultures is presented. Particularly for the haploid cultures, two clear maxima can be observed.

### 8.1.3 Growth Conditions of the “Mother Plant”


The reaction of explants often correlates with the growth conditions of the mother plant used to obtain explants for culture. In our laboratory, rhizogenesis in an IAA-containing, cytokinin-free nutrient medium (as described in Chap. 3) could be induced only if the mother plants grew for several weeks under short day conditions (Fig. 8.3). This unexpected result was repeated in 3 successive years. In temperate climatic zones, the sowing of carrots is usually done at the end of February or in March, and therefore during early development under natural conditions, the carrots obtained for investigation pass through several weeks of short day conditions. This agrees with the formation of adventitious roots in the NL medium. Unfortunately, systematic investigations of influences of growth conditions of the “mother plant” on the reaction of explants in culture are hardly available.

This example shows how important the physiological status of cells of explants for reaction in culture can be. Neglecting this may cause problems in repeating experiments. Seemingly, the various tissues used for explantation, with their individual molecular and biochemical architecture, vary in their competence to receive and respond to the stimuli associated with explantation and *in vitro* culture. To which extent such variation determines the response in culture has been discussed in Chapter 7, dealing with somatic embryogenesis in cultured petiole explants.




**Fig. 8.2** Fresh weight and shoot differentiation of haploid and dihaploid callus cultures of *Datura innoxia* as a function of time of transfer from an MS medium with 2.4D, to an MS medium with 10 ppm kinetin (induction medium, 54days of culture, 22°C, continuous illumination; Forche et al. 1981)

**Fig. 8.3** Influence of the photoperiod during early development of carrot plants (until 6 weeks after seedling emergence) on the development of cultured explants of mature plants: NL2 with IAA and inositol; NL3 with IAA, inositol, and kinetin *top row* long day conditions, *bottom row* short day conditions







**Fig. 8.4** Some histological images of cultured petiole explants (*Daucus carota*). Cytoplasm-rich subepidermal cell

Here, only a short recapitulation shall be given. Under suitable conditions (NL2, B5), cultured petiole explants are able to differentiate adventitious roots and shoots, as well as somatic embryos. As summarized in Section 7.3, for these different tissues serve as origin. An additional important factor is time. Indeed, 2–3 days after initiation of the experiment, adjacent to the conductive cells, and between the conductive elements and the glandular channel, after vigorous production of cytoplasm cell divisions are initiated in some cells, which develop first into root primordials, and eventually into adventitious roots. After 5–6 days of culture, cell division is initiated in the large parenchymatous cells after a prior growth of cytoplasm, and later the differentiation of adventitious shoots can often be seen. After about 2–3 weeks, the differentiation of somatic embryos from originally vacuolated subepidermal cells can be observed. Here again, the initial histological indication is a vigorous growth of cytoplasm (Fig. 8.4, Table 8.7).

A careful peeling of the epidermis connected to two or three subepidermal cell layers cultured under the same cultural conditions results also in the initiation of somatic embryogenesis. This indicates the capacity of these subepidermal cells to differentiate somatic embryos independently of other parts of the petiole, which would be related to the differential status of these cells at explantation. Important is the increase in cytoplasm in all three cases, as the first cytologically observable sign. The different morphogenic processes would subsequently be related to differences in the composition of the newly produced cytoplasm. It would be of interest to investigate the significance of the glandular channel for these processes.

## 8.2 Exogenous Factors

In this section, phytohormones and growth regulators, the mineral nutrition of cell cultures, and influences of light and temperature will be discussed. Most literature currently available deals with the significance of phytohormones and growth

**Table 8.7** Flow sheet of somatic embryogenesis in cultured petiole explants of *Daucus carota* (Li and Neumann 1985)

Days after explantation to the next dev. stage	Developmental stage	Hormonal supplement at transition
t0	Somatic cells	High auxin conc., 2 ppm IAA
3–5	Meristematic cells near conductive elements	High auxin conc., 2 ppm IAA
ca. 10	Adventitious roots	Less auxin, 0.1 ppm 2.4D
ca. 15	Embryogenic cells (subepidermal region), densely filled with cytoplasm <sup>a</sup>	Low auxin conc., 0.01 ppm 2.4D
18–20	Four-cell stage of embryogenic cells, pre-globular stage	Low auxin conc., 0.01 ppm 2.4D
ca. 24	Globular stage	Low auxin conc., 0.01 ppm 2.4D
ca. 28	Heart-shaped stage	Low cytokinin conc. (0.02 ppm zeatin), low auxin conc., 0.01 ppm 2.4D
30–40	Torpedo-shaped stage <sup>a</sup>	No growth regulators
50–60	Mature embryo	No growth regulators
80–90	Young plant	

<sup>a</sup>Transfer to a new medium with the concentration of growth regulators indicated

regulators, and also here only some examples will be given to indicate tendencies—for more information, the internet is recommended. Again, some empirical ideas of more general significance will be considered, exemplified by research results mostly from our own laboratory. The same approach is taken for the significance of nutrition and physical factors.

### 8.2.1 Growth Regulators

Let us start with some remarks on terminology. In the literature, some confusion exists on the use of the terms phytohormones and growth regulators. In this book, mainly phytohormones are defined as natural occurring regulators of growth and development native to plants; the term growth regulators includes phytohormones, and synthetic substances with influences similar to those of phytohormones.


Nutritional factors are generally rather unspecific with respect to growth and differentiation, and predominately recognizable in quantitative terms. However, growth regulators exert rather specific influences usually at low concentrations in the medium. Some exceptions to this have been discussed in Chapter 7, and will be discussed in Chapter 11.

As a general principle, cell division and cellular differentiation are counteracting processes. Independently of the classification of a compound as, e.g., an auxin or a cytokinin, if its application promotes high cell division activity, then usually differentiation will be inhibited at the same concentration. The application of IAA,

a native auxin, to cultured carrot root explants induces the differentiation of adventitious roots within about 2 weeks. Under these conditions, its activity to promote cell division is relatively low. After a simultaneous application of kinetin, a synthetic cytokinin, high cell division is induced, and root formation is either prevented or sometimes delayed for about 3 weeks. The same delay can be observed for an equimolar application of 2.4D, a synthetic auxin that strongly promotes cell division at suitable concentrations.

Another important factor is the concentration of the growth regulators applied. As an example, if kinetin is applied at 0.1 ppm to the nutrient medium of *Datura* explants, a strong stimulation of cell division activity can be observed; an application of 10 ppm inhibits cell division, and the differentiation of shoots is induced; brushing a solution of 30 ppm onto isolated leaves slows down senescence. Very important are interactions of the various growth regulators. As demonstrated in Fig. 8.5, a separate application of IAA, kinetin, or m-inositol induces only small growth responses, and even a combination of any two of these increases growth only slightly. A growth rate of callus cultures comparable to that recorded with a supplement of coconut milk is achieved only by a combination of all three components. There is evidence suggesting an enhanced multiple interaction of these growth regulators, rather than simply a summation of individual effects (see Chap. 11).

An important factor in such relations is certainly an endogenous hormonal system that evolves during culture of the explants, which will be dealt with in Chapter 11. Also genetic influences have to be considered, and it is open to which extent there exist relations between these and the endogenous hormonal system of cultured tissue.





**Fig. 8.5** Multiple interactions of several growth regulators influencing the fresh weight of cultured explants of the secondary phloem of the carrot root (NL, see Table 3.3, 22°C, continuous light at ca. 4,000 lux; Bender and Neumann 1978a)


Such relations of cell division and differentiation, as described for the growth and development of cultured cells, can be also observed for biochemical differentiation, and consequently for the production of components of secondary metabolism that could be of commercial interest (Chap. 10). Compared with highly active, proliferating cell populations, the development of the secondary metabolism usually requires a certain age of cells, i.e., a longer interphase in the cell cycle.

### 8.2.2 Nutritional Factors


As can be seen from the composition of nutrient media (Sect. 3.4), cell cultures require all the mineral nutrients as intact plants for optimal growth and development. Also in terms of growth performance, dose/response relations tend to be similar to those known for intact plants since a long time (cf. Figs. 8.6, 8.7, 8.8). If a tangent is projected on the ascending curve, an angle of ascent can be observed that is characteristic for each nutrient in cell cultures as well as for intact plants, certainly due to the specific function of the nutritive element investigated. Here, differences can be observed for callus growth, and for the number of cells per explant. Evidently, cell division activity and cellular growth are influenced differently by the nutrient.



**Fig. 8.6** Influence of potassium and of phosphorus in the nutrient medium on the fresh weight and number of cells per explant of cultured explants of the secondary phloem of the carrot root (NL, see Table 3.3, supplied with 50 ppm m-inositol, 2 ppm IAA, 0.1 ppm kinetin)



**Fig. 8.7** Influence of various phosphorus concentrations and kinetin (0.1 ppm) on the cell number of explants of cultured carrot root explants after 3 weeks of culture (cell number at  $t_0 = 15 \times 10^3$ / explant). The nutrient efficiency rate was derived by interpolation of the increment of cells per explant/ng of nutrient between the two lowest nutrient concentrations, and amounts to  $131 \times 10^3$  for minus kinetin, and  $343 \times 10^3$  for plus kinetin treatments (Stiebeling and Neumann 1987)



**Fig. 8.8** Influence of various nitrogen concentrations and kinetin (0.1 ppm) on the cell number of explants of cultured carrot root explants after 3 weeks of culture (cell number at  $t_0 = 15 \times 10^3$ / explant)

For phosphorus, the angle of ascent for callus growth is greater than for the number of cells per explant, the reverse being the case for potassium.

Clear influences on the equilibrium of cell division and cellular growth can also be observed for micronutrients. Especially iron promotes cell division, whereas Mn and Mo (at the concentrations applied) seem to preferentially promote cellular growth (Table 8.5). Some data on the consequences of nutrient deficiencies for metabolism will be discussed in Chapter 9, dealing with primary metabolism.

To characterize the function of an individual nutrient element in terms of yield production of cereals, a so-called  $c$ -value was introduced over 50 years ago by Mitscherlich (1954):

$$dy/dx = k(A - y)$$

or, after integration,

$$\ln(A - y) = c - kx$$

where  $y$  is the fresh weight, dry weight, or cell number per explant,  $x$  the variable of the experimental system (e.g., the concentration of the nutrient),  $A$  the maximum achievable growth, and  $k$  is a constant.

Here,  $c$  is represented by an integration constant of invariable components of a system, except for  $k$ . After transformation into Brigg logarithms, we have

$$\log(A - y) = \log A - cx$$

and  $c$  is proportional to  $k$ , which is based on the transformation to Brigg logarithms ( $c = kx 0.434$ ). Often, the Mitscherlich formula is written in a non-logarithmic form:

$$y = A(1 - 10^{-cx})$$

The value  $c$  describes the angle of ascent of the tangent in experiments on mineral nutrition. Such calculations can also be applied to cell and tissue cultures. Comparing  $c$  values of mineral nutrients for intact plants in pot experiments with those calculated for cell cultures, the latter are considerably higher. This would be due to the meristematic character of cell and tissue cultures; in intact plants, meristematic areas are "diluted" by tissue with low or no proliferation, and consequently low or no requirements for mineral nutrients (Stiebeling and Neumann 1987).

Beside influences of individual nutrients on growth, also interactions of these have to be considered for macro- as well as for micronutrients. In Table 8.5, examples are given for interactions of Fe with Mn and Mo. A supplement of the latter two, either alone or in combination, in addition to Fe clearly increases growth more than when summing their individual effects.

Nitrogen nutrition is satisfied by providing nitrate or ammonia, mostly as salts (Sect. 3.4). Starting with White's nutrient medium, glycine, as an organic nitrogen source, contains reduced nitrogen. Nowadays, organic nitrogen in reduced form is

**Table 8.8** Influence of casein hydrolysate (CH, 200 ppm) on the fresh weight, and number of cells per explant of cultured carrot root tissue (secondary phloem) in NL medium (see Table 3.3), supplemented with 50 ppm m-inositol, 2 ppm IAA, and 0.1 ppm kinetin (21 days of culture)

	Fresh weight (mg/explant)	No. of cells (cells × 10 <sup>3</sup> /explant)	Aver. cell weight (µg/cell)
Without CH	99.00	866.13	0.13
With CH	206.00	1,683.00	0.10

**Table 8.9** Influence of nitrogen form (360 mg N/l) on the growth, total nitrogen content, pH of the nutrient medium, and concentration of nicotine for tobacco cell cultures (var. Xanthi 8/11, NL medium, see Table 3.3), supplemented with 50 ppm m-inositol, 2 ppm IAA, and 0.1 ppm kinetin (28 days of culture; Elsner, unpublished results of our institute)

	g Dry wt./250 ml NL	Number of cells × 10 <sup>3</sup> /ml	Cell wt. (µg)	pH <sup>a</sup>	N uptake (mg/100g) <sup>b</sup>	Nicotine (µg/g dry wt.) in cell material	Nicotine (µg/g dry wt.) in nutrient medium
Nitrate (NaNO <sub>3</sub> )	2.628	348	0.030	5.8	461	25.5	8.75 <sup>c</sup>
Ammonia (NH <sub>4</sub> Cl)	1.235	184	0.027	4.4	486	11.7	6.90 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>pH 5.6 at t0<sup>b</sup>Kjeldahl-N<sup>c</sup>Difference highly significant

supplied to most nutrient media as casein hydrolysate. Carrot root explants grow quite well on only nitrate in the NL medium (Table 8.8), but callus weight is nearly twice as high following an application of casein hydrolysate. All amino acids of this mixture can be utilized by cell cultures, but often a selective preference in uptake can be observed—in carrot cultures, this is for leucine. Thus, this mixture of several amino acids, obtained by hydrolysis of the naturally occurring protein casein, can be replaced by one (usually glutamic acid) or a few amino acids.

Compared to media containing only ammonia as nitrogen source, growth of tobacco cell suspensions is higher in nutrient media containing only nitrate as nitrogen source (Table 8.9). For both, average cell weight is essentially identical, and therefore differences would be due to a reduced cell division activity in the ammonia treatment, in which also the concentration of nicotine is at a considerably lower level. Ammonia is taken up by plant cells as a cation in exchange for protons, which accounts for the lowering of the pH of the medium. Nitrogen uptake was at the same level for both nitrogen forms, suggesting that the differences in growth performance are due to differences in metabolism of the two, and possibly to the differences in pH (see later).

Only reduced nitrogen can be utilized by heterotrophic plant cells. The high energy requirement to reduce nitrate is fulfilled by photosynthesis in intact plants, and for cell cultures usually by some carbohydrate in the medium, commonly sucrose. Beside influences on growth, the nitrogen form exerts influences on morphogenesis. Already in the mid-1960s, Halperin and Wetherell (1965) reported a requirement for

ammonia in addition to nitrate, i.e. reduced nitrogen, in the nutrient medium to induce somatic embryogenesis. This was later confirmed using other species (e.g., Gleddie et al. 1982 for *Solanum melanogena*). In this system, the nitrate to ammonia ratio of 2 is optimal up to a concentration of 60 mM of total nitrogen in the medium. With the exception of the NL medium, all other media used to induce somatic embryogenesis contain ammonia in addition to nitrate. In the NL medium, nitrate is the only source of inorganic nitrogen. Reduced nitrogen, however, is supplied as amino acids in casein hydrolysate. As will be reported elsewhere in detail, here a strict requirement of ammonia to induce somatic embryogenesis does not exist.

Employing the more recent methods of proteomics, some investigations using intact plants may shed more light on the differences in the function of the two nitrogen sources. A nitrate supply to nitrogen-starved tomato plants results in an upregulation of 115 genes, including nitrate transporters, nitrate and nitrite reductase, and also some of those involved in general metabolism, like transaldolase and transketolases, malate dehydrogenase, asparagine synthase, and histidine decarboxylase (Y.H. Wang et al. 2001). Similar results have been reported for *Arabidopsis* (R. Wang et al. 2000). Here, beside an upregulation, also repressions of some genes were observed, like for AMT1;1, encoding an ammonium transporter. Evidently, as of its first entry into metabolism, a molecule as small as nitrate is able to initiate a whole family of genes with possibly remote functions.

The function of mineral nutrients depends on the supplement of growth regulators to the medium. In Fig. 8.8, results of an experiment on the influence of kinetin on the growth of carrot root explants at various nitrogen concentrations are summarized. It is obvious that a kinetin supplement induces a higher efficiency of nitrogen for callus growth. Similar results can be obtained for phosphate with, however, some variation, possibly specific for this nutrient (Fig. 8.7). Nitrogen and phosphorus in casein hydrolysate were not considered in the two nutrient media given in the tables. These and similar results indicate an influence of growth regulators on the nutrient efficiency rate. It remains to be seen to which extent this influence, here of phytohormones, exists also for intact plants—some preliminary results dealing with this aspect are positive.


The nutrient efficiency rate was derived by interpolation of the increment of cells per explant/ng of nutrient between the two lowest nutrient concentrations. This amounts to  $2.2 \times 10^3$  for minus kinetin, and  $13.6 \times 10^3$  for plus kinetin treatments (Stiebeling and Neumann 1987).

The influence of growth regulators on nutrient efficiency can not be explained easily. Each amino acid requires its characteristic number of nitrogen atoms, and each nucleotide needs at least one phosphorus. Although no explanation is available for nitrogen, some first lines of evidence exist for phosphorus (see also Chap. 9). Although the explants of the experiments in Figs. 8.7 and 8.8 can be considered as mixotrophic, photosynthesis contributes considerably to fulfill the demands in energy and carbon. The export of assimilates from chloroplasts, however, is mediated mainly by a phosphate translocator that requires inorganic phosphate in the cytoplasm for operation. At phosphorus deficiency, the transport of assimilates through chloroplast membranes is less; assimilates will accumulate in the chloroplasts, and be initially stored as starch. The starch storage capacity of the plastome is limited, and if this is



exhausted, then the assimilates reduce the activity of the Calvin cycle enzymes to fix carbon dioxide by feedback. Neither NADPH nor ATP can be transported through the chloroplast membranes directly to the cytoplasm. To the Calvin cycle, a second route of assimilate export exists that is independent of inorganic phosphate—a dicarboxylate shuttle. A main function of assimilates in the cytoplasm is to provide substrates to produce reduction equivalents, mostly NADPH and NADH. This function can be at least partly substituted by the dicarboxylate shuttle. Some first results indicate a dependence of this shuttle on kinetin (Neumann and Bender 1987). Should these be confirmed, the influence of kinetin on phosphate efficiency could find some explanation. At low phosphate concentrations in the medium, kinetin could promote the operation of this shuttle, as supplement for a low activity of the phosphate translocator, thereby increasing the assimilate export of chloroplasts.


It has to be checked to which extent such conditions could influence also secondary metabolism. As could be expected, generally many influences of the nutritional status of the culture can be observed on the concentration and the composition of the protein, concentrations of free amino acids, as well as of carbohydrates and other components of primary and secondary metabolism. For influences of nutrients on secondary metabolism, the anthocyanin concentration in cultured carrot root explants as influenced by Mo shall serve as an example (Neumann 1962). Cultured explants of some carrot roots are able to synthesize and accumulate anthocyanins. An increase results from higher iron concentrations in the medium. By contrast, a dramatic decrease is associated with high molybdenum levels (Fig. 8.9). The synthesis of



**Fig. 8.9** Influence of various concentrations of molybdenum on the concentrations of anthocyanin in cultured carrot root explants (BM, see Table 3.3, with 10% coconut milk; after Neumann 1962)

anthocyanin is closely related to an interaction of carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism, and often its accumulation can be observed in situations favoring an accumulation of carbohydrates. Iron increases the uptake of sugars from the nutrient medium, and molybdenum, as a cofactor to nitrate reductase, should increase the synthesis of amino acids and other nitrogen-containing compounds (Neumann 1962; Neumann and Steward 1968). Consequently, due to requirements of carbohydrates for amino acid synthesis, the concentration of carbohydrates in cultures would be reduced by molybdenum. Also at phosphorus deficiency, usually anthocyanin will accumulate, which is used for diagnosis of phosphorus deficiency in intact plants. One explanation could be the requirements of phosphate for optimal operation of the phosphate translocator. A disturbance of this endogenous transport system would promote an accumulation of carbohydrates in the cells, and anthocyanin would accumulate. As expected, an accumulation of anthocyanin can also be induced by elevated sucrose levels in the nutrient medium.

The courses of uptake of the two twin nutrient pairs potassium/phosphorus and calcium/magnesium are quite similar, and also the influence of kinetin is comparable (Fig. 8.10). The nutrients of the former pair are used up to a greater extent than those of the latter. This can be observed also for intact plants. The highest rate of uptake, at least for K, P, and Mg, takes place during the log phase from the 10th to




**Fig. 8.10** Influence of kinetin (0.1 ppm), inositol (50 ppm), and IAA (2 ppm) on the concentrations of potassium, phosphorus, calcium, and magnesium in the nutrient medium of cultured carrot root explants during 28 days of culture


the 20th day of culture (see also Chap. 3). During the stationary phase, uptake is slowed down again. The uptake follows growth intensity, and at least for P and Mg, a “luxury” consumption can be excluded. Although the concentrations of all four nutrients are lower if compared to those at  $t_0$ , a deficiency of these should not be responsible for the transition of the cultures from the log to the stationary phase.

In the experiments described above, nutrient uptake was estimated by determination of the concentration of the nutrients in the nutrient medium at various stages of culture. If, however, the nutrient concentration is calculated on the basis of cell number of the cultures, as in Fig. 8.11 for potassium and in Fig. 8.12 for phosphorus, then a high accumulation occurs during the lag phase of callus growth up to the 6th or 7th day of culture. A kinetin supplement increases the concentration of both nutrients on the 6th day by about 25%. At this day, cell number is approximately the same as in the kinetin-free treatment. Therefore, the nutrient uptake rate would not be related to the growth-promoting capacity of kinetin, but rather to changes in metabolism initiated by kinetin during the lag phase.

**Fig. 8.11** Influence of kinetin (0.1 ppm) on the potassium concentration of carrot callus cultures during a 28-day culture period (Krömmelbein, unpublished results of our institute)



**Fig. 8.12** Influence of kinetin (0.1 ppm) on the concentration of phosphorus ( $\mu\text{g P}/10^4$  cells, Y axis) in carrot callus cultures during a 28-day culture period (X axis; Krömmelbein, unpublished results of our institute)



This maximum of K and P is followed by a steep decrease in concentration, which certainly would at least be partly due to a “dilution” induced by the strong increase in cell number per explant during the log phase of callus growth. From the 12th day onward, the concentration of these two mineral nutrients remains more or less constant, and no influence of kinetin can be observed. In other experiments, sometimes the concentration is somewhat elevated in the kinetin treatment.

Although the experiments on mineral nutrients discussed above clearly show their significance for cell cultures, only a limited number of investigations are known dealing with this aspect. Except for the early investigation performed at the time to establish the mineral composition of nutrient media, systematic studies of the significance of mineral nutrition in cell cultures are not available. Also, it is only rarely that investigations on the influences of mineral nutrients on metabolism, and the composition of cultured cells can be found in the literature. This should be also of commercial interest. The importance of such investigations shall be demonstrated by, e.g., the results of Fujita and Tabata (1987). A nitrogen supplement as ammonia reduces the production of shikonin by *Lithospermum* cultures; this is in agreement with results obtained for nicotine production by cultures of tobacco (see above). Another example is an increase of products of the secondary metabolism by a general reduction of nitrogen in the nutrient medium. A reduction of nitrogen results also in an increase in the production of capsaicin in pepper cultures, and the formation of serpentine and ajmalicin is increased in *Catharanthus* cultures by lowering the phosphate level. An increase of rosmarinic acid could be the result of a reduced growth rate by cell division in the cultures, and the accumulation of older cells. In Chapter 10, more details will be discussed. Influences of mineral nutrients on morphogenesis have already been discussed in Chapter 7.

### 8.2.2.1 Improvement of Nutrient Uptake by Transgenic Carrot Cultures


Phosphorous (P) is an essential nutrient for plant growth, development, and production, as part of key molecules such as nucleic acids, phospholipids, ATP, and other biologically active compounds. The total amount of P in the soil may be high, but often it is unavailable for plant uptake.

To adapt to phosphate (Pi) deficiency, plant roots release citrate or malate, or both, which mobilizes Pi from sparingly soluble Pi sources (Penaloza et al. 2005). Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPCase) is an important enzyme that regulates the generation of some organic acids, such as oxalacetic acid and malic acid, by carboxylation of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP, see below). The transcriptional activation of PEPCase genes is also regulated by P deficiency (Toyota et al. 2003).


As described later, at phosphorous deficiency the activity of the phosphate translocator is reduced, and the energy export from the chloroplasts is substituted by a dicarboxylate shuttle. The initial step of this shuttle is a carboxylation

of PEP by PEPCase; oxalacetic acid is produced, which is reduced to malate after uptake by the chloroplast (see also Chap. 9). Malate and also citrate accumulate in the cells, and in the nutrient medium. To improve the utilization of phosphorus by increasing the production of malate and citrate in the nutrient medium, we have generated transgenic carrot cultures containing an additional PEPCase gene (*ppcA*, Accession Z48966) from *Flaveria pringlei* (C3 plant; Swenson et al. 1997; Westhoff et al. 1997), under control of the MAS promoter with the methods described later (Sect. 13.2). In nutrient media, usually water-soluble Na-bis-phosphate is supplied as phosphorus source. To check the efficiency of this foreign gene, bis-phosphate was substituted by Thomas phosphate in which phosphate is hardly water-soluble. As shown in Fig. 8.13, only transformed cells carrying the second PEPCase gene are able to grow in the nutrient medium with Thomas phosphate as only P source (Natur et al., unpublished data of our laboratory). No data are available yet for transgenic carrot plants growing on P-deficient soil.

By transferring an embryogenic carrot cell suspension into a hormone-free B5 medium, the development of somatic embryos is decreased by P deficiency. Figure 8.14 shows that the transgenic cells as well as the control are growing normally in B5 with water-soluble hydrogen-P, whereas only the transgenic cells can grow in B5 with water-insoluble Thomas phosphate.



**Fig. 8.13** Growth of carrot cell suspension during 5 weeks of culture in B5 medium with different P sources ( $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  as soluble P, and Thomas phosphate as insoluble P). Cell density is shown as pcv (packed cell volume, ml cells/100ml suspension)



**Fig. 8.14** Development of somatic embryos during 28 days of culture in the hormone-free B5 medium with Na-bis-phosphate or Thomas phosphate: *A left* Rotin, *right* transgenic strain; *B left* Rotin, *right* transgenic strain

### 8.3 Physical Factors

Here, some examples on influences of temperature and illumination on cultured cells shall be discussed. Although marked influences of these factors can be expected for the performance of cell cultures, data of systematic studies on this aspect are rather rare.


Like all biological systems, also for cell culture there exists a profound influence of temperature on growth and development. Up to 30–35°C, an increase in growth performance of cell cultures of a number of species has been described. If possible, the optimal temperature for each cell culture system should be determined, and this could be expected to range between 20 and 30°C. Usually, the temperature is kept constant during an experiment. As an example, growth of tobacco shoot cultures at three temperature levels is given in Table 8.10. Also morphogenetic processes can be controlled by temperature, as shown for caulogenesis of cultured lily bulb explants (van Aartrijk and Blom-Barnhoorn 1983), which is strongly increased by elevating the temperature from 15 to 25°C (Fig. 8.15). Even relatively small genetic differences, as between varieties of the same species, will be significant in determining the optimal temperature. The anthers of the tobacco variety “Wisconsin” produce abundant haploid plantlets at 22°C, a temperature at which androgenesis could not be induced using anthers of “Xanthi”. Here, temperatures of 27–28°C are required for androgenesis. Also, the positive influence of a short storage at low temperatures to induce androgenesis described in Chapter 6 should be recalled. Eventually, the optimal temperature for rhizogenesis and caulogenesis could be different. As an example, influences of temperature on callus growth are given in Table 8.10.

For light, several factors have to be considered. Beside light intensity, which can vary between darkness and continuous illumination by 8,000–10,000lux, also light quality, and the variation in the daily duration of illumination are of significance.

**Table 8.10** Influence of temperature on callus growth (mg fresh weight/explant) of *Nicotiana tabacum* (var. Xanthi 8/11 = n, 8/12 = 2xn) on MS medium, and 0.8% agar, 0.2 ppm 2,4-D, and 0.1 ppm kinetin, 21 days of culture (Zeppernick, unpublished results of our institute)

	6°C	22°C	28°C
8/11	10	170	270
8/12	10	270	360

**Fig. 8.15** Interactions of temperature, NAA, and wounding in the formation of adventitious shoots of explants of lily buds (van Aartrijk and Blom-Barnhoorn 1983): *closed circles* application of 0.5  $\mu$ M NAA; *open circles* no NAA; *dotted lines* no wounding; *continuous lines* wounded explants



**Table 8.11** Influence of light on the cell division activity (cell number  $\times 10^3$ /explant) of cultured carrot root explants, cultured with m-inositol (50 ppm), IAA (2 ppm), and kinetin (0.1 ppm) in NL medium (see Table 3.3, 3 weeks of culture; Neumann and Raafat 1973)

	Dark	Light
Original tissue	8.0	18.0
No growth regulators	12.5	35.2
m-Inositol+IAA	25.4	146.1
m-Inositol+IAA+kinetin	444.0	752.4

Green cultures with photosynthetic activity will improve growth at higher light intensities. Also the response to growth regulators will be modified by illumination. In the experiment in Table 8.11, however, it is difficult to distinguish between light influences on photosynthetic activities, and direct influences on the function of the growth regulators. Sometimes an increase in growth can also be observed in the dark, and rhizogenesis in some systems can be promoted in darkness, or at low light intensities. The significance of illumination for protoplast cultures was discussed before (Chap. 5). With respect to light quality, Fluora-lamps would be preferred to the usual fluorescent lamps, because of a light spectrum close to that of sunlight. In our laboratory, however, only Osram lamps are used (15W/21, Lumilux White), with success.





# Chapter 9

## Primary Metabolism

Here, only a short sketch of some reactions considered as part of primary metabolism, concentrating on carbon assimilation from organic and inorganic sources, and some remarks on nitrogen metabolism will be given. Most culture systems are illuminated, and therefore interactions of carbon from sugar of the nutrient medium with products of light-dependent CO<sub>2</sub> fixation, i.e., photosynthesis, will be considered in more detail.

### 9.1 Carbon Metabolism

Cell cultures, like any other plant material, are able to fix carbon dioxide in the dark (Table 9.1). Compared to light fixation, however, this is very low, and using radioactive carbon as carbon dioxide shows labeling after a short exposure only in some organic acids and aspartic acid (coming from OAA), probably due to PEPCase activity.

Many, possibly most tissue culture studies are performed in the light. Consequently, generally chloroplasts develop, and photosynthesis contributes to a varying degree to metabolism. Despite the fact that most plants depend upon photosynthesis to use light energy to obtain carbon and energy for growth, investigations on photosynthesis of plant tissue and cell cultures are relatively rare. One of us (Neumann 1962, 1966), while working at Cornell University, was probably one of the first to show the presence of chloroplasts in cultured explants, and light-dependent carbon dioxide fixation of carrot callus cultures using a culture system of the Steward laboratory (cf. Steward et al. 1952). The carrot secondary phloem explants also provide basic material to study the primary metabolism in cultured cells grown in a medium supplemented with sugar as source of carbohydrates, and some understanding of the development of the photosynthetic apparatus was developed in our laboratory. Here, extensive research into various aspects of primary metabolic processes has been carried out over 4 decades (e.g., Neumann 1962, 1966, 1968, 1995; Neumann and Raafat 1973; Neumann et al. 1982; Kumar et al. 1983a, b, 1984, 1987, 1989, 1999; Bender et al. 1985; Kumar and Neumann 1999).


**Table 9.1** Integration of carbon from carbon dioxide into various metabolites of photosynthetically active carrot callus cultures in the light and in darkness (21 days of culture in NL3 with m-inositol, IAA, and kinetin, see Table 3.3), after different lengths of incubation in NL3 as before supplied with  $\text{NaH}^{14}\text{CO}_3$  (pH 7,  $\mu\text{M}$  C atoms  $\times 10^{-4}/\text{mg}$  chlorophyll; Bender and Neumann 1978a)

	Light, 15 s	Light, 4 min	Dark, 4 min
PGA	10.4	88	0
Gluc. P	0	214	0
Fruc. P	0	72	0
Glucose	0	108	0
Fructose	0	74	0
Sucrose	0	0	0
PEP	0	22	0
Glycerate	Traces	47	0
Glycine + serine	0	20	0
Alanine	0	19	0
Malate	7	188	17
Citrate	2	81	13
Aspartate	3	198	21
Glutamate	0	17	2

Most plant tissues grow in media containing 2–3% sucrose, through which energy and carbon needs are satisfied. The nitrogen source is provided in the form of nitrate, ammonia, and casein hydrolysate, separately or in combination. However, as shown in Fig. 9.1, during culture there is gradual depletion of amino acids of casein hydrolysate from the medium. Often, a preferential uptake of amino acids can be observed, in many situations of leucine (Neumann et al. 1978). The heterotrophic phase correlates with the lag phase, and leads to the log phase of cell division activity.

Detailed light, scanning, and electron microscope studies have indicated the development of a photosynthetic apparatus in these cultured secondary phloem explants of carrots, which could serve as model for the development of a photosynthetic apparatus in cultured plant tissues. Most experiments were performed using NL3, i.e., containing kinetin, and distinct deviations were observed. After about 10–12 days in culture, the explants pass from a heterotrophic to a mixotrophic phase, where the young chloroplasts (Neumann et al. 1982; Kumar et al. 1983a, b, 1984, 1999; Kumar and Neumann 1999) already play a role in carbon fixation and energy supply. This phase coincides with the log phase of cell division, and lasts up to 20–25 days from the beginning of the experiment. This is followed by an autotrophic phase, in which the carbon supply and energy requirements are met through photosynthetic processes carried out by the cultured cells (Neumann et al. 1978; Nato et al. 1985). The plant cells are also able to utilize nitrate as nitrogen source in this phase (Fig. 9.1).

The development of chloroplasts and of chlorophyll has also been reported for other callus cultures (see review by Widholm 1992, 2000). The most extensively




**Fig. 9.1** Concentrations of sugar, amino acids, and nitrate in the nutrient medium, and CO<sub>2</sub> fixation of cultured carrot root explants during a 4-week culture period (Neumann et al. 1978)

studied cultures include tobacco, *Chenopodium*, and carrots. However, there are also several reports on *Arachis*, *Gossypium*, *Glycine*, etc. (Bergmann 1967; Kumar 1974a, b). In contrast to this, cell cultures of several other plant species produce only poorly developed chloroplasts (e.g., *Papaver*), or none at all. Growth is thus mainly heterotrophic, or at the most mixotrophic in many culture systems.

Development of chloroplasts and a photosynthetic apparatus in carrot tissue cultures, as an example, has been reported in detail by Kumar et al. (1984). The original

**Fig. 9.2** “Amylochromoplast” in a cell of a cultured carrot root explants after 3 days of culture (NL, Table 3.3), containing starch (S) and carotene (C) crystals (Photograph A.Kumar)




carrot secondary phloem explants show the presence of chromoplasts and some amyloplasts. The differentiation of these plastids present in the original explants is influenced by the availability of a carbohydrate source, some growth regulators, and light supply.

The chromoplasts develop into amyloplasts, and also intermediate structures named “amylochromoplasts” occur that contain starch deposits, in addition to carotene crystals (Fig. 9.2). However, after 6 days of culture, the “amylochromoplasts” are no longer detectable. This suggests that the original chromoplasts as well as the amyloplasts are now differentiating into chloroplasts. Various stages of chloroplast development have been documented for that period (Fig. 9.3; Kumar et al. 1984, 1999). The development of plastids in the cultured cells has been compared to the development of chloroplasts in the apical meristem (Kumar and Neumann 1999). In the dark, the *Daucus* leaf cells develop etioplasts, which could not be detected in dark/light cultures. This suggests that in the cultivated cells, proplastids play no role in the development of chloroplasts. Possibly, the main source of chloroplast multiplication in cultured cells is the division of chloroplasts or their precursors, though among ca. 1,000 sections examined under EM, only about 30 showed the division of plastids. The propagation of plastids would either follow a strict circadian rhythm with a maximum that has to date not been identified, or there would be some other propagation mechanism in operation, unknown at present.

The developing chloroplasts in callus cultures attain the stage G developmental stage, as characterized in our investigations (see Fig. 9.3, Table 9.2), and only carrot leaves show stage H, which represents mature chloroplasts.

The development of the photosynthetic apparatus in cultured plant cells is influenced by several exogenous factors like physicochemical conditions, nutritional status, and growth regulators (Fig. 9.4). Bender et al. (1985) described the significance of some exogenous factors in chloroplast development in carrot callus




**Fig. 9.3** Some stages of plastid development in cultured explants of the secondary phloem of carrot roots observed during a culture period of 4 weeks (developmental scheme, A–G callus cultures, H carrot leaf) (Photograph A.Kumar)

cultures. The development of chloroplasts is initiated by light, while in dark conditions only chromoplasts are seen that develop into amyloplasts. However, light alone is not enough for the development of chloroplasts. In the presence of light only, chromoplasts and some amyloplasts could be seen.

**Table 9.2** Distribution of developmental stages of plastids (C–G) in cultured carrot root explants during a 4-week culture period (NL medium, supplied with m-inositol, IAA, and kinetin, see Table 3.3)

Developmental stage of plastids	Days of culture						
	3	6	10	12	14	18	28
C	x	x	(x)				
D	x	x	x	x			
E		x	x	x	x	x	
F				x	x	x	(x)
G							x



**Fig. 9.4** Influence of various factors (light, sucrose, kinetin) on the development of plastids in cultured explants of the secondary phloem of carrot roots (drawing by A. Kumar)


The quality of light was found to play a significant role in cell cultures of *Chenopodium rubrum* (Hüseemann et al. 1989). Blue light has been shown to have positive effects on chloroplast development.

The number of plastids in carrot cultures (in relative terms) was positively influenced by the supplementation of sugars to the medium. Even in the absence of exogenous growth regulators, the number of plastids in the cells exposed to light alone was positively influenced by the supply of sugars in the medium. The sugar regulated the number of plastids per cell (Fig. 9.4). A combination of light and exogenous growth regulators, however, regulated the qualitative development of the chloroplasts. Although kinetin-supplemented cultured explants showed maximum greening, influences on the ultrastructural development of chloroplasts was not significant. The biochemical explanations of the regulation of subcellular dedifferentiation and redifferentiation (transdifferentiation) are lacking in such cultured plant cell systems. The carrot system developed here, however, could serve as a model system to study the regulation of such subcellular differentiation processes. The light compensation point of *Arachis* cultures was determined at 40  $\mu\text{mol O}_2$ , which is less than that of leaves.

Although the deep temperature absorption spectra in the blue light region show some differences, in the red light region the absorption spectra of photosynthetic callus cultures and leaves were at least qualitatively comparable (Fig. 9.5). This was also applicable to the electron flow through PS II and PS I, as indicated by fluorescence induction kinetics or Kautsky measurements. The influence of sugar supplementation, as well as of a supply of growth regulators on fluorescence induction kinetics was correlated with other parameters of chloroplast development. A profile comparable to that of leaves could be seen only in the medium supplemented with kinetin. These results indicate that under optimal conditions, at least qualitatively, the light reaction system of chloroplasts from cultured plant cells and leaves is quite comparable.

Beside oxygen, the main products of the light reaction system are NADPH and ATP. Neither ATP nor NADPH pass through the chloroplast envelope directly, to be used for many reactions in the cytoplasm. To this end, organic compounds of the  $\text{CO}_2$  fixation systems are employed as carriers. Based on contemporary knowledge, the carbon assimilation system operates through two enzyme systems (Fig. 9.6). In the ribulose-bis-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) system, ribulose-bis-phosphate is carboxylated, and the first stable products are two molecules of phosphoglyceric acid (PGA). In the second system, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPCase) uses phosphoenolpyruvate, an intermediate of glycolysis, as primary acceptor for carbon dioxide, and oxaloacetate is the first stable product.


The oxaloacetate pool of the cells is very small and subject to substantial turnover; therefore, its concentration is rather difficult to determine. OAA produced via the carboxylation process, however, is rapidly converted into malate in the plastids. Oxaloacetate is also a precursor for the synthesis of aspartic acid, and it should be regarded as a representative of OAA. As mentioned before, malate is produced in plastids from OAA (or aspartate after desamination) by an NADP (or NAD)-dependent malate dehydrogenase (Fig. 9.7).




**Fig. 9.5** Deep temperature spectra of leaves and cell cultures of *Daucus carota* and *Arachis hypogaea*

A comparison of  $^{14}\text{C}$  label of malate and aspartate in light and darkness indicates that in the light, malate clearly dominates (Fig. 9.8). Obviously, light, via the provision of NADPH, promotes the reduction of OAA to malate. Malate can be transferred to the cytoplasm where it can be utilized to fuel metabolism. Combined, these reactions represent a dicarboxylate shuttle (Heber and Heldt 1981).






**Fig. 9.6** Fresh weight and activity of ribulose-bis-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPCase) of cultured explants of the secondary phloem of the carrot root during 4 weeks of culture (Kumar et al. 1983b)



**Fig. 9.7** Schematic summary of some metabolic reactions of carbon metabolism of cultured carrot root explants (Neumann et al. 1989)



**Fig. 9.8** Schematic summary of a partial C4 fixation, and a dicarboxy shuttle in cultured carrot root tissue (Bender et al. 1985)

In cultured carrot explants, both carboxylating systems are in operation (Fig. 9.6) at a varying extent at different stages of the culture. Up to about 20 days of culture, both RuBisCO and PEPCase exhibit more or less the same activities. This coincides with the heterotrophic and mixotrophic phases of the cultures. In the following stationary phase, RuBisCO by far dominates. This pattern has been confirmed by similar studies using *Chenopodium* cultures (Kumar et al. 1983b; Herzbeck and Hüsemann 1985). At this stage of the cultures, the sugar concentration in the medium is already quite low (Fig. 9.1), and may have less negative influences on the RuBisCO system, as described below. Another factor could be an increase in the number of functional chloroplasts at that stage.

The export of products of the RuBisCO system is governed by a triose phosphate/phosphate translocator with a requirement for inorganic phosphate taken up by the chloroplasts from the cytoplasm, in exchange for triose phosphates. This translocator belongs to a whole set of similar translocators that has been described in more detail for *Arabidopsis* (Knappe et al. 2003). PEP carboxylation is localized in the cytoplasm, and the products of the PEPCase system are transferred to the chloroplasts. Oxalacetate (and aspartate, after desamination) can be reduced in the chloroplasts by NADPH to malate, which can be transferred to the cytoplasm. Here, following oxidation of malate by the malic enzyme, reducing equivalents originating from the Hill reaction for the many endergonic reactions of the cell are made available.

The phosphate translocator operates by stoichiometric exchange of plastid-produced organic phosphorylated compounds for inorganic phosphate from the cytoplasm. Consequently, the concentration of inorganic phosphate in the cytoplasm

**Table 9.3** Influence of restricted phosphate supply on the growth, P uptake, and some parameters of photosynthesis ( $\mu\text{m carbon} \times 10^4/\text{mg chlorophyll}$ ) for explants of the secondary phloem of carrot roots after 3 weeks of culture in the light (NL3 medium, see Table 3.3; Bender et al. 1985)

	mg P/l nutrient medium	
	11.6	46.5
Dry matter (mg/vessel)	56.6 $\pm$ 6.8	79.1 $\pm$ 6.5
P uptake (mg/vessel)	0.17 $\pm$ 0.02	0.62 $\pm$ 0.03
P conc. in tissue (mg/g dry wt.)	3.14 $\pm$ 0.14	7.27 $\pm$ 0.25
Chlorophyll (mg/g dry wt.)	0.75 $\pm$ 0.04	0.91 $\pm$ 0.03
CO <sub>2</sub> fix. light	1.428	1.338
CO <sub>2</sub> fix. dark	0.206	0.90
Carbon in soluble fract. (light)	1.301	1.278
Carbon in insoluble fract. (light)	127	61.00
CO <sub>2</sub> fix. RuBisCO (C3)	557.0	880.0
CO <sub>2</sub> fix. PEPCase (C4)	871.00	458.00

is an important factor in the regulation of the activity and efficiency of the export of photosynthetic products.

As an example of regulation at another level, the expression of the triose phosphate/phosphate translocator gene in wheat could be also inhibited by glucose (Sun et al. 2006), and its regulation is dependent on a hexokinase-dependent pathway. Later, influences of sugar on CO<sub>2</sub> fixation will be discussed again.

The requirement for phosphate suggests that phosphate deficiency promotes a dicarboxylate shuttle as an anaplerotic reaction to the Calvin cycle (Table 9.3), and additionally, a supplementary reaction to transfer reduction equivalents generated in excess in the Hill reaction into the cytoplasm (Bender et al. 1985; Neumann 1995). Such shuttles are actually more extensively characterized for mitochondria than for chloroplasts. Here, some reference is made to the section on influences of the transfer of an additional PEPCase gene by gene technology, as described elsewhere.

The assimilation of inorganic carbon is also regulated through sucrose supplied to the nutrient medium (Table 9.4). As shown in Table 9.4, 2% sugar reduces <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> fixation by up to 50%. Before an explanation is sought to this observation, some of the results on the metabolism of carbohydrates taken up from the nutrient medium need to be discussed (Neumann 1995). Sucrose is the most commonly employed carbohydrate in cell and tissue culture. The invertase probably localized in cell wall breaks down the disaccharide within a few days of culture, into the monosaccharides glucose and fructose. The localization of the enzyme in cell walls is not totally clear yet, and protoplast cultures were used to further investigate this aspect. In protoplast culture, the sucrose molecule remains intact in the medium. In some of the investigations, no invertase activity could be detected, although this was present in the callus cultures.

After the uptake by the cells, there is a preferential utilization of glucose compared to that of fructose, although both hexoses are phosphorylated, and can be

**Table 9.4** Influence of sucrose on  $^{14}\text{C}$  fixation in light ( $\text{dpm} \times 10^4/\text{g}$  dry weight), and its distribution in C3 and C4 metabolites in cultured carrot explants after 3 weeks of culture (30-min fixation) in NL3 medium with m-inositol, IAA, and kinetin (see Table 3.3; Bender et al. 1985)

	-Sucrose	+Sucrose (2%)	
C3 metabolites	335	191	-43%
C4 metabolites	505	288	-23%
Total	840	479	

interconverted through hexose isomerase. The Michaelis Menten constant of the enzymes for fructose-6-phosphate was somewhat lower than for glucose-6-phosphate (unpublished results of our institute), so the rate of reaction for building fructose-6-phosphate is higher. At least in a 2% sucrose-supplemented medium, only less than 10% of the sugar taken up is released as  $\text{CO}_2$  (Neumann et al. 1989). Interestingly, in a short-term labeling experiment, a predominant part of hexose phosphate is used in reconstituting sucrose (see Fig. 9.7). These molecules apparently act as some storage carbohydrates in carrot cultures. The high  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  labeling in the pools of free glucose or fructose indicates that endogenously synthesized sucrose is again split up into both hexoses (Neumann et al. 1989).

In these cultures, endogenous sucrose as well as the two hexoses, and interestingly also citrate and malate, can be exported into the nutrient medium. A more detailed summary on low molecular carbohydrates is given by Neumann (1995), and here only some examples will be discussed. The free hexoses represent a pool of carbohydrates. The physiological function of such a metabolic pathway with the splitting of sucrose, and its new synthesis in the cells with ultimate hydrolysis, is difficult to understand, especially seeing that ATP-requiring phosphorylation is essential. Possibly, there is a process in the cells of intact plants that is of great importance for the carbohydrate metabolism, with no significant or no function in cultured cells. Certainly, there could be more examples of this type of phenomenon in cultured plant tissues.

As summarized in Fig. 9.7, the phosphorylation of exogenous hexoses broadly requires phosphate. Consequently, an excess of sugar supply to the nutrient medium can lead to some metabolically induced "phosphate deficiency" with the reduction of activity of the phosphate translocators, and subsequently chloroplast metabolism, as presented above. Then, the dicarboxylate shuttle could, to a limited extent, provide the transport of energy of reducing equivalents from the chloroplasts to the cytoplasm. As mentioned above, glucose could regulate the expression of the gene of the triose phosphate/phosphate translocator (Sun et al. 2006). This is another area of influence by carbohydrates on this system.

Interestingly, the function of the dicarboxylate shuttle is dependent on kinetin supply, and therefore is regulated through the growth regulator system (Bender et al. 1985). The physiological basis of kinetin function is not yet known.

The carrot is regarded as a C3 plant, and although PEPCase fixation of carbon dioxide has a prominent significance in cultured cells, no Kranz anatomy could be

detected. More recently, some data became available indicating that both parts of carbon fixation—C4 fixation by PEPCase, and C3 fixation by RuBisCO—can simultaneously occur in the same cell of C4 species. Investigations by Gowik et al. (2006) and others indicate a phylogenetic relation of C4 PEPCase with a C3 PEPCase from which it may have developed.

In photosynthetically active cultures, the starch deposits accumulated under continuous light, and were dependent mainly on light fixation. However, starch could also be synthesized from the carbohydrates supplemented to the medium. It is interesting to note that embryogenic cultures have higher starch contents than is the case for non-embryogenic cultures cultivated under similar conditions. Tobacco as well as carrot cultures show starch formation in the cells differentiating shoots and roots. During organogenesis, this starch is broken down. Apparently, carbohydrates accumulate in such differentiating cells, and during the process of differentiation the accumulated starch is utilized to obtain energy and substrate for the synthesis of various compounds associated with differentiation.

Little is known on the regulation of starch synthesis in cultured cells. As an example, in sweet potato cultures it has been shown that gibberellic acid has a distinct and specific influence. While NAA, 6-BA, and ABA supplementation had no influence, GA3 application resulted in a marked reduction in starch content. Simultaneously, the activity of starch synthetase was also reduced, so that the regulation through gibberellic acid takes place probably at the translation or transcription level. In this investigation, glucose or glycerin was applied as carbohydrate source to the nutrient medium. The application of GA also reduces the possibility of starch formation from excess of carbohydrates given to the medium (Sasaki and Kainuma 1982). There is also an increase in the nutrient medium of exported carbohydrates (galactose, arabinose, galactouric acid, etc.), possibly due to a failure to store excess carbohydrates as starch.

Although several tissue cultures grow in light under mixotrophic nutritional conditions, attempts have also been made during the last 2–3 decades to obtain photoautotrophic cultures. There are several reports of autotrophic cultures for about 15 plant systems, where tobacco, carrots, *Arachis*, and *Chenopodium* have been studied in some detail (see review by Widholm 1992; Neumann 1995). The establishment of such cultures could be through the selection of cell lines characterized by high chlorophyll concentrations. Long-term autotrophic cultures over several years have been obtained by increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of the atmosphere (Husemann and Barz 1977). For around 20 years, however, at our Institut für Pflanzenernährung der Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen, Germany, *Arachis* cultures could be grown at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration without carbohydrate supply to the medium. Nevertheless, as shown in Table 9.5 for fermenter cultures, the growth of those cultures without sucrose supplementation is much lower than for those supplemented with sucrose to the medium. Autotrophic growth at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration has also been shown in cotton cultures. In this case, an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration resulted in a six- to sevenfold increase in growth. Here, the relative role of PEPCase in terms of total CO<sub>2</sub> fixation is also enhanced (Widholm 1989).

**Table 9.5** Influence of sucrose (2% in nutrient medium) on the fresh weight production per day, and dry weight content of *Arachis hypogea* and *Daucus carota* bioreactor cultures (grown for 6 weeks at continuous illumination of ca. 7,000 lux). At the beginning of the experiment, the fermenter was filled with 3 l NL3 supplied with IAA, m-inositol, and kinetin, and with 7–8 g of callus material inoculated

	No sucrose		With 2% sucrose	
	mg F. wt.	% Dry wt.	mg F. wt.	% Dry wt.
<i>Arachis hypogea</i>	250	3.88	1,409	7.9
<i>Daucus carota</i>	932	6.04	3,264	6.28

In connection with the regeneration of cell walls in protoplasts, several experiments on cell wall structure have been reported, but little is known about the intact cell. The cultures grow with high cell division activity, and it can be concluded that the primary cell wall will dominate in most systems. Apparently, for the primary cell wall, hydroxyl-rich glycoproteins are derived from the Golgi. At least in tobacco cultures, it could be shown that arabinose is bound to hydroxyproline to form a glycoprotein. This glycoprotein is described as arabinogalactan-rhamnogalactouran protein.

In contrast to the secondary walls, the primary cell wall of many plants is similarly structured. Thus, similar molecules can be expected from cell cultures of different plants. Already around 50 years ago, the Steward group reported high hydroxyproline concentrations in fast-A cell cultures with domination of the primary wall, contrasting with slow- or non-growing cultures (Steward et al. 1958).

In the absence of molybdenum in the medium, the concentration of hydroxyproline declines in proteins. However, a deficiency of other micronutrients like iron or manganese does not reduce hydroxyproline concentration in the cultures (Neumann 1962). This indicates specific influences of molybdenum in the medium on OH-proline-containing proteins, largely of the primary wall. Apparently, changes in the cell wall also occur during the cell cycle (Amino and Komamine 1982). During cell division, the first middle lamella built consists mainly of pectin; during cytokinesis, an increase in this cell wall fraction occurs. During the G1 phase, the concentration of all cell wall fractions are increased, and in the 5% KOH-soluble cell wall fractions the proportion of galactose (percent concentration) is preferentially increased. The 24% KOH-soluble fraction remains stable during the cell division cycle. During the G2 phase, an increase in the galactose concentration takes place, together with a reduction in arabinose concentration; the G1 phase shows the reverse. Furthermore, during the entire cell cycle more  $^{14}\text{C}$  from glucose is built into pectin and hemicellulose than into the cellulose fraction.

In cotton cultures, it could be shown that cellulose synthesis is influenced by the substrate. When supplying UDP, glucose beta-1,3-glucane is preferentially synthesized, and ca. 10% of  $^{14}\text{C}$  is found in cellulose. When supplying  $^{14}\text{C}$  glucose, beta-1,4-glucane dominates, and  $^{14}\text{C}$  cellulose levels are between 20 and 50% (Widholm 1992).

The biochemistry of respiration consists in the transfer of electrons from  $\text{NADH}_2$  equivalents generated in the citric acid cycle, to oxygen in the final stage. The energy

thereby liberated is utilized in the building of ATP from ADP and phosphorus. The energy stored in ATP thus remains available for the many phosphate-coupled endergonic reactions of the cell. The extent of oxygen use indicates the intensity of respiration. This electron transport system is localized in the inner mitochondrial membrane, and from flavoproteins and different cytochromes a respiratory chain is established. In plant cells, an additional, alternative electron transport chain exists, as yet not well understood. Here, the electrons bypass the cytochrome system, and are transferred directly from the flavoproteins (FMN and FAD) to oxygen. This system yields less free energy in the form of ATP (one ATP, compared to three in the respiratory chain). Maybe it represents an “outlet” in case of excess of electrons. The difference in the energy level between NADH and oxygen is released as heat. In many members of Araceae, this transport way is utilized to produce heat.

While the cytochrome-dependent electron transport chain could be blocked by HCN or acid, this is not the case with the alternative electron transport chain. This enables simple measurements of the relative contribution of the two pathways to respiration. The alternative transport route can be disturbed by rhodanid. Both the electron transport pathways are localized in the inner mitochondrial membrane.

The relationships between the two electron transport systems in tissue cultures have been studied in potato callus cultures (van der Plas and Wagner 1982). Here, the cytochrome-dependent electron transport capacity of freshly isolated potato explants during the culture period was compared to that of the original explant, and was found to be 3–4 times higher during the first week of culture. The alternative transport system was lacking in the fresh explants; its development was detectable only during the first week of culture, when its capacity was independent of the supply of sucrose to the medium. With some supply of sucrose to the medium the following week, the ratio of the activity of the two transport pathways remained constant. In the absence of sugar, however, the capacity of both was reduced. At ample sugar supply to the medium in the following week, the cytochrome-dependent pathway remained constant, and the alternative pathway reached a maximum, followed by a decline. By providing an increasing level of sugar (5% sucrose), the maximum was higher than the corresponding value at lower concentrations. Here, the alternative pathway apparently provides an outlet for an excess of electrons resulting from an excess supply of sugar. A recent paper by Costa et al. (2008), with extensive literature citations, reports results on the occurrence of the alternative oxidase of *Daucus* as related to stress and functional reprogramming.

Lipids are important, firstly, for the building of cell membranes, and secondly, in their function as reserve material. Most young cell cultures with high cell division activity have a high demand for lipids as membrane-building material, and the latter function is of lesser importance. Triglycerides can differ strongly between cultures, and are influenced by the culture conditions, and the developmental status of the cultures. During studies with carrots, it was found that triglycerides represent about two thirds of the total lipid fraction, but only one third in rapeseed and poppy cultures. In general, the composition of the fatty acids in cultured cells is comparable to that of leaves. The use of fats as energy source has not been investigated in these cultured cells.

Detailed investigations on rapeseed cultures were made by Kleinig et al. (1982), in which  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled acetate was used for 24 h. Acetate binds with coenzyme A to form acetyl CoA, which is the starting point for fatty acid synthesis. In the cytoplasm, acetyl CoA also comes from mevalonate for synthesis of isopentenylpyrophosphate, the key substance for the synthesis of steroids, carotenoids, gibberellins, abscisic acid, and other essential components of the cell (see also Chap. 10). In the cytoplasm, isopentenylpyrophosphate is involved in the synthesis of lipids, which are then transferred to different cell compartments. Fatty acid synthesis also takes place in the chloroplasts. The cultures used for the investigations were in their mixotrophic phase of growth. Also PEP could be exported by plastids isolated from embryos of *Brassica napus* L. and used for fatty acid synthesis, accounting for about 30% of all fatty acids synthesized in vivo (Kubis et al. 2004).

$^{14}\text{C}$  labeling of phospholipids in rapeseed cultures indicated a maximum of fatty acids with considerable specific activity. Differences were determined for different compartments. In plastids that contain specifically phosphatidylglycerin, this phospholipid has the same specific activity as the universally synthesized phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidyl-inositol, and phosphatidylethanolamine. The plastid-characteristic galactolipids are, however, less labeled, as is the typical mitochondrial cardiolipin. Interestingly, the phytol chain of chlorophyll has around 80% less activity than the ring structure.


A high labeling was found in the cytoplasmic steroids and steroid glycosides. The high level of labeling was influenced by the type of nutrition. In heterotrophic cultures, an application of labeled acetate to *Daucus* and *Papaver* resulted in around 50% of  $^{14}\text{C}$  in cytoplasmic steroids and their derivatives, whereas this was only 10–15% in total lipids.

In another experiment performed by Yamada et al. (1982), the transfer of fatty acids into other lipids was followed; only results on oleic acid (18:1) will be discussed here. This fatty acid was applied together with diethyleneglycolmonoethyl-ether. After a pulse of the  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled compounds for 1 h, there followed an unlabeled chase of 30 h. At the end of the pulse period, more than 50% of the label was found in phosphatidylcholine, and 10–15% in neutral lipids and phosphatidylethanolamine. During the chase period, a continual decrease of labeling was observed in phosphatidylcholine, as well as a proportional increase in neutral lipid. Evidently, there was a transformation of oleic acid into the neutral fraction via the formation of phosphatidylcholine. The formation of the second double bond occurs as phosphatidylcholine in the endoplasmatic reticulum. After this, it is taken up by chloroplasts, and the transformation to monogalactosyldiacylglycerin follows. Here, the formation of the third double bond takes place.

## 9.2 Nitrogen Metabolism

Nitrogen is usually supplied to cultured plant cells in three forms—the inorganic ions ammonium and nitrate, and amino nitrogen in the form of amino acids. These amino acids can be applied to the nutrient medium singly, or in combination as a





**Fig. 9.9** Contents of RNA and protein, and the activity of some enzymes during a 4-week carrot callus culture period in NL3

mixture of amino acids in casein hydrolysate. Also amides like urea or glutamine have been applied as sources of nitrogen to the medium.

In Fig. 9.9 as a general survey, the concentrations of RNA and of protein (including the activity of some enzymes) during a 4-week culture period of primary carrot


callus cultures are summarized (see also Sect. 2.7). Already in the early 1960s, the Steward group at Cornell University reported a steep increase in protein concentration immediately after initiation of culture of carrot explants grown on BM with coconut milk. This could be confirmed later for cultures in the NL3 medium. This strong initial increase would be related to the formation of threads of cytoplasm traversing cells induced to cell division (cf. Chap. 3). The protein concentration level calculated on a cellular basis, as well as the time of occurrence of the maximum level are influenced by kinetin. This maximum is followed by a decline, with a minimum about 2 weeks after culture initiation, and it is probably related to the high cell division activity during the log phase. After this, an increase in protein concentration can be seen again until the end of the experiment after 4 weeks of culture. The values on enzyme activity indicate also qualitative differences in the protein synthesized at different stages of culture (Neumann 1995).

A given protein concentration level represents the mass balance between protein synthesis and protein breakdown. Pulse–chase experiments using  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled metabolites indicated a faster turnover of protein in cultures in a nutrient medium without kinetin. Also this may account for the higher total protein concentration of cultures grown with kinetin in the medium (Neumann 1968, 1972, 1995). At all stages, Fe seems to play a central role.

Ammonium or nitrate could be used as sole sources of nitrogen. As shown in the experiment with tobacco callus cultures, above growth was better in the medium supplemented with nitrate, compared to that with ammonium. The number of cells per explant, and calculations of average cell size indicate that the slow growth with  $\text{NH}_4$  is due mainly to poor cell division.

Cellular growth is nearly similar with both inorganic sources of nitrogen. Ammonium is taken up in exchange of  $\text{H}^+$  ions from the cultures, resulting in a decline in pH in the medium during culture. This leads to a decline of growth, due to changes in the availability of other ions in the medium. Exact studies to this end have not been reported. In most media, e.g., the MS medium, both inorganic sources of nitrogen are supplied. Here, ammonium is utilized first, and later nitrate. The excretion of protons following a supplement of ammonia may be the reason for a liberation of cells from callus cultures, to be isolated as a cell suspension for further investigations. If a requirement for such isolated cells exists to produce cell suspensions from callus material for further investigations, then a supplement of ammonia to the medium can often be helpful.

Nitrogen in ammonia is already a reduced form that can be used directly for amino acid synthesis, but nitrate must be reduced in the cells to synthesize amino acids. The reduction takes place via two enzymatic reactions. By means of the nitrate reductase located in the cytoplasm, nitrate is reduced to nitrite. Further reduction from nitrite to  $\text{NH}_4$  takes place by the activity of plastid-localized nitrite reductase. This  $\text{NH}_4$  is further metabolized either through glutamate dehydrogenase with the formation of glutamic acid by an amino group transfer to oxoglutarate, or via glutamine synthetase and the glutamate synthetase reactions (GS–GO–GAT), and glutamic acid is synthesized again. In experiments with rose suspension cultures supplemented with only nitrate, during the first 2 days of culture measurable



**Fig. 9.10** Enzyme activities and nitrogen assimilation of cell cultures (Paul’s scarlet rose; after Fletcher 1982)

amounts of ammonium ( $0.4 \mu\text{mol/g f. wt.}$ ) and nitrite ( $1.2 \mu\text{mol/g f. wt.}$ ) were detected in the cells. On the 4th day, cellular nitrate concentration reached  $2.3 \mu\text{mol/g f. wt.}$ , and on the 5th day the amide concentration was at  $5.9 \mu\text{mol/g f. wt.}$ , its maximum (Fig. 9.10; Fletcher 1982). More data on the function of the two inorganic nitrogen sources, and of casein hydrolysate in differentiation was discussed earlier.



# Chapter 10

## Secondary Metabolism

### 10.1 Introduction


The phenomenon of secondary metabolism was already recognized in the early phases of modern experimental botany. In his textbook published in 1873, Julius Sachs, one of the great pioneers of plant physiology, gave the following definition:

“Als Nebenprodukte des Stoffwechsels kann man solche Stoffe bezeichnen, welche während des Stoffwechsels entstehen, aber keine weitere Verwendung für den Aufbau neuer Zellen finden. Irgend eine Bedeutung dieser Stoffe für die innere Ökonomie der Pflanze ist bis jetzt nicht bekannt” (Sachs 1873, p. 641). Translation: “We can designate as by-products of metabolism such compounds that are formed by metabolism, but that are no longer used for the formation of new cells. Any importance of these compounds for the inner economy of the plant is as yet unknown”. This clear statement is still valid. Sachs did not refer to any functions of the by-products, today known as secondary products (see review by Hartmann 1996).

Plants form an important part of our everyday diet, and their constituents have been intensively studied for decades. In addition to essential primary metabolites (e.g., carbohydrates, lipids, and amino acids), higher plants are able to synthesize a wide variety of low molecular weight compounds—the secondary metabolites (Fig. 10.1). The production of these compounds is often low (less than 1% of dry weight), and depends strongly on the physiological and developmental stage of the plant.

Although plant secondary metabolites seem to have no recognized role in the maintenance of fundamental life processes of the plants that synthesize these, they do have an important role in the interaction of the plant with its environment.

To study secondary metabolism per se is an exciting area of plant physiology, or actually of botany in general. Moreover, many of its constituents are important substances of medical interest and other areas of human life, and therefore in vitro studies on this topic were soon of commercial interest. Investigations focused on metabolites to be produced by cultured cells of some plant species producing commercially highly valuable chemicals (Zárate and Yeoman 2001). These investigations included, e.g., the characterization of several hundred enzymes also as a contribution to basic interests. Still, due to the economic importance of this topic, in the following commercial aspects will dominate.




**Fig. 10.1** Secondary metabolites originate from common precursors as products of primary metabolism. Three basic metabolic processes governed by photosynthesis, i.e., nitrogen metabolism, fatty acid metabolism, and carbohydrate metabolism, are responsible for the synthesis of secondary metabolites like alkaloids, terpenes, polyketides, flavonoids, quinones, coumarins, tannins, glucosinolates, and cyanogenic glycosides. Their functions involve all aspects of a plant's chemical interactions with the environment (from Hartmann 1996)

At least one fourth of all prescribed pharmaceuticals in industrialized countries contain compounds that are directly or indirectly, via semi-synthesis, derived from plants. Many of these pharmaceuticals are still in use today, and often no useful synthetic substitutes have been found that possess the same efficacy and pharmacological specificity. Furthermore, 11% of the 252 basic and essential drugs considered by WHO are exclusively derived from flowering plants (Rates 2001). Misawa (1991) reviewed the production of secondary metabolites in plant tissue culture in an FAO bulletin. Indeed, prescription drugs containing phytochemicals were valued at more than US\$ 30 billion in 2002 in the USA (Raskin et al. 2002).

Based on their biosynthetic origins, plant secondary metabolites can be structurally subdivided into five major groups (Fig. 10.2): polyketides, isoprenoids (e.g., terpenoids), alkaloids, phenylpropanoids, and flavonoids.

1. The polyketides are produced via the acetate–mevalonate pathway;
2. the isoprenoids (terpenoids and steroids) are derived from the five-carbon precursor isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP), produced via the classical mevalonate pathway, or the novel MEP (non-mevalonate or Rohmer) pathway;
3. the alkaloids are synthesized from various amino acids;
4. phenylpropanoids having a C6–C3 unit are derived from aromatic amino acids, phenylalanine, or tyrosine;
5. flavonoids are synthesized by the combination of phenylpropanoids and polyketides (Verpoorte 2000).



**Fig. 10.2** Major pathways of biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, viz. polyketides, isoprenoids (e.g., terpenoids), alkaloids, phenylpropanoids, and flavonoids (after Verpoorte 2000)

## 10.2 Mechanism of Production of Secondary Metabolites

There are some basic metabolic pathways for the synthesis of secondary metabolites, as shown in Fig. 10.3. These metabolites form five major groups, as mentioned above.

One possible way to classify the 12,000 known alkaloids is to further subdivide these into the following 15 subclasses: proto-, piperidine, pyrrolidine, pyridine, quinolizidine, tropane, pyrrolizidine, imidazole, purine, quinoline, isoquinoline, quinazoline, indole, terpenoid, and steroidal alkaloids.

Secondary metabolism is an integral part of the developmental program of plants, and the accumulation of secondary metabolites can demarcate the onset of developmental stages. However, only a few pathways (e.g., flavonoids, and terpenoid indole and isoquinoline alkaloids) in plants are well understood today, after many years of classical biochemical research (e.g., Street 1977; Staba 1980; Dixon and Steele 1999; Hashimoto and Yamada 2003; Vanisree and Tsay 2004; Vancanneyt et al. 2004; Vanisree et al. 2004).




Fig. 10.3 Schematics of pathways for the production of natural products




Detailed biosynthetic pathways of these metabolites are beyond the scope of this book. Thus, a brief outline of various key compounds within plants, and of their biosynthetic pathways will be given.

Secondary metabolites belonging to a given subclass are not always synthesized from the same primary metabolites, but their chemical structures share the same basic skeleton. Cinnamic acid and its simple derivatives are the common precursors of key intermediates of the various phenylpropanoid classes illustrated. In turn, the class-specific key intermediates are structurally diversified to yield 1,000s of individual compounds (Hartmann 1996).

Because of the activity of enzymes with different substrate- and stereo-specificity, the chemical diversity and biological activity of the molecules belonging to a given subclass can be enormous (Tulp and Bohlin 2002). For example, various types of cyclic monoterpenes are synthesized from the common precursor geranyl diphosphate by action of specific monoterpene cyclases. Some subclasses are found only in a few plant families (e.g., medicinal tropane alkaloids are found only in the Solanaceae and Erythroxylaceae), whereas flavonoids, for example, are widely distributed throughout the plant kingdom. The concept of combinatorial biochemistry is based on the fact that different plants, either closely or more distantly related, synthesize structurally similar, but nevertheless diverse molecules. As such, it can be expected that an enzyme with a certain substrate specificity isolated from one plant might encounter new, but related substrates when introduced into another plant. This has been experimentally proved, as given below (Sato et al. 2001). Thus, by introducing genes involved in the biosynthesis of a given compound isolated from one plant into another plant synthesizing related molecules, new chemical structures not previously found in nature may be obtained.

Successful attempts of insertion of more than one gene of a known pathway into a host organism have also been reported. For instance, following particle bombardment of tobacco leaves and plant regeneration, the expression of two consecutive genes involved in the terpenoid indole alkaloid pathway of *Catharanthus roseus* has been reported; *C. roseus* is a well-known species able to accumulate the two potent anticancer drugs vincristine and vinblastine encoding tryptophan decarboxylase (TDC) and strictosidine synthase (STR1) in tobacco plants (Leech et al. 1998). TDC and STR1 are two adjacent pathway enzymes that together form strictosidine, which is an important intermediate of over 3,000 indole alkaloids (Fig. 10.4), many of which possess important pharmaceutical properties. Both *tdc* and *str1* genes are absent in tobacco plants. Analysis of transgenic plants at the RNA and DNA levels demonstrated a range of integration events and steady-state transcript levels for both transgenes, beside a 100% co-integration of both transgenes (Zárate and Yeoman 2001). Similarly, a gene involved in the terpenoid indole alkaloid pathway of *C. roseus*, *sgd* (cf. strictosidine  $\beta$ -D-glucosidase; Fig. 10.4), has been introduced via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and expressed in suspended tobacco cells (Zárate 1999).

There is also an account where a whole heterologous secondary metabolic pathway was expressed in a host plant (Ye et al. 2000) following *A. tumefaciens*-mediated transformation. Ye et al. (2000) introduced the entire  $\beta$ -carotene biosynthetic pathway,



**Fig. 10.4** Partial illustration of the biosynthetic pathway of terpenoid indole alkaloids in *Catharanthus roseus* leading to the formation of the intermediate strictosidine, central precursor of over 3,000 indole and quinoline alkaloids. *TDC* Tryptophan decarboxylase, *STR-1* strictosidine synthase, *SGD* strictosidine glucosylase, *GAP* glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (Zárta and Yeoman 2001)

vitamin A precursor, into rice endosperm in a single transformation effort with three vectors harboring four transgenes: *psy*, plant phytoene synthase, *crt-1*, bacterial phytoene desaturase, *lcy*, lycopene  $\beta$ -cyclase, and *tp*, transient peptide. In most cases, the transformed endosperms were yellow, indicating carotenoid formation, and in some lines  $\beta$ -carotene was the only carotenoid detected. This elegant report illustrates how the nutritional value of a major staple food may be augmented by recombinant DNA technology.

For further details on genetic transformation, the reader is referred to Section 13.2.

### 10.3 Historical Background

In contrast to primary metabolism of cell cultures where only limited investigations have been carried out, the literature is full of investigations on secondary metabolism. This difference in the variability of information is due to the fact that the intermediate products and end products of primary metabolism can be obtained from agriculture in huge amounts at low costs, in contrast to secondary plant products of high value that fetch high prices for even small amounts to be used

in cosmetic or pharmaceutical industries (Charlwood et al. 1990; Misawa 1991; Komamine et al. 1991; Neumann 1995; Bender and Kumar 2001; Alfermann et al. 2003; Vanisree and Tsay 2004; Vanisree et al. 2004; see also Kumar and Roy 2006, Kumar and Sopory 2008, and Kumar and Shekhawat 2009). Bourgaud et al. (2001) reviewed the historical perspective of plant secondary metabolite production.

To date, there has been continuous increase of patents filed for products based on tissue culture by commercial companies. These include additives to food, and pigments. These substances were often obtained from raw materials imported from tropical and subtropical regions. To ensure continuous production, storage of significant amounts of these raw materials is required, associated with considerable costs and risks. In addition, they can vary strongly in quality, depending on the year of production and the regions of export, and also in price, depending on economic considerations like changes in world market prices. All these factors have stimulated the production of secondary products under controlled conditions in plant tissue culture laboratories near the commercial unit, to produce the final product for the market.

By the beginning of the 1970s, plant cell culture had attained a developmental status employing methods of microbial fermentation techniques—e.g., antibiotic production to be used for large-scale cultures from plants, in order to avoid the above mentioned problems of imports of raw materials. Today, up to 30% of medical prescriptions are based on plants, or contain plant components. Traditional medicinal systems utilize plant-based medicines, and are experiencing a revival worldwide. This has resulted in enormous pressures on biodiversity, and the destruction of valuable biotopes particularly in developing countries involved in meeting the demands of global markets. Tissue culture could provide alternatives.

Among the plant-derived compounds are two drugs derived from the Madagascar periwinkle (*Catharanthus roseus*): vinblastine and vincristine. Other examples of important drugs derived directly, or indirectly from plants include the anticancer drugs paclitaxel (Taxol), podophyllotoxin, and camptothecin, the analgesic drug morphine, and semi-synthetic drugs such as the vast group of steroidal hormones derived from diosgenin. There is revival of interest in plant secondary metabolites, as there has been only limited success of combinatorial chemistry or computational drug design to deliver novel pharmaceutically active compounds (Müller-Kuhrt 2003).

The products of highest market interest are based on glycosides and alkaloids. Beside these, steroids, enzymes, and pigments are of considerable interest. Table 10.1 provides some of the important plants and their products that have a potential for use in tissue culture.

Only few plant materials were used at the beginning, i.e., systems for the production of heart alkaloids from *Digitalis*, and atropine and scopolamine from *Datura* cultures. *Lithospermum* produces antimicrobial agents, shikonin being of particular importance (Yamamura et al. 2003). The synthesis of methylidigoxin by hydroxylation of methylidigitoxin was another goal (Alfermann et al. 1985). *Coptis* is used for making tonics of berberines.

Due to the increased appeal of natural products for medicinal purposes, metabolic engineering can have a significant impact on the production of pharmaceuticals, and help in the design of new therapies. The candidate plant cell cultures are


**Table 10.1** Compounds of industrial interest produced in plant tissue culture

S. no.	Effects	Plants
1	Antimicrobial effects (virus) (protozoan) (bacteria) (bacteria)	<i>Agrostemma/Phytolacca</i> <i>Catharanthus</i> <i>Lithospermum</i> <i>Ruta</i>
2	Antitumor effects	<i>Camptotheca, Antharanthus, Maytenus,</i> <i>Podophyllum, Taxus, Tripterygium</i>
3	Painkillers	<i>Chamomilla, Valeriana, Papaver</i>
4	Enzymes for proteolysis	<i>Papaya, Scopolia, Ananas</i>
5	Enzymes for biotransformation	<i>Cannabis, Digitalis, Lupinus, Mentha,</i> <i>Papaver</i>
6	Appetizers or taste enhancers	<i>Asparagus, Apium graveolens, Allium,</i> <i>Capsicum, Sinapis</i>
7	Hydrocarbon-yielding	<i>Asclepias, Euphorbia</i>
8	Sweeteners	<i>Glycorrhiza, Hydrangea, Stevia</i>
9	Tonics	<i>Bluperrum, Cinchona, Coptis, Phellodendron,</i> <i>Panax</i>
10	Insecticides	<i>Derris, Pyrethrum</i>

generally chosen by screening from medicinal and aromatic plants already used in drug production. At present, research and development are focused on plants producing substances with immunomodulating, antiviral, antimicrobial, antiparasite, antitumor, anti-inflammatory, hypoglycemic, tranquilizer, and antifeedant activity (Yamada 1991).

The last 15 years have produced a large quantity of results on the biosynthetic pathways leading to secondary metabolites. Concomitantly, at the beginning of the 1990s, a new discipline called metabolic engineering appeared. According to Bailey (1991), metabolic engineering is “the improvement of cellular activities by manipulation of enzymatic, transport, and regulatory functions of the cell with the use of recombinant DNA technology”. In many cases, this approach relies on the identification of limiting enzyme activities after successful pathway elucidation and metabolite mapping (metabolomics). Such limiting steps are improved with an appropriate use of genetic transformation. Most of the strategies developed so far are based on the introduction of genes isolated from more efficient organisms, promoters that enhance the expression of a target gene, or antisense and co-suppression techniques for the obtainment of plants with the desired traits. In addition to their synthesis as such, the transport of metabolites within the plant system, and its localization play a key role in optimizing the yield. Recently, attempts have been made to understand the regulation of transport (Yazaki 2005).

Quite some time ago, Yeoman et al. (1980) suggested an interesting model to influence the synthesis of secondary products (Fig. 10.5). In this model, W is the immediate precursor of the substance X to be produced, and P an unspecific precursor from which X can be derived following the production of Q, the first specific intermediate in the pathway eventually producing X.



**Fig. 10.5** Model to regulate secondary metabolism (Yeoman and Yeoman 1996)

Based on this model, there are several possibilities to promote the synthesis of X as the desired product. For a start, optimizing the metabolic intensity of the cultures will establish the basic production of X. Moreover, P can be diverted to alternative pathways symbolized as A and B. The entrance of P into the metabolic pathway specific for the synthesis of X can be limited by a low activity of the enzymes pEQ, or the following enzymes. Finally, also X could simply be an intermediate of the synthesis of Y. Consequently, its concentration would be determined by the activity of the two enzymes WEX and XEY as an equilibrium of the synthesis of X and Y, and at a given time a given concentration of X would be determined. The concentration of X will also be influenced by direct breakdown (D<sub>1</sub>+D<sub>2</sub>), or by fixation as a conjugate (K with other molecules). Especially the formation of conjugates has been investigated quite extensively these recent years.

Based on this (certainly too) simple model, some conclusions can already be drawn to initiate more detailed investigations. One possibility to promote the reaction chain P–Q–W–X is the application of Q to the nutrient medium, this being the first pathway-specific intermediate. In terms of simple enzyme kinetics, it can be assumed that the reaction P to Q will be inhibited by an excess of P in the cells. Another possibility to promote the pathway to produce X is a supplement of A, B, or Y. Making use of various possibilities to influence the production of the target substance requires knowledge of the metabolism of this compound, as well as of the pool size of the various molecules, and the equilibrium conditions of the enzymes involved. As described, such information is available for some cell culture systems (see also below). The Yeoman group used this model as a basis to optimize capsaicin production. Into this scheme, it would be of interest to include changes in enzyme availability following gene technological manipulations of the cells.

Basically, the assumptions of the model have been confirmed also in our own studies to produce atropine and scopolamine in *Datura* cultures. These are the two main alkaloids of this species, synthesized from the two amino acids phenylalanine and ornithine, and symbolized as P in the model. The latter are transformed via tropine and tropic acid into atropine, and finally into scopolamine. Tropine and tropic acid are symbolized as Q/W in the model. At a supplement of ornithine or phenylalanine, or both, to the *Datura* cultures (symbolized as P), only the

**Table 10.2** Influences of some precursors of the synthesis of tropane alkaloids on alkaloid concentration ( $\mu\text{g/g}$  dry wt.) of haploid cell suspensions of *Datura innoxia* Mill. (application of precursors for 1 week after 3 weeks pre-culture)

	Tropine	Atropine
Control	15	0
+Leucine and glycine	10	0
+Ornithine and phenylalanine	90	Traces
+Tropine and tropic acid	175	75

concentration of tropine is increased, i.e., of Q/W. An application of tropic acid and tropine, however, results in an increase in the atropine concentration (Table 10.2), in other experiments also of scopolamine (Forche, unpublished results of our institutes; see Neumann 1995).

Several other laboratories have reported secondary metabolite production from plant tissue cultures (Carew and Staba 1965; Khanna and Staba 1968; Khanna 1977; Barz et al. 1977; Kiebler and Neumann 1980; Neumann et al. 1985; Alfermann and Reinhard 1986; Furuya 1988; P.R. Holden et al. 1988; Holden 1990; Vasil 1991; Abe et al. 1993; Neumann 1995; Datta and Srivastava 1997; Jain et al. 1998; Jacob and Malpathak 2006; Narula et al. 2006; Hiroaka and Bhatt 2008; Kukreja and Garg 2008; Sonderquist and Lee 2008; Jacob et al. 2008; Sharada et al. 2008; Srivastava et al. 2008). Vanisree et al. (2004) and Dixon (2005) reviewed the production of secondary metabolites in tissue culture and engineering of natural product pathways, respectively.

More than 50 years ago, Routien and Nickel (1956) suggested the potential for the production of secondary metabolites in culture, and received the first patent. Later, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) started to support research on plant cell cultures for regenerative life-support systems (Krikorian and Levine 1991; Krikorian 2001). Indeed, since the early 1960s, experiments with plants and plant tissue cultures have been performed under various conditions of microgravity in space (one-way spaceships, biosatellites, space shuttles and parabolic flights, the orbital stations Salyut and Mir), accompanied by ground studies using rotating clinostat vessels (<http://www.estec.esa.nl/spaceflights>).

## 10.4 Plant Cell Cultures and Pharmaceuticals, and Other Biologically Active Compounds

Plant cells have been successfully used as “factories” to produce high-value secondary metabolites under economically viable conditions, in some notable cases. Since Tabata et al. (1974) first described the production of shikonin pigments by callus cultures of *Lithospermum erythrorhizon*, intensive efforts have been made to identify the regulatory factors controlling shikonin biosynthesis. As a result,

shikonin represents the first example of industrial production of a plant-derived pharmaceutical (Tabata and Fujita 1985). Shikonin is a red naphthoquinone pigment that is used in traditional dyes, another major application being for lipsticks. Shikonin acyl esters exhibit various pharmacological properties including anti-inflammatory and antitumor activity (Chen et al. 2002). Other examples are berberine production by cell cultures of *Coptis japonica*, rosmarinic acid production by cell cultures of *Coleus blumei*, and sanguinarine production by cell cultures of *Papaver somniferum* (Eilert et al. 1985; Ulbrich et al. 1985). An example of a high-value drug produced partially from plant cell cultures is paclitaxel, an anti-cancer drug originally extracted from the bark of 50–60 year old Pacific yew trees (*Taxus brevifolia*; <http://www.phyton-inc.com>; Zenk et al. 1988; Ketchum et al. 1999; Tabata 2004). Recent advances in the molecular biology, enzymology, and fermentation technology of plant cell cultures suggest that these systems will become a viable source of important secondary metabolites (Vanisree et al. 2004).

A brief description of some important secondary metabolites, their structure, and production in plant tissue culture is given below.

Alkaloids are a group of nitrogen-containing bases. They are physiologically active in humans (e.g., cocaine, nicotine, morphine, strychnine), and chemotherapeutics (vincristine, vinblastine, camptothecin derivatives, and paclitaxel). Some of the important alkaloids are nicotine of *Nicotiana*, the tropane alkaloids of *Hyoscyamus*, *Datura*, and *Atropa*, the isoquinoline alkaloids of *Coptis* and *Eschscholtzia californica*, and the terpenoid indole alkaloids of *Catharanthus roseus* and *Rauwolfia serpentina* (Rates 2001; Hughes and Shanks 2002).

*Papaver somniferum* L. (opium poppy) is a traditional commercial source of codeine and morphine. Two tyrosine rings condense to form the basic structure of morphine. During this process, the first important intermediate is dopamine, which is also the starting substance of the biosyntheses of berberine, papaverine, and morphine. Production of morphine and codeine in morphologically undifferentiated cultures has been reported by Siah and Doran (1991).

Berberine is an isoquinoline alkaloid that occurs in roots of *Coptis japonica*, and the cortex of *Phellodendron amurense*. Berberine chloride is used for intestinal disorders in the Orient. However, it takes 5–6 years to produce *Coptis* roots as the raw material. Berberine has been reported from a number of cell cultures—e.g., *C. japonica*, *Thalictrum* spp., and *Berberis* spp. Sato and Yamada (1984) improved the productivity of berberine in cell cultures by optimizing the nutrients in the growth medium, and the levels of phytohormones.

L-DOPA, L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine is the precursor of the alkaloids beta-lain, melanin, and others. It is also a precursor of catecholamines in animals, and is being used as a potent drug for Parkinson's disease, a progressive disabling disorder associated with a deficiency of dopamine in the brain. The widespread application of this therapy has created a demand for large quantities of L-DOPA at an economical price level, and this has led to the introduction of cell cultures as an alternative means for enriched production. Brain (1976) found that the callus tissue of *Mucuna pruriense* accumulated 25 mg DOPA/l medium containing relatively

high concentrations of 2.4D. The DOPA synthesized by plant tissues is secreted mostly into the medium.

Scopolamine and hyoscyamine are tropane alkaloids that are used in anesthetic and antispasmodic drugs. Ornithine is one of the starting materials for their synthesis, and methylornithine is the first intermediate. These alkaloids occur in leaves of solanaceous plants including *Datura* sp., *Atropa*, *Hyoscyamus*, and *Scopolia* sp.

*Capsicum frutescens* produces the alkaloid capsaicin in nature, used as a pungent food additive largely in the eastern world. The sharp taste of the *Capsicum* fruit is caused by this substance. Suspension cultures of *C. frutescens* produce low levels of capsaicin. Yeoman and his group (Yeoman 1987) developed culture conditions for immobilizing the cells in reticulated polyurethane foam that could yield the same amounts of capsaicin as those obtained under natural conditions (see Sect. 3.3). M.A. Holden et al. (1988) reported elicitation of capsaicin in cell cultures of *C. frutescens* by spores of *Gliccladium deliquescens*. Biotransformation of externally fed protocatechuic aldehyde and caffeic acid to capsaicin in freely suspended cells and immobilized cell cultures of *C. frutescens* has also been reported (Rao and Ravishankar 2000). Jones and Veliky (1981) studied the effect of medium constituents on the viability of immobilized plant cells.

*Withania somnifera* Dunal (Solanaceae) is used as Indian ginseng in traditional Indian medicine. The active pharmacological components of *W. somnifera* are steroidal lactones of the withanolide type. Withanolides are known to have important pharmacological properties (antitumor, immunosuppressive), but they are also antimicrobial agents, insect deterrents, and ecdysteroid receptor antagonists. The principal withanolides in Indian *W. somnifera* are withaferin A and withanolide D. Both leaves and roots of the plant are used for the drug, and steroidal lactones occur in both parts. Ray and Jha (1999) reported production of withanolide D in roots transformed with *A. rhizogenes*, but withaferin A was not detected in the transformed root cultures, although both compounds are present in the leaves and roots of field-grown plants.

Steroids form a group of compounds comprising the sterols, bile acids, heart poisons, saponins, and sex hormones. Saponins constitute a group of structurally diverse molecules consisting of glycosylated steroids, steroidal alkaloids, and triterpenoids. However, one common feature shared by all saponins is the presence of a sugar chain attached to the aglycone at the C-3 hydroxyl position. The sugar chains differ substantially between saponins, but are often branched, and may consist of up to five sugar molecules (usually glucose, arabinose, glucuronic acid, xylose, or rhamnose). Sapogenins constitute the aglycone part of saponins, with well-known detergent properties. They are oxygenated C27 steroids with a hydroxyl group in C-3. Diosgenin is an example of these compounds.

Diosgenin is a saponin aglycone obtained from the roots of *Dioscorea* species. It is very similar to cholesterol, progesterone, and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA)—the precursor to testosterone. Diosgenin provides about 50% of the raw material for the manufacture of cortisone, progesterone, and many other steroid hormones, and is a multibillion dollar industry. The steroid synthesis pathway is cholesterol→pregnenolone→DHEA→testosterone→estrogen. However, the supply



of diosgenin cannot currently satisfy the demands of the ever-growing steroid industry, and therefore new plant species and new production methods, including biotechnological approaches, are being researched (Verpoorte 2000).


Several other groups have successfully obtained cell cultures for diosgenin production (Heble et al. 1967; Heble and Staba 1980; Jain et al. 1984; Huang et al. 1993). Kaul et al. (1969) studied the influence of various factors on diosgenin production by *Dioscorea deltoidea* callus and suspension cultures.

*Dioscorea* spp. (Dioscoreaceae) are frequently used as a tonic in traditional Chinese medicine, e.g., *Dioscorea doryophora*. Yeh et al. (1994) have established a cell suspension culture of *D. doryophora* Hance. Cell suspension cultures were obtained from microtuber- and stem node-derived callus in liquid culture medium supplemented with 0.1 mg 2.4D/l, 3% sucrose, and incubated in a rotary shaker at 120 rpm. Although 6% sucrose was found to be optimal for the growth of cell suspension culture, cells cultured in a 3% sucrose medium produced more diosgenin. Analysis by HPLC revealed that both stem node- and microtuber-derived suspension cells contained diosgenin. The microtuber-derived cell suspension culture contained 3.2% diosgenin per gram dry weight, the stem node-derived cultures only 0.3%. This is another example of influences of the origin of explants on the performance of cultured cells. As the amount of diosgenin obtained from a tuber-derived cell suspension is high, and similar to that found in the intact tuber (Chen 1985), a cell suspension culture can conveniently be used to produce diosgenin.

Cardenolides are naturally occurring glycosides that are widely distributed in plants. They are also called cardiac glycosides, because they exhibit the ability to strengthen the contraction of heart muscles. The best-known cardiac glycosides come from *Digitalis*, and include the drug digoxin. The aglycone is the non-sugar component of a glycoside molecule that results from hydrolysis of the molecule. Today, the sole source of the extensively used *Digitalis* drugs is the commercial harvesting of flowering *Digitalis* (foxglove) plants. The active compounds obtained from *Digitalis* include cardiac glycosides, digoxin, digitoxin, strophanthin, and ouabain. The structure of digitoxigenin is shown in Fig. 10.6, as a typical example of cardenolides.

A digoxin product, Lanoxin, is the brand name of a Burroughs Wellcome product, and has the largest market of the company's cardiovascular drugs. The major markets of Lanoxin are in the USA and Italy, and the total sales are approximately 6,000 kg per year at US\$ 50 million. Other companies, such as Boehringer Mannheim, Merck Darmstadt, and Beiersdorf AG in Germany, also sell cardiac glycosides.

**Fig. 10.6** Chemical structures of principal cardioactive glycosides of *Digitalis* species. Changes in R1 and R2 result in several new compounds



*Digitalis lanata* and *Digitalis purpurea* are commonly used for the production of cardiac glycosides (Fig. 10.6). Muir et al. (1954) were among the first to work in this field. Staba (1962) investigated the nutritional requirements of tissue cultures of *D. lanata* and *D. purpurea*.

### 10.4.1 Antitumor Compounds

Several antitumor compounds have been isolated from higher plants, but the concentrations of these active compounds in plants are generally low (Table 10.3). Some of the higher plant products, such as vinblastine, vincristine, podophyllotoxin derivatives including etoposide, and camptothecin and its derivatives, are marketed as very important anticancer drugs. Taxol, from *Taxus brevifolia* and related plants, is one of the most exiting compounds, and was marketed in 1992. Beside being controlled by the slow growth rate of these plants, the accumulation pattern of these compounds is dependent on geographical and environmental conditions. Large-scale harvesting of antitumor drug-yielding native plants is becoming a serious problem in terms of possible extinction, and steps are needed for environmental preservation.

Plants of the family Valerianaceae—e.g., *Nardostachys jatamansi*, *Valeriana wallichii*, and *Valeriana officinalis* L. var. *angustifolia*—have been used as folk medicines in India, Bhutan, and Nepal. *Nardostachys chinensis* has been employed in China for hundreds of years. These plants contain a group of compounds characterized by, e.g., sedative, tranquilization, cytotoxicity, and antitumor activities, and they are collectively called “valepotriates”.

Becker and Chavadej (1988) induced callus tissues of nine different species of Valerianaceae on MS media, and found that *Fedia cornucopiae* and *Valeriana locusta* cells produced higher levels of the compounds than did the intact plants.

The dimeric terpenoid indole alkaloids, the anticancer drugs vincristine and vinblastine, are obtained from cultivated *Catharanthus roseus* (Apocyanaceae) plants. However, the process is not efficient, because of very low concentrations of


**Table 10.3** Antitumor compounds isolated from higher plants

Antitumor compounds	Plant (dry wt. %)
Baccharin	$2.0 \times 10^{-2}$
Bruceantin	$1.0 \times 10^{-2}$
Camptothecin	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$
Ellipticine	$3.2 \times 10^{-5}$
Homoharringtonine	$1.8 \times 10^{-5}$
Maytansine	$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$
Podophyllotoxin	$6.4 \times 10^{-1}$
Taxol	$5.0 \times 10^{-1}$
Tripdiolide	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$
Vinblastine, vincristine	$5.0 \times 10^{-3}$

the alkaloids in the plant. It was reported that the concentration of both vinblastine and vincristine was only 0.0005% on a dry weight basis.

The vinblastine molecule is derived from two monomeric alkaloids, catharanthine and vindoline (Fig. 10.7a). The concentration of vindoline in the intact *C. roseus* plant is approximately 0.2% on a dry weight basis, which is much higher than that of catharanthine. The cost of vindoline is less than that of catharanthine and vinblastine.

*Camptotheca acuminata*, a native of northern China, was found to produce a potent antitumor alkaloid, camptothecin (Wall et al. 1966). In 2000, Wall obtained a US patent for a method of treating pancreatic cancer in humans with water-insoluble S-camptothecin of the closed lactone ring form, and derivatives thereof (Wall 2000). Sakato and Misawa (1974) induced *C. acuminata* callus on MS medium containing 0.2 mg 2,4-D and 1 mg kinetin per liter, and developed liquid cultures in the presence of gibberellin, L-tryptophan, and a conditioned medium,



**Fig. 10.7** (a) Chemical structures of catharanthine, vindoline, vinblastine, and vincristine. (b) Chemical structure of podophyllotoxin and 5-methoxypodophyllotoxin

which yielded camptothecin at about 0.0025% on a dry weight basis. In the cultures grown on MS medium containing 4 mg NAA/l, accumulation of camptothecin reached 0.998 mg/l (Van Hengel et al. 1992).

Podophyllotoxin is an antitumor aryltetralin lignan found in *Podophyllum peltatum* and *Podophyllum hexandrum*. It serves as a starting material for the preparation of its semi-synthetic derivatives, etoposide and teniposide, widely used in antitumor therapy of small-cell lung cancer, testicular cancer, acute lymphatic leukemia, and children's brain tumors (Issell et al. 1984). These slow-growing plants are collected from the wild, and are thus becoming increasingly rare. This limits the supply of podophyllotoxin, and necessitates a search for alternative production methods. Cell cultures of *P. peltatum* (Kadkade 1982), and *P. hexandrum* (Chattopadhyay et al. 2002) have been reported for production of podophyllotoxin (see also Arroo 2002).

To increase the yield of podophyllotoxin, Woerdenbag et al. (1990) used a complex composed of a precursor, coniferyl alcohol, and  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin in *P. hexandrum* cell suspension cultures. Kadkade (1982) reported production of podophyllotoxin by *P. peltatum* cell cultures for the first time, and he found that a combination of 2.4D and kinetin in the medium yielded the highest production. Red light also stimulated the production. Since 5-ethoxypodophyllotoxin, an analogue of podophyllotoxin (Fig. 10.7b), has strong cytostatic activity, many researchers have tried to improve its yield through tissue cultures (e.g., Oostdam et al. 1993; see review by Ionkova 2007).


#### 10.4.1.1 Taxol

Taxol, a diterpene amide obtained from *Taxus brevifolia*, is considered as the prototype of a new class of cancer chemotherapeutic agents. Some other plants, such as *Taxus canadensis* and *Taxus cuspidata*, also contain Taxol. The thin bark of the yew tree contains 0.001% Taxol on a dry weight basis. A century-old tree yields an average of 3 kg of bark, corresponding to 300 mg Taxol, which is approximately a single dose in the course of a cancer treatment. Due to low concentrations of Taxol in *Taxus*, the commercial production of Taxol poses a serious threat to these trees.

Pure Taxol was first isolated in 1969, and its chemical structure was disclosed in 1971 (Fig. 10.8; Wani et al. 1971). Taxol has a unique mode of action, because it stabilizes microtubules and inhibits depolymerization; consequently, cell division is inhibited at the M-phase of the cell cycle. Taxol is used for curing breast and lung cancer, and has shown positive results in curing ovarian cancer also. The FDA in the USA has approved Taxol (generically known as paclitaxel) at the end of 1992 for clinical treatment of ovarian and breast cancer.

The plant cell culture of *Taxus* sp. is also considered as one of the approaches available to provide a stable supply of Taxol and related taxane derivatives (Slichenmyer and Von Horf 1991). In 1989, Christen et al. reported for the first time the production of Taxol (paclitaxel) by *Taxus* cell cultures. They filed a US patent

**Fig. 10.8** Chemical structure of Taxol



describing that the tissue of *T. brevifolia* had been successfully cultured to produce Taxol-related alkaloids, and alkaloid precursors (Christen et al. 1991). Fett-Neto et al. (1995) have studied the effects of nutrients and other factors on paclitaxel production by *T. cuspidata* cell cultures (0.02% yield on dry weight basis). Srinivasan et al. (1995) have examined the kinetics of biomass accumulation and paclitaxel production by *T. baccata* cell suspension cultures. Paclitaxel was found to accumulate at high yields (1.5 mg/l) exclusively in the second phase of growth. Kim et al. (1995) established a similar level of paclitaxel from *T. brevifolia* cell suspension cultures, following 10 days in culture with optimized medium containing 6% fructose. Addition of carbohydrate during the growth cycle increased the production rate of paclitaxel, which accumulated in the culture medium (14.78 mg/l; Ketchum et al. 1999). Biotic and abiotic elicitors also improved the production and accumulation of Taxol through tissue cultures.

Factors influencing the stability and recovery of paclitaxel from suspension cultures and the media have been studied in detail by Nguyen et al. (2001). The effects of rare earth elements and gas concentrations on Taxol production have also been reported.


Shuler (1994) at Cornell University showed that a cell line of *T. brevifolia*, provided by the USDA Agriculture Research Station, and Phyton Catalytic, produced Taxol in the medium after 26 days in suspension culture. It is of interest that all the Taxol produced was secreted into the medium, which is very unusual for plant cell cultures.

Some other species of *Taxus* have been assessed for the production of Taxol. Vanisree et al. (2004) reported Taxol production from *Taxus mairei* calli induced from needle and stem explants on Gamborg's B5 medium supplemented with 2 mg 2,4-D or NAA per liter. Different cell lines were established using stem- and needle-derived callus. One of the cell lines, after precursor feeding and 6 weeks of incubation, produced 200 mg Taxol per liter of cell suspension culture.

## Ginseng

Ginseng (*Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer), a classical herb widely used in East Asia, provides resistance to stress, disease, and exhaustion. Beveridge et al. (2002) have analyzed the phytosterol content in American ginseng seed oil. The root contains

**Fig. 10.9** Structure of ginsenosides, among which RG1 is the most important



various saponins and sapogenins. Among these, ginsenoside-Rb acts as a sedative, while Rg is stimulatory.

Recent progress in large-scale gene analysis (Jung et al. 2003), and proteome analysis (Kim et al. 2003; Nam et al. 2003) revealed that *P. ginseng* is one of the suitable sources for the study of dammarane-type triterpene saponin biosynthesis. This was the first result of molecular breeding to show the hyperaccumulation of triterpene saponins.

In recent years, ginseng cell culture has been explored as a potentially more efficient method of producing ginsenosides (Fig. 10.9). Medium components like carbon, nitrogen, and phosphate, potassium ion, and plant growth hormones influence the production of ginsenosides (Wu and Ho 1999; Zhang and Zhong 2004). Other types of tissue cultures, such as embryogenic tissues (Asaka et al. 1993) and hairy roots transformed by *Agrobacterium*, have been examined. Yu et al. (2002) reported ginsenoside production using elicitor treatments. These developments indicate that ginseng cell culture is still an attractive area for commercial development around the world, and it possesses great potential for mass industrialization.

Triterpenoids are a large class of natural isoprenoids present in higher plants that exhibit a wide range of biological activities. Changes in triterpenoid content during the growth cycle of cultured plant cells has been demonstrated (Kamisako et al. 1984).

The isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway plays an important role in plant metabolism. Sterols and triterpenes are widely distributed isoprenoids. Plant sterols, so-called phytosterols, have important pharmacological activities, including cholesterol-lowering and antitumor effects (Lee et al. 2004).

## Ginkgo

Ginkgo produces important terpenoids. The root bark and leaves of *Ginkgo biloba* L. contain diterpenoids (ginkgolides) and a sesquiterpenoid (bilobalide) that have interesting pharmacological properties. Some studies have been made on undifferentiated cell cultures of *G. biloba* with the aim of producing ginkgolides in vitro. Enieux and Van Beekt (1997) studied ginkgolide in transformed and gametophyte-derived cell cultures of *G. biloba*.

### 10.4.2 Anthocyanin Production


Beside pharmaceutical compounds and food additives, perfumes and dyes have been produced in cultures of plant cells. Here, anthocyanin production serves as a model system to explain the basic mechanism of biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, their transport, and storage in plant tissue.

Anthocyanins are the large group of water-soluble pigments responsible for many of the bright colors seen in flowers and fruit. They are also used in acidic solutions in order to impart a red color to soft drinks, sugar confectionary, jams, and bakery toppings. The major source of anthocyanins for commercial purposes is grape pomaces, and wastes from juice and wine industries. Crude preparations of anthocyanins, which are relatively inexpensive, are used extensively in the food industry. The pure anthocyanins, however, are priced at US\$ 1,250–2,000/kg.


Cell suspension cultures of *Vitis vinifera* produce anthocyanins after cessation of cell division (Kakegawa et al. 1995), and anthocyanin biosynthesis is regulated by the endogenous level of phenylalanine that is accumulated within the cells (Sakuta et al. 1994). Focusing on the fundamental understanding of the complex metabolic pathway, and regulation of secondary metabolism in plant cell cultures, Zhang et al. (2004) reviewed advanced knowledge of biosynthesis as well as post-biosynthesis pathways of anthocyanins from the genetic to the metabolite level. To illustrate this approach, they presented some data on the functional analysis of metabolic pathways for the biosynthesis of anthocyanins, from the profiling of gene expression and protein expression, to metabolic profiling in *Vitis vinifera* cell culture as a model system. Emphasis was placed on a global correlation at three molecular levels—gene transcript, enzyme, and metabolite, as well as on the interactions between the biosynthetic pathway and post-biosynthetic events that have been largely overlooked in earlier work (Zhang et al. 2004; Fig. 10.10).

End products of the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway include the anthocyanin pigments. Pigment extracts from plant sources generally contain mixtures of different anthocyanin molecules, which vary by their levels of hydroxylation, methylation, and acylation. The major anthocyanins (see Fig. 10.11) that accumulate in

**Fig. 10.10** Pathway events involved in the biosynthesis of a metabolite in plant cells: primary metabolism and secondary metabolism (pre-biosynthetic, biosynthetic, and post-biosynthetic pathways; after Zhang et al. 2004)



**Fig. 10.11** Anthocyanin species present in *V. vinifera* suspension cultured cells: **a** 3-glucoside, **b** 3-p-coumaroylglucoside anthocyanin, and a summary table of modifications giving rise to the grape variants (Conn et al. 2003)




COMPOUND	3' Substitution	5' Substitution
Cyanidin	-OH	-H
Delphinidin	-OH	-OH
Peonidin	-OCH <sub>3</sub>	-H
Petunidin	-OCH <sub>3</sub>	-OH
Malvidin	-OCH <sub>3</sub>	-OCH <sub>3</sub>

*V. vinifera* cell culture are cyanidin 3-glucoside (Cy3G), peonidin 3-glucoside (Pn3G), malvidin 3-glucoside (Mv3G), and the acylated versions of these, cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside (Cy3CG), peonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside (Pn3CG), and malvidin 3-coumaroylglucoside (Mv3CG; Conn et al. 2003).

Anthocyanins are synthesized in the cytoplasm and transported into the vacuole, where they bind with a protein matrix and form anthocyanic vacuolar inclusions (AVIs; Fig. 10.12; Conn et al. 2003). AVIs were proposed to be the storage sites of anthocyanins. Anthocyanins assume their distinct color after transport to the vacuole, concomitantly diminishing feedback inhibition of cytosolic biosynthetic enzymes. Spherical pigmented inclusions are present in the vacuoles of specific cells in over 70 anthocyanin-producing species, and bind anthocyanins in a non-covalent manner (Markham et al. 2000). These insoluble protein matrices have been called anthocyanic vacuolar inclusions (Markham et al. 2000). It is thought



**Fig. 10.12** Schematic summary of anthocyanin post-biosynthetic events. Anthocyanins are synthesized in the cytoplasm, and transported into the vacuole



that the anthocyanins are sequestered by AVIs primarily to increase their stability, but also to reduce inhibition of certain vacuolar enzymes (Conn et al. 2003).

The production of anthocyanins using cultured cells has been assessed in various plant species, and most studies use an anthocyanin-producing cell line as model system for secondary product production, because of the color that enables production to be easily visualized. Yamamoto et al. (1982), of Nippon Paint Co. in Japan, have studied the production of anthocyanins intensively. High osmotic potential in *Vitis vinifera* L. (grape) cell suspension cultures enhanced anthocyanin production. The addition of sucrose or mannitol in the medium increased the osmotic pressure, and the level of anthocyanins accumulated was increased. Similar observations were recorded on carrot cultures from our laboratory. The carrot secondary phloem explants grown on 4% sucrose produced excessive anthocyanins, compared to 2%, and were colored red (see Chap. 9; Kumar and Neumann, unpublished data; see also influences of micronutrients on anthocyanin production above, and Neumann 1962; Ozeki and Komamine 1986).

## Saffron

Stamens of *Crocus sativus* give saffron, which is prized for use as a flavoring additive and as colorant. The stigma of the plant contains crocin (a yellow pigment), safranal (a fragrance), and picrocrocine (a bitter substance). The plant is grown mainly in Spain and India, and about 30,000–35,000 handpicked blooms are required for the production of 1 lb of dry saffron. Crocin, being a glycoside, is water-soluble, and is not soluble in oils and fats. It is used in baked goods, soups, meat and curry products, cheese, confectionary, and as a condiment for rice in Indian foods. It also has medicinal value for stomach ailments.

Ajinomoto of Japan have attempted propagation of stigma-like saffron structures in vitro (Sano and Himeno 1987). They showed that crocin and picrocrocine were present, and after heat treatment (as done with field-grown stigmas), safranal was

produced. The composition of these phytochemicals corresponded with that of similarly treated, young intact stigmas (Himeno and Sano 1987).

### Safflower yellow

Florets of the safflower plant (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) give Mexican saffron or American saffron, a yellow pigment that has no relation to genuine saffron. The major pigment is carthamin, which exists at levels of up to 30% in the flowers, and there is also a red pigment in concentrations of about 0.5% (Wakayama et al. 1994).

### Madder colorants

*Rubia tinctorum* (Rubiaceae) is a perennial plant, and its roots have been used as red dyes in Western Europe. The major components of the pigment are alizarin, purpurine, and its glycoside, ruberythric acid. Pure alizarin is an orange crystal soluble at 1 part to 300 in boiling water, and other solvents. Due to its high resistance to heat and light, it is suitable for the food industry. The callus of *R. tinctorum* induced from the root at San-Ei Chemical Industries of Japan was used to produce the pigment. After 21 days of cultivation in a 100-l jar fermenter, it produced approximately 1.5 g of the pigment (Odake et al. 1991).

## 10.5 Strategies for Improvement of Metabolite Production

As discussed at length above, cell cultures have been established from many plants, but often do not produce sufficient amounts of the required secondary metabolites (Rao and Ravishankar 2002). Nevertheless, in many cases the production of secondary metabolites can be enhanced by treating the undifferentiated cells with elicitors such as methyljasmonate, salicylic acid, chitosan, and heavy metals (DiCosmo and Misawa 1985; Barz et al. 1988; Gundlach et al. 1992; Ebel and Cosio 1994; Poulev et al. 2003). In some cases, secondary metabolites are produced only in organ cultures such as hairy root or shooty teratoma (tumor-like, see below) cultures; e.g., hairy roots produce high levels of alkaloids (Sevo'n and Oksman-Caldentey 2002), whereas shooty teratomas produce monoterpenes (Spencer et al. 1993).

In terms of cell growth kinetics, which usually incorporates an exponential curve phase, most secondary metabolites are produced during the stationary or plateau phase. This lack of production during the early stages can be explained by carbon allocation being mainly to primary metabolism during the active phase of growth. When growth stops, carbon is no longer needed in large quantities for primary metabolism, and secondary compounds are more actively synthesized. This is one explanation—others are possible (e.g., Chap. 12). It has been frequently observed that many new enzymatic activities, absent during the lag or log phases, appear

during the plateau phase. This has led many authors to propose a possible biochemical differentiation of the cells when growth stops (e.g., Payne et al. 1987; Charlwood et al. 1990). However, some secondary plant products are known to be growth-associated with undifferentiated cells, such as betalains and carotenoids.

The biosynthesis and accumulation of a number of secondary metabolites take place in specialized cells during specific developmental stage(s), i.e., differentiation and pigmentation in the organs and/or whole plants (Roja and Heble 1996).

### 10.5.1 Addition of Precursors, and Biotransformations


An exogenous supply of biosynthetic precursor to the culture medium, as discussed above, may increase the yield of the final product when productivity is limited by lack of precursor. The production of tropane alkaloids has been markedly increased by the addition of tropic acid, a direct precursor (see above; Tabata et al. 1971).

In contrast to the *de novo* synthesis, the biotransformation process with *Digitalis* plant cells seems to be more promising from a commercial point of view (see also Table 10.4, Figs. 10.13, 10.14). Graves and Smith (1967) reported that *D. lanata* and *D. purpurea* callus cultures rapidly transformed progesterone into pregnane.

Some cultures are able to transform cheap precursors into costlier chemicals. In the leaves of *Digitalis* are digoxin and digitoxin and their derivatives, where digitoxin is around one fourth of the concentration of digoxins. From a medical point of view, digoxin receives priority over digitoxin; the transformation of digitoxin


**Table 10.4** Biotransformation of  $\beta$ -methyl digitoxin into  $\beta$ -methyl digoxin by *Digitalis lanata* cells in a 20-l reactor

Budget parameters	Weight	Proportion
B-Methyl digitoxin added	17.24 g	(100%)
Unconverted $\beta$ -methyl digitoxin	2.04 g	(11.8%)
B-Methyl digoxin formed	14.36 g	(81.7%)
Byproduct	0.28 g	(1.4%)
Yield	94.90%	



**Fig. 10.13** Transformation of  $\beta$ -methyl digitoxin into  $\beta$ -methyl digoxin (Alfermann et al. 1985). *Dtx* Digitoxose

**Fig. 10.14** Biotransformation of  $\beta$ -methyl digitoxin into  $\beta$ -methyl digoxin by cell cultures of *Digitalis lanata* in a 200-l airlift reactor (Alfermann et al. 1985)




into digoxin has been carried out successfully in undifferentiated cultures. The reaction takes place by attachment of a hydroxyl group in C-12 of the digoxins, and these “wastes” of digitoxin production can be utilized by the pharmaceutical industry (Alfermann et al. 1983, 1985; Figs. 10.13, 10.14).

Digitoxin is diverted into different products. One of this is methyl digitoxin. Certain cell clones have been isolated that transform methyl digitoxin into methyl digoxin (Figs. 10.13, 10.14). For industrial usage, generally digoxin obtained from the leaves is methylated through a chemical process.

At the beginning of the experiment, the substrate is fed into the fermenter, and after 13 days about 70% of methyl digitoxin is transformed into methyl digoxin, and is excreted to the nutrient medium; 20% could be located in cells in the form of digitoxin and digoxin, and some remains of the substrates were still in the nutrient medium. Using this technique, within 2 weeks of experimentation 430 mg methyl digoxin was produced in a 200-l fermenter culture. This approach was developed further into a semi-continuous process in which a relatively long “scale up period” is reduced to 17 days, and thus the cost of production is reduced. Here, after 14 days only 85% of the contents of the fermenter is taken for the extraction, and the rest remains as inoculum for the next culture. The fermenter is provided with fresh nutrient medium, and by providing the substrate the manufacture of digoxin could be achieved afresh. This subculture was employed six times without loss of transformation capacity. During the 3 months of investigation, 500 g of methyl digoxin was produced, and based on a report from Alfermann et al. (1985), this would suffice to treat 1,000 patients for more than 7 years.

Such “biotransformations” are also possible in other systems where the chemically synthesized compounds are obtained from cell cultures of either the same or another plant. One expects new, unknown molecules produced to replace economically important chemical substances.

Normal root cultures of *Capsicum frutescens* biotransform externally fed precursors like caffeic acid and veratraldehyde into vanillin and other related metabolites.



**Fig. 10.15** Probable biosynthetic pathway of the vanilla-flavored metabolite veratraldehyde via biotransformation (after Suresh and Ravishankar 2005)

The bioconversion of caffeic acid into further metabolites—viz. vanillin, vanillylamine, vanillic acid—was shown to be elicited by treating the cultures with 10  $\mu\text{M}$  methyljasmonate (Suresh and Ravishankar 2005). Root cultures treated with MeJa accumulated 1.93 times more vanillin (20.2  $\mu\text{M}$  on day 3) than did untreated ones. Among all the precursors studied for the biotransformations, *Capsicum* root cultures could biotransform veratraldehyde most efficiently, leading to highest production of vanillin (78  $\mu\text{M}$  on day 6 after veratraldehyde addition) than for any other phenylpropanoid precursor. The probable biosynthetic pathway of veratraldehyde biotransformation is indicated in Fig. 10.15, which shows that the precursor veratraldehyde would be enzymatically demethylated to vanillin and parahydroxybenzoic acid. Upon oxidation, vanillin would be converted into vanillic acid, which in turn would be demethylated to yield protocatechuic acid. The formation of vanillyl alcohol would be by reduction of vanillin.

### 10.5.2 Immobilization of Cells (see also Sect. 3.3)

In immobilization (Yeoman 1987; Holden and Yeoman 1987), plant cells or microaggregates are encapsulated in polymers (alginate, carraghenans, etc.), and this usually enhances the production of secondary metabolites (Gontier et al. 1994). The main explanations for this come from a possible matrix effect of the polymers around the cells, which could mimic the tissue organization between these. This reportedly gives rise to the so-called biochemical differentiation that favors the synthesis of secondary products (Yeoman 1987; Gontier et al. 1994).

Biotransformation of codeinone into codeine with immobilized cells of *Papaver somniferum* has been reported by Furuya et al. (1972). The conversion yield was 70.4%, and about 88% of the codeine converted was excreted into the medium.

Ishida (1988) established *Dioscorea* immobilized cell cultures in which reticulated polyurethane foam was shown to stimulate diosgenin production, increasing the cellular concentration by 40% and the total yield by 25%.

### ***10.5.3 Differentiation and Secondary Metabolite Production***

Differentiated cell cultures are reported to have a higher biochemical potential (Yeoman and Yeoman 1996). There is a tight link between morphological differentiation, and differentiation in metabolite biosynthesis in plant cells. Characterization of such metabolic differentiation at the molecular level is an important step in the development of effective methods to induce high levels of secondary metabolite production in cultured plant cells (Krisa et al. 1999). As an example, established hairy root cultures following infection with *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* displayed an enhanced production of those secondary metabolites that occur naturally in untransformed roots, resulting in amounts of secondary compounds comparable to, or even higher than those present in intact roots (Sharp and Doran 1990; Zárate 1999).

In some cases, complete differentiation may not be required, and tissue differentiation could increase secondary metabolite production. As an example, the development of xylem differentiation in calli of *Duboisia myoporoides* R. Br. led to the expression of stable tropane alkaloid biosynthesis without the need to regenerate differentiated organs. This finding may enable commercial tropane alkaloid production from calli with differentiated xylem, but not requiring organ development (Khanam et al. 2000).

Although cell cultures have been attempted from several *Digitalis* spp., those obtained from *Digitalis lanata* are most extensively utilized. As with the other systems, the undifferentiated callus culture is not able to produce the secondary metabolism-based metabolite glycosides. This has been demonstrated using highly sensitive RIA tests. Others, like the steroid testosterone, were detected using sensitive analytical methods. The glycosides were detectable as soon as the process of differentiation started (Luckner and Dietrich 1985, 1987). Such differentiation could be either the formation of compact green globuli in cell suspensions, shoot differentiation, or differentiation of embryos. These different types of differentiation patterns are associated with variations in the auxin/cytokinin ratio in the nutrient medium. In the green globuli, the cardenolid concentration was very low, i.e., 0.01 mg per g dry matter. In unspecialized cell cultures, or in the dark, the concentrations were even lower (less than 0.001 mg/g f. wt.). Light can increase the cardenolidic concentrations, though light is not essential for the biosynthesis of cardenolides. This is only of quantitative importance here (Luckner and Dietrich 1985, 1987). Induction of embryogenesis in these cultures significantly enhanced the cardenolide concentrations (0.7 mg/g dry matter). The concentration in the shoot apex was somewhat lower, at 0.4 mg/g dry matter. Thus, morphogenesis induced higher concentrations of secondary metabolites in general.

The carbohydrate and nitrogen composition of the medium also influences the production of cardenolides. Maltose was found to be most suitable. It is composed of two molecules of glucose as a disaccharide. Sucrose, and also both the monosaccharides glucose and fructose lead to lower levels of embryogenic differentiation, and also lower levels of cardenolide concentration. Also the source of nitrogen supply influences embryogenesis, and the cardenolide concentration; the optimum for both processes is achieved through a mixture of ammonium and nitrate in ratios of 1:5–1:10. By contrast, glutamine, and ammonium salts of different organic acids have negative effects.

In different experiments to isolate high-yielding strains from suspension cultures based on the selection of cardenolide levels, a broad variability in cardenolide concentration was documented in the colonies. In such cultures, variations in cardenolide concentrations are not due to genetic factors, but rather to the developmental stages of the cultures (Luckner and Dietrich 1985).

The synthesis of the tropane alkaloids hyoscyamine and scopolamine in *Atropa belladonna* and *Catharanthus roseus* is developmentally regulated, the highest levels occurring in younger, faster dividing regions of the plant (De Luca and St-Pierre 2000). Physical factors that influence differentiation also affect secondary metabolite production; e.g., light has been reported to be necessary for the synthesis of vindoline, an important precursor of vincristine and vinblastine in *C. roseus* (De Luca and St-Pierre 2000). The pathways of interest often involve multiple organellar compartments, resulting in transport limitations and sequestered pools of metabolites. The lack of differentiation in cell cultures has often also been a barrier to successful alkaloid production. As an example, the synthesis of strictosidine, the precursor to the indole alkaloids, requires three organellar compartments. Tryptophan and the terpenoid precursor geraniol are synthesized in the plastids, tryptophan is then decarboxylated in the cytosol, and the two moieties are condensed in the vacuole (De Luca and St-Pierre 2000).

*Duboisia myoporoides* R. Br., an Australian member of the Solanaceae family, contains different groups of alkaloids, and is cultivated in Australia for its high scopolamine content. In the complete *D. myoporoides* plant, alkaloid biosynthesis takes place in the root cells (Hashimoto and Yamada 1994). While different classes of alkaloids have been detected in cultured roots of this species (Yukimune et al. 1996; Khanam et al. 2000), tropane alkaloids are found in the cultured shoot only after root initiation (Kukreja et al. 1986; Lin and Tsay (2004).

Alkaloid production has also been studied in *Corydalis ambigua* (Papaveraceae). Corydaline and cavidine were accumulated in the leaf, tuber, and somatic embryos, whereas corybulbine was detectable only in tubers. Callus cultures and immature seeds, which lack embryos, contain only trace amounts of these alkaloids, suggesting the necessity of organ differentiation for alkaloid production in *C. ambigua*. Somatic embryos of *C. ambigua* that were cultured in liquid Linsmaier and Skoog medium, supplemented with 0.1M IAA and 3% sucrose, produced two tetrahydroprotoberberine alkaloids, corydaline (0.03% of dry cell weight), and cavidine (1.09%; Hiraoka et al. 2004). These investigations show that the differentiation status can increase the cardenolide concentration. Still, the yield lies below the level of profitable commercial utilization.

### 10.5.4 Elicitation

Elicitation is usually one of the most successful strategies to increase secondary metabolite production. This consists in applying chemical or physical stresses to the cell suspension cultures that trigger the production of secondary metabolites normally not produced, or only at low concentrations. Elicitors are defined as molecules that stimulate defense or stress-induced responses in plants (Van Etten et al. 1994). Elicitors like jasmonate and its derivatives are known to stimulate the production of secondary metabolites in plants (Sanz et al. 2000).

Beside enhancing the production of some of the desired secondary metabolites, elicitor treatment also activates the genes involved in the biosynthesis of such compounds. This has been recently demonstrated in studies targeting nicotine biosynthesis in tobacco cells (Breyne and Zabeau 2001; Goossens et al. 2003a).

Furanocoumarins, the phytoalexins having medicinal and industrial value, are restricted to the four families Leguminosae, Apiaceae, Umbelliferae, and Rutaceae. As their name suggests, these compounds are based on a skeleton formed by a furan ring fused to a coumarin unit. At present, furanocoumarins are produced from essential oils of bergapten (*Citrus bergamia*), but this production is insufficient to meet the increasing demands for these molecules. Elicited response was demonstrated very clearly for cell cultures of *Ruta graveolens* exposed to autoclaved culture homogenate of *Rhodoturula rubra*. The increased accumulation of these compounds was preceded by induction of specific secondary-product enzymes like L-phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), cinnamate-4-hydroxylase (C4H), 4-coumarate: CoA ligase (4CL), S-adenosyl-L-methionine: bergaptol-O-methyltransferase (BMT), and S-adenosyl-L-methionine: xanthoxol-O-methyltransferase (XMT). The enzymes showed sequential changes in activity with time for PAL and 4CL (Diwan and Malpathak 2007).

#### 10.5.4.1 Jasmonic Acid

Jasmonic acid (JA), and its methyl ester (MJ) have also been studied as elicitors of secondary metabolites in plants with hairy roots (Rao and Ravishankar 2002). Exogenous MJ has been shown to mimic the effects of wounding through the induction of proteinase inhibitors (Xu et al. 1993), vegetative storage proteins (Berger et al. 1995), and secondary metabolites such as nicotine (Baldwin et al. 1994). MJ fed along with fungal elicitors was reported to activate the enzyme phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), resulting in higher production of scopoletin and scopolin in tobacco cell cultures (Sharan et al. 1998), taxol accumulation in cell suspension cultures of *Taxus chinensis* (Wu and Lin 2003), and ginsenoside production by cell suspension cultures of *Panax ginseng* in 5-l balloon-type bubble bioreactors (Thanh et al. 2005). It was also shown that MJ induces the expression of polyphenol oxidase (ppo) genes, and markedly increases the level of the enzyme. Hayashi et al. (2003) studied upregulation of soyasaponin biosynthesis by methyl-jasmonate in cultured cells of *Glycyrrhiza glabra*.



Increased levels of enzymes induced by MJ promoted the formation of secondary metabolites for a broad range of plant species (Blechert et al. 1995). In *Catharanthus roseus* (Madagascar periwinkle), methyljasmonate induces terpenoid indole alkaloid (TIA) production. ORCA (octadecanoid-responsive catharanthus AP2/ERF domain) transcription factors have been shown to regulate the JA-responsive activation of several TIA biosynthesis genes (Endt et al. 2002; Memelink and Gantet 2007).

A promoter element involved in jasmonate- and elicitor-responsive gene expression (JERE) was identified in the TIA biosynthetic gene strictosidine synthase (STR; Menke et al. 1999).

Interaction of MJ, wounding, and fungal elicitation influenced the production of sesquiterpenes in *Agrobacterium*-transformed root cultures of *Hyoscyamus muticus* (Choi et al. 2001, 2005). These results indicate that signaling, in addition to MJ, is required for the induction of these phytoalexins.

Jasmonic acid also altered the accumulation of major anthocyanins in *Vitis vinifera* cell cultures (see above). Peonidin 3-glucoside content at day 3 was increased from 0.3 to 1.7 mg/g dry cell wt., while other major anthocyanins were increased less. Light further enhanced anthocyanin accumulation induced by jasmonic acid elicitation (Curtin et al. 2003).

MJ treatment increases the levels of ginsenoside. Choi et al. (2005) analyzed the ESTs (expressed sequence tags) derived from MJ-treated ginseng hairy roots, and attempted to identify the genes involved in the MJ-induced biosynthesis of various secondary metabolites, including ginsenosides.

*Hypericum perforatum* L. (St. John's wort) produces hypericin, a photosensitive naphthodianthrone considered to be responsible for the reversal of depression symptoms. Production levels, and localization of hypericin in cell suspension cultures are entirely different from those of an intact plant (Bais et al. 2002).

JA elicitation of *Hypericum* cells increased the accumulation of phenylpropanoids and naphthodianthrone (Gadzovska et al. 2007). Earlier, Walker et al. (2002) reported that an administration of 250 mM JA induced an increased accumulation of hypericin in cultured cells of *H. perforatum* L. grown under dark conditions (0.318–0.02 mg/g dry wt.), compared to JA-elicited cultures under light conditions (0.089–0.006 mg/g dry wt.), and their respective controls (Bais et al. 2002). It is likely that secondary metabolites, in particular phenolic compounds, can constitute a photoblock resulting in hindered photoconversion under continuous light conditions (Hahlbrock and Scheel 1989).

#### 10.5.4.2 Effect of UV on Production of Secondary Metabolites in Cultured Tissues

Resveratrol and piceatannol have various beneficial health effects—e.g., moderate intake of resveratrol or resveratrol-containing food, such as red wine, may diminish the risk of cardiovascular diseases (Maxwell et al. 1994). Many studies have linked the antitumor activities of resveratrol and piceatannol to their abilities to inhibit cell proliferation, and arrest cells in the S-phase (Jang et al. 1997; Joe et al. 2002).


Several research groups have demonstrated the ability to produce stilbenoid compounds from cultured plant tissues under normal or induced conditions. For example, resveratrol has been isolated from suspended cell cultures of grape, *Vitis vinifera*, and peanut, *Arachis hypogaea* (Schöppner and Kindl 1984; Ku et al. 2005). In the callus of *A. hypogaea*, isopentenyl resveratrol was induced via UV irradiation (Fritzemeier et al. 1983).

## 10.6 Organ Cultures

Plant organ cultures represent an interesting alternative to cell cultures for the production of plant secondary products. Two types of organs are generally considered for this purpose: hairy roots, and shoot cultures (Fig. 10.16).

### 10.6.1 Shoot Cultures

Shoot cultures are often superior generators of secondary metabolism products than are callus or suspension cultures. Although no trace of the dimeric alkaloids anhydrovinblastine and leurosine were detected in suspension cultures of *C. roseus*, these have been detected in shoot cultures. Similarly, much higher levels of quinine and related alkaloids are present in shoot cultures than in suspension cultures of *Cinchona ledgeriana*. More cardiac glycosides are accumulated in shoot cultures of *Digitalis* than in undifferentiated cultures of this species. To date, however, techniques for shoot cultures are underdeveloped for mass cultivation. Nevertheless,



**Fig. 10.16** Guidelines for the production of secondary metabolites from plant organ cultures (after Bourgaud et al. 2001)

there is a promising possibility to produce products associated specifically with shoots or leaves. Shoot cultures can easily be established simply by removing the top from sterile seedlings, and placing it in B5 or MS media.

### 10.6.2 Root Cultures

Until recently, only a very limited number of plant species had been recorded for yield in root cultures, which could be grown indefinitely with an acceptable growth rate. The possibility of genetic transformation for root culture has been mentioned earlier. *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* are soil-borne bacterial pathogens of plants, and these bacteria can enter into any wounded part of plant cells (Sect. 13.2). The Ri plasmid of *A. rhizogenes* induces rhizogenesis in inoculated cells of numerous roots. Such roots can be cultured, and unlike undifferentiated culture cells, stably maintain the biosynthetic characteristics of the original plant. This system has therefore been used as a means of culturing cells that will synthesize and accumulate secondary metabolite characteristics of the roots of the intact plant.

Based on this technique, hairy root cultures have already made an impact on the production of certain secondary metabolites. Considerable increases in biomass and alkaloid accumulation in root cultures can be achieved by RI-TDNA. Jung and Tepfer (1987) reported that transformation improved root growth in agitated flasks, and these roots produced tropane alkaloids at levels similar to those recorded for roots of the corresponding intact plants.

Hairy roots, the result of genetic transformation by *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, have attractive properties for secondary metabolite production (Fig. 10.16; Park and Facchini 2000; Kim et al. 2002; Pavlov and Bley 2005). In some cases, secondary metabolites are produced only in organ cultures, such as hairy root or shooty teratoma (tumor-like) cultures. For example, hairy roots produce high levels of alkaloids (Sevo'n and Oksman-Caldentey 2002).

The greatest advantages of hairy roots is that they often exhibit about the same, or greater biosynthetic capacity for secondary metabolite production as do their mother plants, and are able to grow on growth regulator-free media. Many valuable secondary metabolites are synthesized in roots *in vivo*, and often synthesis is linked to root differentiation (Flores Berrios et al. 2000). Even in cases where secondary metabolites accumulate only in the aerial part of an intact plant, hairy root cultures have been shown to accumulate the metabolites as well. For example, lawsone normally accumulates only in the aerial part of the plant, but hairy roots of *Lawsonia inermis* grown in half- or full-strength MS medium (Table 3.3) can produce lawsone under dark conditions (Bakkali et al. 1997). Likewise, artemisinic acid accumulates only in the aerial part of *Artemisia annua* plants, but several laboratories have shown that hairy roots can produce artemisinic acid (Liu et al. 1997). Genetic stability is another characteristic of hairy roots.

Hairy root cultures of the endangered species *Atropa baetica* display high accumulation of the major tropane alkaloids, atropine ( $\pm$ hyoscyamine) and scopolamine,

with atropine levels similar to those of intact non-transformed roots. Surprisingly, scopolamine levels were fourfold higher than for intact roots, suggesting a much higher H6H activity (hyoscyamine 6- $\beta$ -hydroxylase; Hashimoto and Yamada 1994; Zárate et al. 2006), this being the enzyme responsible for the conversion of hyoscyamine into scopolamine.

*Catharanthus roseus* hairy roots, in a fast-growing, differentiated tissue culture generated by *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* infection, accumulated higher levels of alkaloids than was the case for undifferentiated cell and callus cultures (Moreno-Valenzuela et al. 1998). The biosynthesis of vindoline was reported to be significant only in shooty teratomas (O'Keefe et al. 1997), or shoots regenerated from calli (Miura et al. 1988). Shimomura et al. (1991) established a hairy root culture of *Lithospermum erythrorhizon* with *A. rhizogenes*. The hairy root culture did not produce shikonin on solid MS medium, but did produce the pigment in the root culture medium, and also secreted it into the medium.

Solasodine present in Solanaceae plants has gained significant importance globally. Certain fast-growing hairy root clones of *Solanum khasianum* are reported to be high producers of solasodine (Aird et al. 1988). The effect of nitrogen on growth and solasodine production, when nitrate and ammonia are used as nitrogen source, has been demonstrated by Jacob and Malpathak (2005).


## 10.7 Genetic Engineering of Secondary Metabolites (see also Sect. 13.2)

Production of secondary metabolites is under strict regulation in plant cells, due to coordinate control of the biosynthetic genes by transcription factors. Transcription factors are involved in secondary metabolism, and their role has been reviewed by Endt et al. (2002). Several transcription factors involved in the regulation of alkaloid biosynthesis genes have been isolated and studied. There are indications that the abundance and activities of transcription factors per se are regulated by external signals (Endt et al. 2002; Memelink and Gantet 2007).


Based on this success, genetic transformation of medicinal plants has been attempted, primarily to enhance the production of various pharmaceuticals, but also flavors and pigments. The potential of metabolically engineered plant-derived secondary metabolites is high, and has been well documented by modifying anthocyanin and flavonoid pathways, leading to changes in flower color, or increased levels of antioxidative flavonol production in tomato (Muir et al. 2001). To date, however, there has been little success in modifying pathways to form pharmaceutically important compounds. Transgenic cultures and plants have been reported some time ago for about 70 species (Bajaj and Ishimura 1999). Hashimoto et al. (1993) reported increased production of tropane alkaloids in genetically engineered root cultures. There are several strategies that can be used to enhance the production of desired pharmaceuticals by genetic engineering (Veerporte et al. 2000; Sumner et al. 2003). Oksman-Caldentey and Inzé (2004) have reviewed the work on the production of designer metabolites in the post-genomic domain.

Functional genomics approaches (transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics) are powerful tools for accelerating comprehensive investigations of biological systems (Fig. 10.17). Because no genomic tools are available for most plants producing interesting secondary metabolites (e.g., terpenoid indole alkaloids, and paclitaxel), it is not surprising that virtually no such comprehensive studies have been reported yet.

Recent years have witnessed a true revolution in the profiling of primary, but also secondary metabolites (Fiehn et al. 2001). Several genes in the biosynthetic pathways for scopolamine, nicotine, and berberine have been cloned, making the metabolic engineering of these alkaloids possible (Fig. 10.18). Expression of two



**Fig. 10.17** Functional genomics approaches (transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics) are powerful tools for accelerating comprehensive investigations of biological systems (Yeoman et al. 1980)



**Fig. 10.18** Cloned genes in the nicotine and tropane alkaloid pathways. *ODC* Ornithine decarboxylase, *ADC* arginine decarboxylase, *PMT* putrescine N-methyltransferase, *TRI* tropinone reductase I, *H6H* hyoscyamine 6- $\beta$ -hydroxylase (after Hughes and Shanks 2002)

branching-point enzymes was for engineered putrescine N-methyltransferase (PMT) in transgenic plants of *Atropa belladonna* and *Nicotiana glauca*, and (S)-scoulerine 9-O-methyltransferase (SMT) in cultured cells of *Coptis japonica* and *Eschscholzia californica*. Overexpression of PMT increased the nicotine content in *N. glauca*, whereas suppression of endogenous PMT activity severely decreased the nicotine content, and induced abnormal morphologies. Ectopic expression of SMT caused the accumulation of benzylisoquinoline alkaloids in *E. californica*. However they explore solutions to such challenges, metabolic engineers must nevertheless take care in recognizing the limitations inherent in designing plant systems (Hughes and Shanks 2002).

Based on the positive correlation between PMT activity and nicotine synthesis, Sato et al. (2001) expressed tobacco PMT using the CaMV 35S promoter in *N. glauca* plants. In the overexpressing lines, a 40% increase in nicotine content was noted over controls.

Another example is the observation that antisense-mediated downregulation of putrescine N-ethyltransferase in transgenic tobacco plants resulted in a concomitant reduction in nicotine content, but surprisingly also in elevated levels of the secondary metabolite anatabine (Chintapakorn and Hamill 2003). These examples, as well as others comprehensively reviewed elsewhere (Sato et al. 2001; Verpoorte and Memelink 2002; Hashimoto and Yamada 2003; Magnotta et al. 2007), show that engineering a single functional gene has considerable value for metabolic engineering, but also some limitations. The ability to switch on entire pathways by ectopic expression of transcription factors suggests new possibilities for engineering secondary metabolite pathways.

The first step in approaching the engineering of the pathways has been an attempt to quantify the relative importance of the terpenoid and indole pathways with precursor feedings. Although the terpenoid pathway has generally been found to be limiting in hairy root and cell cultures (Morgan and Shanks 2000), a few cell lines responded to indole feeding (Whitmer et al. 1998). Results for hairy roots also demonstrate that growth stages play a key role in determining the relative importance of the two pathways (Morgan and Shanks 2000). Thus, tryptophan decarboxylase (TDC) activity coincides with alkaloid accumulation, while strictosidine synthase (STR) activity is relatively stable (Meijer et al. 1993a, b).

In manipulating the alkaloid contents of *Cinchona officinalis*, TDC overexpression was ineffective. TDC has, however, proved useful in other systems, like canola, as a means of diverting flux to a metabolic sink to reduce undesired products (Chavadej et al. 1994). It has also been used to manipulate the alkaloid contents of *C. officinalis* (Geerlings et al. 1999).

Although metabolic engineering of alkaloid production is still in its infancy, the field offers great promise. Other papers have extensively reviewed the strategies of engineering pathways, methods for cloning genes; means to quantify flux, tools to characterize pathways at the enzymatic level, and techniques for pathway elucidation at the metabolite level (Morgan et al. 1999; Ratcliffe and Shachar-Hill 2001).

Triterpene saponins are important bioactive compounds in many other medicinal plants—for example, glycyrrhizin in *Glycyrrhiza* sp. (Dixon and Summer 2003),

and saikosaponins in *Bupleurum falcatum* (Aoyagi et al. 2001). Squalene synthase (SS; EC 2.5.1.21) catalyzes the first enzymatic step from the central isoprenoid pathway toward sterol and triterpenoid biosynthesis (Abe et al. 1993).


From EST data analysis, Devarenne et al. (2002) identified several genes involved in the biosynthesis of 2,3-oxidosqualene, such as SS and SE that are upregulated in MJ-treated hairy roots. SS is involved in the biosynthesis of squalene from farnesyl diphosphate. This reaction is the first step in the transfer of carbon from the isoprenoid pathway toward triterpene biosynthesis, and may be a potential point of triterpene biosynthesis regulation (Devarenne et al. 2002). SE converts squalene into 2,3-oxidosqualene, which serves as the substrate for the synthesis of protopanaxadiol. Devarenne et al. (2002) also identified three transcripts encoding SE, which suggests that SE forms a small multigene family in the ginseng genome. Moreover, they identified genes encoding two 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA reductases (HMGR), and a farnesyl diphosphate synthase (FPS). These enzymes are considered to constitute potential regulatory points in the isoprenoid pathway (Devarenne et al. 2002). Most of the ginseng genes described above, which were identified on the basis of their function in other plants, had never previously been identified. The first committed step in the biosynthesis of the triterpenoid saponin, ginsenoside, involves the cyclization of oxidosqualene by oxidosqualene cyclase (OSC), which produces one of several different triterpenoids, including protopanaxadiol (Figs. 10.19, 10.20).

## 10.8 Membrane Transport and Accumulation of Secondary Metabolites

Plants produce a large number of secondary metabolites, and their subcellular localization is highly regulated according to their biosynthetic routes and structural features. To achieve their function, such as protection against UV light or pathogens, they are generally accumulated in specific tissues or cell types. Some examples of secondary metabolite production and storage are given in Table 10.5.


Secondary metabolites are often transported from source cells to neighboring cells, or even further to other tissues or remote organs (Kunze et al. 2002). Yazaki (2005) studied transporter proteins for these natural products in plants. Storage vacuoles, which often occupy 40–90% of the inner volume of plant cells, play a pivotal role in the accumulation of secondary metabolites in plants (Fig. 10.21). The accumulation of secondary metabolites in vacuoles has at least two positive roles: the sequestration of biologically active endogenous metabolites inside the cells, and the protection of such metabolites from catabolism (Gunawardena et al. 2004). Two major mechanisms are proposed for the vacuolar transport of secondary metabolites, these being  $H^+$  gradient-dependent secondary transport via the  $H^+$ -antiport, and directly energized primary transport by ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters (Martinoia et al. 2002).

Membrane transport is fairly specific and highly regulated for each secondary metabolite, and recent progress in genome and expressed sequence tag (EST)



**Fig. 10.19** Metabolism of squalene

Dammarane-type triterpene saponins



**Fig. 10.20** Biosynthetic pathway of phytosterols and triterpenes in *P. ginseng*. Triterpenes undergo oxidation and glycosylation, and are converted into triterpene saponins. Dammarenediol synthase activity was detected in the microsomal fraction of *P. ginseng* (Kushiro et al. 1997, 1998)

	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>
Ginsenoside Rb <sub>1</sub>	-H	- Glc <sup>2</sup> -Glc	-Glc <sup>6</sup> -Glc
Ginsenoside Rb <sub>2</sub>	-H	- Glc <sup>2</sup> -Glc	-Glc <sup>6</sup> -Ara(pyr)
Ginsenoside Rc	-H	- Glc <sup>2</sup> -Glc	-Glc <sup>6</sup> -Ara(fur)
Ginsenoside Rd	-H	- Glc <sup>2</sup> -Glc	-Glc
Ginsenoside Re	-O-Glc <sup>2</sup> -Rha	-H	-Glc
Ginsenoside Rf	-O-Glc <sup>2</sup> -Glc	-H	-H
Ginsenoside Rg <sub>1</sub>	-O-Glc	-H	-Glc




**Table 10.5** Production of metabolites, and their storage in plant systems. Example of compartmentalization in the formation of plant secondary metabolites

Local compartment	Plant species	Secondary metabolite	Synthesis & storage site
Cellular	Asteraceae	Benzofurane Benzopyrane	Specific oil cells
	<i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	Alkaloids	Synthetic capacity depends on the number of storage cells
	<i>Nicotiana rustica</i>	Nicotine	Synthesis in the roots, and storage in the cytosol of leaf cells
	<i>Digitalis lanata</i>	Digitoxin	Mesophyll cells of leaves
	<i>Euphorbia lathyris</i>	DOPA	Synthesis in leaves, storage in the latex
Subcellular/cell structures	<i>Coptis japonicum</i>	Anthraquinones	Rough endoplasmic reticulum (ER)
	<i>Juniperus communis</i>	Tannins	Vacuoles
	<i>Lithospermum erythrorhizon</i>	Naphthoquinones	Naphthoquinone vesicles: rough endoplasmic reticulum
	<i>Papaver somniferum</i>	Alkaloids	Alkaloid vesicles
	<i>Pinus elliotti</i>	Tannins	ER and Golgi vesicles
Subcellular/membrane formation/ multi-enzyme complexes	<i>Haplopappus gracilis</i>	Naringenin Eriodictyol	Endoplasmic reticulum
	<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>	Coumaric acid p-hydroxy-mandelicnitrile	Endoplasmic reticulum, microsomes
Subcellular/various precursor pools		Malic acid	Mitochondria, vacuoles

databases has revealed that many transporters and channels exist in the plant genome. Studies of the genetic sequences that encode these proteins, and of phenotypes caused by the mutation of these sequences have been used to characterize the membrane transport of plant secondary metabolites (Yazaki 2005). Such studies have clarified that not only genes that are involved in the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, but also genes that are involved in their transport would be important for systematic metabolic engineering aimed at increasing the productivity of valuable secondary metabolites in planta (Yazaki 2005).

The mechanism for the long-distance transport of alkaloids is well elucidated in Solanaceae. Nicotine biosynthetic enzymes are expressed specifically in the root tissues, which is advantageous for the xylem transport of nicotine (Hashimoto and Yamada 2003). The transporter that is involved in the translocation of nicotine has not yet been identified, but a multidrug resistance protein (MDR)-like transport activity was recorded in the Malpighian tubules of tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta*.



**Fig. 10.21** Model of transport processes for secondary metabolites in a plant cell (Yazaki 2005)

Plant alkaloids are often effluxed by ABC transporters in microorganisms and herbivorous insects, but only a few of these transporters are currently known to be responsible for alkaloid transport in planta. Recent studies show that the uptake of an isoquinoline alkaloid, berberine, by *Coptis japonica* cells is mediated by an ABC transporter (Sakai et al. 2002). Functional analyses of CjMDR1 using *Xenopus* oocytes showed that this protein recognized berberine as its substrate, and transported it in an inward direction (Shitan et al. 2003), although most eukaryotic ABC transporters are known to function as efflux carriers. Because berberine is biosynthesized in root tissues, this alkaloid is translocated to the rhizome where it is trapped.

Transport of alkaloids across the tonoplast and other cell membranes has also been shown to involve active mechanisms. Secretion of berberine from cell cultures of *Thalictrum minus* (Yamamoto et al. 1987), and benzophenanthridine alkaloids from *Eschscholzia californica* were both found to be vanadate-sensitive. A more

recent study isolated an ABC transporter involved in the secretion of an antifungal terpenoid alkaloid from a cell culture of *Nicotinia plumbaginifolia* (Jasinski et al. 2001).

Tropane alkaloids are localized in the vascular region where large cells in the secondary xylem are reported to be present. In some alkaloid-producing plant species, alkaloid transport is mediated by carrier proteins. Wink (1985) reported significant alkaloid production when gene expression for alkaloid biosynthesis and transport (which is related to accumulation) took place at the same time. Guern et al. (1987) reported that gene expression for both alkaloid biosynthesis and transport takes place in the presence of a suitable storage site where accumulation without degradation occurs. Since large xylem cells are dead, biosynthesis of enzymes is not possible in these cells. The gene expression for alkaloid biosynthesis and the carrier proteins thus takes place after formation of the large cells in the secondary xylem. Formation of alkaloids in the non-rooted shoots may also be related to other cells. Further investigations related to other cell differentiation are therefore necessary.

When the concentration of a highly toxic secondary metabolite is increased by genetic engineering, does its intrinsic toxicity become a limiting factor? Recent experiments suggest that this might be the case. Nicotine, and also other alkaloids are highly toxic to plant cells, but overexpression of the yeast ABC transporter PDR5 in transgenic tobacco cells was recently demonstrated to decrease the cellular toxicity (Goossens et al. 2003b).

## 10.9 Bioreactors (see also Sect. 3.2)

Generally, the plant products of commercial interest are secondary metabolites belonging to three main categories, i.e., essential oils, glycosides, and alkaloids. This categorization differs from the one given at the beginning of this chapter. Whereas the earlier one is oriented more on chemical definitions, here the definition is more from a point of view of application. The essential oils consist of a mixture of terpenoids, which are used as flavoring agents, perfumes, and solvents. The glycosides include flavanoids, saponins, phenolics, tannins, cyanogenic glycosides, and mustard oils, which are utilized as dyes, food colors, and medicinals (e.g., steroid hormones, antibiotics). The alkaloids are a diverse group of compounds with over 4,000 structures known. Almost all naturally occurring alkaloids are of plant origin. Alkaloids are physiologically active in humans (e.g., cocaine, nicotine, morphine, strychnine), and therefore of great interest for the pharmaceutical industry (Shuler 1981). However, various problems associated with low cell productivity, slow growth, genetic instability of high-producing cell lines, poor control of cellular differentiation, and inability to maintain photoautotrophic growth have limited the application of plant cell cultures (Sajc et al. 2000).

As described above, in 1983 for the first time a dye, shikonin, with anti-inflammatory and antibacterial properties, was produced by plant cell cultures on

an industrial scale by Mitsui Petrochemical Industries Ltd (Fujita et al. 1982). Although this was thought to be a major breakthrough, shikonin was still up to the 1990s the only plant compound to be produced on a commercial scale by cell cultures.

There are several means of increasing the production of secondary metabolites by plant cell cultures or suspensions, as has been discussed before:

- use of biotic or abiotic elicitors
- addition of a precursor of the desired compound
- secondary metabolite production, or inducing changes in the flux of carbon to favor the expression of pathways leading to the target compound
- production of new genotypes by means of protoplast fusion, or genetic engineering
- use of mutagens to increase the variability already existing in living cells
- use of root cultures.

At the European level, some years ago all these issues were discussed comprehensively at the Symposium on “Primary and Secondary Metabolism of Plant Cell Cultures” (Neumann et al. 1985), and at a seminar on “Bioproduction of Metabolites by Plant Cell Cultures” held in Paris in September 1988, organized by the International Association of Plant Tissue Culture and the French Association pour la Promotion Industrie-Agriculture (APRIA, Association for the Promotion of Industry-Agriculture). However, constraints still remain that need high investments, and finding solutions to the problems of raising plant tissues in bioreactors.

Economic considerations govern the importance attached to the production of natural substances and biochemicals by cell cultures. Some additional information to those given earlier can be obtained from the data in Table 10.6. The estimated annual market value of pharmaceutical products of plant origin in industrialized

**Table 10.6** Economic data for some substances of plant origin (t, tons)

Substance and use	Annual demand	Industrial cost (US\$ per kg)	Estimated annual market value (in US\$ million)
<b>Pharmacy</b>			
Ajmalicine	3–5 t	1,500	4.5–7.5
Codeine	80–150 t	650–900	52–135
Digoxin	6 t	3,000	18
Diosgenin	200 t	20–40	4–8
Vinblastine, vincristine	5–10 kg	5 million	25–50
<b>Food additives, and fragrances</b>			
Jasmine oil	100 kg	5,000	0.5
Mint oil	3,000 t	30	90
Natural vanillin	30 t	2,500	75
<b>Cosmetics</b>			
Shikonin	150 kg	4,000	0.6

countries was over US\$ 20 billion in the mid-1980s. The annual market value of codeine, and of the antitumor alkaloids vinblastine and vincristine has been estimated at about US\$ 100 million per product (Pétiard and Bariaud-Fontanel 1987). The worldwide market value of aromas and fragrances was expected to rise to US\$ 6 billion in 1990 (Rajnachapelmessai 1988).

In 1988, the estimated annual market value of shikonin (for details, see below) was about US\$ 600,000, which is far from the US\$ 20–50 million investment of the original research and development work. However, the final cost of the product fell to US\$ 4,000 per kg, which is similar to US\$ 4,500 per kg for the substance extracted from the roots of *Lithospermum erythrorhizon* (Sasson 1991).

It should be noted that Kanebo, the Japanese cosmetics corporation that developed lipsticks containing shikonin, realized a turnover of about US\$ 65 million over 2 years in Japan through the sale of 5 million lipsticks, each selling for US\$ 13. In the Republic of Korea and in China, Mitsui Petrochemicals Ltd today intends to sell at over US\$ 4 billion (as estimated).


In the Federal Republic of Germany, Alfermann et al. (1985), in collaboration with Boehringer Mannheim AG, were able to grow cells of *Digitalis lanata* in 200-l bioreactors, and obtain 500 g of beta-methylidigoxin in 3 months (see also above); the bioconversion rate of beta-methylidigoxin was very high, up to 93.5%, if the non-used substrate was recycled. Ulbrich et al. (1985) cultured *Coleus blumei* cells in a 42-l bioreactor fitted with the module spiral stirrer, using this system with aeration. They reported high yields of rosmarinic acid (5.5 g/l), representing 21% dry weight of cells. Heble and Chadha (1985a, b) reported the successful cultivation of *Catharanthus roseus* cells in 7- to 20-l capacity bioreactors, modified to provide airlift and agitation, in single and multiple stages.

The cells produced high levels of total alkaloids, comprising ajmalicine and serpentine as the major components. It was shown that plant cells could withstand shear to some extent, and that judicious use of airlift, and low agitation were advantageous. Researchers at Ciba-Geigy AG, Basel, Switzerland, have produced the alkaloid scopolamine from cell cultures of *Hyoscyamus aegypticus* grown in airlift bioreactors.


### ***10.9.1 Technical Aspects of Bioreactor Systems***

Bioreactor studies represent the final step that leads to a possible commercial production of secondary metabolites from plant cell cultures. This is an important phase, as numerous problems arise when scaling up the work realized in Erlenmeyer flasks. For example, growth is considerably modified when cells are cultivated in large tanks, and the production of cell biomass remains a critical point for bioreactor productivity. Here, some of the guidelines for upscaling will be given (Figs. 10.22, 10.23, 10.24).

Despite potential advantages in the production of secondary metabolites in plant cell cultures, other than shikonin, only ginsenosides and berberine are today




**Fig. 10.22** Guidelines for the production of secondary metabolites from plant cells (from Bourgaud et al. 2001)



**Fig. 10.23** Bioreactor types for plant cell, tissue, and organ cultures. **A** Mechanically agitated bioreactors: **a** stirred tank reactor equipped with various propellers (spin, helix, bladed, paddle), **b** rotary drum tank reactor. **C** Air-driven bioreactors: **a** bubble column, **b** concentric tube airlift reactor (IL ALR), **c** external loop airlift

produced on a large scale, and all three processing plants are located in Japan (Hara 1996). The anticancer drug Taxol (registered trademark of Bristol-Myers Squibb) is under consideration for large-scale production (Seki et al. 1995, 1997; Roberts and Shuler 1997).



**Fig. 10.24** Outline of how functional genomics could contribute to enhancing the production of known and novel secondary metabolites in plant cells. *AFLP* Amplified fragment length polymorphism, *GC-MS* gas chromatography–mass spectrometry, *LC-MS* liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry, *MeJA* methyljasmonate, *NMR* nuclear magnetic resonance, *SA* salicylic acid, *SAGE* serial analysis of gene expression (after Oksman-Caldentey and Inze 2004)

Problems are due mainly to mass transfer limitations of oxygen (Jones and Veliky 1981; Hulst et al. 1985), as well as inhomogeneous culture systems that cause cell sedimentation and death. Recent studies have confirmed the low percentage of viable cells (approx. 50%) generally present in such liquid systems, except for the first days of culture (Steward et al. 1999).

Often, another strong limitation of growth is due to plant cell sensitiveness to shear stress, which is responsible for extensive cell death. This lysis is a consequence of the agitation of the culture medium.

Fundamental studies of bioreactors with plant cells involve three important scientific and practical issues related to bioreactor design and operation: (1) assessment of cell growth and product formation; (2) analysis and modeling of the culture dynamics, including the integration of biosynthesis and product separation; and (3) studies of flow, mixing, and mass transfer between the phases, in order to define criteria for bioreactor design and scale up. For a given application, the culture conditions can be optimized with respect to cell support, medium composition and renewal rate, mass transfer of chemical substances, and bioreactor fluid dynamics, in order to define the conditions that are permissive for, or even designed to promote selected cell functions.

Large-scale suspension culture of ginseng cells was first reported by Yasuda et al. (1972). Later, industrial-scale culturing was initiated by Nitto Denko Corporation (Ibaraki, Osaka, Japan) in the 1980s, using 2,000- and 20,000-l stirred tank fermenters to achieve productivities of 500–700 mg/l per day (Furuya 1988;

Ushiyama 1991). This process is considered an important landmark in the commercialization of plant tissue and cell culture on a large scale.

Prenosil and Pedersen (1983), Payne et al. (1987), Panda et al. (1989), and Scragg (1991) reviewed different reactor configurations for plant cell suspensions, plant tissue, and organ cultures (Fig. 10.23). The relative advantages and selection criteria for various reactor configurations were discussed for specific process applications. In particular, bioreactors that integrate biosynthesis with product release and separation were most extensively studied in Japan (Uozumi et al. 1991; Honda et al. 1993).

Numerous modifications of the conventional stirring tank reactor (STR) with bubble aeration have been developed by employing a variety of impeller designs. The controllability and flexibility of the STR, in terms of independent adjustment of mixing and aeration, makes it the most frequently chosen configuration, despite several limitations such as high power consumption, high shear, and problems with sealing and stability of shafts in tall bioreactors. Although membrane reactors and packed bed reactors (Fig. 10.23) are advantageous, in that a large amount of cells can be immobilized per unit volume (see above), diffusional limitations of mass transfer to the immobilized cells, as well as the difficulties in supplying and removing gaseous components can limit the use of both configurations to biotransformations. Airlift bioreactors (ALR) using low-density beads with immobilized cells or enzymes are currently under research for a variety of applications in bioprocess engineering, and they have several advantages over alternate bioreactor designs. Airlift bioreactors combine high loading of solid particles and good mass transfer, which are inherent for three-phase fluidized beds (Fig. 10.23). Efficient mixing in the liquid phase is generated by air bubbles, using internal (IL ALR) or external (EL ALR) recirculation loops (Fig. 10.23).

A typical recovery process involves four separation steps. The starting feed stream contains particulate material that must be removed by, e.g., centrifugation and/or filtration. Dilute solution of the product is then concentrated using, e.g., nonselective separation techniques (ultrafiltration, precipitation, liquid-liquid extraction, and adsorption). The subsequent steps involve a series of purifications to capture the product, and remove trace contaminants. Chromatography, in its various forms (molecular size, charge, hydrophobicity, and molecular recognition), has proved to be the only general separation technique that can simultaneously achieve high purity, retain biological activity, and be scaled up to an appropriate production capacity.

A great deal of attention has been given to methods of capturing products directly from dilute and particulate-laden feed streams, thereby eliminating the need for all concentration steps. Affinity chromatography is such a technique, and in cases where high specificity and sensitivity are required, antibodies are an ideal choice for the separation of biomolecules, because of their high binding strength and selectivity (Birnbaum and Mosbach 1991). Although extremely versatile, packed bed separations (adsorption, absorption, ion exchange, and gel and affinity chromatography) are limited to batch operation, and are not capable of handling cells or particulate material. Continuous separations can be performed using a magnetically stabilized



fluidized bed (MSFB) of ferromagnetic particles, or a mixture of nonmagnetic and magnetically susceptible support. MSFB has the flow and mass transfer properties of a packed bed, in conjunction with the solid phase fluidity of a fluidized bed. Continuous separations can thus be performed by countercurrent contact of solid and liquid phases without significant longitudinal mixing (i.e., in plug flow) at a low operating pressure drop. Recent applications of MSFB in biotechnology include continuous protein recovery, plant cell filtration, and plant cell cultivation.

The synthesis and excretion of secondary products are often coupled, and associated with membrane transport of the product (see above). An artificial accumulation site can therefore reproduce similar transport phenomena *in vitro*. Luckner (1980) indicated that the productivity of plant cells can be improved by integration of biosynthesis and product recovery in the extractive phase. Additional advantages of integrated production and separation include enhanced rates of mass transfer, decreased level of product inhibition, facilitated product recovery, and reduced reaction volume for a given amount of product.

## 10.10 Prospects

With the onset of the 1990s, only Japan, and to a lesser extent, the Federal Republic of Germany were really engaged in the industrial production of secondary metabolites by plant cell cultures.

The only marketed product (as of 1990) remains shikonin. In Japan, seven private corporations have created a common subsidiary in research and development on plant cell cultures. Plant Cell Culture Technology (PCC Technology) has been set up with the support of the Japan Key Technology Centre (JKTC) by Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Mitsui Petrochemical Industries Ltd, Mitsui Toatsu Chemical Inc., Hitachi Ltd, Suntory Ltd, Toa enryo Kogyo Co., and Kirin Breweries Co. Ltd. By contrast, most North American and European companies have not been enthusiastic about the prospects of profitable industrial production (Rajnachapelmessai 1988).



# Chapter 11

## Phytohormones and Growth Regulators

Phytohormones occupy a central position in the regulation of growth, and especially of differentiation of plants in general, as well as in cell and tissue culture systems. Although a wealth of literature exists on the reactions of cell and tissue cultures after a supply of one or the other growth regulator to the nutrient medium, our knowledge of an endogenous hormonal system of cultured cells is rather limited. In analogy to hormones in animals, phytohormones are defined as substances produced in some tissues at certain developmental stages of a plant, and are then distributed by the vascular system, often exerting functions at remote tissues in very low concentrations. Actually, phytohormones are a kind of signal system to coordinate the growth and development of plants. In animal systems, hormone functions are generally rather specific and localized, whereas in plant systems the phytohormones are rather unspecific, and often related to the physiological state, or the position of the target tissue or cells. Here, often a specific reaction can be induced by several of these substances, and a given phytohormone can induce several reactions. In Table 11.1, some examples are given for reactions of intact plants to the application of growth regulators. On the basis of such reactions, phytohormones are usually divided into five groups, i.e., auxins, gibberellins, cytokinins, some gaseous compounds like ethylene, and a group associated predominantly with growth retardation and senescence, such as abscisic acid (ABA), and based on more recent data, jasmonic acid and brassinosteroids, and also salicylic acid. Both jasmonic acid and salicylic acid are important in defense mechanisms in plants. Especially for auxins, but also for cytokinins, many compounds of synthetic origin are available that are inductive to reactions characteristic of the group. A summary of recent developments in phytohormone research in general is given in a number of review articles in "Plant Biology 8, number 3, pp. 277–406" (2006).

The establishment of the phytohormone system involves quite different metabolic areas. Auxins are synthesized from tryptophan, i.e., an amino acid, gibberellins are diterpenes, cytokinins are products of nucleotide metabolism, and ethylene is synthesized from methionine, again an amino acid. The synthesis of abscisic acid originates from diterpene metabolism. Actually, phytohormones could be also classified as products of "secondary metabolism". However, secondary metabolism is characteristic of older cells with low or no division activities, but phytohormones

**Table 11.1** Some reactions of higher plants following the application of growth regulators (+, positive reaction; -, negative reaction)

	Auxins+	Gibberellins	Cytokinins	Ethylene
Root formation	+	-	-	+
Breaking dormancy of storage organs	+	+	-	-
Growth of leaf area	-	-	-	+
Development of leaf buds	-	+	-	-
Internode length	-	+	+	-
Leaf senescence	+	-	-	+
Breaking dormancy of leaf buds	+	+	-	-
Shedding of flowers, fruit	+	-	-	+
Fruit growth	-	+	+	
Fruit ripening	-	-	-	+

are synthesized mostly in younger tissue with high cell division rates, i.e., meristems.

Phytohormones, and to some degree, also synthetic growth regulators occur in cells in (broadly speaking) three forms: first, as free molecules; secondly, as so-called conjugates, in which the compounds are bound to low molecular weight molecules like amino acids or carbohydrates; and thirdly, as molecules bound to high molecular structures (mainly peptides and proteins). Based on current ideas, the free molecules are the physiologically active compounds, whereas the conjugates with small molecules function as inactive transport forms. The molecules bound to high molecular structures could possibly function for immobile and inactive storage in cells, though no clear evidence for this is yet available. This classification is rather schematic, and deviations often occur, especially for cytokinins.

If a growth regulator molecule enters a cell, it either remains as free molecule and functions accordingly, or it will be conjugated with small molecules, or bound to bigger molecules and become inactivated. Eventually, the molecule can be broken down, or it passes unaltered through the cell. All these reactions are catalyzed by enzymes, and consequently the fate of the molecule will be determined by the molecular differentiation of a given cell.

The significance of growth regulators applied with the nutrient medium has been discussed earlier, and will be dealt with later again. Here, reference will be made mainly to the endogenous hormonal system, for which again investigations using carrot cell cultures in the NL medium shall serve as example. Under standard conditions, root explants are cultured in continuous illumination (ca. 5,000 lux). This means that light-sensitive IAA supplied to the nutrient medium will be photooxidized. Autoclaving of the nutrient medium, however, apparently has only small adverse effects on IAA (Table 11.2).

Twenty-four hours after application of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled IAA (side chain labeled), the isotope could be detected in several fractions of the tissue, as well as in the nutrient solution (Table 11.3). The study indicates a fast incorporation of  $^{14}\text{C}$  of the acetate

**Table 11.2** Influence of autoclaving, illumination, and transfer of explants on IAA concentration in the nutrient medium (NL medium, see Table 3.3; Bender and Neumann 1978a)

	µg IAA/100 ml NL
Freshly prepared medium	200.0
Immediately after autoclaving	182.6
After 3 days in darkness	179.2
After 3 days of illumination, without explants	2.7
After 3 days of illumination, with carrot root explants	15.7


**Table 11.3** Balance sheet of IAA in a system of cultured carrot root explants during the first 24 h of culture (µg/g fresh weight; NL medium, see Table 3.3, after Bender and Neumann 1978a)

Parameter	Value
Content in carrot root explants	0.1
Content in culture medium at t <sub>0</sub>	700.0
Uptake by explants	1.0 per hour
Synthesis by explants	2.5 per hour
Total breakdown	2.0 per hour
By photooxidation	1.4
By explants	0.6
IAA concentration in explants after 24 h in culture	1.4

side chain of IAA into basic metabolism. In cultured cells, by far most of the <sup>14</sup>C can be found in the organic acid fraction. Evidently, a large proportion of IAA taken up is broken down by splitting off the acetate side chain. Some breakdown products can apparently be extruded to the nutrient solution. In any case, highest labeling was found in the fraction “acidic indoles”, followed by the organic acid fraction.

In the nutrient medium after 12 days of culture, radioactive labeling was concentrated in two components that could not be detected in the cultures. It appears that these are breakdown products of IAA in the medium. In the tissue “acidic indole” fraction, <sup>14</sup>C in IAA was only second to an unknown component. Other compounds labeled with <sup>14</sup>C in the medium could not be detected in the cultures, and therefore would be the products of destruction of IAA in the medium by photooxidation.

Immediately after initiation of culture, the explants produce ethylene to be excreted to the surrounding atmosphere. It should be some form of “wound ethylene” production, as observed on intact plants upon wounding. About 5 h after transfer of the explants to the culture vessel, a minimum can be observed (Fig. 11.1), followed by a steep increase up to the 6th day of culture. In the subsequent decrease, ethylene production on the 12th day is as low as that observed in the 20th hour. Ethylene production is determined by IAA, and also in cultured carrot tissue an application of IAA to the medium at that stage, and also later, promotes a strong increase in ethylene production (Fig. 11.1). Apparently, despite the low native production level, the ability of the cultures to produce ethylene is maintained during the later periods of culture. At that stage under undisturbed conditions, the endogenous




**Fig. 11.1** Production of ethylene by cultured carrot tissue during a culture period of 28 days  
 I = m-inositol  
 K = kinetin

concentration of IAA is very low, which may be the cause of the low native production of ethylene.

It is interesting to see a correlation between the level of ethylene production on the 6th day, and the growth regulator supplement to the nutrient medium. The highest production was observed for the treatment kinetin/IAA/inositol. At that stage, the growth of all treatments is essentially the same, but during a 4-week culture cycle, this treatment by far exceeds the other two in terms of fresh and dry weight, as well as cell number. This maximum of ethylene production occurs at the end of the lag phase of cell division activity. Still, growth activity during the following log phase seems predetermined already at that stage. Here, also IAA in the tissue is at its maximum, which could be related to the concurrent high ethylene production of the cultures. Apparently, ethylene production is simply an expression of a determination induced by the exogenous growth regulators (see also data on mineral nutrient uptake in Sect. 8.2.2).

In cultured carrot explants, considerable concentrations of 2iPA can be observed (Fig. 11.2). In many plant species, this is considered as a precursor of zeatin. In the carrot system, the 2iPA concentration increases continuously until the 12th day of culture, corresponding to the initiation of the log phase of growth, followed by a decrease. In the treatment without kinetin, the concentration of 2iP is very low throughout the culture cycle. Detailed investigations on the metabolism of cytokinins in the carrot system are not available, but existing data again indicate a correlation between cytokinin concentration, and the growth performance of the cultures.

Also in habituated cell cultures of tobacco, zeatin riboside (up to 230 pM/g f. wt.) and isopentenyl-adenosine, but neither free Zeatin nor iPA were detected. Following an application of auxin, the concentration of the cytokinins is reduced,



**Fig. 11.2** Fresh weight, and concentration of isopentenyladenine (IPA) of cultured explants of the secondary phloem of the carrot root as influenced by kinetin during 4 weeks of culture (NL, see Table 3.3; Stiebeling and Neumann 1987)

indicating a role as regulators of the endogenous hormone system for these growth regulators. A supplement of kinetin, however, increases the concentration of endogenous cytokinins, just as in the carrot system.

All this evidence clearly indicates that cultured cells are able to produce auxins, cytokinins, and ethylene—as discussed before, they are able to establish a characteristic endogenous hormonal system. The question remains, however, as to whether this system is an expression of the growth performance of the cultures, or a system to govern growth of those “meristems”. For further information on this, experiments using inhibitors of the hormones, or mutants with disturbed hormonal pathways of synthesis or function should be performed. Such methods were employed to determine the metabolism of cytokinins of *Arabidopsis* after determination of the nucleotide sequence of DNA. Here, key enzymes are isopentenyltransferases that catalyze the first step to transfer the isopentenyl group from dimethylallylphosphate to ATP, ADP or AMP, and isopentenyl-ATP, isopentenyl-ADP, and isopentenyl-AMP as precursors of active cytokinins (Kakimoto 2001; Takei et al. 2001). In *Arabidopsis*, seven such transferases are expressed in root and shoot tissue. Cytokinin breakdown into adenine and an aldehyde is catalyzed by cytokinin oxidases and dehydrogenases (Houba-Herlin et al. 1999; Morris et al. 1999).

Only scarce information is available on gibberellins in cultured cells. An application of GA3 to carrot cultures was without effect. An application of CCC (chlorocholine chloride), an inhibitor of gibberellin synthesis, reduced growth of carrot cultures considerably. This, however, could not be reversed by an application of GA3 (Table 11.4). This indicates that the missing reaction to a GA3 application is not due to a high native synthesis. Although an application of GA3 to intact carrot plants induces strong changes in growth and development, possibly cultured carrot cells may need one of the many other gibberellins. Another explanation of the reaction to a CCC application could be influences of this compound on parts of metabolism other than gibberellin synthesis. A growth promotion of gibberellins, however, was observed by its application to tobacco shoot explants.

Changes in the hormonal system of cultured petiole explants during somatic embryogenesis have been described in detail in Section 7.3. Here, only a short statement on abscisic acid will be given. Clear differences in the level of concentrations of IAA, and in cytokinin activity were observed in embryogenic and non-embryogenic cultures, as were variations at various stages of embryo development (Table 11.5). The concentration of ABA in embryogenic strains is considerably elevated, compared to that of non-embryogenic strains (Rajasekaran et al. 1987; Tran and Pleschka 2005), as has been described also for carrot cultures. The application of an inhibitor of ABA synthesis (fluoridon) reduces ABA concentration, and a reduction of embryogenic capacity was also observed.

Only few results are available on the uptake of growth regulators by cultured cells. For cultured tobacco cells, the uptake rate of NAA and 6-BA was proportional

**Table 11.4** Influence of GA3 (50 ppm), and chlorocholine chloride (CCC) on the fresh weight of cultured carrot root explants (mg per explant, 21 days of culture, NL medium, see Table 3.3; *I* m-inositol, *K* kinetin)

Nutrient medium	-GA3	+GA3
NL	15	19
NL+I+IAA+K	162	173
NL+I+IAA+K+50 ppm CCC	77	79
NL+I+IAA+K+500 ppm CCC	51	63

**Table 11.5** IAA concentration, and cytokinin activity in cultured petiole explants of two carrot varieties at various stages of somatic embryogenesis. Analytic data were obtained on the same days of culture (for description of embryonic stages, see Sect. 7.3; Li and Neumann 1985)

Variety	Lobbericher, non-embryogenic		Rotin, embryogenic	
	ng IAA/g f. wt.	Cytokinin act. (ng/g f. wt.)	ng IAA/g f. wt.	Cytokinin act. (ng/g f. wt.)
Stage 3, meristematic to embryogenic	146	25.6	524	53.6
Stage 4, four-cell stage	155	0	76	48.0
Stage 5, four-cell to torpedo stage	105	18.0	145	28.4



to the concentration in the nutrient medium. At identical concentrations, the uptake of NAA was 10 times that of 6-BA. As described above for IAA, these two synthetic growth regulators are metabolized shortly after uptake, and only about 10% remains in the free, i.e., biologically active, state (Croes and Barendse 1986). Both regulators are then inactivated by the formation of conjugates of NAA with aspartate and BA as the 7-glucoside. Also unstable indole lactate forms are conjugated with aspartate. It has to be kept in mind that conjugates serve also as transport compounds for growth regulators, possibly from the peripheral cells to the more central core of the explants. Earlier reports indicate a conjugation of IAA with aspartate, from which it can later be released. A conjugation of BA from the medium as 9-riboside, and in the 3- and 9-glucoside was reported for *Gerbera* shoot explants. The 9-riboside seems to be the precursor of the 3-riboside (Blakesley et al. 1986).

Finally, some remarks on hormone autotrophic cultures will be made. Early indications of the existence of such cultures are reports by Gautheret in the 1940s. More recently, the research group of Meins (Hansen et al. 1985, 1987) has refocused on this problem. It was long considered that this autotrophy was due to a higher auxin synthesis of these cultures. Today, the evidence points more to a reduced auxin inactivation, e.g., by peroxidases, as cause of hormone autotrophy. As an example, a sugar beet cell culture strain after 2.4D application exhibits the same level of endogenous free IAA as that of the auxin autotrophic strain cultured without 2.4D. The activity of peroxidases involved in auxin breakdown is reduced in auxin autotrophic (habituated) cultures (Table 11.6). Similar results have been reported for an auxin autotrophic crown-gall system of tobacco. Peroxidase activity was particularly high in the cell wall fraction.

Some preliminary results indicate that, like in intact plants, a diurnal rhythm in the concentration of free hormones exists in cultured cells (Stiebeling and Neumann 1987; Nessiem, unpublished data of our laboratory; see above). As an example, the concentration of cytokinins in the carrot system reaches a maximum at about 6 p.m. (unpublished results of our institute).

**Table 11.6** Comparative investigations on IAA concentration, peroxidase concentration, and the concentration of "auxin protectors" in normal and habituated callus cultures of sugar beet (Coumans-Gilles et al. 1982)

	Normal cultures	Habituated cultures
IAA (ng/g fresh weight)	1,393.00	1,241.00
Peroxidase ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ protein)		
Soluble fraction	1.90	0.73
Membrane fraction	7.27	3.45
Cell wall fraction		
Ionic	62.24	5.10
Covalent	1.50	1.46
"Auxin protectors" (percentage inhibition of IAA-oxidase per 12 min)	91.90	27.00




## Chapter 12

# Cell Division, Cell Growth, Cell Differentiation

The basis of growth and development of all biological systems is cellular growth, cell division, and cell differentiation. Using cell and tissue culture opens up possibilities to investigate influences of nutrients and growth regulators on each of these phenomena, without confounding effects of remote tissue, as would be the case when using intact plants. Such studies should help to understand influences of these factors on cell life in more details. On the other hand, the use of cell cultures for specific aims, like the cloning of plants, production of secondary metabolites, gene technology, or plant breeding, requires a thorough understanding of these phenomena to optimize such procedures. Still, the interpretation of results of many such experiments remains mainly empirical, because of the lack of detailed knowledge of these systems. Attempts to integrate the results of histological, biochemical, and cytological assessments for interpretation of a given system are still quite rare.

In most systems, cellular growth seems to be rather independent of cell division activity. Nevertheless, under identical conditions in many cultural systems there seems to be a close correlation between cell division activity and cell differentiation. Here, also a time factor has to be considered. Cellular growth shall be defined as dry weight increment per cell for a given time unit. At a high cell division rate, expressed as newly formed cells per time unit (or as cells produced per number of cells actively growing per time interval), the average dry weight per cell is usually reduced (Fig. 3.6, Sect. 3.7). This contradicts ideas expressed in botany text books not so long ago—for example, that cell division occurs as soon as a cell reaches a certain size. Apparently, cell division and cellular growth are to some extent regulated independently. Of course, intensive cell division requires a high production rate of cytoplasm and its ingredients, and for realization of one or the other line of cellular differentiation optimal cellular growth is a prerequisite anyway.

As already pointed out for products of secondary metabolism, substances of commercial interest usually accumulate at higher concentration after the transition of the system from highly active cell division, a log phase, to a stationary phase. If secondary metabolism, and its characteristic enzyme complement, is regarded as a result of cell differentiation, to be produced and/or activated during a prolonged G1-phase of the cell cycle (see below), then again a prolongation of the cell cycle at



**Fig. 12.1** Duration of the cell cycle, and of its phases in meristematic cells of *Datura innoxia* and *Daucus carota* as influenced by kinetin

reduced cell division activity is an example of opposite influences on the status of the culture of cell division and cell differentiation, here at a molecular level. Other examples already discussed include the induction of rhizogenesis only after transition of carrot cultures from a log phase of cell division, to a stationary phase with a longer G1-phase, or the induction of somatic embryogenesis in petiole explants after a transfer from a 2.4D-containing medium, which promotes high cell division activity, to an auxin-free medium resulting in a slowing down of cell division activity.

On the basis of characteristic biochemical processes, and the DNA content of the nucleus, the period between two mitoses, the interphase, can be roughly divided into three subphases (see Fig. 12.1). Usually, mitosis (M-phase) is followed by the G1-phase, appearing as a quiescent phase under the light microscope until, in preparation for the next M-phase, a doubling of DNA can be observed in the nucleus, usually lasting for a few hours. This is defined as the S-phase (phase of DNA synthesis). This terminates with twice as much DNA in the nucleus as in the zygote, i.e. 4 C-values can then be found for DNA in the nucleus. Until the initiation of mitosis, again a quiescent period can be observed under the light microscope. These two “quiescent” periods between processes observed in the nucleus, or gaps, are the G1-phase between mitosis and the initiation of the S-phase, and the G2-phase between the end of the S-phase and the initiation of the M-phase. As will be shown later, the passage of a cell population through the cell cycle can be synchronized. Cell growth occurs mainly in the G1-phase—it is the actual working phase of a cell, with RNA and protein synthesis, and other activities. Some RNA and protein synthesis can also be observed during the G2-phase, which, however, mainly seems to serve to prepare for the next M-phase. Associated with cellular growth is molecular differentiation of the cells, as a basis for cytological differentiation. Again, the sequence of all steps is defined as the cell cycle. Variations in cell cycle length for various species, and for two differentiation statuses of tissue (vegetative vs. flowering) can be seen in Table 12.1.

The duration of the M-phase, S-phase, and G2-phase seems to be characteristic for a given species. The duration of the G1-phase, however, seems to be rather specific for the differentiation of various tissues of a plant.

**Table 12.1** Average duration (h) of the cell cycle of vegetative and flowering shoot tip cells. Generally, the cell cycle of flowering shoot tips is shorter than that of vegetative shoot tips. *Epilobium* is an exception (Lyndon 1990)

	Vegetative	Flowering
<i>Triticum</i>	41	22
<i>Secale</i>	50	31
<i>Datura</i>	36	26
<i>Sinapis</i>	157	25
<i>Silene</i>	20	10
<i>Lupinus</i>	48	34
<i>Ranunculus</i>	56	47
<i>Epilobium</i>	45	45

In meristematic tissue in general, about 30% of dividing cells can be found concurrently in roughly the same phase of the cell cycle. To characterize cytological or biochemical processes at each phase in more detail, synchronization has to be enhanced, for which several methods are available. Such methods use controlled changes of light and darkness, temperature shock, or an exact pulsation of cytokinins. A broadly applicable method uses FDU (fluorodesoxyuridine) as inhibitor of the synthesis of thymidine; as monophosphate, this is an essential nucleotide of DNA. This method was preferred in our own investigations. In the absence of thymidine, i.e., its phosphonucleotide, DNA synthesis is blocked, and the cells are not able to pass from the G1 to the S-phase to synthesize DNA. Consequently, cells will “pile up” in the G1-phase. A supplement of exogenous thymidine releases this blockage; the cells will simultaneously enter the S-phase, and this cell population will pass through later stages of the cell cycle synchronized. By this method, a synchronization of the cell cycle of a given cell population reaching 80 or 90% can be achieved, and also maintained for at least one round of cell division. The stage of the cell cycle reached can be determined cytophotometrically by measurements of the DNA content of the nucleus on representative samples (see Chap. 6). Cells in G2-phase contain doubled amounts of DNA (4C). Other systems of synchronization employ the DNA polymerase inhibitor amphidicolin, which blocks cell cycle progression in the early S-phase, or propyzamide, which blocks the cell cycle at early mitosis (Nagata et al. 1992), or others. A review of various methods is given by Planchais et al. (2000).

The exposure time to FDU depends on the total length of the cell cycle. Its duration can be easily determined by double labeling using both  $^3\text{H}$ - and  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled thymidine. As an example, experiments to synchronize *Datura* cultures will be described (Blaschke et al. 1978). In this case, unsynchronized *Datura* cultures were labeled by supplying  $^{14}\text{C}$  thymidine for 2 h, marking S-phase cells. This was followed by co-culture of the cell suspension with  $^3\text{H}$ -labeled thymidine at 2-h intervals. After this, representative samples of cells were obtained at 30-min intervals, and autoradiograms were produced. The occurrence of double-labeled nuclei could be easily traced using an ordinary light microscope. This indicates a completion of the cell cycle from one S-phase to the next. As soon as double-labeled nuclei

occur, the total length of the cell cycle can be calculated (Fig. 12.1). Even in cell cultures growing with a high rate of cell division, 5–10% of cells will leave the cell cycle at each turn, and pass into a G<sub>0</sub>-phase.

Different rates of cell propagation described as being related to differentiation can be due either to variations in the length of the cell cycle, or to differences in the number of cells of a given cell population actively engaged in cell division. A kinetin supplement results in a strong promotion of cell proliferation. In the *Datura* system, e.g., due to a kinetin supplement, cell cycle duration is reduced by 1–2 h, compared to the control without kinetin and growing under otherwise identical culture conditions. This shortening of the cell cycle evolves at the expense of the length of the G<sub>1</sub>-phase (Fig. 12.1). Furthermore, the percentage of cells leaving the active cell cycle to enter a G<sub>0</sub>-phase in the cytokinin-free control amounts to about 30%, compared to about 10% after kinetin supplementation. Consequently, the number of cells entering a G<sub>0</sub>-phase in the slowly growing control without kinetin should be increased, and here the number of cells actively engaged in cell division be reduced. Similar results were obtained for cultured carrot cells (Fig. 12.1). It is difficult to decide which of these two processes—changes in the length of the cell cycle, notably the G<sub>1</sub>-phase, or in the percentage of cells engaged in the cell cycle—is related to the formation of adventitious roots in the kinetin-free treatment, as described elsewhere (Chap. 3). The same question is posed to understand negative influences of a high proliferation rate of cell cultures on the concentration of compounds of secondary metabolism.

To understand the regulation of development in cell cultures in more detail, the relation of some aspects of the cell cycle to differentiation shall be considered. For a start, some data on rhizogenesis in cultured explants of the secondary phloem of the carrot taproot will be discussed as basis. As already shown earlier, a kinetin supplement to a nutrient medium containing IAA greatly increases growth of cultured tissue, mainly by cell division (Linser and Neumann 1968), and concurrently suppresses regeneration, e.g., adventitious root formation. Whereas those explants growing in a hormone-free nutrient medium show, beside growth mainly by cell expansion, also a few cell divisions (data not shown), those supplemented with IAA and m-inositol without kinetin show an approximate tripling of cell number per explant during a 3-week culture period, indicating a clear, though rather small log phase in cell number (Chap. 3, Fig. 3.6).


The samples supplemented additionally with kinetin are characterized by an extensive log phase of high cell division activity, and a decrease in average cell size. Most remarkably, only the treatment containing IAA and m-inositol in the medium shows a differentiation of cells leading to the formation of adventitious roots from 14 days of culture onward. Both other treatments remain as morphologically undifferentiated cell material. Still, after about 4–5 weeks of culture in a medium originally supplemented also with kinetin during a stationary phase of cell division, some roots appeared in some experiments. A similar course of cell number per explant can be observed if kinetin and IAA are replaced by the rather stable auxin 2.4D as the only growth substance, which strongly promotes growth by cell division at 0.2 ppm. Here also the formation of adventitious roots is prevented. Evidently,

some general correlation between the possibility of root formation, i.e., differentiation, and cell division activity seems to exist.

As mentioned before, the number of cells produced per cultured explant during a given unit of time is due either to the number of cells engaged in active cell division, or to the length of time between successive divisions, i.e., the duration of the cell cycle, or both. This could be demonstrated for a kinetin supplement resulting in a ca. 60–90 min reduction in the duration of the cell cycle for *Datura*, as well as for carrot cell cultures. This was due mainly to a reduction of the G1-phase of the cell cycle (see Fig. 12.1; Blaschke et al. 1978; Froese and Neumann 1997). Again, to understand cell differentiation, time has to be considered.

Although much information was lacking at the time, the following rough hypothesis was formulated many years ago to explain the correlation between cell division activity, and differentiation (Neumann 1968; see also Neumann 1995, 2006): after completion of the M-phase, during the G1-phase genetic information is utilized in a hierarchical sequence until this is interrupted at the onset of the S-phase, and it depends on the length of the G1-phase which part of the genetic information potential can be activated during the cell cycle. Within these confines, other mechanisms like substrate activation of enzymes are in operation. Of significance could be the rather recent concept of riboswitches (Sudarsan et al. 2003), originally developed for the regulation of activity of mRNA of microbes (Fig. 12.2). Meanwhile, evidence is available for its occurrence also in higher plants like *Arabidopsis* and *Oryza*. Apparently, binding of small molecules to mRNA brings about allosteric changes in base-pairing near gene control elements, like ribosome binding sites, which could modulate pathways of RNA processing or expression also in eukaryotes. By and large, these non-coding regulatory mRNA domains directly precede the protein-encoding sequence. It is thought that a metabolite-sensing domain recognizes the substrate, and induces a structural rearrangement of the mRNA either to initiate, or to mask the gene expression signal and protein synthesis. The effectors of riboswitches can be metabolites like amino acids, vitamins, nucleotides, key coenzymes (Winkler 2005; Thore et al. 2006), or (as speculation) possibly also growth regulators like IAA or kinetin. The hierarchical activation of genetic information as predicted above possibly provides only the potential that is realized in protein synthesis by such riboswitch mechanisms, according to the general status of the individual cell.

The RNA of a riboswitch contains two functional domains: a metabolite-sensing domain, and a gene-expression signal. These domains adopt interdependent conformations in response to the presence, or absence of a particular metabolite. In the example



**Fig. 12.2** Schematic view of a riboswitch

illustrated in Fig. 12.2, the gene-expression signal is required for the initiation of protein synthesis. When the metabolite is absent, the metabolite-sensing domain adopts a conformation that reveals the gene-expression signal, and enables protein synthesis to occur (indicated by the left star). When the metabolite binds, the ensuing structural reorganization leads to the sequestration of the gene-expression signal, shutting off protein production (indicated by the right star; Reichow and Varani 2006).

In our system, apparently the information related to differentiation is localized later in this sequential activation of genetic information during the G1-phase. The initiation of the S-phase following a kinetin supplement results in the termination of the G1-phase, and consequently the potential to differentiation is here determined by kinetin. In plus kinetin treatments, it seems that the length of the G1-phase is simply not sufficient to activate the information required to bring about root or embryo development (see below). These ideas are illustrated by the following scheme further down.

Reski (2006) describes some aspects of the hormonal system during the cell cycle, and of the two hormone groups particularly involved. The auxin levels remain more or less constant throughout, whereas strong fluctuations occur in cytokinin concentrations. Concentration maxima of cytokinins were observed immediately before the transition from one phase to the next, and minima close to zero in between the phases (Hartig and Beck 2006). The kinetin in the medium in our system apparently simulates such a cytokinin maximum, to prematurely initiate the transition from the G1- to the S-phase. By doing so, the duration of the G1-phase is reduced. This seems to be of no obvious influence for the duration and transition for the other phases of the cell cycle (see Fig. 9.2). In addition to auxins and cytokinins, a third factor in the regulation of the cell cycle seems to be sucrose, which will be dealt with in another context.

**Kinetin, cell cycle phases, and differentiation (“time hypothesis”)**

(\*\*\*\*\*=genetic information in G1, §=transition from one phase to the next)  
 §-----M-----§\*-\*-\*G<sub>1</sub>\*-\*-\*§-----S-----§-----G<sub>2</sub>-----§-----M----  
 Without kinetin: cell cluster, PEMs, rhizogenesis, somatic embryogenesis  
 §-----M-----§\*-\*-\*G<sub>1</sub>\*-\*-\*§-----S-----§-----G<sub>2</sub>-----§-----M----  
 With kinetin: cell cluster, PEMs


The results reported below for cell suspension cultures related to somatic embryogenesis confirm this long-standing “time hypothesis” to some extent. Beside de novo synthesis of proteins, also protein breakdown occurs, and both would form the basis of a specific differentiation status of a given cell at a given time. Possibly also here a hierarchical regulation exists, but the precise mechanism is not yet known. Protein breakdown is related to the activity of the ubiquitin/26S proteasome pathway, in which proteins to be broken down are first phosphorylated by CDKs (cycline-dependent kinases), and then covalently attached to ubiquitins (consisting of about 70 amino acids). These complexes are recognized, and eventually broken down by the proteasome, leaving the ubiquitins intact ready for reuse. Both processes, protein synthesis and protein degradation, could provide for a sequential



changing of the composition of the protein moiety of the cell during its passage through G1.

As discussed above for callus cultures, a higher cell division activity in embryogenic carrot cell suspensions, resulting from a kinetin supplement to the nutrient solution, is associated with a reduction of the duration of the cell cycle by about 1 h (cf. Table 12.1; 12.2; Froese and Neumann 1997), essentially due to a reduction of the duration of the G1-phase. Rhizogenesis, and the development of embryos into plantlets were observed in the carrot system in the kinetin-free medium supplemented with IAA and m-inositol, but in the medium supplemented with kinetin (0.1 mg/l) in addition to IAA and m-inositol, no roots were produced, and embryo development did not proceed beyond the stage of PEMs (pre-embryogenic masses). It was assumed that also here a correlation between the duration of the G1-phase and the regeneration capacity exists. The determination of protein synthesis patterns during the prolonged G1-phase by labeling of proteins using synchronized cultures (FDU/thymidine system, Fig. 12.3) fed with  $^{14}\text{C}$  leucine indicated the synthesis of 132 additional proteins in the minus kinetin cultures, not synthesized

Experimental outline



**Fig. 12.3** Protocol for cell cycle synchronization, and labeling with  $^{14}\text{C}$  leucine in an embryogenic *Daucus* cell suspension

**Table 12.2** Summary of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled protein spots ( $^{14}\text{C}$  from  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled leucine) in soluble protein fractions at various stages of the cell cycle of synchronized carrot suspension cultures. The values between the sampling times indicate either that a given labeled protein spot was missing at the subsequent sampling (-), or that it was an additional one (+), or that it occurred already at the preceding sampling (id.; Fröse 1993; Fröse and Neumann 1997)

		(-/+/id.)		(-/+/id.)	
Kinetic-free treatment					
Duration of labeling	30 min		60 min		120 min
Phase of cell cycle	G1		G1		G1
No. of labeled protein spots	14	(3/117/11)	128	(54/75/74)	149
+0.1 ppm Kinetin					
Phase of cell cycle	(G1) S		S		G2
No. of labeled protein spots	0	(0/43/0)	43	(18/26/25)	51

in the plus kinetin treatment presumably due to the immediate transition from the G1- to the S-phase following thymidine application (Table 12.2).

In both treatments in Table 12.2 in the labeling period 120 min, some proteins are missing that were synthesized in the 60-min period. Those missing proteins have apparently been broken down, and are not re-synthesized. To understand these data in terms of the working hypothesis formulated above, three mechanisms have to be considered, i.e., a mechanism to inactivate translational activity, a mechanism of protein breakdown, and a mechanism to regulate the performance of these in a hierarchical sequence. Whereas many details have recently become known for the former two mechanisms, this is only partly the case for the latter. Here, epigenetic control mechanisms should come into play (DNA methylation, DNA amplification, histone acetylation, and others).

It is assumed that coding mRNAs for these missing proteins are no longer active in the later labeling period, and the genes for these proteins are “closed” to producing new RNA molecules. The iRNA systems certainly play a role in this respect, an aspect that will not be discussed here in detail (for a review, see Xie et al. 2004). This, however, is in agreement with the predicted hierarchically organized sequential activity of genes to initiate the synthesis of stage-specific proteins. To date, two types of small interfering RNA molecules have been identified—micro-RNA (miRNA), and short interfering RNA (siRNA). Both function sequence specifically to suppress or inactivate posttranscriptional RNAs, and both regulate sequence specifically the nucleolytic activity of an RNA-induced silencing complex. Although the activity of siRNAs is related mostly to highly repeated sequences, and retroelements or transposons, reports on a few expressed genes are available (Hamilton et al. 2002; Mette et al. 2002). Another function seems to be to defend plants against virus infections.

The cell cycle is a highly conserved process apparently common to all eukaryotes (for a review, see Dewitte and Murray 2003). In the regulation of the hierarchical variations in the proteome during the G1-phase for protein breakdown, the cyclin system certainly seems to play a central role, consistent with recent, more detailed

findings. These will not be dealt with in depth here, and in addition to the remarks made above, the following should suffice as summary.

Summary of elements related to the Zeithypothese (time hypothesis), as described in the literature:

- Primary homeotic genes produce mRNA to synthesize homeotic peptides of the homeobox (ca. 70 amino acids). It is unclear what initiates the activity of homeotic genes.
- Homeotic peptides bind to DNAs of a family of secondary homeotic genes, presumably to the proper region, or enhancer elements of the target genes. These seem to serve as rather unspecific activators of the genes.
- To induce the synthesis of mRNA of these homeotic genes, transcription factors are required, such as zinc fingers or leucine zipper proteins. Where is the origin of these transcription factors, has to be asked? The proteins synthesized usually undergo some processing. Here, certainly the Golgi apparatus plays a role.
- Later, these proteins have to be broken down again to reach a protein complement specific for the next stage of the G1-phase. The labeling of proteins to be removed is achieved by the CDK, and actual breakdown follows by the proteasome complex.

A review of genes related to the cell cycle and development characterized to date is given by Arias et al. (2006).

- A prolongation of the cell cycle duration by G1 alone is not sufficient to promote differentiation. Similarly to an omission of kinetin, and as an example, in the case of iron deficiency the number of cells produced by the cultured explants per unit time is reduced. However, average cell growth is also retarded, and no root formation could be observed (Neumann 1972). Beside the length of the G1-phase, optimal conditions for cell growth seem to be as important for differentiation, here restricted by iron deficiency.

Within this context, the fate of plastids in cycling cells should be addressed. Based on many investigations that show uncoupling of cell and plastid division, both are commonly considered to be independent processes. In a recent paper, however, a downregulation of members of the pre-replication complex has been demonstrated, and both nuclear DNA replication and plastid propagation seem to be influenced simultaneously (Raynaud et al. 2005).

As mentioned before, no differentiation was observed also in the hormone-free treatment. Here, only about two rounds of cell division occur in some areas of the explant, and then growth takes place only as cell expansion. Cell division activity is also strongly reduced in the treatment supplemented with IAA and m-inositol, compared to the plus kinetin treatment. In this case, however, a short log phase of cell division activity with a reduced duration of the cell cycle was observed in some areas of the cultures. During this log phase, apparently some dedifferentiation takes place in the dividing cells, later to become rhizogenic. This dedifferentiation may result in some reprogramming of the genetic machinery—and, in fact, of the cells in toto. In cells, a continuous protein turnover takes place (see above). If the hypothesis

of the relation between the duration of the cell cycle, and the realization of genetic information has some meaning, then some proteins with coding positions later in the G1-phase, but present at the beginning of this short log phase with high cell division activity, could be removed from the cells by breakdown; due to the reduction of the G1-phase, these would not be replaced by newly synthesized molecules. To which extent RNAi could come into play has been discussed above. If the protein moiety of a cell represents its molecular differentiation status, then this should be responsible for changes in differentiation at the molecular level of cultured cells engaged in active cell division.

Reprogramming of the genetic machinery could be due to a change in DNA methylation (Loschiavo et al. 1989). Also in our system, an increase in methylation can be observed during the log phase of cell division, and only in the stationary phase of cell division was an amplification of some DNA sequences also found, usually associated with de novo differentiation. Here, DNA methylation is reduced again. As was shown later in other studies, this increase in methylation during the log phase of cell division is not directly related to kinetin application, but rather due to the reduction in cell cycle duration (Dührssen and Neumann 1980; De Klerk et al. 1997; Arnholdt-Schmitt et al. 1998). Variation in the amplification of DNA sequences also requires mechanisms of DNA breakdown. Years ago, the occurrence of such “metabolic” DNA was reported in particular for differentiating cells, employing the pulse/chase technique (Schäfer et al. 1978).

A reduction in the duration of the cell cycle at the expense of the length of the G1-phase has also been reported for transgenic tobacco plants (Cockcroft et al. 2000). The transformation consisted in the integration of the cycline *CycD2* originating from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Compared to the wild type control, the rate of cell division was enhanced due to a reduction of the G1-phase, and a faster cycling of the cells was inferred. The overall growth rate was increased at normal size of the meristems. The size of the cells was not affected, and comparable to that of the untransformed control plants. The initiation of leaves was accelerated, as well as the development at all stages; flowering was 9–14 days earlier. As explanation, Cockcroft et al. (2000) proposed a general acceleration of metabolic processes due to genetic transformation during G1, though no biochemical data were made available to substantiate this conclusion. A preliminary, and not really satisfying interpretation of the discrepancy in cell cycle regulation between the carrot system and the tobacco system could be the use of cell cultures for the former, and of whole plants for the latter. At present, it is possibly more useful to ask questions than to forcibly try to give answers.

In summary, during recent years molecular-based investigations on the regulation of the cell cycle of eukaryotes have strongly increased. A generally accepted hypothesis is not available yet. Again as discussed at length above, the basic mechanisms of the cell cycle are apparently highly conserved in all eukaryotes, and important regulators are heterodimeric serine–threonine protein kinases consisting of a catalytic CDK subunit, and a cycline as activator. Apparently, phosphorylation and dephosphorylations of proteins, and consequently changes in binding ability and catalytic properties are important processes in this regulation. These processes

are governed by protein kinases consisting of a cycline and P34 as the catalytic unit. These cyclines occur mainly at the transition between various phases of the cell cycle, and by binding of P34 and the cycline (e30–50 kDa), proteolytic activity is initiated. After the transition, the cyclines are broken down, and P34 is no longer able to act as catalyzer.

In plants, eight different CDKs and 30 cycline genes are currently known, and these act at different stages of the cell cycle. The retinoplastoma protein, which represses the transition from the G1-phase to the S-phase, is phosphorylated and inactivated by a CDK/cycline complex, and DNA replication is initiated. Breakdown of proteins requires phosphorylation for these to be recognized as targets of ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis. Proteasomes are responsible for the degradation of the protein molecules, and these are ATP-dependent; for recognition, polyubiquitination of the protein substrate is required. A summary is given by Yanagawa and Kimura (2005). All this describes cell cycle regulation by removal of proteins, associated with changes in the composition of the proteome. Nothing is said on the activation of the synthesis of proteins *de novo*, and its regulation. Only both processes—protein synthesis, and protein breakdown—define the progression of the cell cycle, and its function in cell division and cell differentiation.

The question arises to which extent the induction of programs of differentiation depends on a prior dedifferentiation of the original tissue brought about by cell division. Also here papers with controversial results can be found in the literature. Culture systems have been described in which direct initiation of a new path of differentiation without prior cell division is possible, as well as those for which a strict requirement for this seems to exist. Examples of the former situation are the differentiation of tracheids from parenchyma cells, or direct somatic embryogenesis of subepidermal cells of cultured carrot petiole explants, and for the latter rhizogenesis of carrot root callus cultures.

Xylogenesis, i.e., the differentiation of tracheid-like cells from parenchyma cells, is extensively characterized for mesophyll cells and protoplasts of *Zinnia elegans* (Kohlenbach et al. 1982). Here, cell culture systems consist of two cell types, one of which can be directly transformed into tracheid elements, whereas the other requires cell division before it can do so. In other culture systems, like *Helianthus tuberosus*, *Helianthus annuus*, and *Raphanus sativus*, cell division is always essential before tracheid differentiation. Using explants of immature tubers of *H. tuberosus*, trachea differentiation is still possible after X-ray irradiation, which inhibits cell division, whereas this is impossible for explants from mature tubers after irradiation. Possibly, the age of cells determines whether a dedifferentiation brought about by cell division is a prerequisite. Younger cells, before reaching a final stage of differentiation, are able to initiate tracheid differentiation directly. Differences in cellular age may possibly also be an explanation for the different reactions of *Zinnia* cells described above. Indeed, *Zinnia* explants were obtained from immature leaves, and here cells of different ages should occur, as in any other young leaf.

In many culture systems xylogenesis requires, beside an exogenous auxin, also a cytokinin supplement. Moreover, ethylene, i.e., native ethylene, should play an

important role. Thus, gazing of cultures with ethylene, or a supplement of ethrel that serves as a synthetic precursor of ethylene, increases the number of tracheid elements differentiated. This can be observed also after application of ACC (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carbonic acid), which is a natural precursor of ethylene, and xylogenesis can be inhibited with some ethylene inhibitors like aminoethoxyvinylglycine,  $\text{Ag}^+$ ,  $\text{Co}^{++}$ , and Na-benzoate. Furthermore, a promotion of xylogenesis can be observed after the application of methionine, from which ethylene synthesis originates naturally (Roberts et al. 1982).

Particularly the significance of growth regulators for the differentiation of tracheids indicates a more direct influence of this group of compounds on development, in addition to their indirect function via influences related to cell division intensity and interphase duration. A maximum of ethylene production can be observed immediately before tracheid formation, and in *Phaseolus*, *Acer*, and *Populus* cultures, this stage coincides with the induction of phenylalanine lyase activity involved in xylane formation.

The investigations on tracheid differentiation discussed above indicate that some predetermination, or competence of cells seems to exist that enables these to "transdifferentiate" (Umdifferenzierung) without prior cell division. Such a suppressed competence to various lines of differentiation, though camouflaged, could also play a role in the production of adventitious roots, shoots, or embryos. In the carrot petiole system, primary root formation is confined to the area between the conductive vessels and the glandular canals, shoot differentiation to the highly vacuolated parenchyma cells, and somatic embryogenesis to a subepidermal cell layer. These differentiation events occur in this sequence. It remains an open question whether the one or other of these events is a prerequisite for the remainder to proceed. As a first indication of cell differentiation, histological investigations reveal an increase in cytoplasm in the original vacuolated cells. After starting the culture, some time elapses before one or the other line of differentiation is realized, during which preparation proceeds for one or the other line of differentiation at the biochemical and cytological level. This state shall be defined as the induction phase.

During the induction phase, the area destined to follow one or the other line of differentiation (as described above) contains originally vacuolated cells now densely packed with cytoplasm, indistinguishable under a light microscope. Still, it can be assumed that the composition of the protein moiety will be different. If cultures are in a nutrient medium containing 2.4D as an auxin, then the development of somatic embryos will be blocked at the stage of pre-embryonic masses (PEMs), associated with a specific composition of proteins and other cell components that will be different from that of the original cells. Only a transfer into an auxin-free medium enables the development into somatic embryos to be completed, with a different composition of cells involved. Apparently, at the end of the induction phase, a control point exists that is regulated by auxins. Embryo development proceeds only after this control point is circumvented in an auxin-free medium, or a medium with low auxin concentration.

The development of embryos from induced cells can be designated as the realization phase. The processes to differentiate root or shoots can not be as clearly

characterized. Still, all observations available to date indicate the existence of control points for these processes, too. Transdifferentiation can be defined in terms of three conditions: the competence of cells to pursue a specific line of differentiation (rhizogenesis, caulogenesis, somatic embryogenesis), its induction, and its realization (see above; e.g., Christianson 1987). A more detailed description of these hypothetical control points can not be adequately provided here.

Suffice it to add that the concept of competence described above is difficult to explain on the basis of today's knowledge. If this concept is generalized beyond cultured petioles, then it can be hypothesized that most living cells of a tissue or an organ are concurrently preprogrammed to follow several lines of differentiation (subepidermal leaf cells/somatic embryos; parenchymatic cells near vascular bundles/root cells). It then depends on their position within the confines of the intact plant, or on other environmental factors which of these possibilities will be realized. In cells of a different tissue, other lines of differentiation could be possible. As already shown by Skoog and Miller (1957) in the 1950s, here the ratio of growth regulators should be one factor involved. For further understanding, more knowledge on the genome organization of higher plants is required, in which also apomixes and similar phenomena should be considered.

The differentiation processes described above for cultured petiole explants were restricted to a specific tissue (direct somatic embryogenesis). As described before, induction of somatic embryogenesis, or of rhizogenesis can, however, occur also in other tissues. In this case, usually a prior phase of rapid cell division is required, i.e., the formation of a callus (indirect somatic embryogenesis; De Klerk et al. 1997). It is during this phase of high division activity that the basis to produce the competence to this new line of differentiation is established. Again also here, first an increase in cell division activity results in a reduction in the length of the G1-phase. If a hierarchical activation of genetic information is assumed to exist (as described above), then the synthesis of proteins positioned later in G1, i.e., in the state now blocked due to the shortening of the G1-phase, and corresponding to the initiation of the S-phase, will be prevented. In living cells, as discussed before a continuous protein breakdown operates; some proteins to be synthesized later in the cell cycle will not be replaced by new molecules if those originally present have been removed by breakdown. Consequently, the daughter cells will have a different protein complement than the mother cell, and if the protein complement is the molecular basis of cell differentiation, then daughter cell differentiation will no longer be identical with that of the mother cell. A transdifferentiation ("reprogramming") occurs for several rounds of cell division, at the end of which could arise the competence to rhizogenesis, or somatic embryogenesis.

All these ideas are quite speculative, and experimental evidence is still lacking for some. Such working hypotheses, however, are necessary to invoke new experimental approaches leading to advanced insight.





# Chapter 13

## Genetic Problems and Gene Technology

### 13.1 Somaclonal Variations


#### 13.1.1 Ploidy Stability

In addition to aneuploidy, long-term cultures commonly show cells with ploidy levels higher than haploidy or diploidy. Such ploidy instability observed in originally haploid or diploid cultures is actually a general characteristic of cell cultures. Cytogenetic stability, however, is a prerequisite for genetic manipulations, as well as for the use of such cultures for breeding purposes. Still, genetic instability also sometimes offers a chance to isolate genotypes with properties important for practical applications, but again the problem of genetic stability of the offspring arises again.

Here epigenetic variations come into play. Following Chaleff, these are defined as reactions of cultures maintained after removal of the stimulus that caused these reactions. By contrast, “normal” physiological reactions cease operation after removal of the stimulus.

The classical method to determine the ploidy level is to count chromosomes at the metaphase of mitosis. Cells in active divisions are mostly ploidy stable, whereas a broad scattering of DNA levels can be observed by cytophotometry in older cell cultures with a low division rate. In this case, reliable chromosome counts would reflect a higher genetic stability and homogeneity than really exist. This can be seen in Fig. 13.1 for a *Datura* cell suspension at the stationary growth phase, in which the amount of DNA was determined by means of cytophotometric measurements (see Chap. 6). Such inhomogeneities occur particularly in cultures of haploid origin. Cytophotometric methods, however, are usually not sensitive enough to detect aneuploidies, or the loss of sections of chromosomes. For this, chromosome analysis is still recommended.

As mentioned before for studies assessing cell-specific DNA contents, the so-called C-value is often used. 1C represents the DNA content of the haploid genome of the species in the G1-phase of the cell cycle, and 2C that of the G2-phase. The 1C-value can be easily obtained by cytophotometric determination




**Fig. 13.1** DNA content in nuclei of cells of haploid and diploid origin in cell suspension cultures: at  $t_0$ , and after the treatment described in Fig. 13.3, at  $t_{28}$  (Kibler and Neumann 1980). X axes: relative units, Y axes: percent of nuclei with a given DNA content

of DNA content in the tetrads for standardization of the method. In carrots, for example, measurable (albeit small) differences in DNA content can be observed between varieties, and therefore it is recommended to perform such measurements for each variety separately.

In the G1-phase of the cell cycle, the DNA content of diploid cells is defined as 2C, and in the G2-phase cells as 4C. In the S-phase, intermediate values are found. Values higher than those defined for the diploid genome can be also observed in cells of intact plants raised from seeds. Moreover, and despite some data scattering particularly for stem cells, comparing the profiles of DNA content of cells of intact plants, and of cell culture systems clearly demonstrates more uniformity in DNA content for the former.


In barley plants raised from microspores, a distinct inhomogeneity can be observed within a plant, with different ploidy levels for the leaves (Fig. 13.2). Under the conditions employed, direct somatic embryogenesis could not be induced. The plants were obtained from a callus produced by anther culture via separate shoot and root differentiation. The root tip of these plants consists of cell



**Fig. 13.2** C-value distribution in various leaves of a barley plant raised from the callus of anther cultures (Forche et al. 1979). X axes: relative units of DNA content in nuclei, Y axes: percent of nuclei with a given DNA content

lines of different ploidy levels (Neumann 1995). This is not the case for plants raised by the “bulbosum” method. The same scattering of ploidy levels can be detected in the callus from which the plant was obtained. Apparently, the root primordia developed from a group of cells within the callus that contained cells of different C-values. In the shoots of these plants, variations in C-values were recorded in the leaves, and infertile flowers were observed. If the initiation of shoot primordia were analogous to that of the root primordia considered above, then these leaf and flower trends would be explained.

These results have important implications. As we know today, plants derived from somatic embryogenesis develop from a single cell (see Sect. 7.3). Therefore, in experiments on the genome, or for use in breeding programs and the like, these plants would be by far preferable to those obtained from callus cultures with separate differentiation of roots and shoots. For some plant species, even nowadays the term recalcitrant is used with respect to the induction of somatic embryogenesis. For these species, the following results could be of help in producing ploidy homogenous material (Figs. 13.3, 13.4).



#### Procedure

1. ca. 5g of plated soft callus material (after 2 weeks subculture) are transferred to 250ml liquid medium (MS + Kinetin) in star flasks or Erlenmeyer flasks

2. Development of a dense cell suspension

3. after 6-7 days sieving of the suspension (250 $\mu$ m). The filtrate is used for further cultivation and the residue is discarded. Some times a second sieving (90 $\mu$ m) is required. Here the material on the filter is used for further processing.

4. Sedimentation of cell material of the 250 $\mu$ m filtrates by centrifugation at 100 g

5. Mixture of the pellets to obtain a concentration of all suspension processed

6. Transfer to fresh medium (MS + 2.4-D + kinetin) at a ratio of 1:5 cell density:  $1 \cdot 4 \times 10^4$ /ml

#### Main culture


7. 21-28 days, 22 °C, continuous illumination at ca. 400 Lux (Erlenmeyer flask or fermenter)

**Fig. 13.3** Procedure to obtain ploidy homogenous cell cultures (Kibler and Neumann 1980)

The protocol is based on two assumptions: first, cells with the lowest ploidy level have the shortest cell cycle duration; and second, in cell suspensions highest cell division activity takes place in small cell aggregates, comparable to the meristematic nests described for callus cultures. High cell division activity is supported by a kinetin supplement, and these cell aggregates are isolated by means of some sieving technique. The end result are ploidy stable *Datura* suspensions maintained for 3–4 weeks (Kibler and Neumann 1980; Neumann 1995). The application of this protocol to barley suspensions of haploid origin with a broad scattering of C-values in several successive subcultures resulted in ploidy homogenous cell material (Fig. 13.4 Neumann 1995).

### 13.1.2 Some More Somaclonal Variations

Following Larkin and Scowcroft (1981), scattering of ploidy levels (as described above), and some other epigenetic variations of cultured cells can be categorized as somaclonal variations. These include mutations, chromosomal rearrangements,



**Fig. 13.4** Distribution of C-values in cell cultures of *Hordeum vulgare* during some subcultures with the application of the procedure described in Fig. 13.3. Until the 5th subculture, a transfer was performed at 4–6 week intervals, and later every 2 weeks. X axes: DNA content in relative units, Y axes: percentage of nuclei with a given DNA content (Kibler and Neumann 1980)

changes in chromosome structure, gene amplification, gene methylations, activation of transposons, exchange of sections of chromosomes, and others.

For a number of plant species (rice, wheat, maize, lettuce, tobacco, tomato, and rapeseed), so-called point mutations have been detected in plants raised from cell cultures. An early review on this topic was published by Scowcroft et al., already in 1987. Plants with such mutations were obtained from the same callus as others free of these, and it was speculated that these mutations were produced during cell

culture. This, however, can not be regarded as a conclusive proof. Usually, an original explant consists of 10,000 to 15,000 cells and more, and one can not exclude the “accidental” existence of cells already mutated in the mother plant, which are later propagated in culture. Here, protoplast cultures and somatic embryogenesis should be a more suitable system.

In higher plants, the fraction of repeated DNA sequences amounts to about 40–60% of the total genome, and some sequences can occur in up to million copies. The fraction “repetitive sequences” with a moderate number of copies includes genes for rRNA. In tissue culture-derived individual plants of *Triticale*, for a ratio of four fragments of an rRNA sequence to each other obtained by application of the restriction enzyme *Tag* 1, quantitative variations were detected by Brown and Lörz (1986). These changes were stable through meiosis. For interpretation of such results, it has to be considered that changes in the number of copies of genes can be also induced by phytohormones, or herbicides (Widholm 1987). It remains unclear to which extent such changes are heritable. Notably, an amplification of some DNA stretches induced by GA3 applications to carrot plants, which resulted in a reduction of the diameter of the taproot, was not inherited.

Already Larkin et al. (1985) described tissue culture-derived plants of 14 species in which changes in chromosome structure were observed. These included deletions, exchange of chromosome sections, isochromosome formations, inversions, DNA amplifications, and others. Furthermore, such changes were observed in 17 of 551 tissue culture-derived hexaploid wheat plants. In 14 plants, aneuploidy of a chromosome was detected, and four plants were euploid. These variations were interpreted as being due to the formation of isochromosomes, or translocations within the genome.

The question has to be raised which are the causes of somaclonal variations, and what makes plants derived from tissue cultures particularly prone to such changes.

The simple conventional understanding of genetic regulation of cellular life can be summarized as: DNA is transcribed into RNA, which acts as template to synthesize proteins, these being responsible for essentially all processes occurring within the cell, or its reactions to the environment. As DNA nucleotide sequences become increasingly known, however, unexplainable inconsistencies with this central dogma appear. This raises questions like why apparently genetically identical twins are not really identical in some ways. Epigenetic factors seem to be responsible for these anomalies, and these may be associated with the so-called junk DNA (see above). It has to be kept in mind that only a few percent of our DNA codes for proteins through mRNA. For a long time, this junk DNA was considered as a byproduct of millions of years of evolution. This could still be true to some extent, but here at least part of the information for epigenetic factors could be localized. Somaclonal variations, often observed in cultured cell material, or embryos derived thereof, can be defined as epigenetic factors, and this may be a valuable system for studying the broad spectrum of epigenetics as such, and its regulation of cells.

During recent years, ever more evidence points to an epigenetic system of regulation of growth and development that seems to control gene activity. This includes

DNA methylation, DNA amplification, histone acetylation, and others without changes in the nucleotide sequence. Changes in this system are also heritable. It remains to be seen whether these are part of a regulatory system above that of the classical DNA/RNA/protein system, or rather largely independent factors related to the classical schema.

As mentioned elsewhere, during callus formation *in vitro* two of these epigenetic factors, i.e., DNA methylation and DNA amplification, were characterized in carrot cultures. These proved to be transient. During the logarithmic growth phase, DNA amplification was decreased and DNA methylation was promoted. This was an indication of a rearrangement of epigenetic factors (or systems) at transfer from the original, rather quiescent root cells, to proliferating callus cells. At the stationary phase often associated with rhizogenesis, methylation was reduced, and the formation of amplified DNA sequences was increased again. Here, a presumably qualitatively different, new epigenetic system would have been established. Changes in methylation can also be induced by growth regulators, notably auxins (Loschiavo et al. 1989; Arnholdt-Schmitt 1993). Repeated elements are known to be preferably methylated (Arnholdt-Schmitt et al. 1995).

During such rearrangements, errors may occur in the reorganization of the epigenetic system, expressed as somaclonal variations. Such somaclonal variations are frequent in transgenic material. Transgenic plants are usually derived from tissue culture systems, and since such epigenetic changes can be heritable, the genome of transgenic plants is often rather unstable. This could contribute to answering the second part of the question posed above.

As answers to the first part of this question, DNA amplifications, transposons, and somatic reorganizations of the genome can be considered. The latter seems to involve mainly changes in polygenic traits. Furthermore, somatic crossing over, exchange of material of sister chromatids, variations in the methylation pattern of DNA, activation or inactivation of genes due to mutations of DNA sequences originally not coding, though associated with coding DNA stretches, and other aspects can be discussed. To date, however, there is insufficient experimental evidence published in the literature pointing to any one mechanism as the cause of somaclonal variations.

The situation is not much different in responding to the second part of the question posed above. As early as the 1970s, D'Amato discussed the high plasticity of the genome of higher plants in tissue culture. Based on the availability of sufficient data already at that time, several mechanisms seemed to be possible, related mainly to the original material from which the explants were obtained. This may contain cells with DNA replications without concurrent division of the nucleus, or eventually the cell. If such cells occur in an explant at the initiation of cell division, then when these cells divide, polyploidy will result. Such DNA extrareplications have been recorded in more than 80% of angiosperms screened. As already described, these can be more or less tissue-specific, and seem to be related to differentiation. In meristematic tissue, they are almost absent. In mature tissue like the *Phaseolus* suspensor, an amplification of DNA without concurrent division of the chromatids results in giant chromosomes (Nagl 1970). Consequently, ploidy homogeneity of

cell cultures would depend at least partly on the developmental status of the tissue used to obtain explants for culture. The use of explants from meristematic areas should yield culture systems showing higher ploidy homogeneity.

Important are also reactions of the nucleus during callus inductions. Here, endoreduplications are often observed, and also unequal nucleus fragmentation due to multipolar spindle formation. As a result, cells with more than one nucleus are produced (D'Amato et al. 1980). Later, cell divisions will be associated with the loss of one or the other chromosome, eventually leading to aneuploidy. As already mentioned elsewhere, phytohormones seem to play a central role in these processes, particularly the auxin/cytokinin ratio.

In general, the variability of the genome increases with the age of cultures. This can be observed mainly for the occurrence of endoreplications without concurrent division of the nucleus, as well as of the cell. The extent of this influence depends on the hormonal supplement to the nutrient medium, but also on nitrogen form and concentration, the osmotic pressure of the medium, and other factors. Thus, which cell type will find optimum conditions under which it can dominate will depend on the composition of the nutrient medium. An example is the influence of kinetin to enrich haploid cells in a suspension originally showing a broad scattering of C-values (see above).

A similar significance can be assigned to changes of the nutrient medium to induce differentiation. Thus, by changing the culture conditions, it is possible to selectively promote growth of certain genomes. By implication, careful genetic characterization, particularly of plant material used for plant breeding, or gene technology is recommended here.



As discussed earlier, somaclonal variation can cause problems for cell culture systems preserving germplasm for long duration through subculturing. These problems can be circumvented by use of various methods of cryopreservation (Sect. 3.6).

As mentioned before, somaclonal variation can be exploited to select germ lines with properties beneficial for one or the other application. Here again the problem of stability of such traits arises. Although some changes in the genome were shown to be heritable, these changes were conferred mostly to areas of low significance for the growth and development of intact plants derived from cell suspensions. Similar to mutations induced by chemical treatments, or by X-rays, somaclonal variations are rather accidental. To improve the yield of crop plants, or the resistance to environmental stresses and attacks of pests, a controlled change of the genome is required. Success depends on understanding the physiological or biochemical basis of the process in the plant to be altered, and its dependence on genetic factors. As will be described later, here gene technology comes into play.

An important aspect in tracking genomic changes induced either naturally as somaclonal variations, or by artificial means such as X-rays is the method of selection. Generally, a positive method is applied through which plants with altered genomes are not, or at least less affected in an environment hostile to the unchanged wild type (Figs. 13.5, 13.6). An example is a stepwise increase of a toxin. Callus cultures, cell suspensions, or meristem cultures are suitable for an induction of mutations. In callus cultures, as well as in meristem cultures, the cells are not all



**Fig. 13.5** Selective growth of barley cultures on an agar medium supplemented with a toxin (photograph by E. Forche)



**Fig. 13.6** Examples of a program to select for mutations

exposed equally neither to the mutagen, nor to the same selection pressure. Consequently, a variable number of cells escape either the one, and/or the other. Plants obtained from such cultures through regeneration of shoots and roots may be chimeras, as described for “ploidy chimeras” above. An alternative could be the use of protoplasts, which can be plated and selected after initiation of culture to be used to produce plants following somatic embryogenesis.

Plating entails the transfer of protoplasts onto agar not yet fully hardened, and through further solidification of the agar, their position is subsequently fixed. The position of individual protoplasts on the plate can be marked, and their further development can be easily observed. Cultures of a given size (100s of cells) can be exposed to the selection pressure. The problems of selections will be addressed again after the discussion of gene technology. A summary of work done on mutations before the advent of gene technology is given by Jacobs et al. (1987).

The discovery of many biochemical pathways in microbes was based on the use of deficiency mutants. Meanwhile, mutants of a few master plant systems, like *Arabidopsis* or rice, are employed for studying the metabolism of higher plants (metabolomics). Details on this are found in the sections dealing with metabolism, and gene technology in this book.

## 13.2 Gene Technology

Gene technology is a term that encompasses a wide range of techniques for genetic analyses based on the direct manipulation of DNA, and the transfer of genes between different species. The increased use of gene technology in biotechnology for numerous categories of common products and other important aspects (pharmaceuticals, food quality, agricultural chemicals, disease resistance, functional food, plant nutrition, etc.), as well as for basic investigations to understand gene regulation has an ever increasing impact on our society. In the medical/pharmaceutical field, biotechnology signifies a dramatic change in the approach to drug discovery, research and development, diagnosis, and disease management. Examples of biopharmaceuticals, i.e., enzymes, or regulators of enzyme activity, hormones, or hormone-like growth factors, cytokines, vaccines, monoclonal antibodies, and gene transfer in humans can be discussed (Ritschel and Forusz 1994).

Farmers, breeders, and scientists have been improving existing species of plants and animals by selective crossbreeding for thousands of years. Gene technology is the latest addition to these breeding techniques. It provides a means of introducing new characteristics into a species not possible by conventional breeding. Because the building blocks of genetic material are the same in all living systems, and many organisms share genes, gene technology increases the pool from which breeders can select beneficial traits from species unrelated to the crop they wish to improve.

Generally, plants have around 30,000 genes, and animals up to 50,000 genes. A gene is a segment of a long chemical polymer called deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). Because the chemical units of DNA are the same in all living systems, it is possible to transfer a gene, and the physical characteristics it controls, from one organism to another without altering other characteristics of that organism, not usually the case when using conventional breeding methods. A transgenic organism carries, in all its cells, the foreign gene that was inserted by laboratory techniques. Each transgenic organism is produced by introducing cloned genes, composed of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) from microbes, animals, or plants, into plant and animal cells. Transgenic technology consists of methods that also enable the transfer of genes between different species. Introducing a new gene into a crop using gene technology is difficult and time-consuming. However, once that gene exists in one variety, it can be transferred to other, related varieties with crossbreeding techniques that have been used by plant breeders for centuries.

Transferring a gene from one source (plants, bacteria, fungi, insects) to another, especially across kingdoms (from an animal to a plant, for example), requires a laboratory step that is often called gene splicing, or genetic modification (GM). The integration of a desired gene into a target organism at present generally is random.

### 13.2.1 Transformation Techniques

There are two common ways of introducing DNA into plant cells—indirect, and direct. In the former approach, scientists make use of microbes that commonly infect

plants, e.g., *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, or a virus. The new piece of spliced DNA is placed into a bacterium or a virus, which acts as a courier to carry the DNA into the plant cell. The new DNA is then incorporated into the recipient cell's own DNA.

The latter approach of introducing a new gene into a plant involves, for example, particle bombardment (gene gun), polyethylene Glycol (PEG), and microinjection. In both approaches, the cells containing the new gene are grown under tissue culture conditions, and after somatic embryogenesis (see Sect. 7.3.) can develop into a fully functional plant, complete with the new, desirable characteristic.

### 13.2.1.1 Direct Gene Transfer

To the *Agrobacterium* system, alternative direct transformation methods have been developed (Shillito et al. 1985; Potrykus 1991) such as polyethyleneglycol-mediated transfer (Uchimiya et al. 1986), microinjection (de la Pena et al. 1987), protoplast and intact cell electroporation (Fromm et al. 1985, 1986; Lörz et al. 1985; Arencibia et al. 1995), and gene gun technology (Sanford 1988). However, *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation has remarkable advantages over these direct transformation methods. It reduces the copy number of the transgene, potentially leading to fewer problems with transgene co-suppression and instability (Koncz et al. 1994; Hansen et al. 1997). In addition, it is a single-cell transformation system, and usually does not form chimeric plants, which are more frequent when direct transformation is used (Enríquez-Obregón et al. 1997, 1998). Two barley transformation systems—*Agrobacterium*-mediated, and particle bombardment—were compared in terms of transformation efficiency, transgene copy number, expression, inheritance, and physical structure of the transgenic loci, using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). The efficiency of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation was double that obtained with particle bombardment. Whereas 100% of the *Agrobacterium*-derived lines integrated between one and three copies of the transgene, 60% of the transgenic lines derived by particle bombardment integrated more than eight copies of the transgene. In most of the *Agrobacterium*-derived lines, the integrated T-DNA was stable, and inherited as a simple Mendelian trait. By contrast, transgene silencing was frequently observed in the T1 populations of the bombardment-derived lines (Travella et al. 2005). Because of genotype-dependent transformation by *A. tumefaciens*, however, the use of ballistic methods for recalcitrant genotypes is sometimes essential.

Still, as mentioned above, the advantages of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of plant tissue are generally a low transgene copy number, minimal rearrangements, and higher transformation efficiency than for the direct DNA delivery techniques (Gelvin 1988; Pawlowski and Somers 1996), as described below.

### 13.2.1.2 *Agrobacterium*-Mediated Gene Transformation

Since the application of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation to monocotyledonous species such as rice, maize, barley, sugarcane, and wheat, and also to animal

cells, as has been recently reported also here the use of *Agrobacterium* is still in central focus. In summary, the main characteristics of the *Agrobacterium* system in these, as well as dicotyledonous species are:


- a rather high frequency of transformation,
- proper integration of the foreign gene into the host genome,
- low copy number of the gene inserted.

This results in most cases in a correct expression of the transgene itself. Because of its significance, it is necessary to give some details of this transformation process.

The first reliable method for plant transformation was based on a pathogen that attacks plants, and causes crown gall disease—formation of galls at the “crown” of a plant. The organism that causes this disease is *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, a soil-borne plant pathogenic bacterium. The galls are produced at the site of infection, and consist of a mass of undifferentiated cells, also known as tumors. *Agrobacterium* produces these tumors by transferring a piece of its DNA (T-DNA, transferred DNA) into the plant. This is a natural transfer of DNA from a prokaryote into an eukaryote. Plant transformation mediated by *A. tumefaciens* has become the most commonly used method for the introduction of foreign genes into plant cells, and the subsequent regeneration of transgenic plants.

The first evidence indicating this bacterium as the causative agent of crown gall goes back 100 years (Smith and Townsend 1907). Since that time, a large number of researchers have focused on the study of this neoplastic disease, and its causative pathogen, and this for various reasons. During the first and most extensive period, scientific effort was devoted to disclosing the mechanisms of crown gall tumor induction. Then, in the 1970s, pathogenicity transferred between bacteria via conjugation, and evidence of plasmid involvement was investigated, and finally in the 1970–1980s the Ti (tumor-inducing) plasmid was characterized. It was apparent to researchers working with *A. tumefaciens* that this gram negative soil bacterium co-opted normal plant cell metabolism by leaving a small portion (the transfer DNA, or T-DNA) of its Ti-plasmid in the genome of an infected plant cell. Several research groups realized that if the genes normally present within the bacterium T-DNA were to be replaced with other genes, then one could obtain expression of the new genes in plant cells. However, the bacterium infected only one, or a few cells, and so not all cells of a plant harbored the new genes flanked by the T-DNA. Consequently, it was necessary to include a selectable marker in the T-DNA, so that only those cells that had taken up the engineered T-DNA, including the selectable marker, could be identified and allowed to grow.

When interacting with susceptible dicotyledonous plant cells, virulent strains of *A. tumefaciens* and *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, another pathogen, have the exceptional ability to transfer a particular part (T-DNA) of their large plasmid, the Ti-plasmid (Fig. 13.7, >250 kb), into the nucleus of the infected cell, where it is then stably integrated into the host genome, and transcribed. This induces the diseases known as crown gall (*A. tumefaciens*) and hairy roots (*A. rhizogenes*). Two types of genes are responsible for tumor formation: the oncogenic genes, encoding for enzymes involved in the synthesis of auxins and cytokinins, and additionally T-DNA contains genes encoding for the synthesis of opines. These compounds,



**Fig. 13.7** Ti-plasmid of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*

produced by the condensation of amino acids and sugars, are synthesized and excreted by the crown gall cells, and consumed by *A. tumefaciens* as carbon and nitrogen sources. The synthesis of the opine form is dependent on the bacterial strain; e.g., for plasmids of C58 chromosomal background, it is the nopaline synthase that is responsible for nopaline formation from arginine, and it is agropine for strain EHA 105 with TiAch5 chromosomal background (Roger et al. 2000).

**Mechanisms of gene transfer mediated by *A. tumefaciens***

The process of gene transfer from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* into plant cells can be considered in terms of several steps, as follows:

- Infestation of bacteria
- Induction of the bacterial virulence genes
- Generation of the T-DNA transfer complex
- Integration of the T-DNA complex into the plant genome.

An essential, and also the earliest step in tumor induction is the infestation of plant cells by *A. tumefaciens* after its attachment to the plant cell surface (Matthysse 1986). Non-attaching mutants show a loss of the tumor-inducing capacity (Cangelosi et al. 1987; Thomashow et al. 1987; Bradley et al. 1997).

The polysaccharides of the *A. tumefaciens* cell surface are proposed to play an important role in the colonization of, and also during the interaction with the host plant. The gene responsible for successful bacterium attachment to the plant cell is located at the chromosomal 20 kb locus.

Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) are an integral part of the outer membrane, and include the lipid membrane anchor, and the antigen polysaccharide. *A. tumefaciens*, like other plant-associated Rhizobiaceae bacteria, produces also capsular polysaccharides (antigens) lacking lipid anchor, of strong anionic nature and tightly associated with the cell. There is some evidence indicating that capsular polysaccharides may play a specific role during the interaction with the host plant. In the particular case of *A. tumefaciens*, a direct attachment of wild type bacteria to plant cells was observed.

This attachment region is composed of at least six essential operons (*virA*, *virB*, *virC*, *virD*, *virE*, *virG*), and two nonessential ones (*virF*, *virH*). The number of genes per operon differs Tzfira & Citovsky (2008).

*VirA* is a transmembrane dimeric sensor protein that detects signal molecules, mainly small phenolic compounds, released from wounded plants (Pan et al. 2003). The signals for *VirA* activation include acidic pH, phenolic compounds, such as acetosyringone (Winans 1992), and certain classes of monosaccharides that act synergistically with phenolic compounds (Ankenbauer and Nester 1990; Cangelosi et al. 1990; Shimoda et al. 1990; Doty et al. 1996). *VirA* protein serves as periplasmic, or input domain (important for monosaccharide detection), and two transmembrane domains act as a transmitter (signaling) and receiver (sensor; Chang and Winans 1992; Parkinson 1993). One of these transmembrane domains corresponds to the kinase domain, and plays a crucial role in the activation of *VirA*, phosphorylating itself (Huang et al. 1990; Jin et al. 1990a, b) in response to signaling molecules from wounded plant sites.

*VirG* functions as a transcriptional factor regulating the expression of *vir* genes when it is phosphorylated by *VirA* (Jin et al. 1990a, b). The C-terminal region is responsible for the DNA binding activity, while the N-terminal is the phosphorylation domain, and shows homology with the *VirA* receiver (sensor) domain.

The activation of *vir* systems also depends on external factors like temperature and pH. Virulence capacity is reduced by high temperature (exceeding 32°C), because of a conformational change in the folding of *VirA* (Jin et al. 1993; Fullner et al. 1996). This indicates that the temperature for co-culture is crucial for genetic transformation. Actually, it should be between 21 and 28°C.

The activation of *vir* genes results in the generation of single-stranded (ss) molecules representing the copy of the bottom T-DNA strand. Any DNA placed between T-DNA borders will be transferred to the plant cell as single-stranded DNA, and integrated into the plant genome. The proteins *VirD1* and *VirD2* play a key role in this step, recognizing the T-DNA border sequences and nicking (cf. endonuclease activity) the bottom strand at each border. After endonucleotidic digesting, the rest of the *VirD2* protein covalently attaches to the 5' end of the ssT strand. This association prevents exonucleolytic attack to the 5' end of the ssT strand (Dürrenberger et al. 1989), and distinguishes the 5' end as the leading end of the T-DNA transfer complex. T-DNA strand synthesis is initiated at the right border, and it proceeds in the 5' to 3' direction until the termination process takes place. The left border may also act as a starting site for ssT strand synthesis, but the efficiency is much lower (Filichkin and Gelvin 1993). Inside the plant cell, the ssT-DNA complex is targeted to the plant nucleus after passing through the cell- and nuclear membranes. For the export of *VirE2* to the plant cell, *VirE1* is essential. *VirE2* contains two plant nuclear location signals (NLS), and *VirD2* one (Bravo Angel et al. 1998). This indicates that both proteins probably play important roles once the complex is in the plant cell, mediating the complex uptake into the nucleus (Herrera-Estrella et al. 1990; Rossi et al. 1993; Tinland et al. 1995; Zupan et al. 1996). *VirD2* and *VirE2* also ensure that the DNA is efficiently transported mammalian into the nuclei.

The final step of T-DNA transfer is its integration into the plant genome. The mechanism involved in the T-DNA integration has not yet been fully characterized.

It has to be considered that integration occurs by some illegitimate recombination (Gheysen et al. 1989; Lehman et al. 1994; Puchta 1998). According to this model, pairing of a few bases, known as microhomologies, are required for a pre-annealing step between the T-DNA strand coupled with VirD2, and plant DNA. These homologies are very low, and provide only a minimum specificity for the recombination process by positioning VirD2 for the ligation. The 3' end, or adjacent sequences of T-DNA find some low homologies with plant DNA, resulting in the first contact (synapses) between the T strand and plant DNA, and forming a gap in the 3'-5' strand of plant DNA. Displaced plant DNA is subsequently cut at the 3'-end position of the gap by endonucleases, and the first nucleotide of the 5' attaches to VirD2 pairs with a nucleotide in the sense (5'-3') plant DNA strand. The 3' overhanging part of T-DNA, together with the displaced plant DNA are digested either by endonucleases, or by 3'-5' exonucleases. Then, the 5' attached to the VirD2 end, and the other 3' end of the T strand (paired with plant DNA during the first step of integration process) join the nicks in the anti sense plant DNA strand. Once the introduction of the T strand into the 3'-5' strand of the plant DNA is completed, a torsion followed by a nick into the opposite plant DNA strand is produced. This situation activates the repair mechanism of the plant cell, and the complementary strand is synthesized using the earlier inserted T-DNA strand as template (Tinland et al. 1995).

The import of DNA into mammalian nuclei is generally inefficient by this system. The *Agrobacterium* virulence proteins VirD2 and VirE2 perform important functions for the transport into nuclei. The reconstituted complexes consisting of the bacterial VirD2, VirE2, and single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) in use in vitro for import into HeLa cell nuclei (Ziemienowicz et al. 1999) are inefficient. The import of ssDNA requires both VirD2 and VirE2 proteins, and a VirD2 mutant lacking its C-terminus nuclear localization signal is inefficient.

The system described above was efficiently performed by plant cells. Here it was shown that VirE2 can be a protein without ssDNA, to be able to be imported into cell nuclei. The smaller ssDNA required only VirD, whereas the import of longer ssDNA additionally required VirE2. RecA, another ssDNA binding protein, could substitute for VirE2 in the nuclear import of T-DNA, but not in earlier events of T-DNA transfer to plant cells (Ziemienowicz et al. 2001).

The first records on transgenic tobacco plant expressing foreign genes appeared at the beginning of the last decade, although many of the molecular characteristics of this process were unknown at that time (Herrera-Estrella 1983; Potrykus 1991). Since that crucial turn in the development of plant science, a great progress in understanding of the *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer to plant cells has been achieved. However, *A. tumefaciens* naturally infects only dicotyledonous plants, and many economically important plants are monocots, including cereals, and remained inaccessible for genetic manipulation for a long time.

*Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer into monocotyledonous plants was not possible until recently, when reproducible and efficient methodologies were established for rice (Cheng et al. 1998), banana, corn (Ishida et al. 1996), wheat (Cheng et al. 1997; Rasci-Gaunt et al. 2001; Wu et al. 2003), sugarcane (Enríquez-Obregón et al. 1997, 1998; Arencibia et al. 1998), and barley (Tingay et al. 1997; M.B. Wang et al. 1998, 2001; Murray et al. 2001).

Many factors, including plant genotype, explant type, *Agrobacterium* strain, and binary vector, influence the *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of monocotyledonous plants. Inoculation and co-culture conditions are very important for the transformation of monocots. For example, antinecrotic treatments using antioxidants and bactericides, osmotic treatments, desiccation of explants before or after *Agrobacterium* infection, and inoculation and co-culture medium compositions had influences on the ability to recover transgenic monocots. The plant selectable markers used, and the promoters driving these marker genes have also been recognized as important factors influencing stable transformation frequency. Extension of transformation protocols to elite genotypes, and to more readily available explants in agronomically important crop species will be the challenge of the future. Further evaluation of genes stimulating plant cell division or T-DNA integration, and of genes increasing the competency of plant cells to *Agrobacterium* may improve transformation efficiency in various systems (Cheng et al. 2004).

In this book, we present updated information about the mechanisms of gene transfer mediated by *A. tumefaciens*, and assessments for the application of this method to the transformation of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants, as examples using barley and carrots, respectively, and as practiced in our own research program (see later).

Transformation is currently used for the genetic manipulation of more than 120 species of at least 35 families, including the major economic crops, vegetables, fruit trees, as well as ornamental, medicinal, and pasture plants (Birch 1997), based on *Agrobacterium*-mediated, or direct transformation methods. The number of GM plant species increases continuously. The argument that some species cannot accept the integration of foreign DNA in their genome, and lack the capacity to be transformed can not be accepted, in view of the increasing number of species that have already been transformed; however, the establishment of an efficient tissue culture system still forms the basis for genetic manipulation.

As mentioned above, efficient methodologies for *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer have been established mainly for dicotyledonous plants. To extend these to monocotyledonous plant species, it is important to account for critical aspects in the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-plant interaction, and the cellular and tissue culture methodologies developed for these species. The suitable genetic material (bacterial strains, binary vectors, reporter, marker genes, and promoters), and the molecular biology techniques available in the laboratory are necessary considerations for selection of the DNA to be introduced. This DNA must be able to be expressed in the plants, enabling the identification of transformed plants in a selectable medium, and using molecular biology techniques to test and characterize the transformation events (for a review, see Birch 1997).

The optimization of *A. tumefaciens*-plant interaction is probably the most important aspect to be considered. It includes the integrity of the bacterial strain, its correct manipulation, and the study of reactions in wounded plant tissue, which may develop into a necrotic process in the wounded tissue, or affect the interaction and release of inducers or repressors of the *Agrobacterium* virulence system. The type of explants is also important, and must be suitable for regeneration, enabling



the recovery of whole transgenic plants. The successful establishment of a method for the efficient regeneration of one particular species is crucial for its transformation.

It is recommended to work firstly on the establishment of optimal conditions for gene transfer, through preliminary experiments on transient gene expression using reporter genes (Jefferson 1987), like the “green fluorescent protein” (GFP; Tsien 1998; Chalfie and Kain 1998), DSRED Lux, and Bax. The proapoptotic protein Bax can serve as rapid gene answer by fast-killing of plant cells (Eichmann et al. 2006; Technologie-Lizenz-Buero (TLB) der Baden-Wuertingenschen Hochschule GmbH, Germany). This is supported by the fact that *Agrobacterium*-mediated gene transfer is a complex process, and many aspects of the mechanisms involved still remain unknown. Transient expression experiments help to identify also the explants that may be used as targets for gene transfer, providing definitive evidence of successful transformation events, and correct expression of the transgene.

Preliminary studies also include the use of histologically defined tissues of different explants, and regeneration of whole plants, preferably by somatic embryogenesis (Sect. 7.3). Transient expression experiments may be directed to the regenerable tissue and cell. Optimization of transient activity is a futile exercise if experiments are conducted on non-regenerable tissues, or under conditions inhibiting regeneration, or altering the molecular integrity of the transformed cell. As mentioned before, *Agrobacterium*-mediated transfer introduces a smaller number of copies of foreign DNA per cell than is the case for particle bombardment or electroporation, but high efficiencies of stable transformation may be obtained even from cells without positive results in transient expression assays.

These aspects are important in establishing an appropriate transformation procedure for any plant, particularly for those species categorized as recalcitrant. Cereals, legumes, and woody plants, which are difficult to transform or still remain untransformed today, can be included in this category. Many species originally considered for this category have nevertheless been transformed in recent years.

### **13.2.2 Selectable Marker Genes**

Production of transgenic plants usually requires the use of selection marker genes, which enables the selection of genetically modified cells, and their regeneration into whole plants. For this reason, genes coding for antibiotic resistance are frequently used. These genes have no intentional function in the genetically modified organism, and no agronomic or other value in agriculture.

Current methods of generating transgenic plants employ a “selectable marker gene”, which is transferred together with any other gene of interest usually on the T-DNA between its borders. The presence of a suitable marker is necessary to facilitate the detection of genetically modified plant tissue during its development.

There are two types of marker genes:

1. Selectable markers to protect the organism against a selective agent, based on antibiotic resistance; herbicide tolerance, and metabolic marker genes.
2. Markers for screening. A marker for screening will make cells containing the gene “look” different.

The most frequently used marker genes in GM plants are the kanamycin resistance gene (neomycin phosphotransferase II, NPT II) from the bacterial transposable element Tn5, and the hygromycin resistance gene associated with hygromycin phosphotransferase (hpt). Hygromycin B and kanamycin are inhibitors of RNA translation.

Herbicide-tolerant marker genes are screenable selectable markers, which makes the identification of transformed progeny efficient and fast. The Bar and pat genes have been widely used as selectable markers for plant transformation, and are ideal for large- or small-scale screening to identify transformants that can easily be done in the greenhouse or in the field. Also herbicide screening has been used successfully to identify transformants. Transgenic cells and plants expressing the pat (phosphinotricin acetyltransferase isolated from soil bacteria) gene are able to degrade the herbicide agent phosphinotricin (glufosinate). This gene is resistant to the herbicides Basta, Bialaphos, and Ignite.

Metabolic marker genes are:

- 2-DOG system

2-deoxyglucose is a plant growth inhibitor. Transgenes (2-deoxyglucose-6-phosphate-phosphatase) can grow on medium containing 2-Dog.

- Palatinose system

Usually, plant cells are not able to assimilate palatinose. Therefore, if this is the carbohydrate source in the medium, no growth occurs. On the other hand, the transgenes containing palatinase can grow on medium containing palatinose, by converting it into fructose+glucose.

- Mannose system

Mannose can not be metabolized in plants. Transgenes with mannose-6-phosphate-isomerase can grow on medium containing mannose.

### 13.2.2.1 Reporter Genes

In order to identify transformed cells or plants that have been growing on a selective medium, it is necessary to have an easily assayable reporter gene. The most useful reporter genes encode an enzyme activity not found in the organism being studied. A number of these genes are currently being used (cf. uidA, GFP, DsRED, Luc, LuxA/LuxB).

Green fluorescent protein (GFP)

In addition to fluorescent stains, also fluorescent proteins are used. The most prominent of these is the green fluorescent protein (GFP; Chalfie et al. 1994; Tsien


1998; Chalfie and Kain 1998). Chalfie and Tsien received the 2008 Noble Prize in Chemistry for this discovery. A general overview of this topic is given by Tsien (1998). This rather unusual molecule was detected in the 1960s in the luminescent jellyfish *Aequorea victoria*. The protein emits a green fluorescence in UV light. In modified forms, it has been used to make biosensors, and many animals have been created that express GFP as a proof of concept that a foreign gene can be expressed throughout a given organism. Reporter plants carrying GFP are used to analyze cytological events, or to localize selected proteins. GFP is coupled to the gene to be analyzed. In most cases, the target protein is not disturbed, and GFP can be used as a chemical “flash light”. The position of the protein to be investigated can be localized by use of a fluorescent microscope. This provides the possibility not only to localize the protein, but also to gain a fair estimation of its concentration. Additionally, it is possible to analyze new “switches” for genes, i.e., promoters, and their activation in an organism. These very interesting properties have been used to analyze many physiological and biochemical problems. Meanwhile, beside GFP, some other fluorescence proteins, like DsRed of corals, have become known and are being used in molecular biology.

### 13.2.2.2 Variants of GFP

As mentioned above, the green fluorescent protein (GFP) has become an invaluable tool for pure and applied biological research. The inert nature of the protein, and the many potential uses of GFP, including the possibility to observe the behavior of proteins in living cells, were quickly recognized, and triggered the development of novel GFP variants with improved characteristics. An intensive search was initiated for other autofluorescent proteins, which fluoresce at different wavelengths than that of GFP. Mutagenesis of the wild type gene yielded improved variants such as enhanced GFP (EGFP), as well as color variants such as the cyan (CFP) and yellow (YFP) fluorescent proteins. A recent paper has described a family of fluorescent proteins related to GFP. The most useful of these newly discovered proteins is DsRed, which is derived from the coral *Discosoma*. DsRed has an orange–red fluorescence with an emission maximum at 583 nm. It has a high quantum yield, and is photostable. These characteristics make DsRed an ideal candidate for fluorescence imaging, particularly for multicolor experiments involving GFP and its variants. A codon-optimized version of DsRed is now available under the name DsRed1. The red fluorescent protein DrFP583 (DsRed) is the first true monomer, mRFP1, derived from the *Discosoma* sp. fluorescent protein (Geoffrey et al. 2000; Bevis and Glick 2002).

#### Dendra

The first representative of a new class of photoactivatable fluorescent proteins that is capable of pronounced light-induced spectral changes is the monomeric variant Dendra from octocorals (*Dendronephthya* sp., red activatable), suitable for protein



**Fig. 13.8** Single-cell expression of a BAX gene from a mouse induces cell death in barley. *A* Confocal laser scanning whole-cell projection of barley epidermal cells transiently expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) as control. *B* The barley epidermal cell expressing BAX shows arrest of cytoplasmic streaming, and induced fragmentation of the cytoplasm already 10 h after transformation (courtesy of Dr. Eichmann)

labeling. Dendra is capable of 1,000- to 4,500-fold photoconversion from the green to the red fluorescent states in response to either blue or UV light. It demonstrates high photostability of the activated state, and can be photoactivated by a common, marginally phototoxic, 488-nm laser line. The suitability of Dendra for protein labeling, and to quantitatively study the dynamics of fibrillarin and vimentin in mammalian cells is recommended (Gurskaya et al. 2002).

#### Proapoptotic protein Bax

For promoter studies based on rapid gene answer by the fast killing of the plant cell, the proapoptotic protein Bax also seems suitable (Eichmann et al. 2006; Technologie-Lizenz-Buero der Baden-Wuerttembergischen Hochschule GmbH, Germany). Figure 13.8 shows collapsed barley epidermal cells expressing Bax protein. Here, the leaves were transformed, via ballistic delivery of expression vectors, into single epidermal cells of barley leaf segments, according to a transient transformation protocol originally developed for wheat (Schweizer et al. 1999). Both GFP and the Bax gene were under control of the CaMV35S promoter.

### 13.2.3 $\beta$ -Glucuronidase (*GUS*)

The *E. coli*  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (*GUS*) is one of the most popular reporter gene currently in use. The protein has a molecular weight of 68,200, and appears to function as a tetramer. It is very stable, and will tolerate many detergents, widely varying ionic conditions, and general stress. It is most active in the presence of thiol-reducing agents such as  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, or DTT. It may be assayed at any physiological pH, with an optimum between 5.2 and 8.0. The *GUS* gene, like the GFP, can be used in gene fusion. This means that the *GUS* coding sequence is under the direction of the controlling sequence of another gene.

*Agrobacterium* containing some *GUS* plasmids show significant *GUS* activity. This seems to be due in part to read-through transcription from the gene in which the *GUS* coding region might be located. *Agrobacterium* without these constructs

shows little, if any detectable GUS activity. In order to solve this problem, one laboratory has constructed GUS genes carrying an intron, which has to be processed before expression takes place. This totally eliminates expression in any untransformed system (Vancanneyt et al. 1990).

### 13.2.3.1 Procedures for Assay of GUS Gene Expression

#### Histochemical assay

The best substrate currently available for the histochemical localization of  $\beta$ -glucuronidase activity in tissues and cells is 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronide (X-Gluc; see Table 13.1). The substrate works very well, giving a blue precipitate by incubation at 37°C for 8–16 h at the site of enzyme activity. There are numerous variables that affect the quality of histochemical localization, including all aspects of tissue preparation and fixation, as well as the reaction itself.

The product of glucuronidase action on X-Gluc is not colored. Indeed, the indoxyl derivative produced must undergo an oxidative dimerization to form the insoluble, highly colored indigo dye. This dimerization is stimulated by atmospheric oxygen, and can be enhanced by using an oxidation catalyst such as a  $K^+$  ferricyanide/ferrocyanide mixture. Without a catalyst, the results are often very good, but one has to consider the possibility that nearby peroxidases may enhance the apparent localization of glucuronidase.

Fixation conditions will vary with the tissue, and its permeability to the fixative. Glutaraldehyde can be used; it does not easily penetrate leaf cuticle, but is immediately available to stem cross sections. Formaldehyde seems to be a more gentle fixative than glutaraldehyde, and can be used for longer periods of time.

Whole tissues, callus, suspension culture cells and protoplasts, or whole plants or plant organs can be stained, but the survival of stained cells is not certain. After staining, clearing the tissue with 70% ethanol seems to improve the contrast in many cases.

**Table 13.1** Compositions of X-Gluc buffer for GUS assay (10 ml; store at -20°C after filter (0.2  $\mu$ ) sterilizing)

Component	Amount
N,N-Dimethylformamide	10–15 drops
X-Gluc	10 mg
0.1M Phosphate buffer ( $KH_2PO_4/K_2HPO_4$ ), pH 7.0	9.8 ml
5 mM potassium ferricyanide	100 $\mu$ l
5 mM potassium ferrocyanide	100 $\mu$ l
Triton X-100	10 $\mu$ l

### Fluorometric assay

Fluorometry is preferred over spectrophotometry because of its greatly increased sensitivity, and wide dynamic range. Although spectrophotometric substrates for GUS are available, GUS activity in solution is usually measured with the fluorometric substrate 4-methylumbelliferyl-b-D-glucuronide (MUG). The assay is highly reliable, and simple to use.

### ***13.2.4 Antibiotics Resistance Genes (ABR genes, restricted use recommended)***

On 2 April 2004, a group of experts of the European Union (GMO panel of the European Nutritional Office EFSA) responsible for security problems in “green technology” accepted a report on the use of antibiotics-resistant marker genes in gene technologically transformed plants. These experts declined a general prohibition, and recommended a differential and cautious use. The use of some antibiotics-resistant markers would be prohibited, and the use of some others would be restricted. For the nptII marker gene employed in most transformed plants, no changes were recommended.

Horizontal gene transfer from a transformed plant into microorganisms is very unlikely; still, the experts considered this a possibility. The frame of considerations was set by accepting the notion, as basis, that even in the case of such an unlikely horizontal transfer, human and environmental health would not be negatively influenced. The following criteria were applied:

- The medical significance of an antibiotic, its present use in human and veterinary medicine, and its efficiency to control some infectious diseases
- The natural distribution of antibiotics resistances in microorganisms of soil and water, but also in the digestive systems of humans and other mammals.

The experts recommended a release of transformed organisms into the environment only if they contain ABR marker genes occurring at large under natural conditions, and inhibiting the action of only those antibiotics not in use in medicine.

As any other group of experts of EFSA, the GMO panel consists of independent and highly esteemed scientists. This group of scientists will usually be consulted in granting permission to grow transformed plants in the EU, and present scientific recommendations. Decisions, however, are made by the political institutions—EU Commission, EU Counsel, and EU Parliament. Not all antibiotics resistance genes are similar. The GMO panel of EFSA categorizes antibiotics-resistant genes into three groups.

#### Group 1

These are ABR genes occurring at large in natural microbial communities. These antibiotics have either no, or very limited significance for human or veterinary

medicine (nptII gene for kanamycin resistance, or hph gene for hygromycin resistance).

Example: nptII gene: this gene was abundantly used for many years to label transgenic plants. It was originally isolated from a transposon (jumping gene). It transmits resistance to several antibiotics, e.g., kanamycin, or neomycin. These are used only on patients not able to tolerate any other antibiotics. Kanamycin can have strong side effects.

For marker genes of this group, it is assumed that their use in transgenic plants will have no influence on the group's already existing distribution in the environment. The EFSA experts recognized no arguments to restrict the use of these ABR genes. It was recommended to permit unlimited use of GM plants carrying these ABR marker genes for field experiments, as well as for commercial farming.

## Group II

It is assumed that marker genes of this group used in transgenic plants would hardly have an influence on their present distribution. If an influence on the health of humans and animals exists, it would only be small. The EFSA experts recommended using these markers only in field experiments, but not in crops for the market. ABR genes are widely distributed in natural populations of microorganisms. Antibiotics are still prescribed to control specific diseases. To these belong the ampr gene (resistance to ampicillin), the aadA gene (resistance to streptomycin), and the Cmr gene (resistance to chloramphenicol).

Example: ampr gene: this gene transmits resistance to the antibiotic ampicillin. It originates from *E. coli* bacteria, and is used in approved transgenic plants (Bt 176 corn). Generally, ampicillin is only rarely prescribed, to cure certain infectious diseases.

## Group III


These are ABR genes transmitting resistance to antibiotics of great significance in controlling diseases in humans. Even if the effect of these antibiotics is not impaired by use in GM plants, this is not approved as a precaution, and the use of these genes should be avoided. The EFSA panel recommended that GM plants with such marker genes should be used neither for experimental purposes, nor for commercial production.

Example: Npt gene: this gene transmits resistance to the antibiotic amikacin, an important storage antibiotic effective in controlling a number of infectious diseases.

In the near future, a prohibition to use antibiotics in transgenic plants can be expected.

One alternative could be the use of genes of D-amino acid-oxidase (dao1; Erikson et al. 2004) as selection markers:

I. D-Alanine and D-serine



where  $\beta\text{-NADH}$  is  $\beta$ -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, reduced form, and  $\beta\text{-NAD}$  is  $\beta$ -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, oxidized form.

II. D-Valine and D-isoleucine do not affect plants, but are metabolized by dao1 into keto acids, which inhibit plant growth.

### 13.2.5 Elimination of Marker Genes

Production of transgenic plants usually requires the use of selection marker genes, which enables the selection of genetically modified cells, and their regeneration into whole plants. For this purpose, as discussed above, genes coding for antibiotic resistance are frequently used. However, there is no agronomic, or other value of the selection marker genes for the use of transgenic plants in agriculture. The presence of antibiotics resistance markers in transgenic plants intended for human or animal consumption may also be a cause of concern. Fears have been expressed that such genes may be transferred horizontally to microorganisms of the gut flora of man or animals, leading to the spread of antibiotics resistances in pathogenic microorganisms. Though extensive studies have failed to detect a measurable risk of this occurrence, many biotechnologists view the negative publicity related to the presence of unnecessary marker genes as sufficient reason to warrant their removal.


We present some common methods for the elimination of undesirable marker genes, which have already been successfully used in plant and animal cells.

#### 13.2.5.1 Cre-lox Recombination-Based Systems

A group of site-specific recombinase enzymes that catalyze recombination at the specific target sequences have received considerable attention for the manipulation of heterologous genomes *in vivo*. Of these, the recombinase protein from bacteriophage P1 (Cre is a 38 kDa) mediates intramolecular (excisive or inversional), and intermolecular (integrative) site-specific recombination between loxP sites (locus of X-ing over); it consists of two 13-bp inverted repeats separated by an 8-bp asymmetric spacer region (see review by Sauer 1993).

One molecule of Cre binds per inverted repeat, or two Cre molecules line up at one loxP site. The recombination occurs in the asymmetric spacer region. Those






**Fig. 13.9** General strategy for the excision of selectable marker genes. Between two identical sequence motives (*R*) that are recognized by a site-specific recombinase, the selectable marker gene is inserted into the transformation vector, and used for the selection of transgenic plant cells. After expression of the corresponding recombinase, the marker gene is excised from the plant genome. Alternatively, recombination between the homologous overlaps could also result in marker gene elimination

eight bases are also responsible for the directionality of the site. Two loxP sequences, in opposite orientation to each other, invert the intervening piece of DNA, and two sites of same orientation dictate excision of the intervening DNA between the sites, leaving one loxP site behind. This precise removal of DNA can be used for the elimination of an endogenous gene, or activation of a transgene (Fig. 13.9).

The advantage of this system is the automatic elimination during seed production—for example, when a seed-specific promoter is used. The following generation should therefore be marker gene-free. Homologous recombination is efficient in chloroplasts (Corneille et al. 2001).

### 13.2.5.2 Ac/Ds System

The Nobel Prize winner Barbara McClintock in 1949 challenged the thesis that genes remain fixed at particular sites in a chromosome. She showed that genes can change their position, and can move to other chromosomes. These “jumping genes”, or “transposons”, occur in many organisms. This phenomenon plays an important role in biology, because it contributes to the genetic variability of organisms. The transposons that have been most comprehensively characterized are those of the “Ac/Ds family”. A transposon contains a gene for a particular enzyme (Ac transposase, activator element), which recognizes certain signals (Ds sequences, dissociation element) in the DNA, cuts pieces out of the DNA at these points, and reintegrates these into the genetic material at a different, unpredictable site. This ability can be exploited to remove undesirable marker genes from genetically modified plants (Fig. 13.10).



**Fig. 13.10** Separation of two DNA sections after integration in the plant genome. The *Ac* transposase separates the gene construct at the sites annotated *D* (arrows). Then, the gene between the *D* sequences is moved to a different part of the genome, and integrated there at random. As soon as the marker and target genes are located on different chromosomes, they are separate

The marker-free GMP can be then selected by progeny segregation. The advantage of this system is not only to unlink the marker gene, but also to create a series of plants with different transgene loci from one original transformant, which is particularly highly valued if recalcitrant plants have to be transformed. This repositioning enables expression of the transgene at different genomic positions, and consequently at different levels. However, as segregation of the transgene and marker are required, and transposons tend to jump into linked positions, this approach is definitely more time-consuming than Cre-lox recombination-based systems.


### 13.2.5.3 Double Cassette System

The selectable marker in the transgenes also prevents retransformation with additional genes with the same selection procedure. Two co-transformation approaches are comparable: one *Agrobacterium* strain with one plasmid bearing two T-DNAs (double cassette), and two *Agrobacterium* strains each with one plasmid (one with the target gene, and one with the selection gene). It is thus desirable to create marker-free transgenic plants. This was successfully achieved in tobacco; rice, and barley with binary “double cassette” vectors, which carry two separate cassettes on the same plasmid, each bracketed by a left and a right T-DNA border sequence (Fig. 13.11; Komari et al. 1996; Slafer et al. 2002). One cassette contains the gene encoding  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (*uidA*), and the other a hygromycin or kanamycin resistance gene.

Both T-DNA segments were co-transferred with a frequency of about 50% in the plants mentioned above. As the two cassettes were frequently inserted into different chromosomes, or chromosome arms, the resistance gene segregated independently from the marker gene in the T2 generation.

#### Concluding remarks

*Agrobacterium tumefaciens* is more than only the causative agent of crown gall disease affecting dicotyledonous plants. It now is also the natural system for the introduction of foreign genes into plants, enabling its genetic manipulation. Similarities have been found between T-DNA and conjugal transfer systems. They are evolutionally related, and apparently evolved from a common ancestor.



**Fig. 13.11** Double cassette binary vector

Although the gene transfer mechanisms remain largely unknown, great progress has been made in the practical implementation of transformation protocols for both dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants. Particularly important is the extension of this single-cell transformation methodology to monocotyledonous plants. This advance has biological and practical implications. Firstly, there are advantages of *A. tumefaciens*-mediated gene transfer over the direct transformation methods, which originally were the only available for genetic manipulation of economically important crops such as cereals and legumes. Secondly, it has been demonstrated that T-DNA is transferred to dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants by an identical molecular mechanism. This confirmation implies that potentially any plant species can be transformed by this method, if a suitable transformation protocol is established.

For better understanding of gene technology, a few examples of our own research program will be described.

### ***13.2.6 Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation in Dicotyledonous Plants***

The *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation protocols differ from one plant species to the other, and within species, from one cultivar to the other. Therefore, the optimization of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation methodologies requires the consideration of several factors that can be determined in the successful transformation of one species. The optimization of *Agrobacterium*-plant interaction in competent cells from different tissues, and the development of a suitable method for regeneration from transformed cells are needed.

In this book, we present the carrot as model for genetic manipulation in a successful establishment of a routine protocol for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of dicotyledons. Here, we have optimized the integration rate of a desired gene into the plant genome.

The basis for a successful production of transgenic carrot plants, as an example for dicots, has been formed by lengthy experience in the field of somatic embryogenesis and cell cycle synchronization, as well as extensive work on the differentiation and DNA organization of this species. One example will be the integration of a surface protein of hepatitis B (SHBs), another the integration of a second gene for phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPCase; Sect. 9.2.2), *Hordeum vulgare* Bax inhibitor 1 (HvBI-1), and some attempts to target foreign genes (ROL genes; see Sect. 7.3) for integration into the genome and gene studies related to plant pathogen resistance. For monocots, the transformation of barley will be described.

### 13.2.6.1 Transgenic Carrot: Potential Source of Edible Vaccines


Transgenic plants have a high scientific and economical potential for the production of foreign proteins of biomedical importance. Since the early 1990s, it has been shown that transgenic plants could express viral and bacterial antigens, with preservation of their immunogenic properties. Such plants could therefore serve as an inexpensive vaccine, because it would not be necessary to make a large capital investment into a production facility for a vaccine. These vaccines stimulate the immune response at the mucosal level, and thus would be particularly effective against diseases. For example, enough antigens for one dose of hepatitis B vaccine could be produced in unprocessed plant material at a cost of US\$ 0.005. This advantage of plant-derived vaccines is important, because it can lead to a much less complicated vaccine development decision-making process. This represents an alternative for the production of vaccines against infectious diseases of worldwide importance, e.g., hepatitis B. Moreover, transgenic plants expressing sufficient levels of antigens bear the potential of oral delivery of antigenic proteins as edible vaccines, if the antigen is resistant against the gastric passage.

The hepatitis B virus (HBV) is distributed worldwide, with an estimated 350 million persistent carriers (Maynard et al. 1986). HBV infections are responsible for a high proportion of the world cases of cirrhosis, are the cause of up to 80% of all cases of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and are directly responsible for more than one million deaths each year (report of WHO meeting, 1993). Major pathways for transmission of HBV include parental exposure to blood or other infective body fluids, transmission from mother to infant, as well as sexual transmission. In order to minimize HBV infections, large-scale immunization is required (Chen et al. 1988, 1996). Current hepatitis B vaccines contain the major, or small hepatitis B virus surface protein (SHBs), and are produced in transgenic yeast. Vaccines may also be produced in genetically engineered higher plants. There are several reports on transgenic plants producing biomedically relevant molecules, such as antibodies in tobacco plants (Mason et al. 1992, 1996; Tsuda et al. 1998), and potatoes (Ehsani et al. 1997). Furthermore, a plant-derived edible vaccine against HBV expressed in lettuce and lupine has been reported (Kapusta et al. 1999). More recently, successful clinical tests on humans, using raw potatoes after incorporation of SHBS, have been carried out (Thanavala et al. 1995). For vaccination purposes, however, HBV surface proteins should preferably be produced in an edible plant that is easy to store and to


transport, and that can be consumed without cooking. Thus, carrots (*Daucus carota* L., ssp. *sativus*) seem to be very suitable for the production of HBV vaccines.

For production of major hepatitis B virus surface protein, we linked the MAS promoter to SHBs. In carrot cell suspensions, as well as in roots of mature transgenic carrot plants, the hepatitis B virus surface protein was produced (Imani et al. 2002; Figs. 13.12, 13.13).

Mannopine synthase (*mas*) promoter of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* is a strong inducible promoter, active in roots and leaves, as well as in callus of carrot (Imani



**Fig. 13.12** HBV surface protein expression detected by HBsAg-specific ELISA. Proteins extracted from cells, as well as from the nutrient solution of transgenic carrot suspension cultures were subjected to HBsAg ELISA. The sample extracted was 2 g fresh weight in 1,000  $\mu$ l buffer, of which 100  $\mu$ l was used for the test. Again, 100  $\mu$ l of nutrient medium was used



**Fig. 13.13** HBsAg expression in callus cultures (liquid media) derived from the secondary phloem of taproots of transformed carrot, as influenced by the application of 11.4  $\mu$ M IAA. In addition to the DNA for the virus protein, the transgenic plants contain the auxin-sensitive MAS promoter. NL3: liquid medium according to Neumann (1966, 1995). 1 NL3 for 3 weeks of culture in an IAA (11.4  $\mu$ M) and kinetin (0.45  $\mu$ M) liquid medium; 2 as 1, but after 2 weeks of culture 11.4  $\mu$ M IAA was additionally supplemented to the liquid medium for 1 week; 3 non-transformed carrot; 4 positive control (Fa. DADE Behring, Germany); 5 negative control (Fa. DADE Behring, Germany)

et al. 2002) and tobacco, and induced by wounding, auxins, and cytokinins (Langridge et al. 1989). Because of its inducibility by exogenous application of auxins, we tested its suitability for engineering HBsAg in carrot. The HBV concentration depends on the form of application of NAA to enhance the activity of the MAS promoter, and the production of HBsAg. The following experiments using callus cultures are encouraging.

As control for the function of an additional application of an auxin in the production of the viral protein, callus cultures were initiated in explants of the secondary phloem of the taproots of transgenic and of untransformed carrot plants of the same developmental stage in a liquid medium (Steward et al. 1952; Neumann 1995). The cultured explants were bathed in the nutrient medium containing IAA; consequently, the effect of the auxin on the production of the viral protein is much higher than after an application to intact plants growing in soil (Fig. 13.13).


Production levels of SHBs antigen in carrot, tobacco, tomato, banana, and other plants were compared. Expression of SHBs was reported to be rather low, at 25 ng/g f. wt. in carrot (Kumar et al. 2007).

In order to reach high transformation rates as a basis for strain selection, an effective method to transform carrot suspension cells is required. The percentage of cells successfully transformed by *Agrobacterium* is usually very low. In this report, we show that in carrot (*Daucus carota* L., ssp. *sativus*) cell suspension transformation efficiency was strongly improved by using cell cycle synchronized cells (Chap. 12). Fluorodeoxy-uridine (FDU, Sigma, Germany) was added for 24 h to inhibit thymidine synthesis. This blocked the cell cycle at the transition from the G1- to the S-phase. Then, the block was released by applying thymidine. A high rate of transformation was obtained when *A. tumefaciens* was added concurrently with thymidine. As examples of efficient and long-term foreign gene expression in transgenic cells, the reporter enzyme  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (GUS) was used as model. The GUS gene was linked to an inducible mannopine synthase promoter (MAS) from the Ti-plasmid of *A. tumefaciens*. In carrot cell suspensions containing the gus gene, the corresponding GUS protein was produced. In roots of mature transgenic carrot plants generated via somatic embryogenesis and raised in soil, as well as in callus cultures derived thereof, the GUS protein was also produced (Imani et al. 2002).

Here, a synchronization of the cell cycle strongly promoted transformation. From the cell suspension, we regenerated transgenic carrot plants following somatic embryogenesis. Carrot cell suspensions were first transformed with a MAS::GUS construct, which was used as control for the functioning of the system. Based on the experience gained in this model system, the SHBs gene was successfully introduced.

### 13.2.6.2 Cell Culture and Transformation Procedures

The B5 medium (Gamborg et al. 1968; Sect. 3.4) for carrot cell suspensions originally derived from cultured petiole explants was supplemented with 2.26  $\mu$ M 2,4-D (dichlorophenoxy acetic acid) to promote cell division. For synchronization of the cell cycle, an FDU/thymidine system was employed, as described earlier (see




**Fig. 13.14** Schematic representation of the pGE2 MAS::GUS binary vector. Downstream of the auxin-inducible promoter MAS (mannopine synthase), the reporter gene GUS (glucuronidase) was inserted

Sect. 7.3, and Fig. 12.1; Blaschke 1977; Blaschke et al. 1978; Neumann 1995; Froese and Neumann 1997). By these means, 80–90% of the dividing cultured carrot cells can be synchronized. In short, to arrest cycling cells at the transition from G1- to the S-phase, fluorodesoxyuridine (FDU,  $10^{-6}$ M) was added to the nutrient solution for 24 h to inhibit the synthesis of thymidine, and consequently of DNA replication. Thereafter, the cells were transferred to a fresh nutrient medium supplemented with  $10^{-5}$ M thymidine to initiate the transition of the synchronized cultures from the late G1-phase into the S-phase for DNA replication.


In order to make use of an advantageous preferential integration of foreign DNA into replicating DNA, a suspension of *A. tumefaciens* containing the plasmid pGE2 with the GUS construct (Fig. 13.14) was simultaneously supplied to the synchronized carrot cell suspension, together with thymidine. After 48 h of co-culture, cefotaxime (500  $\mu$ g/ml) was added to remove excess *Agrobacterium* (Fig. 13.15).

After transformation, the cells were either subcultured in a B5 medium, again with 2.26  $\mu$ M 2.4D for proliferation, or transferred into a hormone-free medium to enable the development of somatic embryos (Li and Neumann 1985). The germinated embryos were raised to intact plants growing in soil. Integration of foreign genes into the carrot genome was confirmed by genomic southern blotting (Imani et al. 2002).

The integration efficiency for GUS was determined by the X-Gluc reaction, resulting in the production of a blue color proportional to the number and expression activity of transformed cells. Comparing the intensity of the color in the cultures shown in Fig. 13.16, it is evident that the integration of the MAS::GUS



**Fig. 13.15** Flow sheet indicating the integration of cell cycle synchronization in the experiments on genetic transformation



**Fig. 13.16** Influence of cell cycle synchronization (FDU/thymidine system) on the expression of foreign gene (MAS::GUS). As indicator, X-Gluc was used as substrate. A Unsynchronized control; B application of *A. tumefaciens* containing the construct 24 h after thymidine supplement; C simultaneous application of *A. tumefaciens* containing the genetic construct together with thymidine to initiate the S-phase (equals 100%). The expression of  $\beta$ -glucuronidase was quantified by a densitometric procedure with the software program SIS

constructs is greatly enhanced by adding *A. tumefaciens* simultaneously with thymidine to initiate DNA replication.

Adding the bacteria 24 h after the application of thymidine results in a markedly reduced reaction, indicating a lower level of transformation, probably due to loss of cell cycle synchronization, and a reduced number of cells passing through the S-phase. Quantification of transgene expression with densitometric techniques shows a fourfold increase in gene expression of synchronized cell cultures, compared to unsynchronized cultures (Fig. 13.16). In such transformed cell suspensions, the development of somatic embryos was initiated (see Sect. 7.3). The embryos were then raised to intact plantlets, and transferred to soil in the greenhouse. After approximately 3 months of culture in soil, in various parts of the plants a blue color evidently indicated the long-lasting expression of  $\beta$ -glucuronidase.

Optimization of transformation and protein extraction techniques in our laboratory, followed by the screening of several transgenic cell lines, revealed significant



differences in expression levels of proteins of interest. This helped to increase the concentration of SHBs protein to 15 µg/g in carrots (Ph.D. Thesis of H. Lorenz, 2006). Thus, transgenic carrots may have a high potential for the production of various oral vaccines.

Based on this method, *Cyclamen persicum* Mill. embryogenic cell suspension cultures were transformed. A high rate of transformation was obtained when *A. tumefaciens* was added concurrently with thymidine (Imani et al. 2007). The regeneration of transformed cyclamen plants via somatic embryogenesis has been described by Winkelmann et al. (2000).


### 13.2.6.3 Uses of Transgenes to Increase Host Plant Resistance to Plant Pathogens

As is known, an infection by plant pathogens leads to plant cell death as self-protection (programmed cell death, PCD), which should inhibit the spreading of fungi within the plant. PCD is a mechanism to remove aged, unwanted, damaged, or infected cells from multicellular organisms. It is under genetic control, and must be tightly regulated to avoid false ultimate decisions and diseases. In the interaction of plants with pathogenic microbes, PCD appears to play different roles depending on the lifestyle of the disease-inducing agent. Among cell death regulator proteins, only a few are structurally and functionally conserved across eukaryotic kingdoms. One of these PCD regulator proteins is the BAX inhibitor-1 (BI-1), an endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein that can suppress diverse kinds of PCD. BI-1 controls heterologous BAX-induced cell death, hypersensitive reaction, and abiotic stress-induced cell death in plants (reviewed by Hüchelhoven 2004).

Grey mold caused by *Botrytis cinerea* is a severe disease for many dicotyledonous crop plants, and it also occurs as post-harvest disease in carrots. Owing to its necrotrophic lifestyle, *B. cinerea* destroys plant tissue by secretion of hydrolytic enzymes, host-nonspecific toxins, and reactive oxygen species (ROS; Von Tiedemann 1997; Gronover et al. 2001; Tenberge et al. 2002; Siewers et al. 2005). The species is difficult to control by chemical means, because pesticide resistance occurs rapidly in fungal populations (Staub 1991). *B. cinerea* might be a target for genetically engineered resistance.

To restrict pathogenesis of the necrotroph *Botrytis cinerea* (carrot root pathogen), we generated the carrot (*Daucus carota* ssp. *sativus*) cultivar Rotin expressing HvBI-1 protein under control of constitutive CaMV35S and inducible MAS promoter (Imani et al. 2006). From the corresponding lines, we regenerated plants by tissue culturing and somatic embryogenesis, under selective conditions according to Imani et al. (2002). For pathogen challenge, excised leaves from 7–8 week old plants were inoculated with agar blocks containing *B. cinerea* (strain 7890).

Symptom development on excised leaves was examined in three independent experiments. Symptom development progressed slowly until 4 days after inoculation. However, runaway spreading of leaflet necrosis, and overgrowth by grey mold started on wild type leaves as of the 5th day after inoculation. Symptom



**Fig. 13.17** Grey mold disease progress on different carrot genotypes. *A* Wild type carrot leaves inoculated by agar blocks (*arrow heads*) along the central leaf axis. *B* CaMV35S::HvBI-1 carrot leaves at 21 days after inoculation. Diseased leaflets per composite leaf were counted on ten inoculated leaves 21 days after inoculation. A significant difference was observed from wild type at both times of evaluation (Student's *t*-test,  $p < 0.01$ ) Imani et al. 2006

development progressed until 4 weeks after inoculation, when the leaves were necrotic, and overgrown by the fungus (Fig. 13.17).

Together, HvBI-1 can delay, or prevent diseases caused by hemibiotrophic and necrotrophic fungal pathogens in carrots. This supports the assumption that factors promoting biotrophic fungal growth concurrently cause inhibition of fungi with a necrotrophic lifestyle phase. To address the problem that overexpression of PCD inhibitors in plants might confer undesired side effects on biotrophs, one strategy could be expression of BI-1 under control of a necrotrophy-specific and/or a tissue-specific promoter.

### 13.2.7 *Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation in Monocotyledonous Plants*

#### 13.2.7.1 Generation of Transgenic Barley Plants

Recent work on *Agrobacterium*-mediated genetic transformation of monocotyledonous plant species has focused on the use of the so-called super-binary vector systems, i.e., binary vectors carrying a DNA fragment from the *A. tumefaciens* virulence region (Komari et al. 1996; Torisky et al. 1997).


Using highly virulent strains of *Agrobacterium*, and improved vectors, much progress in the transformation efficiency of cereals has been made (Tingay et al. 1997; M.B. Wang et al. 1998, 2001; Murray et al. 2001; Rasci-Gaunt et al. 2001; Wu et al. 2003). Nowadays, quite routinely applied transformations of cereals are still rather time-consuming and cost-intensive. For transformation, the strongly constitutive ubiquitin and CaMV35S promoters are used. At present, a shortage exists of suitable regulatable promoters for the expression of defense-associated genes (Stuiver and Custers 2001).

For constitutive overexpression and for tagging expression, we cloned a functional cDNA fusion of the green fluorescing protein (GFP) and HvBI-1 into the

binary vector pLH6000 (<http://www.dna-cloning-service.de/lh-vectors.htm>; DNA Cloning Service, Hamburg, Germany), maintaining the original cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (CaMV35S). For barley transformation, pLH6000 CaMV35S::GFP, as well as pLH6000 CaMV35S::GFP-HvBI-I were then introduced into *A. tumefaciens* strain AGL1 (Lazo et al. 1991). GFP-BI-1 fusion protein accumulates in nuclear membranes (Matthews et al. 2001; Deshmukh et al. 2006).

The barley cultivar Golden Promise was grown in a growth chamber, or in the greenhouse at 22°C, 60% relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 16 h (150  $\mu\text{mol/s}$  per  $\text{m}^2$  photon flux density). Stable genetic transformation of barley was performed as described by Tingay et al. (1997; see flow sheet in Fig. 13.18, and Table 13.2).


Twelve to 14 days after anthesis, immature kernels were surface sterilized for 3 min with 70% ethanol, and 20 min with a sodium hypochlorite solution containing 3% active chlorine, followed by rinsing 3 times with sterile distilled water. Excised immature embryos were then infected with *A. tumefaciens*. The callus induced grew on Murashige and Skoog medium containing 50 mg hygromycin B/l



**Fig. 13.18** Flow sheet indicating *Agrobacterium*-mediated genetic transformation of barley immature embryo, and their regeneration into the whole plant

**Table 13.2** Different culture media used for barley transformation

Component	Amount
Barley callus induction medium (1 l)	
MS stock (Duchefa M0221)	4.3 g
CuSO <sub>4</sub> × 5H <sub>2</sub> O	1.2 mg (5 μM)
Maltose	30 g
Thiamine-HCl	1 mg
Myo-inositol	250 mg
Casein hydrolysate	1 g
L-Proline	690 mg
Dicamba	2.5 mg
pH: 5.9, filter sterilization	
Phytoagar	5 g
Barley shoot induction medium (1 l)	
MS stock (NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> -free)	2.7 g
CuSO <sub>4</sub> × 5H <sub>2</sub> O	1.2 mg (5 μM)
NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	165 mg
Maltose	62 g
Thiamine-HCl	0.4 mg
Myo-inositol	100 mg
Glutamine	150 mg
BAP (benzylamine purine)	1 mg
pH: 5.6, filter sterilization	
Phytoagar for liquid medium	5 g
Barley root induction medium (1 l)	
MS stock	2.15 g
CuSO <sub>4</sub> × 5H <sub>2</sub> O	0.6 mg (2.5 μM)
Maltose	15 g
Thiamine-HCl	0.5 mg
Myo-inositol	125 mg
Casein hydrolysate	0.5 g
L-Proline	345 mg
pH: 5.9, filter sterilization	
Phytoagar for liquid medium	5 g



**Fig. 13.19** A GFP protein accumulates mainly in the nucleus of epidermis leaf cells of barley. B GFP-BI-1 fusion protein accumulates in the nuclear membrane of epidermis leaf cells. C GFP-BI-1 fusion protein accumulates in the nuclear membrane of root cells of barley

(Roche, Germany). Established calli were then subcultured on regeneration medium supplemented with 25 mg hygromycin B/l, until rooted plantlets could be transferred to soil. Timentin (150 mg/l) was applied until tests for the presence of bacteria proved negative. The GFP reporter was visualized with either a standard fluorescence microscope, or the confocal laser scanning microscope TCS SP2 AOBS (excitation: laser line 488 nm, emission: 500–540 nm; Leica Microsystems, Bensheim, Germany; Schultheiss et al. 2005; Deshmukh et al. 2006; Fig. 13.19).



## Chapter 14

# Summary of Some Physiological Aspects in the Development of Plant Cell and Tissue Culture

This summary will be divided into two parts, one dealing with physiological problems of cultured cells *in vitro*, the other with research results obtained using cell cultures as model systems to investigate physiological problems of plants in general. For both, some examples including also some of our own research activity will be presented and discussed.

*In vitro* cultured cells are isolated from the physiological situation and the control of the original plant from which they were isolated for culture, and at isolation from the donor plant, a wound is set by mechanical or enzymatic means. In particular the former drew the attention of Haberlandt, White, and other pioneers in the field. For the latter, some results from our own research program will be given first for primary explants. Using transgenic carrot plants containing the auxin-sensitive MAS promoter linked to the GUS gene as reporter to obtain primary explants, it could be shown that, within a few hours after setting, the wound adjacent cells show an increase in IAA concentration (see Chap. 11). IAA promotes the synthesis of ethylene, and consequently ethylene is produced by the freshly isolated explants. The level of ethylene production soon decreases to a minimum, followed by an increase to a maximum value again after 5–6 days of cultivation. Whereas no clear influences of growth substances like IAA or kinetin in the medium on ethylene could be observed immediately after wounding—presumably, ethylene production was a mere wound reaction—distinct responses to these substances could be observed at the later maximum. Still, the responses to IAA (2 ppm) were rather low, compared to those following an additional supplement of kinetin (0.1 ppm). The number of cells produced by this treatment during a subsequent culture period greatly exceeded that of the IAA treatment, or the hormone-free control. Apparently, quite early *in vitro* the cells of the cultured explants are stimulated by the kinetin supplement, to physiological responses responsible for the later growth performance, whatever its biochemical basis may be. At this period of the cultural cycle, also a strong increase in the uptake of potassium and phosphorus can be observed. At least for cultured carrot petiole explants at this period of the cultural cycle, strong increases in the concentrations of endogenous IAA and of the endogenous cytokinin 2-iP were recorded, reaching maximum values after about 10 days of culture. Apparently, soon after

wounding during isolation in culture, an endogenous hormonal system is induced that, however, is not sufficient to promote considerable growth. This endogenous system would be supplemented by growth regulators from the nutrient medium, until a sufficient number of meristematic cells are produced to provide an endogenous hormonal system to sustain growth for a few weeks, according to the conditions in the medium at the beginning of the culture.

Somatic embryogenesis should serve as an example on possible consequences resulting from the isolation of cells from the framework of control of the intact plant, and the transfer into a suitable environment. Often, too much significance is attributed to such an isolation. If intact carrot seedlings are cultured partly submerged in an auxin-supplemented nutrient solution, somatic embryogenesis is induced in a great number of cells in the petiole, leaf lamina, or hypocotyl. This is in particular the case at elevated salt concentrations. Another example is the induction of this process in callus cells developed on germinating seeds in an auxin-containing medium. For these systems, evidently neither wounding, nor the isolation of explants from the intact plant are required to induce somatic embryos. Not all cells can be induced to this process, and as histological studies have indicated, e.g., in cultured petioles, a layer of small subepidermal cells are the origin of the embryos, and even here not every cell is responsive. In these protocols, only a suitable environment provided by an auxin-supplemented medium is sufficient to induce this developmental program. The auxin somehow disturbs the original hormonal system of the cells, and a new pattern develops. This was shown for cultured petiole explants in which the originally high ABA concentration decreased during culture of about 2 weeks, IAA reached a maximum after 7 days, followed by a steep decline, and cytokinins, originally quite low at  $t_0$ , showed a small maximum 10–12 days after initiation of culture. The peak in the concentration of IAA coincided with the formation of adventitious roots, and that of cytokinins with the formation of cytoplasm-rich subepidermal cells as the origin of somatic embryos. Since the production of somatic embryos could also be observed on the petioles of intact plants of some carrot strains produced by the fusion of protoplasts of domestic and wild carrots (both transgenic) growing in an inorganic agar medium, even an exogenous auxin supply seems not to be an indispensable requirement for the induction of this adventitious developmental program. Here, apparently due to a specific genetic setup, a physiological situation is created that promotes the development of somatic embryos in competent cells without exogenous interference.

In embryogenic cell suspensions, the supplement of 0.1 ppm kinetin prevents the formation of somatic embryos. Concurrently, the duration of the G1-phase of the cell cycle of basically embryogenic cells is reduced by about 90 min. During this period, the synthesis of about 120 proteins is initiated in a hierarchical sequence in the kinetin-free medium, but which are not synthesized in the kinetin treatment. The synthesis of some of these proteins is terminated shortly after initiation. To date, no attempt has been made to identify these proteins, and it remains to be seen which significance can be attributed to one or the other of these protein moieties for the development of the embryos.



Due to space limitation, only a few examples can be given for the second key physiological aspect of the development of plant cell and tissue culture, i.e., to serve as model systems in plant sciences. A more detailed discussion of this topic was published previously. Here, first the work by the Skoog group at the University of Wisconsin needs to be mentioned, who introduced the concept of cytokinins, and the significance of the ratios of phytohormones for the differentiation and development of plants, based on their landmark investigations employing callus cultures of tobacco. The Steward group at Cornell University in Ithaca originally aimed at investigating the accumulation of minerals using fast- and slow-growing cells. For this, they used carrot root explants growing fast on a coconut milk supplement, and comparatively slowly without this supplement. The outcome was the unexpected observation of somatic embryogenesis. The results dealing with salt accumulation have recently been summarized by F.C. Steward himself, who stressed the significance of the intensity of the growing process to understand salt accumulation. Two broad physiobiochemical areas are of uppermost importance for the process of photosynthesis, i.e., the movements of the stomata, and the assimilation of  $\text{CO}_2$  by the cells of the leaves. Experimentally, it is difficult to separate these two using intact leaves. Here, photoautotrophic cell cultures lacking stomata could be useful to study influences on the metabolic machinery of assimilating cells, without the confounding effect of stomata. By using such photoautotrophic cultures it was shown, e.g., that an excess of sucrose—as may occur due to pathological disturbances of the conducting systems of intact plants—strongly increases the fixation of  $\text{CO}_2$  by a partial  $\text{C}_4$  fixation pathway, due to an enhancement of PEPCase activity. At the same time, RuBisCO activity and concentration, and consequently  $\text{CO}_2$  fixation via the Calvin cycle are reduced. Such investigations could be helpful to influence the photosynthetic system by gene technological means.

When Haberlandt embarked in the use of plant cell cultures about 100 years ago, he was interested in knowing whether plant cells are able to grow in isolation from the intact plant. At that time, the cell theory of Schleiden and Schwann was only a few decades old, and his experimental question was therefore within the basic framework of general botany to understand the physiology of cells.

Going through textbooks on botany or plant physiology, like “Strasburger’s *Lehrbuch der Botanik*” and others, the general impression can not be avoided that the contribution of cell culture systems to understand general phenomena in these fields is rather meager, although thousands of scientists have been working with cell and tissue culture systems. One reason for this may be the early intensive search for practical applications with commercial implications starting in the 1960s, particularly for components of secondary metabolism—with, however, limited success, considering the input. *Sensu Haberlandt*, cells in a suspension are “autonomous organisms”, with all genetic information of the species or strain. Which of these are realized depends on the environment at large. It is not enough to know and manipulate the enzymatic reaction chain to synthesize the desired product of secondary metabolism. At least as important are properties of membranes for its transport within the cell, and its accumulation and storage. The pH of various compartments of cells has to be considered, as well as other cytological aspects.

The study of basic problems relating cultured cells to fundamental aspects of plant cells in general has been a stepchild of research, certainly in terms of funding, but also otherwise. Evidently, we still do not know enough about the physiology of intact plants, and of cultured cells and tissue to close this gap, and meaningfully integrate insights gained in the latter field in attempting to solve problems of general plant physiology. This may soon change. Indeed, the currently emerging application of gene technology to agriculture requires cell and tissue culture systems as essential tool—today, progress is not feasible without.

## Chapter 15

# Summary: Applications of Plant Cell and Tissue Culture Systems

Plant cell and tissue cultures originated from basic studies where they serve as model systems for many areas of research in botany, dealing mainly with growth and differentiation. A short summary shall indicate possibilities of practical use of these systems, which to date have only been little exploited.

One area of application broadly used is the propagation of plants. Commercially, this is currently certainly the most important application of cell and tissue culture systems (Fig. 1.1). Most prominent are meristem cultures in broadest terms, first used for the propagation of orchids (Morel 1963, 1974), and later for other ornamental plants (Chap. 7). During recent years, methods were developed also for the propagation of woody plants of importance for recultivation of forest areas, e.g., affected by “acid rain”. Cell culture techniques will always be applied to propagate highly valuable single plants not suitable for cloning by cuttings, due to morphological and/or anatomical constraints. Propagation by meristem culture will also be employed to produce virus-free plants from infected mother plants. Usually, meristems of virus-infected plants are virus-free, and by culturing meristems, virus-free plants can often be obtained. A detailed description of such methods was given in Section 7.2.

In contrast to meristem cultures, callus cultures or cell suspensions often produce more plants able to be transplanted to soil. For many plant species, however, it is difficult to find suitable culture conditions to satisfactorily induce propagation by these methods. Cytogenetic stability and homogeneity are higher in plants derived from meristem cultures than in those of callus or cell suspension origin. The greatest number of plants per setup can be produced by somatic embryogenesis, associated with a high level of cytogenetic homogeneity. Here, some possibility for automatic processing in bioreactors (fermenters) exists, which would reduce the manual labor required for either meristem or callus cultures, with inductions of caulo- and rhizogenesis to produce plants (Sects. 2.2 and 7.3).

Another important area of application of cell and tissue cultures is plant breeding. In this case, high numbers of genetically identical plants are commonly required for crossbreeding to obtain hybrids for practical plant production. To

obtain such plant material, either meristem cultures, or plants produced by somatic embryogenesis can be employed. A requirement for somatic embryogenesis exists also in the use of protoplast fusion products in plant breeding. By fusion of protoplasts of different genomes, a new genome is produced that can be used in conventional plant breeding programs. For this, the regeneration of the fusion products to be raised into intact and flowering offspring is necessary. The same requirement exists for dihaploid plants out of anther cultures to be used for breeding. All these examples are potential possibilities to improve practical plant breeding; after some initial success, however, these have not yet been really applied on a large scale.

Today, somatic embryogenesis is a prerequisite to produce transgenic plants. About 15 years ago, the first genetically modified (GM) crops were introduced to practical agriculture; the original acreage of about 3 million hectares soon increased, and by 2008, the global hectareage of biotech crops continued to grow strongly reaching 125 million hectares, up from 114.3 million hectares in 2007. This translates to an “apparent growth” of 10.7 million hectares (the sixth largest increase in 13 years) or 9.4% measured in hectares, whereas the “actual growth”, measured more precisely in “trait hectares”, was 22 million hectares or 15% year-on-year growth, approximately double the “apparent growth” more precisely, 166 million “trait hectares” (Jame 2009). Main GM crops were cotton, maize, rapeseed, and soybeans. In terms of economic considerations, differences in the yields of conventional and GM crops were generally negligible. In Spain, growing Bt maize for three seasons increased the yield by only about 5%. Main advantages are usually considered to be easier weed control, and savings on pesticides, tillage, labor, and machinery costs. As reported from China, farmers use five times less insecticides for Bt cotton, and in India a net saving of € 25 per hectare was recorded. In the USA, however, farmers reported that the financial gain from reduced pesticide application to GM crops was more or less outweighed by higher costs of seeds. Still, optimism prevails—e.g., growing herbicide-resistant sugar beet in the UK has been estimated at € 33.5 million/year. The successful use of GM crops seems to depend mainly on selecting the right crop, and the right trait for a given location, possibly after some conventional breeding.

After some initial success, usually on a laboratory scale, application is still very limited also in a third field of practical application, namely, the use of cell and tissue culture to produce secondary metabolites on a commercial scale (see Chap. 10). Though many compounds of commercial interest, mainly products of secondary metabolism, have been detected in cell and tissue culture systems, their concentrations are mostly too low to be exploited commercially. More success was obtained by using cell cultures to transform cheap raw products into commercially highly valuable compounds. Some early examples of such systems are the transformation of digitoxin into digoxin using cell cultures of *Digitalis*, or the synthesis of atropine and scopolamine from tropine and tropic acid as substrates in cell cultures of *Datura*. Also here, however, the high selling price of the drug in pharmacies limits large-scale commercial application. For compounds of commercial interest to be produced by culture systems, it needs to be considered that very well-developed conventional methods are available to the industry, using raw material produced by

agriculture. Thus, to convince industrial companies to rather use cell culture systems, it is not enough to produce a substance at equal costs, as is the case for the *Digitalis* system. Such a change in the production technique requires, among other, high investments in equipment, and thorough training of personnel. To shift from the conventional production system to a cell culture system, the production costs of the latter should be much lower than that of the former (maybe half). Challenges lie ahead. Notably, all hope of discovering unknown substances for use as drugs in medicine has to date been in vain. Ever-present competitors to the application of cell culture systems are chemical synthesis, and the use of immobilized enzymes in the industrial production of organic compounds. Nevertheless, the era of gene technology has only just begun, and new fields of research like metabolomics will contribute to a better understanding of the working “machinery” of cells, with new perspectives as a basis for the commercial use of such systems.



## References

- Abe I, Rohmer M, Prestwich GD (1993) Enzymatic cyclization of squalene and oxidosqualene to sterols and triterpenes. *Chem Rev* 93:2189–2206
- Abou-Zeid A, Neumann KH (1973) Preliminary investigations on the influence of cotyledons on the development of cherry embryos (*Prunus avium* L.). *Zeitschr Pflanzenphysiol* 69:299–305
- Afreen F, Zobayed SMA, Kozai T (2002) Photoautotrophic culture of *Coffea arabusta* somatic embryos: photosynthetic ability and growth of different stage embryos. *Ann Bot* 90:11–19
- Aird ELF, Hamill JD, Rhodes MJC (1988) Cytogenetic analysis of hairy root cultures from a number of plant species transformed with *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 15:47–57
- Alfermann AW, Reinhard E (1986) Biotransformation of cardenolides by special cell strain of *Digitalis lanata*. In: VI IAPTC, Minnesota, p 350
- Alfermann AW, Bergmann W, Figur C, Helmbold U, Schwantag D, Schuller I, Reinhard E (1983) Biotransformation of O-methyldigitoxin to p-methyldigoxin by cell cultures of *Digitalis lanata*. In: Mantell SH, Smith H (eds) *Plant biotechnology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 67–74
- Alfermann AW, Spieler H, Reinhard E (1985) Biotransformation of cardiac glycosides by *Digitalis* cell cultures in air lift reactors. In: Neumann KH, Barz W, Reinhard E (eds) *Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 316–322
- Alfermann AW, Petersen M, Fuss F (2003) Production of natural products by plant cell biotechnology: results, problems and perspectives. In: Laimer M, Rucker W (eds) *Plant tissue culture. 100 Years since Gottlieb Haberlandt*. Springer, Wien, pp 153–166
- Amino SI, Komamine A (1982) Dynamic aspects of cell walls during the cell cycle in a synchronous culture of *Vinca rosea* L. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 65–66
- Ankenbauer RG, Nester EW (1990) Sugar-mediated induction of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* virulence genes: structural specificity and activities of monosaccharides. *J Bacteriol* 172:6442–6446
- Aoyagi H, Kobayashi Y, Yamada K, Yokoyama M, Kusakari K, Tanaka H (2001) Efficient production of saikosaponins in *Bupleurum falcatum* root fragments combined with signal transducers. *Appl Microbial Biotechnol* 57:482–488
- Arencibia A, Molina P, De la Riva G, Selman-Housein G (1995) Production of transgenic sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) plants by intact cell electroporation. *Plant Cell Rep* 14:305–309
- Arencibia AD, Carmona ER, Tellez P, Chan MT, Yu SM, Trujillo LE, Oramas P (1998) An efficient protocol for sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp. L.) transformation mediated by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Transgenic Res* 7:1–10
- Arias RS, Filichkin SA, Strauss SH (2006) Divide and conquer: development and cell cycle genes in plant transformation. *Trends Biotechnol* 24:267–273

- Arnholdt-Schmitt B (1993) Rapid changes in amplification and methylation pattern of genomic DNA in cultured carrot root explants (*Daucus carota* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 85:793–800
- Arnholdt-Schmitt B, Heterich S, Neumann KH (1995) Physiological aspects of genome variability in tissue culture. 1. Growth phase-dependent differential DNA methylation of the carrot genome (*Daucus carota* L.) during primary culture. *Theor Appl Genet* 91:809–815
- Arnholdt-Schmitt B, Schäfer C, Neumann KH (1998) Untersuchungen zum Einfluß physiologisch bedingter Genomvariationen auf RAPD-Analysen bei *Daucus carota*. *Vortr Pflanzenzüchtung* 43:107–113
- Arroo RRJ, Alfermann AW, Medarde M, Petersen M, Pras N, Woolley JG (2002) Plant cell factories as a source for anti-cancer lignans. *Phytochem Rev* 1:27–35
- Asaka I, Ii I, Hirotsu M, Asada Y, Furuya T (1993) Production of ginsenosides saponins by culturing ginseng (*Panax ginseng*) embryogenic tissues in bioreactors. *Biotechnol Lett* 15:1259–1264
- Bagnoli F, Capuana M, Racchi ML (1998) Developmental changes of catalase and superoxide dismutase in zygotic and somatic embryos of horse chestnut. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 25:909–913
- Bailey JE (1991) Toward a science of metabolic engineering. *Science* 252:1668–1675
- Bais HP, Walker TS, McGrew JJ, Vivanco JM (2002) Factors affecting the growth of cell suspension cultures of *Hypericum perforatum* L. (St. John's Wort) and production of hypericin. *In Vitro Growth Dev Plant* 38:1–9
- Bajaj YPS, Ishimaru K (1999) Genetic transformation of medicinal plants. In: Bajaj YPS (ed) *Transgenic medicinal plants. Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry vol 45*, Springer, New Delhi, pp 1–29
- Bakkali AT, Jaziril M, Foriers A, Van der Heyden Y, Vanhaelen M, Homès J (1997) Lawsonic acid accumulation in normal and transformed cultures of henna, *Lawsonia inermis*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 51:83–87
- Baldwin IT, Schmelz EA, Ohnmeiss TE (1994) Wound-induced changes in root and shoot jasmonic acid pools correlate with induced nicotine synthesis in *Nicotiana sylvestris spegazzini* and *comes*. *J Chem Ecol* 20:2139–2157
- Barz W, Reinhard E, Zenk MH (eds) (1977) *Plant tissue culture and its biotechnological applications*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Barz W, Daniel S, Hinderer W, Jaques U, Kessmann H, Koster J, Tiemann K (1988) Elicitation and metabolism of phytoalexins in plant cell cultures. In: Pais M, Mavituna F, Novais J (eds) *Plant cell biotechnology. NATO ASI Series*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 211–230
- Becker PB (2006) Gene regulation: a finger on the mark. *Nature* 442:31–32
- Becker H, Chavadej S (1988) Valepotriates: production by plant cell cultures. In: Bajaj YPS (ed) *Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry, vol 4*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 294–309
- Behrendt U, Zoglauer K (1996) Boron controls suspensor development in embryogenic cultures of *Laryx decidua*. *Physiol Plant* 97(2):321–326
- Bender L, Kumar A (2001) From soil to cell—a broad approach to plant life. Giessen Electronic Library GEB. [http://geb.uni-giessen.de/geb/ebooks\\_ebene2.php](http://geb.uni-giessen.de/geb/ebooks_ebene2.php)
- Bender L, Neumann KH (1978a) Investigations on indole-3-acetic acid metabolism of carrot tissue cultures. *Zeitschr Pflanzenphysiol* 88:209–217
- Bender L, Neumann KH (1978b) Ethylene production and growth of carrot root tissue cultures (*Daucus carota* L.) as influenced by  $\beta$ -indole-3-acetic acid and kinetin. *Zeitschr Pflanzenphysiol* 88:227–234
- Bender L, Kumar A, Neumann KH (1981) Photoautotrophe pflanzliche Gewebekulturen in Laborfermentern. In: Lafferty RM (ed) *Fermentation*. Springer, Wien, pp 193–203
- Bender L, Kumar A, Neumann KH (1985) On the photosynthetic system and assimilate metabolism of *Daucus* and *Arachis* cell cultures. In: Neumann KH, Barz W, Reinhard E (eds) *Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 24–42



- Berger S, Bell E, Sadka A, Mullet JE (1995) *Arabidopsis thaliana* Atvsp is homologous to soybean VspA and VspB, genes encoding vegetative storage protein acid phosphatases, and is regulated similarly by methyl jasmonate, wounding, sugars, light and phosphate. *Plant Molec Biol* 27:933–942
- Bergmann L (1967) Wachstum grüner Suspensionskulturen von *Nicotiana tabacum* var. Samsun mit CO<sub>2</sub> als Kohlenstoffquelle. *Planta* 74:243–249
- Berlin J, Beier H, Fecker L, Forche E, Noé W, Sasse F, Schiel O, Wray V (1985) Conventional and new approaches to increase the alkaloid production of plant cell cultures. In: Neumann KH, Barz W, Reinhard E (eds) Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York pp 272–280
- Beveridge THJ, Li TSC, Drover CG (2002) Phytosterol content in American ginseng seed Oil. *J Agric Food Chem* 50:744–750
- Bevis BJ, Glick BS (2002) Rapidly maturing variants of the *Discosoma* red fluorescent protein (DsRed). *Nature Biotechnol* 20:83–87
- Bharathy PV, Kulkarni DD, Biradar AB, Karibasappa GS, Solanke AU, Patil SG, Agrawal DC (2006) Production of hybrid plants in Thompson seedless grapes (*Vitis vinifera*) through breeding and in ovule embryo rescue methods. In: Kumar A, Roy S (eds) Plant biotechnology and its application in tissue culture. I.K. International, New Delhi, pp 62–69
- Birch RG (1997) Plant transformation: problems and strategies for practical application. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Molec Biol* 48:297–326
- Birnbaum S, Mosbach K (1991) Perspectives of immobilized proteins. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2:44–51
- Blakesley D, Lenton JR, Morgan R (1986) The metabolism of 6-benzylaminopurin and zeatin in gerbera shoots. In: Somers DA, Gengenbach BG, Biesboer DD, Hackett WP, Green CE (eds) Abstr VI Int Congr Plant Tissue and Cell Culture, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, p 375
- Blaschke JR (1977) Histologische, cytochemische und biochemische Untersuchungen zur Charakterisierung des Kinetineinflusses auf die Entwicklung haploider und diploider Kalluskulturen von *Datura innoxia* Mill. PhD Thesis, Justus Liebig University, Giessen
- Blaschke JR, Forche E, Neumann KH (1978) Investigations on the cell cycle of haploid and diploid tissue cultures of *Datura innoxia* Mill. and its synchronisation. *Planta* 144:7–12
- Blechert S, Brodschelm W, Holder S, Kammerer L, Kutchan TM, Chappell J (1995) The biochemistry and molecular biology of isoprenoid metabolism. *Plant Physiol* 107:1–6
- Bourgau F, Gravot A, Milesi S, Gontier E (2001) Production of plant secondary metabolites: a historical perspective. *Plant Sci* 161:839–851
- Bradley LR, Kim JS, Matthyse AG (1997) Attachment of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* to carrot cells and *Arabidopsis* wound sites is correlated with the presence of a cell-associated, acidic polysaccharide. *J Bacteriol* 179:5372–5379
- Brain KR (1976) Accumulation of L-DOPA in cultures from *Mucuna pruriens*. *Plant Sci Lett* 7:157–161
- Bravo Angel AM, Hohn B, Tinland B (1998) The omega sequence of VirD2 is important but not essential for efficient transfer of the T-DNA by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Molec Plant-Microbe Interact* 11:57–63
- Breyne P, Zabeau M (2001) Genome-wide expression analysis of plant cell cycle modulated genes. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 4:136–142
- Brown PTH, Lörz H (1986) Methylation changes in the progeny of tissue culture derived maize plants. In: Somers DA, Gengenbach BG, Biesboer DD, Hackett WP, Green CE (eds) Abstr VI Int Congr Plant Tissue and Cell Culture, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, p 261
- Bush DR, Jacobson L (1986) Potassium transport in suspension cells and protoplasts of carrot. *Plant Physiol* 81:1022–1026
- Cangelosi GA, Hung L, Puvanesarajah V, Stacey G, Ozga DA, Leigh JA, Nester EW (1987) Common loci for *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and *Rhizobium meliloti* exopolysaccharide synthesis and their role in plant interaction. *J Bacteriol* 169:2086–2091

- Cangelosi GA, Ankenbauer RG, Nester EW (1990) Sugars induce the *Agrobacterium* virulence genes through a periplasmic binding protein and a transmembrane signal protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 87:6708–6712
- Caplin SM, Steward FC (1949) A technique for the controlled growth of excised plant tissue in liquid media under aseptic conditions. *Nature* CIXIII:920–924
- Carew DP, Staba EJ (1965) Plant tissue culture, its fundamentals, applications and relationship to medicinal plant species. *Lloydia* 28:1–26
- Chalfie M, Kain S (eds) (1998) GFP, Green Fluorescent Protein: properties, applications, and protocols. Wiley-Liss, New York
- Chalfie M, Tu Y, Euskirchen G, Ward WW, Prasher DC (1994) Green fluorescent protein as a marker for gene expression. *Science* 263:802–805
- Chang CH, Winans SC (1992) Functional roles assigned to the periplasmic, linker and receiver domains of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* VirA protein. *J Bacteriol* 174:7033–7039
- Charlwood BV, Charlwood KA, Molina-Torres J (1990) Accumulation of secondary compounds by organized plant cultures. In: Charlwood BV, Rhodes MJC (eds) Secondary products from plant tissue culture. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp 167–300
- Chattopadhyay S, Srivastava AK, Bhojwani SS, Bisaria VS (2002) Production of podophyllotoxin by plant cell cultures of *Podophyllum hexandrum* in bioreactor. *J Biosci Bioeng* 93:215–220
- Chavadej S, Brisson N, McNeil JN, De Luca V (1994) Redirection of tryptophan leads to production of low indole glucosinolate canola. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91:2166–2170
- Chen AH (1985) Study on application of diosgenin. I. Analysis of diosgenin constituent of plants from Taiwan. *Sci Monthly* 43:79–85
- Chen DS, Chen HMH, Sung JL, Hsu ST, Kuo YT, Lo KJ, Shih YT (1988) The Hepatitis Steering Committee, and the Hepatitis Control Committee. Control of hepatitis B virus infection in a hyperendemic area: a mass immunoprophylaxis programme in Taiwan. *Viral Hepatitis and Liver Disease*, A.R. Liss, New York, pp 971–976
- Chen HL, Chang MH, Ni NY, Lee PI, Lee CY, Chen DS (1996) Seroepidemiology of hepatitis-B virus infection in children: ten years of mass vaccination in Taiwan. *JAMA* 276:906–908
- Chen X, Yang L, Oppenheim JJ, Howard OMZ (2002) Cellular pharmacology studies of shikonin derivatives. *Phytotherapy Res* 16:199–209
- Cheng M, Fry JE, Pang SZ, Zhou HP, Hironaka CM, Duncan DR, Conner W, Wan YC (1997) Genetic transformation of wheat mediated by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Plant Physiol* 115:971–980
- Cheng XY, Sardana R, Kaplan H, Altosaar I (1998) *Agrobacterium*-transformed rice expressing synthetic cry1Ab and cry1Ac genes are highly toxic to striped stem borer and yellow stem borer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95:2767–2772
- Cheng M, Lowe BA, Spencer TM, Ye X, Armstrong CHL (2004) Factors influencing *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of monocotyledonous species. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol-Plant* 40:31–45
- Chinachit W (1991) Die somatische Hybridisierung von Wildkarotten und Kulturkarotten (*Daucus carota* L.) und die Charakterisierung der Hybriden. Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Chintapakorn Y, Hamill JD (2003) Antisense-mediated downregulation of putrescine N-methyltransferase activity in transgenic *Nicotiana tabacum* L. can lead to elevated levels of anatabine at the expense of nicotine. *Plant Molec Biol* 53:87–10534
- Chivasa S, Ndimba BK, Simon WJ, Lidsey K, Slabas AR (2005) Extracellular ATP functions as an endogenous external metabolite regulating plant cell viability. *Plant Cell* 17:3019–3034
- Choi YE, Yang DC, Kusano T, Sano H (2001) Rapid and efficient *Agrobacterium*-mediated genetic transformation by plasmolyzing pre-treatment of cotyledons in *Panax ginseng*. *Plant Cell Rep* 20:616–621
- Choi DW, Jung JD, Ha YI, Park HW, Su In D, Chung HJ, Liu JR (2005) Analysis of transcripts in methyl jasmonate-treated ginseng hairy roots to identify genes involved in the biosynthesis of ginsenosides and other secondary metabolites. *Plant Cell Rep* 23:557–566
- Christen AA, Gibson DM, Bland T (1991) US Patent no 5019504

- Christianson ML (1987) Causal events in morphogenesis. In: Green CE, Somers DA, Hackett WP, Biesboer DD (eds) Plant tissue and cell culture. A.R. Liss, New York, pp 45–55
- Cockcroft CE, Bart GW, den Boer JM, Healy S, Murray JAH (2000) Cyclin D control of growth rates in plants. *Nature* 405:575–579
- Cocking EC (1960) A method for the isolation of plant protoplasts and vacuoles. *Nature* 187:962–968
- Conge BV, Hanning GE, Gray DJ, McDaniel JK (1983) Direct embryogenesis from mesophyll cells of orchard grass. *Science* 212:850–851
- Conn S, Zhang W, Franco C (2003) Anthocyanic vacuolar inclusions (AVIs) selectively bind acylated anthocyanins in *Vitis vinifera* L. (grapevine) suspension culture. *Biotechnol Lett* 25:835–839
- Corneille S, Lutz K, Svab Z, Maliga P (2001) Efficient elimination of selectable marker genes from the plastid genome by the CRE-lox site-specific recombination system. *Plant J* 27:171–178
- Costa JH, Cardoso HC, Campos MD, Zavattieri A, Frederico AM, Fernandes de Molo D, Arnholdt-Schmitt B (2008) *Daucus carota* L.—an old model for cell reprogramming gains new importance through a novel expansion pattern of alternative oxidase (AOX) genes. *Plant Physiol Biochem* (in press)
- Coumans-Gilles MF, Kefers C, Coumans M, Gaspar T (1982) Auxin content and metabolism in auxin-requiring and -non-requiring cultures. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 197–198
- Croes AF, Barendse GWM (1986) Uptake and metabolism of hormones as related to in vitro flower bud development in tobacco. In: Somers DA, Gengenbach BG, Biesboer DD, Hackett WP, Green CE (eds) *Abstr VI Int Congr Plant Tissue and Cell Culture*, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, p 299
- Cui D, Myers JR, Collins GB, Lazzeri PA (1988) In vitro regeneration in *Trifolium*. 1. Direct somatic embryogenesis in *T. rubens* (L.). *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 15:33–45
- Curtin C, Zhang W, Franco C (2003) Manipulating anthocyanin composition in *Vitis vinifera* suspension cultures by elicitation with jasmonic acid and light irradiation. *Biotechnol Lett* 25:1131–1135
- D'Amato F, Bennici A, Cionini PG, Baroncelli S, Lupi MC (1980) Nuclear fragmentation followed by mitosis as mechanism for wide chromosome number variation in tissue cultures, its implications for plant regeneration. In: Sala F, Parisi B, Cella R, Ciferri O (eds) *Plant cell cultures: results and perspectives*. Elsevier/North Holland, Amsterdam, pp 67–72
- Datta A, Srivastava PS (1997) Variation in vinblastine production by *Catharanthus roseus* during in vivo and in vitro differentiation. *Phytochemistry* 96:135–137
- De Jong AJ, Schmidt EDL, De Vries SC (1993) Early events in higher-plant embryogenesis. *Plant Molec Biol* 22(2):367–377
- De Klerk GJ, Arnholdt-Schmitt B, Lieberei R, Neumann KH (1997) Regeneration of roots, shoots and embryos: physiological, biochemical and molecular aspects. *Biol Plant* 39:53–66
- De la Pena A, Lörz H, Schell J (1987) Transgenic rye plants obtained by injecting DNA into young floral tillers. *Nature* 325:274–276
- De Luca V, St-Pierre B (2000) The cell and developmental biology of alkaloid biosynthesis. *Trends Plant Sci* 5:168–173
- Desfeux C, Clough SJ, Bent AF (2000) Female reproductive tissues are the primary target of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation by the *Arabidopsis* floral-dip method. *Plant Physiol* 123:895–904
- Deshmukh S, Hückelhoven R, Schäfer P, Imani J, Sharma M, Weiss M, Waller F, Kogel K-H (2006) The root endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica* requires host cell death for proliferation during mutualistic symbiosis with barley. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:18450–18457
- Devarenne TP, Ghosh A, Happell J (2002) Regulation of squalene synthase, a key enzyme of sterol biosynthesis, in tobacco. *Plant Physiol* 129:1095–1106
- Dewitte W, Murray JAH (2003) The plant cell cycle. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 54:235–264

- DiCosmo F, Misawa M (1985) Eliciting secondary metabolism in plant cell cultures. *Trends Biotechnol* 3:318–322
- Diwan R, Malpathak N (2007) A novel source of furanocoumarins: *Ruta graveolens* L. In: Kumar A, Sopory S (eds) Recent advances in plant biotechnology and its applications. I.K. International, New Delhi (in press)
- Dixon RA (2005) Engineering of plant natural product pathways. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 8:329–336
- Dixon RA, Steele CL (1999) Flavonoids and isoflavonoids—a gold mine for metabolic engineering. *Trends Plant Sci* 4:394–400
- Dixon RA, Sumner LW (2003) Legume natural products: understanding and manipulating complex pathways for human and animal health. *Plant Physiol* 131:878–885
- Dong JZ, Dunstan DI (1996) Expression of abundant mRNAs during somatic embryogenesis of white spruce [*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss]. *Planta* 199:459–466
- Doty SL, Yu NC, Lundin JI, Heath JD, Nester EW (1996) Mutational analysis of the input domain of the VirA protein of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *J Bacteriol* 178:961–970
- Dudit D, Maroy E, Praznovsky T, Oloh Z, Gyorgyey J, Cella R (1987) Transfer of resistance traits from carrot into tobacco by asymmetric somatic hybridization. Regeneration of fertile plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 84:8434–8438
- Dührssen E, Neumann KH (1980) Characterisation of satellite-DNA of *Daucus carota* L. *Zeitschr Pflanzenphysiol* 100:447–454
- Dührssen E, Lanzendörfer M, Neumann KH (1984) Comparative investigations on DNA organization of some varieties of *Daucus carota* L. *Zeitschr Pflanzenphysiol* 113:223–229
- Dürrenberger F, Cramer A, Hohn B, Koukolikova-Nicola Z (1989) Covalently bound VirD2 protein of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* protects the T-DNA from exonucleolytic degradation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 86:9154–9158
- Ebel J, Cosio EG (1994) Elicitors of plant defense responses. *Int Rev Cytol* 148:1–36
- Egertsdotter U, von Arnold S (1998) Development of somatic embryos in Norway spruce. *J Exp Bot* 49:155–162
- Ehsani P, Khabiri A, Domansky NN (1997) Polypeptides of hepatitis B surface antigen produced in transgenic potato. *Gene* 190:107–111
- Eichmann R, Dechert C, Kogel K-H, Hückelhoven R (2006) Transient over-expression of barley BAX Inhibitor-1 weakens oxidative defense and MLA12-mediated resistance to *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei*. *Molec Plant Pathol* 7(6):543–552
- Eilert U, Kurz WGW, Constabel F (1985) Stimulation of sanguinarine accumulation in *Papaver somniferum* cell cultures by fungal elicitors. *J Plant Physiol* 119:65–76
- Elmshäuser HA (1977) Untersuchungen über die vegetative Vermehrung von Brassicaceen—insbesondere Raps (*Brassica napus* L. ssp. *oleifera* Metzg. Sinsk.). Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Elmshäuser HA, Forche E, Neumann KH (1979) Untersuchungen zur Protoplastengewinnung verschiedener *Brassica*-Arten. *Ber Dtsch Bot Ges* 91:313–318
- Endt DV, Kijne JW, Memelink J (2002) Transcription factors controlling plant secondary metabolism: what regulates the regulators? *Phytochemistry* 61:107–114
- Engelmann F (1997) In vitro conservation methods. In: Ford-Lloyd BV, Newbury HJ, Callow JA (eds) Biotechnology and plant genetic resources. Conservation and use. CABI, Wallingford, pp 119–162
- Enieux G-C, Van Beek T (1997) Production of ginkgolide and bilobalide in transformed and gametophyte derived cell cultures of *Ginkgo biloba*. *Phytochemistry* 46:127–130
- Enríquez-Obregón GA, Vázquez-Padrón RI, Prieto-Samsónov DL, Pérez M, Selman-Housein G (1997) Genetic transformation of sugarcane by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* using antioxidants compounds. *Biotechnol Appl* 14:169–174
- Enríquez-Obregón GA, Vázquez-Padrón RI, Prieto-Sansonov DL, De la Riva GA, Selman-Housein G (1998) Herbicide resistant sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) plants by *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. *Planta* 206:20–27

- Erikson O, Herzberg M, Näsholm T (2004) A conditional marker gene allowing both positive and negative selection in plant. *Nature Biotechnol* 22(4):455–458
- Etienne H, Berthouly M (2002) Temporary immersion systems in plant micro propagation. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 69:215–231
- Etienne-Barry D, Bertrand B, Vasquez N, Etienne H (1999) Direct sowing of *Coffea arabica* somatic embryos mass-produced in a bioreactor and regeneration of plants. *Plant Cell Rep* 19:111–117
- Fett-Neto AG, Pennington JJ, DiCosmo F (1995) Effect of white light on taxol and baccatin III accumulation in cell cultures of *Taxus cuspidata* Sieb and Zucc. *J Plant Physiol* 146:584–590
- Fiehn O, Linke T, Willmitzer ARF (2001) Metabolic profiling allows comprehensive phenotyping of genetically or environmentally modified plant systems. *Plant Cell* 13:11–29
- Filichkin SA, Gelvin SB (1993) Formation of a putative relaxation intermediate during T-DNA processing directed by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* VirD1/D2 endonuclease. *Molec Microbiol* 8:915–926
- Fletcher JS (1982) Control of nitrogen assimilation in suspension cultures of Paul's scarlet rose. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 225–230
- Flores Berrios E, Sarrafi A, Fabre F, Alibert G (2000) Genotypic variation and chromosomal location of QTLs for somatic embryogenesis revealed by epidermal layers culture of recombinant inbred lines in the sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* 101:1307–1312
- Forche E, Foroughi B, Mix A, Neumann KH (1979) Untersuchungen zur Ploidieverteilung in Gerstenpflanzen (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) aus Antherenkulturen. *Zeitschr Pflanzenzücht* 83:222–235
- Forche E, Kibler R, Neumann KH (1983) The influence of developmental stages of haploid and diploid callus cultures of *Datura innoxia* on shoot initiation. *Zeitschr Pflanzenphysiol* 101:257–262
- Foroughi-Wehr B, Wenzel G (1993) Andro- and parthenogenesis. In: Hayward MD, Bosemark NO, Romagosa I (eds) *Plant breeding: principles and prospects*. Chapman and Hall, London, pp 261–277
- Fossard R de (2007) Nursery costs. CD January 2007, with interactive spreadsheets (Excel). Agritech Consultants Inc., Shrub Oak, NY
- Fritzscheier KH, Rolfs CH, Pfau J, Kindl H (1983) Action of ultraviolet C on stilbene formation in callus of *Arachis hypogaea*. *Planta* 159:25–29
- Froese C, Neumann KH (1997) The influence of kinetin on the protein synthesis pattern at some stages of the cell suspension cultures of *Daucus carota* L. *Angew Bot* 71:111–115
- Fromm M, Taylor L, Walbot V (1985) Expression of genes transferred into monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant cells by electroporation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 82:5824–5828
- Fromm M, Taylor L, Walbot V (1986) Stable transformation of maize after gene transfer by electroporation. *Nature* 319:791–793
- Fröse C (1993) Der Einfluß von Kinetin auf Wachstum, Zelldifferenzierung und Proteinsynthesemuster bei zellzyklussynchronisierten Suspensionskulturen der Karotte (*Daucus carota* L.). Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Fujita Y, Tabata M (1987) Secondary metabolites from plant cells: pharmaceutical applications and progress in commercial production. In: Green CE, Somers DA, Hackett WP, Biesboer DD (eds) *Plant tissue and cell culture*. A.R. Liss, New York, pp 169–185
- Fujita Y, Tabata M, Nishi A, Yamada Y (1982) New medium and production of secondary compounds with the two staged culture method. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 399–400
- Fullner KJ, Lara JC, Nester EW (1996) Pilus assembly by *Agrobacterium* T-DNA transfer genes. *Science* 273:1107–1109
- Furuya T (1988) Saponins (*ginseng saponins*). In: Vasil IK (ed) *Cell cultures and somatic cell genetics of plants*, vol 5. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp 213–234

- Furuya T, Ikuta A, Syono K (1972) Alkaloids from callus cultures of *Papaver somniferum*. *Phytochemistry* 11:3041–3044
- Gadzovska S, Maury S, Delaunay A, Spasenoski M, Joseph D, Hagege D (2007) Jasmonic acid elicitation of *Hypericum perforatum* L. cell suspensions and effects on the production of phenylpropanoids and naphthodianthrones. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 89:1–13
- Gamborg OL, Miller RA, Ojima K (1968) Nutrient requirements of suspension cultures of soybean root cultures. *Exp Cell Res* 50:151–158
- Gartenbach-Scharrer U, Habib S, Neumann KH (1990) Sequential synthesis of some proteins in cultured carrot explant (*Daucus carota* L.) cells during callus induction. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 22:27–35
- Gautheret RJ (1934) Culture de tissu cambial. *C R Acad Sci Paris* 198:2195–2196
- Gautheret RJ (1939) Sur la possibilité de réaliser la culture indéfinie de tissu de tubercules de carotte. *C R Acad Sci Paris* 208:118–120
- Geerlings A, Hallard D, Caballero AM, Cardoso L, van der Heijden R, Verpoorte R (1999) Alkaloid production by a *Cinchona officinalis* 'Ledgeriana' hairy root culture containing constitutive expression constructs of tryptophan decarboxylase and strictosidine synthase cDNAs from *Catharanthus roseus*. *Plant Cell Rep* 19:191–196
- Geier T (1986) *Anthurium scherzerianum* und Gewebekultur. *Dtsch Gartenbau* 43:2030–2033
- Geisler C (2001) Insertion von rol-Genen in zellzyklussynchronisierte Karottenzellkulturen. Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Gelvin SB (1988) The introduction and expression of transgenes in plants. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 9:227–232
- Geoffrey SB, Zacharias DA, Tsien RY (2000) Biochemistry, mutagenesis, and oligomerization of DsRed, a red fluorescent protein from coral. *PNAS* 97:11984–11989
- Gernand D, Rutten T, Varshney A, Rubsova M, Prodanovic S, Brüß C, Kumlehn J, Matzk F, Houben A (2005) Uniparental chromosomal elimination at mitosis and interphase in wheat and pearl millet crosses involves micronucleus formation, progressive heterochromatinization and DNA fragmentation. *Plant Cell* 17:2431–2438
- Gheysen G, Villarreal R, Van Montagu M (1989) Illegitimate recombination in plants: a model for T-DNA integration. *Genes Dev* 5:287–297
- Gleba YY, Hoffmann F (1978) Hybrid cell lines *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Brassica campestris*: no evidence for specific chromosome elimination. *Molec Gen Genet* 165:257–264
- Gleddie S, Keller W, Geeterfield T (1982) In vitro morphogenesis from tissue, cells and protoplasts of *Solanum melogena* L. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 139–140
- Gontier E, Sangwan SB, Barbotin JN (1994) Effects of calcium, alginate, and calcium-alginate immobilization on growth and tropane alkaloid levels of a stable suspension cell line of *Datura innoxia* Mill. *Plant Cell Rep* 13:533–536
- Goossens A, Häkkinen ST, Laakso I, Seppänen-Laakso T, Biondi S, De Sutter V, Lammertyn F, Nuutila AM, Söderlund H, Zabeau M, Inzé D, Oksman-Caldentey KM (2003a) A functional genomics approach toward the understanding of secondary metabolism in plant cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:8595–8600
- Goossens A, Häkkinen ST, Kirsi-Marja IL, Oksman-Caldentey KM, Inzé D (2003b) Secretion of secondary metabolites by ATP-binding cassette transporters in plant cell suspension cultures. *Plant Physiol* 131:1161–1164
- Gowik U, Engelmann S, Blasing OE, Raghavendra AS, Westhoff P (2006) Evolution of C(4) phenolpyruvate carboxylase in the genus *Alternanthera*: gene families and the enzymatic characteristics of the C(4) isoenzyme and its orthologues in C(3) and C(3)/C(4) *Alternantheras*. *Planta* 223:359–68
- Graves JMH, Smith WK (1967) Transformation of pregnenolone and progesterone by cultured plant cells. *Nature* 214:1248–1249
- Grieb B (1991/1992) Untersuchungen zur Induktion der Kompetenz zur somatischen Embryogenese in Karottenpetiolenexplantaten (*Daucus carota* L.)—Histologie und Proteinsynthesemuster. Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen

- Grieb B, Groß U, Pleschka E, Arnholdt-Schmitt B, Neumann KH (1994) Embryogenesis of photoautotrophic cell cultures of *Daucus carota* L. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 38:115–122
- Grieb B, Schäfer F, Imani J, Nezamabadi Mashayekhi K, Arnholdt-Schmitt B, Neumann KH (1997) Changes in soluble proteins and phytohormone concentration of cultured carrot petiole explants during induction of somatic embryogenesis (*Daucus carota* L.). *Angew Bot* 71:94–103
- Griesebach RJ (1986) Orchid tissue culture. In: Zimmermann RJ (ed) *Tissue culture as a plant production system for horticultural crops*. Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht, pp 343–349
- Griesebach RJ (2002) Development of *Phalaenopsis* orchids for the mass-market. In: Janick J, Whipkey A (eds) *Trends in new crops and new uses*. ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA, pp 458–465
- Gronover CS, Kasulke D, Tudzynski P, Tudzynski B (2001) The role of G protein alpha subunits in the infection process of the gray mold fungus *Botrytis cinerea*. *Molec Plant-Microbe Interact* 14(11):1293–1302
- Gross U, Gilles F, Bender L, Berghöfer P, Neumann KH (1993) The influence of sucrose and an elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on photosynthesis of photoautotrophic peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cell cultures. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 33:143–150
- Guern J, Renaudin JP, Brown SC (1987) The compartmentation of secondary metabolites in plant cell cultures. In: Constabel F, Vasil IK (eds) *Cell Culture and Somatic Cell Genetics of Plants*, vol 4. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp 43–76
- Guha S, Maheshwari SC (1964) In vitro production of embryos from anthers of *Datura*. *Nature* 204:497
- Gunawardena AH, Greenwood JS, Dengler NG (2004) Programmed cell death remodels lace plant leaf shape during development. *Plant Cell* 16:60–73
- Gundlach H, Muller MJ, Kutchan TM, Zenk MH (1992) Jasmonic acid is a signal transducer in elicitor-induced plant cell cultures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 89:2389–2393
- Gurskaya NG, Verkusha VV, Shcheglov AS, Staroverov DB, Hamilton RJ, Voinnet O, Chappell L, Baulcombe D (2002) Two classes of short interfering RNA in RNA silencing. *EMBO J* 21:4671–4679
- Haberlandt G (1902) *Akad Wiss Math Naturwiss Kl Wien* 111:69–92
- Hahlbrock K, Scheel D (1989) Physiology and molecular biology of phenylpropanoid metabolism. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Molec Biol* 40:347–369
- Halperin WS, Wetherell DF (1965) Ammonium requirement for embryogenesis *in vitro*. *Nature* 205:519–520
- Hamilton A, Voinnet O, Chappell L, Baulcombe D (2002) Two classes of short interfering RNA in RNA silencing. *EMBO J* 21:4671–4679
- Hansen CE, Meins F, Milani A (1985) Clonal and physiological variation in cytokinin content of tobacco cell lines differing in cytokinin requirement and capacity for neoplastic growth. *Differentiation* 29:1–6
- Hansen CE, Meins F, Aebi R (1987) Hormonal regulation of zeatinribosid accumulation by cultured tobacco cells. *Planta* 172:520–525
- Hansen G, Shillito RD, Chilton MD (1997) T-strand integration in maize protoplasts after codeivery of a T-DNA substrate and virulence genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94:11726–11730
- Hara Y (1996) Research on the production of useful compounds by plant cell cultures in Japan. In: Di Cosmo F, Misawa M (eds) *Plant cell culture secondary metabolism—toward industrial application*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp 187–202
- Hartig K, Beck E (2006) Crosstalk between auxin, cytokinins, and sugars in the plant cell cycle. *Plant Biol* 8:1–8
- Hartmann T (1996) Diversity and variability of plant secondary metabolism: a mechanistic view. *Entomol Exp Appl* 80:177–188
- Hashimoto T, Yamada Y (1994) Alkaloid biogenesis: molecular aspects. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Molec Biol* 45:257–285
- Hashimoto T, Yamada Y (2003) New genes in alkaloid metabolism and transport. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 14:163–168

- Hashimoto T, Yun DJ, Yamada Y (1993) Production of tropane alkaloids in genetically engineered root cultures. *Phytochemistry* 32:713–718
- Hayashi H, Huang P, Inoue K (2003) Up-regulation of soyasaponin biosynthesis by methyl jasmonate in cultured cells of *Glycyrrhiza glabra*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 44:404–411
- Hays DB, Wile RW, Sheen WC, Pharis RP (1999) Embryo-specific gene expression in microspore-derived embryos of *Brassica napus*. An interaction between abscisic acid and jasmonic acid. *Plant Physiol* 119:1065–1072
- Heber U, Heldt HW (1981) The chloroplast envelope: structure, function and role in leaf metabolism. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol* 32:139–168
- Heberle-Bors E (1983) Induction of embryogenic pollen grain in situ and subsequent in vitro pollen embryogenesis in *Nicotiana tabacum* by treatments of the pollen donor plants with feminizing agents. *Physiol Plant* 59:67–72
- Heble MR, Chadha MS (1985a) Recent developments in the biotechnological application of plant tissue and organ cultures. In: Proc 5th ISHS Symp Med Aromatic and Spice Plants, Darjeeling, pp 67–74
- Heble MR, Chadha MS (1985b) Recent developments in plant biotechnology. In: Biotechnology in health care. New Delhi, pp 55–64
- Heble MR, Staba E (1980) Steroid metabolism in stationary phase cell suspensions of *Dioscorea deltoidea*. *Planta Med Suppl*, pp 124–128
- Heble MR, Narayanaswamy S, Chadha MS (1967) Diosgenin production and beta-sitosterol isolation from *Solanum xanthocarpum* tissue cultures. *Science* 161:1145
- Hecht V, Vielle-Calçada JP, Hartog M, Schmidt EDL, Boutilier K, Grossniklaus U, de Vries SC (2001) The *Arabidopsis* somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase gene is expressed in developing ovules and embryos and enhances embryogenic competence in culture. *Plant Physiol* 127:803–816
- Hellwig S, Drossart J, Twyman RM, Fischer R (2004) Plant cell cultures for the production of recombinant proteins. *Nature Biotechnol* 22:1425–1422
- Herrera-Estrella L (1983) Transfer and expression of foreign genes in plants. PhD Thesis, Laboratory of Genetics, Gent University, Belgium
- Herrera-Estrella A, Van Montagu M, Wang K (1990) A bacterial peptide acting as a plant nuclear targeting signal: the amino-terminal portion of *Agrobacterium* VirD2 protein directs the  $\beta$ -galactosidase fusion protein into tobacco nuclei. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 87:9534–9537
- Herzbeck H, Hüseemann W (1985) Photosynthesis and carbon metabolism of photoautotrophic cell suspension cultures of *Chenopodium rubrum*. In: Neumann KH, Barz W, Reinhard E (eds) Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 15–23
- Himeno H, Sano K (1987) Synthesis of crocin, picrocrocin and safranal by saffron stigma-like structure proliferated in vitro. *Agric Biol Chem* 51:2395–2400
- Hiroaka N, Bhatt ID (2008) Micropropagation and alkaloid production through somatic embryo cultures of *Corydalis*. In: Kumar A, Sopory S (eds) Recent advances in plant biotechnology and its applications. I.K. International, New Delhi, pp 622–630
- Hiraoka N, Bhatt ID, Sakurai Y, Chang JI (2004) Alkaloid production by somatic embryo cultures of *Corydalis ambigua*. *Plant Biotechnol* 21(5):361–366
- Holden M (1990) Prospect for the genetic manipulation of metabolic pathways leading to secondary products. In: Sangwan RS, Sangwan-Norreel BS (eds) The impact of biotechnology in agriculture. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 403–417
- Holden AM, Yeoman MM (1987) Optimization of product yield in immobilized plant cell cultures. In: Moody GW, Holden AM (eds) Bioreactors and biotransformation. Elsevier, London, pp 1–11
- Holden PR, Holden MA, Yeoman MM (1988) Variation in the secondary metabolism of cultured plant cells. In: Robin RJ, Rhodes MJC (eds) Manipulating secondary metabolism in culture. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 15–29
- Holden MA, Holden PR, Yeoman MM (1988) Elicitation of secondary product formation in *Capsicum frutescens* cultures. In: Robins RJ, Rhodes MJC (eds) Manipulating secondary metabolism in culture. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 57–65



- Holm PB, Knudsen S, Mouritzen P, Negri D, Olsen FL, Roue C (1994) Regeneration of fertile barley plants from mechanically isolated protoplasts of the fertilized egg cell. *Plant Cell* 9:531–543
- Honda H, Itoh T, Shiragami N, Unno H (1993) Phytohormone-control for plant cell culture using a bioreactor equipped with in-line feeding column. *J Chem Eng Japan* 26:291–296
- Houba-Herlin N, Pethe C, d'Alayer J, Laloue M (1999) Cytokinin oxidase from *Zea mays*: purification, cDNA cloning and expression in moss protoplasts. *Plant J* 17:615–626  
<http://www.estec.esa.nl/spaceflights>  
<http://www.phyton-inc.com>
- Huang Y, Morel P, Powell B, Kado CI (1990) VirA, a coregulator of Ti-specified virulence genes, is phosphorylated in vitro. *J Bacteriol* 172:1142–1144
- Huang WW, Cheng CC, Yeh FT, Tsay HS (1993) Tissue culture of *Dioscorea doryophora* Hance. 1. Callus organs and the measurement of diosgenin content. *Chin Med Coll J* 2(2):151–160
- Hückelhoven R (2004) BAX Inhibitor-1, an ancient cell death suppressor in animals and plants with prokaryotic relatives. *Apoptosis* 9:299–307
- Hughes EH, Shanks JV (2002) Metabolic engineering of plants for alkaloid production. *Metab Eng* 4:41–48
- Hulst AC, Tramper J, Brodelius P, Eijkenboom LJC, Luyben KCAM (1985) Immobilised plant cells: respiration and oxygen transfer. *J Chem Tech Biotechnol* 35B:198–204
- Hüsemann W, Barz W (1977) Photoautotrophic growth and photosynthesis in cell suspension cultures of *Chenopodium rubrum*. *Physiol Plant* 40:77–81
- Hüsemann W, Fischer K, Mittelsbach I, Hübner S, Richter G, Barz W (1989) Photoautotrophic plant cell cultures for studies on primary and secondary metabolism. In: Kurz WGW (ed) Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures, vol II. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 35–46
- Igasaki T, Akashi N, Ujino-Ihara T, Matsubayashi Y, Sakagami Y, Shinohara K (2003) Phytosulfokine stimulates somatic embryogenesis in *Crytomeria japonica*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 44:1412–1416
- Ikeda-Iwai M, Umehara M, Satoh S, Kamada H (2003) Stress-induced somatic embryogenesis in vegetative tissue of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J* 34:107–114
- Imani J (1999) In situ-Nachweis der Auxinverteilung in kultivierten Petiolen explantaten von transgenen Pflanzen während der Induktion der somatischen Embryogenese bei *Daucus carota* L. PhD Thesis, Justus Liebig University, Giessen
- Imani J, Schäfer F, Neumann K-H (1998) In situ-Nachweis der Auxinverteilung während der Induktion der somatischen Embryogenese in kultivierten Petiolenexplantaten von transgenen Karottenpflanzen. *Aktuelle Züchtungsforsch* 4. Vortr Pflanzenzücht 42:179–183
- Imani J, Nezamabadi Mashayekhi K, Neumann KH (1999) Gentechnische Verfahren als Werkzeuge in der pflanzlichen Hormonforschung. Justus Liebig University, Giessen
- Imani J, Tran Thi L, Langen G, Arnholdt-Schmitt B, Roy S, Lein C, Kumar A, Neumann KH (2001) Somatic embryogenesis and DNA organization of genomes from selected *Daucus* species. *Plant Cell Rep* 20:537–541
- Imani J, Berting A, Nitsche S, Schaefer S, Gerlich WH, Neumann KH (2002) The integration of a major hepatitis B virus gene into cell-cycle synchronized carrot cell suspension cultures and its expression in regenerated carrot plants. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 71:157–164
- Imani J, Baltruschat H, Stein E, Jia J, Vogelsberg J, Kogel K-H, Hueckelhoven R (2006) Expression of barley BAX Inhibitor-1 in carrots confers resistance to *Botrytis cinerea*. *Molec Plant Pathol* 7(4):279–284
- Imani J, Doil A, Winkelmann T, Kogel K-H (2007) Enhancement of genetic transformation rates in plants using cell cycle synchronized suspension cultures. In: Abstr Int Conf Plant Transformation Technologies (PTT), 4–7 February 2007, Wien, p 62
- Imin N, Nizamidin M, Daniher D, Nolan KE, Rose RJ, Rolfe BG (2005) Proteomic analysis of somatic embryogenesis in *Medicago truncatula*. Explant cultures under 6-benzylaminopurin and 1-naphthaleneacetic acid treatments. *Plant Physiol* 137:1250–1260
- Ionkova I (2007) Biotechnological approaches for the production of lignans. *Pharmacognosy Rev* 1:57–68

- Ishida BK (1988) Improved diosgenin production in *Dioscorea deltoidea* cell cultures by immobilization in polyurethane foam. *Plant Cell Rep* 7:270–273
- Ishida Y, Saito H, Ohta S, Hiei Y, Komari T, Kumashiro T (1996) High efficiency transformation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) mediated by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Nature Biotechnol* 14:745–750
- Issell BF, Muggia FM, Carter SK (1984) Etoposide (VP-16)—Current status and new developments. Academic Press, Orlando, FL
- Jacob A, Malpathak N (2005) Manipulation of MS and B5 components for enhancement of growth and solasodine production in hairy root cultures of *Solanum khasianum* Clarke. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 80:247–257
- Jacob A, Malpathak N (2006) Steroid factories: prospects and practicalities in effective farming of solanum plants. In: Kumar A, Roy S (eds) *Plant biotechnology and its applications in tissue culture*. I.K. International, New Delhi, pp 205–241
- Jacob A, Malpathak NP, Sudha G, Ravishankar GA (2008) A comparative study of growth kinetics in hairy root cultures of *Solanum khasianum* Clark grown in shake flasks and bioreactors. In: Kumar A, Sopory S (eds) *Recent advances in plant biotechnology and its applications*. I.K. International, New Delhi, pp 610–621
- Jacobs M, Nigruti I, Dirks R, Cammaerts R (1987) Selection programs for isolation and analysis of mutants in plant cell cultures. In: Green CE, Somers DA, Hackett WP, Biesboer DD (eds) *Plant tissue and cell culture*. A.R. Liss, New York, pp 243–264
- Jain M, Rathore AK, Khanna P (1984) Influence of kinetin and auxins on the growth and production of diosgenin by *Costus speciosus* (Koen) Sm. callus derived from rhizome. *Agric Biol Chem* 48:529
- James C (2009) *Global Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops: 2008*. ISAAA Brief No. 39. ISAAA: Ithaca, NY. ISBN 978-1-892456-44-3
- Jain SC, Sharma RA, Jain R, Mittal C (1998) Antimicrobial screening of *Cassia occidentalis* in vivo and in vitro. *Phytotherapy Res* 12:200–204
- Jang M, Cai L, Udeani GO, Slowing KV, Tomas CF, Beecher CW, Fong HH, Farnsworth NR, Kinghorn AD, Mehta RG, Moon RC, Pezzuto JM (1997) Cancer chemopreventive activity of resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes. *Science* 275:218–220
- Jasinski M, Stukkens Y, Degand H, Purnelle B, Marchand-Brynaert J, Boutry M (2001) A plant plasma membrane ATP binding cassette-type transporter is involved in antifungal terpenoid secretion. *Plant Cell* 13:1095–1107
- Jefferson RA (1987) Assaying chimeric genes in plants: the GUS gene fusion system. *Plant Molec Biol Rep* 5:387–405
- Jensen CJ (1974) Chromosome doubling techniques in haploids. In: Kasha KJ (ed) *Haploids in higher plants: advances and potential*. University of Guelph Press, Guelph, Ontario, pp 153–193
- Jensen CJ (1986) Haploid induction and production in crop plants. In: Horn et al. (eds) *Genetics manipulation in plant breeding*. W. de Gruiter, Berlin, pp 231–257
- Jin S, Prusti RK, Roitsch T, Ankenbauer RG, Nester EW (1990a) The VirG protein of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* is phosphorylated by the autophosphorylated VirA protein and this is essential for its biological activity. *J Bacteriol* 172:4945–4950
- Jin S, Roitsch T, Christie PJ, Nester EW (1990b) The regulatory VirG protein specifically binds to a cis acting regulatory sequence involved in transcriptional activation of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* virulence genes. *J Bacteriol* 172:531–562
- Jin S, Song Y, Pan S, Nester EW (1993) Characterization of a virG mutation that confers constitutive virulence gene expression in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Molec Microbiol* 7:555–562
- Joe AK, Liu H, Suzui M, Vural ME (2002) Resveratrol induces growth inhibition, S-phase arrest, apoptosis, and changes in biomarker expression in several human cancer cell lines. *Clin Cancer Res* 8:893–903
- Jones A, Veliky IA (1981) Effect of medium constituents on the viability of immobilized plant cells. *Can J Bot* 59:2095–2101

- Jung G, Tepfer D (1987) Use of genetic transformation by the Ri T-DNA of *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* to stimulate biomass and tropane alkaloid production in *Atropa belladonna* and *Calystegia sepium* roots grown in vitro. *Plant Sci* 50:145–151
- Jung JD, Park HW, Hahn Y, Hur CG, In DS, Chung HJ, Liu JR, Choi DW (2003) Discovery of genes for ginsenoside biosynthesis by analysis of ginseng expressed sequence tag. *Plant Cell Rep* 22:224–230
- Kadkade PG (1982) Growth and podophyllotoxin production in callus tissues of *Podophyllum peltatum*. *Plant Sci Lett* 25:107–115
- Takegawa K, Suda J, Sugiyama M, Komamine A (1995) Regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in cell suspension cultures of *Vitis* in relation to cell division. *Physiol Plant* 94:661–666
- Kakimoto T (2001) Identification of plant cytokinin biosynthetic enzymes as dimethylallyl diphosphate:ATP/ADP isopentenyltransferases. *Plant Cell Physiol* 42:677–685
- Kamisako W, Morimoto K, Makino I, Isoi K (1984) Changes in triterpenoid content during the growth cycle of cultured plant cells. *Plant Cell Physiol* 25:1571–1574
- Kapusta J, Modelska A, Figlerowicz M, Pniewski T, Letellier M, Lisowa O, Yusibov V, Koprowski H, Plucienniczak A, Legocki AB (1999) A plant derived edible vaccine against hepatitis B virus. *FASEB J* 13:1796–1799
- Kartha KK, Michayluk MR, Rao KN, Gamborg OL, Constabel F (1974) Callus formation and plant regeneration from mesophyll protoplasts of rape plants (*Brassica napus* L. cv. Zephyr). *Plant Sci Lett* 3:265–271
- Kasha KS, Rheinsberg E (1980) Achievements with haploids in barley research and breeding. In: Davies DR, Hopwood DA (eds) *The plant genome*. John Innes Charity, Norwich, pp 215–230
- Kaul B, Stohs SJ, Staba EJ (1969) *Dioscorea* tissue cultures. 3. Influence of various factors on diosgenin production by *Dioscorea deltoidea* callus and suspension cultures. *Lloydia* 32:347–359
- Keller WA, Amison PG, Cary BJ (1987) Haploids form gametophytic cells—recent developments and future prospects. In: Green CE, Somers DA, Hackett WP, Biesboer DD (eds) *Plant tissue and cell culture*. A.R. Liss, New York, pp 223–241
- Ketchum REB, Gibson DM, Croteau RB, Shuler ML (1999) The kinetics of taxoid accumulation in cell suspension cultures of *Taxus* following elicitation with methyl jasmonate. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 62:97–105
- Khanam N, Khoo C, Close R, Khan AG (2000) Organogenesis, differentiation and histolocalization of alkaloids in cultured tissues and organs of *Duboisia myoporoides* R. Br. *Ann Bot* 86:745–752
- Khanna P (1977) Tissue culture and useful drugs: a review of twenty plant species grown in vitro: cultivation and utilization of medicinal and aromatic plants. In: Atal CK, Kapoor BM (eds) *RRL, Jammu Tawi, India*, pp 495–500
- Khanna P, Staba EJ (1968) Antimicrobials from plant tissue cultures. *Lloydia* 31:180–189
- Kibler R (1978) Untersuchungen zum Einfluß des Ploidieniveaus auf Wachstum, Differenzierung und Alkaloidsyntheseleistung von *Datura innoxia*-Zellsuspensionskulturen. Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Kibler R, Neumann KH (1980) On cytogenetic stability of cultured tissues and cell suspensions of haploid and diploid origin. In: Sala F, Parisi B, Cella R, Ciferri O (eds) *Plant cell cultures: results and perspectives*. Elsevier/North Holland, Amsterdam, pp 59–65
- Kim JH, Yun JH, Hwang YS, Byun SY, Kim DI (1995) Production of taxol and related taxanes in *Taxus brevifolia* cell cultures: effect of sugar. *Biotechnol Lett* 17:101–106
- Kim Y, Wyslouzil BE, Weathers PJ (2002) Secondary metabolism of hairy root cultures in bioreactors. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* 38:1–10
- Kim SI, Kim JY, Kim EA, Kwon KH, Kim KW, Cho K, Lee JH, Nam MH, Yang DC, Yoo JS, Park YM (2003) Proteome analysis of hairy root from *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer using peptide fingerprinting, internal sequencing and expressed sequence tag data. *Proteomics* 3:2379–2397

- King J, Mansfield KJ, Street HE (1973) Control of growth and cell division in plant cell suspension cultures. *Can J Bot* 51:1807–1823
- Klee HJ, Hayford MB, Kretzmer KA, Barry GF, Kishore GM (1991) Control of ethylene synthesis by expression of a bacterial enzyme in transgenic tomato plants. *Plant Cell* 3:1187–1193
- Kleinig H, Hara S, Schuchmann R (1982) Lipid metabolism in plant tissue culture cells. Acetate incorporation, triacylglycerol accumulation. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 257–258
- Knappe S, Flugge UI, Fischer K (2003) Analysis of the plastidic phosphate translocator gene family in *Arabidopsis* and identification of new phosphate translocator homologous transporters classified by their putative substrate-binding site. *Plant Physiol* 131:1178–1190
- Knudson L (1946) A new nutrient solution for the germination of orchid seed. *Am Orchid Soc Bull* 15:214–217
- Kohlenbach HW, Körber M, Lang H, Li L, Schöpke C (1982) Differentiation in suspension cultures of isolated protoplasts. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 95–96
- Koller A, Washburn MP, Lange BM, Andon NL, Deciu C, Haynes PA, Hays L, Schieltz D, Ulaszek R, Wei J, Wolters D, Yates JR III (2002) Proteomic survey of metabolic pathways in rice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99:11969–11974
- Komamine A, Misawa M, DiCosmo FE (1991) Plant cell culture in Japan. Progress in production of useful plant metabolites by Japanese enterprises using plant cell culture technology. CMC Co. Ltd, Tokyo
- Komari T, Hiei Y, Saito Y, Murai N, Kumashiro T (1996) Vectors carrying two T-DNA for cotransformation of higher plants mediated by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and segregation of transformants free from selection markers. *Plant J* 10(1):165–174
- Koncz C, Németh K, Redei GP, Scell J (1994) In: Paszkowski J (ed) Homologous recombination and gene silencing in plants. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 167–189
- Kormutak A, Salaj T, Matusova R, Vookova B (2003) Biochemistry of zygotic and somatic embryogenesis in silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.). *Acta Biol Cracoviensis Series Bot* 45:159–162
- Kreuger M, van Holst GJ (1996) Arabinogalactan proteins and plant differentiation. *Plant Molec Biol* 30(6):1077–1086
- Krikorian AD (2001) Novel application of plant tissue culture and conventional breeding techniques to space biology research. In: Bender L, Kumar A (eds) *From soil to cell—a broad approach to plant life*. Giessen Electronic Library, GEB. [http://geb.uni-giessen.de/geb/ebooks\\_ebene2.php](http://geb.uni-giessen.de/geb/ebooks_ebene2.php)
- Krikorian AD (2003) Stress and genome shock in developing somatic embryos in space. In: *Biotechnology 2002 and Beyond, Proc 10th IAPTC Congr, Orlando, FL*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 347–350
- Krikorian AD, Berquam DJ (1986) Plant cell and tissue cultures: the role of Haberlandt. *Bot Rev*, pp 59–67
- Krikorian AD, Levin HG (1991) Development and growth in space. In: Bidwell RGS, Steward FC (eds) *Plant Physiology. A Treatise*, vol X. Academic Press, New York, pp 491–555
- Krisa S, Vitrac X, Decendit A, Larronde F, Deffieux G, Mérillon JM (1999) Obtaining *Vitis vinifera* cell cultures producing higher amounts of malvidin-3-O-glucoside. *Biotechnol Lett* 21:497–500
- Ku KL, Chang PS, Cheng YC, Lien CY (2005) Production of stilbenoids from the callus of *Arachis hypogaea*: a novel source of the anticancer compound piceatannol. *J Agric Food Chem* 53:3877–3881
- Kubis SE, Pike MJ, Everett CJ, Hill MN, Rawsthorne S (2004) The import of phosphoenolpyruvate by plastids from developing embryos oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) and the potential as a substrate for fatty acid synthesis. *J Exp Bot* 55:1455–1462
- Kukeřa AK, Garg S (2008) Forskolin biosynthesis and invertase activity in *Agrobacterium* rhizogenes mediated transformed roots of *Coleus forskohlii*. In: Kumar A, Sopory S (eds) *Recent advances in plant biotechnology and its applications*. I.K. International, New Delhi, pp 517–533

- Kukreja AK, Mathur AK, Ahuja PS (1986) Morphogenetic potential of foliar explants in *Duboisia myoporoides* R. Br. (Solanaceae). *Plant Cell Rep* 5:27–30
- Kumar A (1974a) Effect of iron and manganese on the growth and chlorophyll development of the tissues grown in culture. *Indian J Exp Biol* 12:595–596
- Kumar A (1974b) In vitro growth and chlorophyll formation in mesophyll callus tissues on sugar free medium. *Phytomorphology* 24:96–101
- Kumar A, Neumann KH (1999) Comparative investigations on plastid development of meristematic regions of seedlings and tissue cultures of *Daucus carota* L. *J Appl Bot Angew Bot* 73:206–210
- Kumar A, Roy S (2006) *Plant biotechnology & its applications in tissue culture*. I.K. International, New Delhi
- Kumar A, Sopory S (eds) (2008) *Recent advances in plant biotechnology and its applications*. I.K. International, New Delhi
- Kumar A, Shekhawat NS (2009) *Plant tissue culture and molecular markers. Their role in improving crop productivity*. I.K. International, New Delhi
- Kumar A, Bender L, Neumann KH (1983a) Photosynthesis in cultured plant cells and their autotrophic growth. *J Plant Physiol Biochem* 10:130–140
- Kumar A, Bender L, Pauler B, Neumann KH, Senger H, Jeske C (1983b) Ultrastructural and biochemical development of the photosynthetic apparatus during callus induction in carrot root explants. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 2:161–177
- Kumar A, Bender L, Neumann KH (1984) Growth regulation, plastid differentiation and the development of photosynthetic system in cultured carrot root explants as influenced by exogenous sucrose and various phytohormones. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 3:11–28
- Kumar A, Bender L, Neumann KH (1987) Some results of the photosynthetic system of mixotrophic carrot cells (*Daucus carota*). In: Biggins J (ed) *Progress in Photosynthesis Research*, vol III(4). Martin Nijhoff, Dordrecht, pp 363–366
- Kumar A, Roy S, Neumann KH (1989) Activities of carbon dioxide fixing enzymes in maize tissue cultures in comparison to young seedlings. *Physiol Plant* 76:185
- Kumar A, Roy S, Neumann K-H (1990) Activities of carbon dioxide fixing enzymes in maize tissue cultures in comparison to young seedlings. In: Baltscheffsky M (ed) *Current Research in Photosynthesis*, vol IV. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 275–278
- Kumar A, Bender L, Neumann K-H (1999) Characterization of the photosynthetic system (ultrastructure of plastid, fluorescence induction profiles, low temperature spectra) of *Arachis hypogaea* L. callus cultures as influenced by sucrose and various hormonal treatments. *J Appl Bot Angew Bot* 73:211–216
- Kumar S, Ganapathi TR, Bapat VA (2007) Production of hepatitis B surface antigen in recombinant plant systems: an update. *Biotechnol Prog* 23:532–539
- Kumashiro T, Oinuma T (1985) Comparison of genetic variability among anther-derived and ovule-derived doubled-haploid lines of tobacco. *Japan J Breed* 35:301–310
- Kunze R, Frommer WB, Flugge UI (2002) Metabolic engineering of plants: the role of membrane transport. *Metab Eng* 4:57–66
- Kushiro T, Ohno Y, Shibuya Y, Ebizuka Y (1997) In vitro conversion of 2,3-oxidosqualene into dammarenediol by *Panax ginseng* microsomes. *Biol Pharmacol Bull* 20:292–294
- Kushiro T, Shibuya M, Ebizuka Y (1998) Amyrin synthase: cloning of oxidosqualene cyclase that catalyzes the formation of the most popular triterpene among higher plants. *Eur J Biochem* 256:238–244
- Langridge WHR, Fitzgerald KJ, Koncz C, Scheu J, Szalay A (1989) Dual promoter of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mannopine gytbase genes is regulated by plant growth hormones. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 80:3214–3223
- Larkin S, Snowcroft W (1981) Somaclonal variation—a novel source of variability from cell cultures for plant improvement. *Theor Appl Genet* 60:197–214
- Larkin PJ, Brettell RIS, Ryan SA, Davies PA, Palotta WA, Scowcroft WR (1985) Somaclonal variation: impact on plant biology and breeding strategies. In: Zaitlin M, Day P, Hollaender A, Wilson CM (eds) *Biotechnology in plant science: relevance to agriculture in the eighties*. Orlando, FL, Academic Press, New York, pp 83–100

- Le TT (2001) Untersuchungen zur Bedeutung der Abscisinsäure (ABA) für die somatische Embryogenese verschiedener Daucusarten unter Einbeziehung transgener Stämme. Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Lee Mi-Hyun, Jae-Hun Jeong, Jin-Wook Seo, Cha-Gyun Shin, Young-Soon Kim, Jun-Gygo In, Deok-Chun Yang, Jae-Seon Yi, Yong-Eui Choi (2004) Enhanced triterpene and phytosterol biosynthesis in *Panax ginseng*—Overexpressing squalene synthase. *Gene Plant Cell Physiol* 45:976–984
- Leech MJ, May K, Hallard D, Verpoorte R, De Luca V, Christou P (1998) Expression of two consecutive genes of a secondary metabolic pathway in transgenic tobacco: molecular diversity influences levels of expression and product accumulation. *Plant Molec Biol* 38:765–774
- Lehman CW, Trautman JK, Carroll D (1994) Illegitimate recombination in *Xenopus*: characterization of end-joined junctions. *Nucleic Acid Res* 22:434–442
- Latham DS (1966) Regulators of cell division in plant tissue. II. A cytokinin in plant extracts: isolation and interaction with other growth regulators. *Phytochemistry* 5:269
- Li T, Neumann KH (1985) Embryogenesis and endogenous hormone content of cell cultures of some carrot varieties (*Daucus carota* L.). *Ber Dtsch Bot Ges* 98:227–235
- Lin Y, Tsay HS (2004) Studies on the production of some important secondary metabolites from medicinal plants by plant tissue cultures. *Bot Bull Acad Sin* 45:1–22
- Lindsey K, Yeoman MM, Black GM, Mavituna F (1983) A novel method for the immobilization and culture of plant cells. *FEBS Lett* 155:143–149
- Linsler H, Neumann KH (1968) Untersuchungen über Beziehungen zwischen Zellteilung und Morphogenese bei Gewebekulturen von *Daucus carota* L. I. Rhizogenese und Ausbildung ganzer Pflanzen. *Physiol Plant* 21:487–499
- Liu CZ, Wang YC, Ouyang F, Ye HC, Li GF (1997) Production of artemisinin by hairy root cultures of *Artemisia annua* L. *Biotechnol Lett* 19:927–930
- Lo KH, Pauls KP (1992) Plant growth environment effects on rapeseed microspores development and culture. *Plant Physiol* 99:468–472
- Lorenz H (2006) Replikation von drei Säuger-Hepadnaviren im amerikanischen Waldmurmeltier (*Marmota monax*) und Expression der viralen Oberflächenproteine in transgenen Pflanzen. GEB, Giessener Elektronische Bibliothek, Dissertation, Fachbereichs Veterinärmedizin, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Lörz H, Baker B, Schell J (1985) Gene transfer to cereal cells mediated by protoplast transformation. *Molec Gen Genet* 199:473–497
- Loschiavo F, Pitto L, Guiliano G, Torti G, Nuti-Ronchi V, Marazziti D, Vergara R, Orselli S, Terzi M (1989) DNA methylation of embryogenic carrot cell cultures and its variations as caused by mutation, differentiation, hormones and hypomethylating drugs. *Theor Appl Genet* 77:325–331
- Lough RC, Varrieur JM, Veilleux RE (2001) Selection inherent in monoploid derivation mechanisms for potato. *Theor Appl Genet* 103:178–184
- Luckner M (1980) Alkaloid biosynthesis in *Penicillium cyclopium*—does it reflect general features of secondary metabolism? *J Nat Prod* 43:21–40
- Luckner M, Dietrich B (1985) Formation of cardenolides in cell and organ cultures of *Digitalis lanata*. In: Neumann KH, Barz W, Reinhard W (eds) Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 154–163
- Luckner M, Dietrich B (1987) Biosynthesis of caedenolides in cell cultures of *Digitalis lanata*—The results of a new strategy. In: Green CE, Somers DA, Hackett WP, Biesboer DD (eds) Plant tissue and cell culture. A.R. Liss, New York, pp 187–197
- Lyndon RF (1990) Plant development: the cellular basis. Unwin Hyman, London
- Magnotta M, Murata J, Chen J, De Luca V (2007) Expression of deacetylcholine-4-O-acetyltransferase in *Catharanthus roseus* hairy roots. *Phytochemistry* 68:1922–1931
- Maheswari P (1979) An introduction to embryology of angiosperms. McGraw Hill, New Delhi
- Majewska-Sawka A, Nothnagel EA (2000) The multiple roles of arabinogalactan proteins in plant development. *Plant Physiol* 122:3–9

- Malabadi R, Staden J (2005) Storability and germination of sodium alginate encapsulated somatic embryos derived from the vegetative shoot apices of mature *Pinus patula* trees. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 82:259–265
- Malinowski R, Filipecki M, Tagashira N, Wi newska A, Gaj P, Plader W, Malepszy S (2004) Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase genes in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*)—differential expression during somatic embryogenesis. *Physiol Plant* 120:678–685
- Maraschin SF, de Priester W, Spaink HP, Wand M (2005) Androgenic switch: an example of plant embryogenesis from the male gametophyte perspective. *J Exp Bot* 56:1711–1726
- Markham KR, Gould KS, Winefield CS, Mitchell KA, Bloor SJ, Boase MR (2000) Anthocyanic vacuolar inclusions—their nature and significance in flower colouration. *Phytochemistry* 55:327–336
- Martinoia E, Klein M, Geisler M, Bovet L, Forestier C, Kolukisaoglu U, Muller-Rober B, Schulz B (2002) Multifunctionality of plant ABC transporters—more than just detoxifiers. *Planta* 214:345–355
- Mashayekhi K, Neumann KH (2006) Effects of boron on somatic embryogenesis of *Daucus carota*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 84:279–283
- Mashayekhi-Nezamabadi K (2001) Untersuchungen zum Einfluss von Bor auf die somatische Embryogenese bei *Daucus carota* L. Dissertation, Justus Liebig University, Giessen
- Mason HS, Lam DMK, Amtzen CJ (1992) Expression of hepatitis B surface antigen in transgenic plants. *PNAS* 89:11745–11749
- Mason HS, Ball JM, Shi JJ, Jiang X, Estes MK, Amtzen CJ (1996) Expression of Norwalk virus capsid protein in transgenic tobacco and potato and its oral immunogenicity in rice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93:5335–5340
- Matsumoto T, Sakai A, Yamada K (1994) Cryopreservation of in vitro grown apical meristems of wasabi (*Wasabia japonica*) by vitrification and subsequent high plant regeneration. *Plant Cell Rep* 13:442–446
- Mathews PR, Wang MB, Waterhouse PM, Thornton S, Fieg SJ, Gubler F, Jacobsen JV (2001) Marker gene elimination from transgenic barley, using co-transformation with adjacent ‘twin T-DNAs’ on a standard *Agrobacterium* transformation vector. *Molec Breed* 7:195–202
- Matthysse AG (1986). Initial interactions of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* with plant host cells. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 13:281–307
- Maxwell S, Cruickshank A, Thorpe G (1994) Red wine and antioxidant activity in serum. *Lancet* 344:193–194
- Maynard JE, Kaue MA, Aller JM, Halder CH (1986) In: Control of hepatitis B by immunization: global perspectives. *Viral Hepatitis and Liver Disease*. A.R. Liss, New York, pp 967–969
- Meijer AH, Verpoorte R, Hoge JHC (1993a) Regulation of enzymes and genes involved in terpenoid indole alkaloid biosynthesis in *Catharanthus roseus*. *J Plant Res* 3:145–164
- Meijer AH, Cardoso MIL, Voskuilen JT, de Waal A, Verpoorte R, Hoge JHC (1993b) Isolation and characterization of a cDNA clone from *Catharanthus roseus* encoding NADPH: cytochrome P-450 monooxygenases in plants. *Plant J* 4:47–60
- Melchers G, Sacristan MD, Holder A (1978) Somatic hybrid plants of potato and tomato regenerated from fused protoplasts. *Carlsberg Res Comm* 43:203–218
- Memelink J, Gantet P (2007) Transcription factors involved in terpenoid indole alkaloid biosynthesis in *Catharanthus roseus*. *Phytochem Rev* 6:353–362
- Menke FLH, Champion A, Kijne JW, Memelink J (1999) A novel jasmonate- and elicitor-responsive element in the periwinkle secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene *Str* interacts with a jasmonate- and elicitor-inducible AP2-domain transcription factor, ORCA2. *EMBO J* 18:4455–4463
- Merkle S (1994) In vitro-Vermehrung: heute und morgen. *Gärtnerbörse* b:282–286
- Mette MF, van der Winden J, Matzke M, Matzke AJ (2002) Short RNAs can identify new candidate transposable element families in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* 130:6–9
- Michalczyk L, Cooke TJ, Cohen JD (1992a) Auxin levels at different stages of carrot somatic embryogenesis. *Phytochemistry* 31:1097–103

- Michalczuk L, Ribnick DM, Cooke TJ, Cohen JD (1992b) Regulation of indole-3-acetic acid biosynthetic pathways in carrot cell cultures. *Plant Physiol* 100:1346–1353
- Miller CO, Skoog F, Okumura FS, von Salza MH, Strong FM (1955) *J Am Chem Soc* 78:1375–1380
- Misawa M (1991) Plant tissue culture: an alternative for production of useful metabolites. *FAO Agricultural Services Bull* 108:1010–1365
- Mitscherlich EA (1954) *Bodenkunde*. Parey, Berlin
- Miura Y, Hirata K, Kurano N, Miyamoto K, Uccida K (1988) Formation of vinblastine in multiple shoot culture of *Catharanthus roseus*. *Planta Med* 54:18–20
- Morel G (1963) La culture in vitro du méristème apical de certaines orchidées. *C R Acad Sci Paris* 256:4955–4957
- Morel G (1974) Clonal multiplication of orchids. In: Withers E (ed) *The orchids: scientific studies*. Wiley, New York, pp 169–222
- Moreno RMB, Macke F, Hauser MT, Alwen A, Heberle-Bors E (1989) Sporophytes and male gametophytes from in vitro cultured, immature tobacco pollen. In: Cresti M, Gori P, Pacini E (eds) *Sexual reproduction in higher plants*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 137–142
- Moreno-Valenzuela OA, Galaz-Avalos RM, Minero-García Y, Loyola-Vargas VM (1998) Effect of differentiation on the regulation of indole alkaloid production in *Catharanthus roseus* hairy roots. *Plant Cell Rep* 18:99–104
- Morgan JA, Shanks YV (2000) Determination of metabolic rate-limitations by precursor feeding in *Catharanthus roseus* hairy root cultures. *J Biotechnol* 79:137–145
- Morgan J, Rijhwani SK, Shanks JV (1999) Metabolic engineering for the production of plant secondary metabolites. In: Lee SY, Papoutsakis ET (eds) *Metabolic engineering*. Dekker, New York, pp 325–352
- Morris RO, Bilyeu KD, Laskey JG, Cheikh (1999) Isolation of a gene encoding a glycosylated cytokinin oxidase from maize. *Biochem Biophys Res Comm* 255:328–333
- Muir WH, Hildebrandt AC, Riker AJ (1954) Plant tissue cultures produced from single isolated plant cells. *Science* 119:877–878
- Muir SR, Geoff J, Collins R, Hughes S, Bovy A, Ric De Vos CH, van Tunen AJ, Verhoeyen ME (2001) Overexpression of petunia chalcone isomerase in tomato results in fruits containing levels of flavonoids. *Nature Biotechnol* 19:470–474
- Müller-Kuhrt L (2003) Putting nature back into drug discovery. *Nature Biotechnol* 21:602
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue. *Physiol Plant* 15:473–497
- Murray F, Bishop D, Mathews P, Jacobsen J (2001) Improving barley transformation efficiency. In: *Proc 10th Australian Barley Technical Symp*, 16–20 September 2001, CSIRO, Canberra
- Nagata T, Nemoto Y, Hasezawa S (1992) Tobacco BY-2 cell line as the HeLa cell in cell biology of higher plants. *Int Rev Cytol* 132:1–30
- Nagl W (1970) Karyologische Anatomie des Endosperms von *Phaseolus coccineus*. *Plant Syst Evol* 128:566–571
- Nam MH, Heo EJ, Kim JY, Kim SI, Kwon KH, Seo JB, Kwon O, Yoo JS, Park YM (2003) Proteome analysis of the responses of *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer leaves to high light: use of electrospray ionization quadrupole-time of flight mass spectrometry and expressed sequence tag data. *Proteomics* 3:2351–2367
- Narula A, Kumar S, Abdin MZ, Srivastava PS (2006) Biotechnology of medicinal plants. In: Kumar A, Roy S S (eds) *Plant biotechnology and its applications in tissue culture*. I.K. International, New Delhi
- Nato A, Hoarau J, Brangeon J, Hirel B, Suzuki A (1985) Regulation of carbon and nitrogen assimilation pathways in tobacco cell suspension cultures in relation with ultrastructural and biochemical development of the photosynthetic apparatus. In: Neumann KH, Barz W, Reinhard E (eds) *Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 43–57



- Neumann KH (1962) Untersuchungen über den Einfluß essentieller Schwermetalle auf das Wachstum und den Proteinstoffwechsel von Karottengewebekulturen. Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Neumann KH (1966) Wurzelbildung und Nukleinsäuregehalt bei Phloem-Gewebekulturen der Karottenwurzel auf synthetischem Nährmedium. *Congr Coll Univ Liege* 38:96–102
- Neumann KH (1968) Über Beziehungen zwischen hormonal gesteuerter Zellteilungsgeschwindigkeit und Differenzierung, ein Beitrag zur Physiologie der pflanzlichen Ertragsbildung. Habilitation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Neumann KH (1969) Der Eintritt der Elemente Kohlenstoff, Wasserstoff und Sauerstoff in den Stoffwechsel. In: Linser H (ed) *Handbuch der Pflanzenernährung und Düngung*. Springer, Wien, pp 301–374
- Neumann KH (1972) Untersuchungen über den Einfluß des Kinetins und des Eisens auf den Nukleinsäure- und Proteinstoffwechsel von Karottengewebekulturen. *Zeitschr Pflanzenernäh Bodenkunde* 131:211–220
- Neumann KH (1995) *Pflanzliche Zell- und Gewebekulturen*. Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart
- Neumann K-H (2006) Some studies on somatic embryogenesis: a tool in plant biotechnology. In: Kumar A, Roy S, Sopory S (eds) *Plant biotechnology and its applications in tissue culture*. I.K. International, New Delhi, pp 1–14
- Neumann KH, Bender L (1987) Photosynthesis in plant cell and tissue culture systems. In: Green CE, Somers DA, Hackett WP, Biesboer DD (eds) *Proc VI Int Congr Plant Tissue and Cell Culture*. A.R. Liss, New York, pp 151–168
- Neumann KH, Grieb B (1992) Somatische Embryogenese bei höheren Pflanzen: Grundlagen und praktische Anwendung. *Wiss Zeitschr Humboldt-Universität Berlin, Math/Naturwiss* 41:63–80
- Neumann KH, Raafat A (1973) Further studies on the photosynthesis of carrot tissue cultures. *Plant Physiol* 51:685–690
- Neumann KH, Steward FC (1968) Investigation on the growth and metabolism of cultured explants of *Daucus carota* I. Effects of iron, manganese and molybdenum on growth. *Planta* 81:333–350
- Neumann KH, Pertsch C, Moos M, Krömmelbein C (1978) Untersuchungen zur Charakterisierung des Ernährungssystems von Karottengewebekulturen, *Daucus carota* L. (Photosynthese, Kohlenstoff- und Stickstoffernährung, Mineralstoffverbrauch) unter dem Einfluß des Kinetins. *Zeitschr Pflanzenernähr Bodenk* 141:298–311
- Neumann KH, Bender L, Kumar A, Szegoe M (1982) Photosynthesis and pathways of carbon in tissue cultures of *Daucus* and *Arachis*. In: *Proc Int Congr Plant Tissue and Cell Culture*, Tokyo, pp 251–252
- Neumann KH, Barz W, Reinhard E (eds) (1985) *Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Neumann KH, Gross U, Bender L (1989) Regulation of photosynthesis in *Daucus carota* and *Arachis hypogea* cell cultures by exogenous sucrose. In: Kurz GW (ed) *Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures*, vol II. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 281–294
- Nguyen T, Eshraghi J, Gonyea G, Ream R, Smith R (2001) Studies on factors influencing stability and recovery of paclitaxel from suspension media and cultures of *Taxus cuspidata* cv *Densiformis* by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr A* 911:55–61
- Nhut DT, Tien TNT, Huong MTN, Hien NTT, Huyen PX, Luan VQ, Teixeira da S (2005) Artificial seeds for propagation and preservation of *Cymbidium* spp. *Prop Ornament Plants* 5:67–73
- Nitsch JP, Nitsch C (1969) Haploid plants from pollen grains. *Science* 163:85–87
- Nobecourt PC (1937) Culture en série de tissus végétaux sur le milieu artificiel. *C R Acad Sci Paris* 205:521–523
- Nogler GA (1984) Gametophytic apomixis. In: Johri BM (ed) *Embryology of angiosperms*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 475–518

- Nolan EK, Irwanto RR, Rose RJ (2003) Auxin up-regulates MtSERK1 expression in both *Medicago truncatula* root forming and embryogenic cultures. *Plant Physiol* 1033:218–230
- Odake K, Ichi T, Kusahara K (1991) Production of madder colorants. In: Komamine A, Misawa M, DiCosmo FE (eds) *Plant cell culture in Japan*. CMC Co. Ltd, Tokyo, pp 301–325
- O'Keefe BR, Mahady JJ, Gills CWW (1997) Beecher stable vindoline production in transformed cell cultures of *Catharanthus roseus*. *J Nat Prod* 60:261–264
- Oksman-Caldentey K-M, Inzé D (2004) Plant cell factories in the post-genomic era: new ways to produce designer secondary metabolites. *Trends Plant Sci* 9:433–440
- Oostdam A, Mol JNM, Van der Plas LHW (1993) Establishment of hairy root cultures of *Linum flavum* producing the lignan 5-methoxy podophyllotoxin. *Plant Cell Rep* 12:474–477
- Ozeki Y, Komamine A (1986) Effects of growth regulators on the induction of anthocyanin synthesis in carrot suspension cultures. *Plant Cell Physiol* 27:1361–1368
- Pabo CO, Peisach E, Grant RA (2001) Design and selection of novel Cys2His2 zinc finger proteins. *Annu Rev Biochem* 70:313–340
- Pan SQ, Charles T, Jin S, Wu ZL, Nester EW (2003) Preformed dimeric state of the sensor protein VirA is involved in plant-*Agrobacterium* signal transduction. In: *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 90:9939–9943
- Panda AK, Saroj M, Bisaria VS, Bhojwani SS (1989) Plant cell reactors: a perspective. *Enz Microb Technol* 11:386–397
- Park SU, Facchini PJ (2000) *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*-mediated transformation of opium poppy, *Papaver somniferum* L., and California poppy, *Eschscholzia californica* Cham., root cultures. *J Exp Bot* 347:1005–1016
- Parkinson JS (1993) Signal transduction schemes of bacteria. *Cell* 73:857–871
- Pasternak TP, Prinsen E, Ayaydin F, Miscolczi P, Potters G, Asard H, Van Onckelen HA, Dudits D, Feher A (2002) The role of auxin, pH and stress in the activation of embryogenic cell division in leaf protoplast-derived cells of alfalfa. *Plant Physiol* 129:1807–1819
- Pavlov A, Bley T (2005) Betalains biosynthesis by *Beta vulgaris* L. hairy root culture in different bioreactor systems. *Scientific Works, University of Food Technologies* 52:299–304
- Pawlowski WP, Somers DA (1996) Transgene inheritance in plants genetically engineered by microprojectile bombardment. *Molec Biotechnol* 6:17–30
- Payne GF, Shuler ML, Brodelius P (1987) Large scale plant cell culture. In: Lydersen BK (ed) *Large scale cell culture technology*. Hansen, New York, pp 193–229
- Peiter E, Imani J, Yan F, Schubert S (2003) A novel procedure for gentle isolation and separation of intact infected and uninfected protoplasts from the central tissue of *Vicia faba* L. root nodules. *Plant Cell Environ* 26:1117–1126
- Penaloza E, Monaz K, Salvo-Garrido H, Silva H, Corcurea L (2005) Phosphate deficiency regulates phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase expression in proteoid root clusters of white lupin. *J Exp Bot* 56:145–153
- Pétiard V, Bariaud-Fontanel A (1987) La culture des cellules végétales. *Recherche (Paris)* 18(188):602–610
- Planchais S, Glab N, Inze D, Bergounioux C (2000) Chemical inhibitors: a tool for plant cell cycle studies. *FEBS Lett* 476:78–83
- Pleschka E (1995) Untersuchungen zum Einfluß von Saccharose und einigen weiteren Kohlenhydraten auf die somatische Embryogenese von mixotrophen und photoautotrophen Gewebekulturen von *Daucus carota* L. Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Pleschka E, Mashayekhi-Nesambadi K, Imani J, Lein C, Neumann KH (2001) The significance of carbohydrates in somatic embryogenesis of carrot tissue cultures. *J Appl Bot* 75:188–194
- Pollard JK, Shantz EM, Steward FC (1961) Hexitols in coconut milk: their role in nurture of dividing cells. *Plant Physiol* 36:492–501
- Potrykus I (1991) Gene transfer to plants: assessment of published approaches and results. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Molec Biol* 42:205–225
- Potrykus I, Paszkowski J, Saul M, Negrutin I, Schillito RD (1987) Direct gene transfer to plants: facts and future. In: Green CE, Somers DA, Hackett WP, Biesboer DD (eds) *Plant tissue and cell culture*. A.R. Liss, New York, pp 289–362

- Poulev A, Neal JM, Logendra S, Pouleva RB, Garvey AS, Gleba D, Timeva V, Jenkins IS, Halpern B, Kneer R, Cragg GM, Raskin I (2003) Elicitation, a new window into plant chemodiversity and phytochemical drug discovery. *J Med Chem* 46:2542–2547
- Premjet D, Tachibana S (2004) Production of podophyllotoxin by immobilized cell cultures of *Juniperus chinensis*. *Pakistan J Biol Sci* 7:1130–1134
- Prenosil JE, Pedersen H (1983) Immobilized plant cell reactors. *Enz Microb Technol* 5:323–331
- Puchta H (1998) Repair of genomic double-strand breaks in somatic cells by one-side invasion of homologous sequences. *Plant J* 13:331–339
- Pullman GS, Montello P, Cairney J, Xu N, Feng X (2003) Loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) somatic embryogenesis: maturation improvements by metal analysis of cygotic and somatic embryos. *Plant Sci* 164:955–969
- Rajasekaran K, Hein MB, Davis GC, Carnes MG, Vasil IK (1987) Endogenous plant growth regulators in leaves and tissue cultures of napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schum.). *J Plant Physiol* 130:13–25
- Rajnachalmessai J (1988) Cellules végétales en quête de métabolites. *Biofutur* (Paris), pp 23–34
- Rao SR, Ravishankar GA (2000) Biotransformation of protocatechuic aldehyde and caffeic acid to vanillin and capsaicin in freely suspended and immobilized cell cultures of *Capsicum frutescens*. *J Biotechnol* 76:137–146
- Rao SR, Ravishankar GA (2002) Plant cell cultures: chemical factories of secondary metabolites. *Biotechnol Adv* 20:101–153
- Rasci-Gaunt S, Riley A, Cannell M, Barcelo P, Lazzeri PA (2001) Procedures allowing the transformation of a range of European elite wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties via particle bombardment. *J Exp Bot* 52:865–878
- Raskin I, Ribnicky DM, Komarnytsky N, Ilic A (2002) Plants and human health in the twenty-first century. *Trends Biotechnol* 20:522–531
- Ratcliffe RG, Shachar-Hill Y (2001) Probing plant metabolism with NMR. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Molec Biol* 52:499–526
- Rates SMK (2001) Plants as sources of drugs. *Toxicol* 39:603–613
- Ravishankar GA, Rao RS (2000) Biotechnological production of phyto-pharmaceuticals. *J Biochem Molec Biol Biophys* 4:73–102
- Ray S, Jha S (1999) Withanolide synthesis in cultures of *Withania somnifera* transformed with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Plant Sci* 146:1–7
- Raynaud C, Perennes C, Reuzeau C, Catrice O, Brown S, Bergounioux C (2005) Cell and plastid division are coordinated through the prereplication factor AtCDT1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:8216–8221
- Redenbaugh K, Slade D, Viss P, Fujii J (1987) Encapsulation of somatic embryos in synthetic seed coats. *Hort Sci* 22:803–809
- Reed BM (2001) Implementing cryogenic storage of clonally propagated plants. *Cryo-Letters* 22:97–104
- Rehwal L (1927) *Zeitschr Pflanzenkrankheiten Pflanzenschutz* 37:65–86
- Reichow S, Varani G (2006) Structural biology—RNA switches function. *Nature* 441:1054–1055
- Reinert J (1959) Über die Kontrolle der Embryogenese und die Induktion von Adventivembryonen an Gewebekulturen aus Karotten. *Planta* 53:318–333
- Reinert J, Yeoman MM (1982) *Plant cell and tissue culture, a laboratory manual*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Reski R (2006) Small molecules on the move: homeostasis, crosstalk, and molecular action of phytohormones. *Plant Biol* 8:277–280
- Reuther G (1984) Principle and application of the micropropagation of ornamental plants. In: Schäfer-Menuhr A (ed) *In vitro techniques. Propagation and long term storage*. Advances in Agriculture and Biotechnology, pp 1–14
- Ritschel WA, Forusz H (1994) Chronopharmacology. A review of drugs studied. *Meth Find Exp Clin Pharmacol* 16:57–75
- Roberts SC, Shuler ML (1997) Large scale plant cell culture. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 8:154–159

- Roberts LW, Baba S, Shrshraishi T, Miller AR (1982) Progress in cytodifferentiation under in vitro conditions. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 87–90
- Roger P, Hellens E, Edwards A, Nicola R, Leyland, Samantha BP, Mullineaux M (2000) pGreen: a versatile and flexible binary Ti vector for *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. *Plant Molec Biol* 42:819–832
- Roja G, Heble MR (1996) Indole alkaloids in clonal propagules of *Rauwolfia serpentina*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 44:111–115
- Rossi L, Hohn B, Tinland B (1993) Vir D2 protein carries nuclear localization signals important to transfer of T-DNA to plants. *Molec Gen Genet* 239:345–353
- Routien JR, Nickel LG (1956) Cultivation of plant tissue. US Patent no 2,747,334
- Rowe WJ (1986) New technologies in plant tissue culture. In: Zimmermann RH (ed) *Tissue culture as a plant production system for horticultural crops*. Martin Nijhoff, Dordrecht, pp 35–52
- Roy S, Kumar A (1986) Induction of chlorophyll development in maize callus cultures. In: Proc 6th Int Congr Plant Tissue and Cell Culture, Minneapolis, MN, p 367
- Roy S, Kumar A (1990) Development of photosynthetic apparatus in callus cultures derived from a C4 plant. In: Baltscheffsky M (ed) *Current Research in Photosynthesis*, vol III. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 877–880
- Sachs J (1873) *Lehrbuch der Botanik*. W. Engelmann, Leipzig
- Sajc L, Grubisic D, Vunjak-Novakovic G, Oksman-Caldentey KM, Sakai A, Matsumoto T (1996) A novel cryogenic procedure for cryopreservation of in vitro grown meristems of temperate crops-encapsulation-vitrification. In: Schäfer-Menuhr A (ed) *Proc Int Worksh In Vitro Conservation of Plant Genetic Resources, 1995*, Kuala Lumpur. IPGRI Newslett Europe 7:8
- Sajc L, Grubisic D, Vunjak-Novakovic G (2000) Bioreactors for plant engineering: an outlook for further research. *Biochem Eng J* 4:89–99
- Sakai K, Shitan N, Sato F, Ueda K, Yazaki K (2002) Characterization of berberine transport into *Coptis japonica* cells and the involvement of ABC protein. *J Exp Bot* 53:1879–1886
- Sakato K, Misawa M (1974) Effects of chemical and physical conditions on growth of *Camptotheca acuminata* cell cultures. *Agric Biol Chem* 38:491–497
- Sakuta M, Hirano H, Kakegawa K, Suda J, Hirose M, Joy RW, Sugiyama M, Komamine A (1994) Regulatory mechanisms of biosynthesis of betacyanin and anthocyanin in relation to cell division activity in suspension cultures. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 38:167–169
- Sanford J (1988) The biolistic process. *Trends Biotechnol* 6:299–302
- Sano K, Himeno H (1987) In vitro proliferation of saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) stigma. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 11:159–166
- Sanz MK, Hernandez XE, Tonn CE, Guerreiro E (2000) Enhancement of tassaric acid production in *Tessaria absinthioides* cell suspension cultures. *Plant Cell Rep* 19:821–824
- Sari N, Abak K, Pitrat M (1999) Comparison of ploidy level screening methods in watermelon: *Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum, Nakai. *Sci Horticult* 82:265–277
- Sasaki T, Kainuma K (1982) Regulation of starch synthesis and external polysaccharide synthesis by gibberellic acid in cultured sweet potato cells. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 255–256
- Sasson A (1991) Options Méditerranéennes—Série Séminaires 14:59–74
- Sato F, Yamada Y (1984) High berberine producing cultures of *Coptis japonica* cells. *Phytochemistry* 23:281–285
- Sato F, Hashimoto T, Hachiya A, Tamura KT, Choi KB, Morishige T, Fujimoto HI, Yamada Y (2001) Metabolic engineering of plant alkaloid biosynthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:367–372
- Sauer B (1993) Manipulation of transgenes by site-specific recombination: use of cre recombinase. *Methods Enzymol* 225:890–900
- Schäfer A, Blaschke JR, Neumann KH (1978) On DNA metabolism of carrot tissue cultures. *Planta* 139:97–101

- Schäfer F, Groskurt E, Neumann KH (1985) Organogenesis and embryogenesis in cultured petioles of carrots (*Daucus carota* L). In: Terzi M, Pitto L, Sung ZR (eds) Proc Worksh Somatic Embryogenesis, San Miniato, Italy, pp 159–171
- Schäfer F, Grieb B, Neumann KH (1988) Morphogenetic and histological events during somatic embryogenesis in intact carrot plantlets (*Daucus carota* L.) in various nutrient media. Bot Acta 101:362–365
- Schäfer-Menuhr A, Müller E, Mix-Wagner G (1996) Cryopreservation. An alternative for the long-term storage of old potato varieties. Potato Res 39:507–513
- Schenck HR (1982) *Brassica napus*-succesfull synthesis by protoplast fusion between *B. oleracea* and *B. campestris*. In: Fujiwara A (ed) Plant Tissue Culture 1982. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 639–640
- Schmidt ED, Guzzo F, Toonen MA, deVries SC (1997) A leucine-rich repeat containing receptor-like kinase marks somatic plant cells competent to form embryos. Development 124:2049–2062
- Schöppner A, Kindl H (1984) Purification and properties of a stilbene synthase from induced cell suspension cultures of peanut. J Biol Chem 259:6806–6811
- Schultheiss H, Hensel G, Imani J, Sonnewald U, Kogel K-H, Kumlehn J, Hückelhoven R (2005) Ectopic expression of constitutively activated RACB in barley enhances susceptibility to powdery mildew and abiotic stress. Plant Physiol 139(1):353–362
- Schwann T (1839) Mikroskopische Untersuchungen über die Uebereinstimmung der Struktur und dem Wachstum der Thiere und Pflanzen. Sander, Berlin
- Schweizer P, Pokorny J, Abderhalden O, Dudler R (1999) A transient assay system for the functional assessment of defense-related genes in wheat. Molec Plant-Microbe Interact 12:647–654
- Scowcroft WR, Brettell RIS, Ryan SA, Pallota MA (1987) Somaclonal variation and genetic flux. In: Green CE, Somers DA, Hackett WP, Biesboer DD (eds) Plant tissue and cell culture. A.R. Liss, New York, pp 275–286
- Scragg A (1991) Plant cell bioreactors. In: Stafford A, Warren G (eds) Plant cell and tissue culture. Open University Press, London, pp 220–234
- Seki M, Takeda M, Furusaki S (1995) Continuous production of taxol by cell culture of *Taxus cuspidata*. J Chem Eng Japan 28(4):488–490
- Seki M, Ohzora C, Takeda M, Furusaki S (1997) Taxol (Paclitaxel) production using free and immobilized cells of *Taxus cuspidata*. Biotechnol Bioeng 53:214–219
- Sevenson P, Blästing O, Westhoff P (1997) Evolution of the enzymatic characteristics of C4 phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase: a comparison of the orthologous PPCA phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylases of *Flaveria trinevera* (C4). Eur J Biochem 246:452–460
- Sevo'n N, Oksman-Caldentey KM (2002) *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*-mediated transformation: root cultures as a source of alkaloids. Planta Med 68:859–868
- Sharada M, Ahuja A, Vij SP (2008) Applications of biotechnology in Indian Ginseng (Ashwagandha): progress and prospects. In: Kumar A, Sopory S (eds) Recent advances in plant biotechnology and its applications. I.K. International, New Delhi, pp 645–667
- Sharan M, Taguchi G, Gonda K, Jouke T, Shimosaka M, Hayashida N, Okazaki M (1998) Effects of methyl jasmonate and elicitor on the activation of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase and the accumulation of scopoletin and scopolin in tobacco cell cultures. Plant Sci 132:13–19
- Sharp JM, Doran PM (1990) Characteristics of growth and tropane alkaloid synthesis in *Atropa belladonna* roots transformed by *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. J Biotechnol 16:171–186
- Sheahan MB, McCurdy DW, Rose RJ (2005) Mitochondria as a connected population: ensuring continuity of the mitochondrial genome during plant cell dedifferentiation through massive mitochondrial fusion. Plant J 44:744
- Shillito R, Saul M, Paszkowski J, Muller M, Potrykus I (1985) High-efficiency direct transfer to plants. Biotechnology 3:1099–1103
- Shimoda N, Toyoda-Yamamoto A, Nagamine J, Usami S, Katayama M, Sakagami Y, Machida Y (1990) Control of expression of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* genes by synergistic actions of phenolic signal molecules and monosaccharides. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87:6684–6688

- Shimomura K, Sudo H, Saga H, Kamada H (1991) Shikonin production and secretion by hairy root cultures of *Lithospermum erythrorhizon*. *Plant Cell Rep* 10:282–285
- Shitan N, Bazin I, Dan K, Obata K, Kigawa K, Ueda K, Sato F, Forestier C, Yazaki K (2003) Involvement of CjMDR1, a plant MDR type ABC protein, in alkaloid transport in *Coptis japonica*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:751–756
- Shuler ML (1981) Production of secondary metabolites from plant tissue culture—problems and prospects. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 369:65–79
- Shuler ML (1994) Bioreactor engineering as an enabling technology to tap biodiversity: the case of taxol. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 745:455–461
- Siah C Li, Doran PM (1991) Enhanced codeine and morphine production in suspended *Papaver somniferum* cultures after removal of exogenous hormones. *Plant Cell Rep* 10:349–353
- Siewers V, Viaud M, Jimenez-Teja D, Collado IG, Gronover CS, Pradier JM, Tudzynski B, Tudzynski P (2005) Functional analysis of the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase gene bcbot1 of *Botrytis cinerea* indicates that botrydial is a strain-specific virulence factor. *Molec Plant-Microbe Interact* 18(6):602–612
- Skoog F, Miller CO (1957) Chemical regulation of growth and organ formation in plant tissues cultured in vitro. *Symp Soc Exp Biol* 11:118–140
- Slafer GA, Molina-Cano JL, Savin R, Araus JL, Romagosa I (2002) Barley science. Recent advances from molecular biology to agronomy of yield and quality. Food Product Press, New York
- Slichenmyer WJ, Von Horf DD (1991) Taxol: a new and effective anticancer drug. *Anti-Cancer Drugs* 2:519–530
- Sminovitch D (1979) Protoplasts surviving freezing to –196 and osmotic dehydration in 5 molar salt solutions prepared from the bark of winter black locust trees. *Plant Physiol* 63:722–725
- Smith EF, Townsend CO (1907) A plant tumor of bacterial origin. *Science* 25:671–673
- Sonderquist RG, Lee JM (2008) Enhanced production of recombinant proteins from plant cells. In: Kumar A, Sopory S (eds) Recent advances in plant biotechnology and its applications. I.K. International, New Delhi, pp 330–345
- Spencer A, Hamill JD, Rhodes MJC (1993) In vitro biosynthesis of monoterpenes by *Agrobacterium* transformed shoot cultures of two *Mentha* species. *Phytochemistry* 32:911–919
- Srinivasan V, Pestchanker L, Moser S, Hirasuna TJ, Taticek RA, Shuler ML (1995) Taxol production in bioreactors: kinetics of biomass accumulation, nutrient uptake, and Taxol production by cell suspensions of *Taxus baccata*. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 47:666–676
- Srivastava PS, Sopory SK, Rajam MV, Narula A, Srivastava T, Das S (2008) Transgenics of some medicinal plants. In: Kumar A, Sopory S (eds) Recent advances in plant biotechnology and its applications. I.K. International, New Delhi, pp 594–603
- Staba EJ (1962) Production of cardiac glycosides by plant tissue culture I. *J Pharmacol Sci* 51:249–254
- Staba EJ (1980) Secondary metabolites and biotransformation. In: Staba EJ (ed) *Plant tissue cultures as a source of biochemicals*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp 59–97
- Staub T (1991) Fungicide resistance: practical experience with antiresistance strategies and the role of integrated use. *Ann Rev Phytopathol* 29:421–442
- Steward FC (1985) From metabolism and osmotic work to totipotency and morphogenesis: a study of limitations versus multiple interaction. In: Neumann KH, Barz W, Reinhard E (eds) *Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 1–11
- Steward PC, Mapes MO, Mears K (1952) Investigation on growth and metabolism of plant cells. I. New techniques for the investigation of metabolism, nutrition and growth in undifferentiated cells. *Am J Bot* 16:57–77
- Steward FC, Mapes MO, Mears K (1958) Growth and organized development of cultured plant cells. *Am J Bot* 45:705–708
- Steward FC, Mapes MO, Kent AE, Holsten RD (1964) Growth and development of cultured plant cells. *Science* 143:20–27

- Steward N, Martin R, Engasser JM, Goergen JL (1999) Determination of growth and lysis kinetics in plant cell suspension cultures from the measurement of esterase release. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 66:114–121
- Stiebeling B, Neumann KH (1987) Identification and concentration of endogenous cytokinins in carrots (*Daucus carota* L.) as influenced by development and a circadian rhythm. *J Plant Physiol* 127:111–121
- Strasburger E, Noll F, Schenck H, Schimpfer AFW (1913) *Lehrbuch der Botanik*. G. Fischer, Jena
- Street HE (1977) *Plant tissue and cell culture*. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA
- Street HE, Withers LH (1974) The anatomy of embryogenesis in culture. In: Street HE (ed) *Tissue culture and plant science*. Academic Press, London, pp 71–100
- Stuiver MH, Custers JH (2001) Engineering disease resistance in plants. *Nature* 369:968
- Sudarsan N, Barrick JE, Breaker RR (2003) Metabolite-binding RNA domains are present in the genes of eukaryotes. *RNA* 9:644–647
- Sumner LW, Mendes P, Dixon RA (2003) Plant metabolomics: large-scale phytochemistry in the functional genomics era. *Phytochemistry* 62:817–836
- Sun JY, Chan YM, Wang OM, Chen J, Wang XC (2006) Glucose inhibits the expression triose phosphate/phosphate translocator gene in wheat via hexokinase-dependent mechanism. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 38:1102–1113
- Sunderland N (1984) Anther cultures of *Nicotiana tabacum*. In: Vasil IK (ed) *Cell culture and somatic cell genetics of plants*. Vol 1. Academic Press, New York, pp 283–292
- Suresh B, Ravishankar GA (2005) Methyl jasmonate modulated biotransformation of phenylpropanoids to vanillin related metabolites using *Capsicum frutescens* root cultures. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 43:125–131
- Tabata H (2004) Paclitaxel production by plant-cell-culture technology. *Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol* 87:1–23
- Tabata M, Fujita Y (1985) Production of shikonin by plant cell cultures. In: Zaitlin M, Day P, Hollaender A, Wilson CM (eds) *Biotechnology in plant science: relevance to agriculture in the eighties*. Orlando, FL, Academic Press, New York, pp 207–218
- Tabata MH, Yamamoto KA, Hirao M (1971) Alkaloid production in the tissue cultures of some Solanaceae plants. In: *Cultures de tissue de plantes*. CNRS, Paris, pp 389–402
- Tabata M, Mizukami H, Hiraoka N, Konoshima M (1974) Pigment formation in callus cultures of *Lithospermum erythrorhizon*. *Phytochemistry* 13:927–932
- Takei K, Sakakibara H, Sugiyama T (2001) Identification of genes encoding adenylate isopentenyltransferase, a cytokinin biosynthesis enzyme in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Biol Chem* 276:26405–26410
- Tenberge KB, Beckedorf M, Hoppe B, Schouten A, Solf M, von den Driesch M (2002) In situ localization of AOS in host–pathogen interactions. *Microsc Microanal* 8 suppl 2:250–251
- Thanawala Y, Yang YF, Lyons P, Mason HS, Arntzen C (1995) Immunogenicity of transgenic plant-derived hepatitis B surface antigen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:3358–3361
- Thanh NT, Murthy HN, Yu KW, Hahn EJ, Paek LY (2005) Methyl jasmonate elicitation enhanced synthesis of ginsenoside by cell suspension cultures of *Panax ginseng* in 5l balloon type bubble bioreactors. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 67:197–201
- Thomas SG, Sun Tai-Ping (2004) Update on gibberellin signalling: a tale of the tall and the short. *Plant Physiol* 135:668–676
- Thomashow MF, Karlinsky JE, Marks JR, Hurlbert RE (1987) Identification of a new virulence locus in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* that affects polysaccharide composition and plant attachment. *J Bacteriol* 169:3209–3216
- Thore S, Leinbundgut M, Ban N (2006) Structure of the eukaryotic thiamine pyrophosphate riboswitch with its regulatory ligand. *Science* 312:1208–1211
- Thorpe TA, Kamlesh RP (1984) Clonal application: adventitious buds. In: Vasil IK (ed) *Cell culture and somatic cell genetics of plants*, vol 1. Academic Press, New York, pp 49–60
- Tingay S, McElroy D, Kalla R, Fieg S, Wang M, Thornton S, Brettell R (1997) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated barley transformation. *Plant J* 11:1369–1376

- Tinland B, Schoumacher F, Gloeckler V, Bravo AM, Angel M, Hohn B (1995) The *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* virulence D2 protein is responsible for precise integration of T-DNA into the plant genome. *EMBO J* 14:3585–3595
- Torisky RS, Kovacs L, Avdiushko S, Newman JD, Hunt AG, Collins GB (1997) Development of a binary vector system for plant transformation based on supervirulent *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain Chry5. *Plant Cell Rep* 17:102–108
- Touchell DH, Chiang VL, Tsai CJ (2002) Cryopreservation of embryogenic cultures *Picea mariana* (black spruce) using vitrification. *Plant Cell Rep* 21:118–124
- Toyota K, Koizumi N, Sato F (2003) Transcriptional activation of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase by phosphorous deficiency in tobacco. *J Exp Bot* 54:961–969
- Travella S, Ross SM, Harden J, Everett C, Snape JW, Harwood WA (2005) A comparison of transgenic barley lines produced by particle bombardment and *Agrobacterium*-mediated techniques. *Plant Cell Rep* 23:780–789
- Tsai C-J, Hubscher SL (2004) Cryopreservation in *Populus* functional genomics. *New Phytol* 164:73–81
- Tsien RY (1998) The green fluorescent protein. *Annu Rev Biochem* 67:509–544
- Tsuda S, Yoshioka K, Tanaka T, Iwata A, Yoshikawa A, Watanabe Y, Okada Y (1998) Application of the human hepatitis B virus core antigen from transgenic tobacco plants for serological diagnosis. *Vox Sang* 74:148–155
- Tulp M, Bohlin L (2002) Functional versus chemical diversity: is biodiversity important for drug discovery? *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 23:225–231
- Tzfira T and V Citovsky (2008) *Agrobacterium: From Biology to Biotechnology*. Springer Publisher. 750 p. ISBN: 978-0-387-72289-4
- Uchimiya H, Fushimi T, Hashimoto H, Harada H, Syono K, Sugawara Y (1986) Expression of a foreign gene in callus derived from DNA-treated protoplasts of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Molec Gen Genet* 204:204–207
- Ulbrich B, Wiesner W, Arens H (1985) Large scale production of rosmarinic acid from plant cell cultures of *Coleus blumei* Benth. In: Neumann KH, Barz W, Reinhard E (eds) Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 293–303
- Uozumi N, Kohketsu K, Kondo O, Honda H, Kobayashi T (1991) Fed-batch culture of hairy root cells using fructose as a carbon source. *J Ferment Bioeng* 72:457–460
- Ushiyama K (1991) Large scale culture of ginseng. In: Komamine A, Misawa M, DiCosmo FE (eds) Plant cell culture in Japan. CMC Co. Ltd, Tokyo, pp 97
- van Aartrijk J, Blom-Barnhoorn GJ (1983) Adventitious bud formation from bulb-scale explants of *Lilium speciosum* Thunb. in vitro: effects of wounding, TIBA, and temperature. *Zeitschr Pflanzenphysiol* 110:355–363
- Vancanneyt G, Schmidt R, O'Connor-Sanchez A, Willmitzer L, Rocha-Rosa M (1990) Construction of an intron-containing marker gene: splicing of the intron in transgenic plants and its use in monitoring early events in *Agrobacterium* mediated plant transformation. *Molec Gen Genet* 220:245–250
- Vancanneyt G, Schmidt R, O'Connor-Sanchez A, Vanisree M, Tsay SH (2004) Plant cell cultures—an alternative and efficient source for the production of biologically important secondary metabolites. *Int J Appl Sci Eng* 2:1–29
- van der Plas LHW, Wagner MJ (1982) Respiratory physiology of potato tuber callus cultures. In: Fujiwara A (ed) Plant Tissue Culture 1982. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 259–260
- van Etten HD, Mansfield JW, Bailey JA, Farmer EE (1994) Two classes of plant antibiotics: phytoalexins versus “phytoanticipins”. *Plant Cell* 6:1191–1192
- van Hengel AJ, Harkes MP, Wichers HJ, Hesselink PGM, Buitelaar RM (1992) Characterization of callus formation and camptothecin production by cell lines of *Camptotheca acuminata*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 28:11–18
- van Hengel AJ, Tadesse Z, Immerzeel P, Scols H, van Kammen A, de Vries SC (2001) N-Acetylglucosamin and glucosamin-containing arabinogalactan proteins control somatic embryogenesis. *Plant Physiol* 125:1880–1890



- Vanisree M, Tsay H-S (2004) Plant cell cultures—an alternative and efficient source for the production of biologically important secondary metabolites. *Int J Appl Sci Eng* 2:29–48
- Vanisree M, Lee CY, Shu-Fung L, Nalawade SML, Yih C, Tsay HS (2004) Studies on the production of some important secondary metabolites from medicinal plants by plant tissue cultures. *Bot Bull Acad Sin* 45:1–22
- van Overbeck J, Conklin ME, Blakeslee A (1942) Cultivation in vitro of small *Datura* embryos. *Am J Bot* 29:472–477
- Van Tran Than M (1973) Direct flower neof ormation from superficial tissue of small explants of *Nicotiana tabacum* L. *Planta* 115:87–92
- Vasil IK (1991) Plant tissue culture and molecular biology as tools for understanding plant development and plant improvement. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2:158–163
- Verpoorte R (2000) Secondary metabolism. In: Verpoorte R, Alfermann AW (eds) *Metabolic engineering of plant secondary metabolism*. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, pp 1–29
- Verpoorte R, Memelink J (2002) Engineering secondary metabolite production in plants. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 13:181–187
- Verpoorte R, van der Heijden R, Memelink J (2000) Engineering the plant cell factory for secondary metabolite production. *Transgenic Res* 9:323–343
- Viloria Z, Grosser J, Bracho B (2005) Immature embryo rescue and seedling development of acid citrus fruit derived from interploid hybridization. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 82:159–167
- von Arnold S, Sabala I, Bozhkov P, Dyachok J, Filonova L (2002) Developmental pathways of somatic embryogenesis. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture* 69:233–249
- Von Tiedemann A (1997) Evidence for a primary role of active oxygen species in induction of host cell death during infection of bean leaves with *Botrytis cinerea*. *Physiol Molec Plant Pathol* 50:151–166
- Wakayama S, Kusaka K, Kanehira T (1994) Kinobion A, a novel red pigment produced in safflower tissue culture systems. *Zeitschr Naturforsch* 49c:1–5
- Walker KA, Sluis CJ (1983) In vitro plant production—costs and methodologies. In: *Proc Biotechnol Conf*, Battelle Columbus Laboratories
- Walker TS, Bais HP, Vivanco JM (2002) Jasmonic acid-induced hypericin production in cell suspension cultures of *Hypericum perforatum* L. (St. John's wort). *Phytochemistry* 60:289–293
- Wall M (2000) Method for treating pancreatic cancer in humans with water-insoluble S-camptothecin of the closed lactone ring form and derivatives thereof. US Patent no 6080751
- Wall M, Wani MC, Cooke CE, Palmer KH, McPhail AT, Slim GA (1966) Plant antitumor agents. I. The isolation and structure of camptothecin, a novel alkaloidal leukemia and tumor inhibitor from *Camptotheca acuminata*. *J Am Chem Soc* 88:3888–3890
- Waller F, Aschatz B, Baltruschat H, Fodor J, Becker K, Fischer M, Heier T, Hückelhoven R, Neumann C, v. Wettstein D, Franken P, Kogel KH (2005) The endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica* reprograms barley to salt-stress tolerance, disease resistance, and higher yield. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:13386–13391
- Wang MB, Upadhyaya NM, Li Z, Waterhouse PM (1998) Improved vectors for *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation of monocot plant. *Acta Horticult* 461:401–407
- Wang R, Guegler K, LaBrie ST, Crawford (2000) Genomic analysis of a nutrient response in Arabidopsis reveals diverse expression patterns and novel metabolic and potential regulatory genes induced by nitrate. *Plant Cell* 12:1491–1510
- Wang MB, Abbott DC, Upadhyaya NM, Jacobsen JV, Waterhouse PM (2001) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation of an elite Australian barley cultivar with virus resistance and reporter genes. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 28:149–156
- Wang YH, Garvin DF, Kochian LF (2001) Nitrate-induced genes in tomato roots. Array analysis reveals novel genes that may play a role in nitrogen nutrition. *Plant Physiol* 127:345–359
- Wani MC, Taylor HL, Wall ME, Coggon P, McPhail AT (1971) Plant antitumor agents VI. The isolation and structure of taxol, a novel antileukemic and antitumor agent from *Taxus brevifolia*. *J Am Chem Soc* 93:2325–2327

- Westhoff P, Svensson P, Ernst K, Bläsing O, Burscheidt J, Stockhaus J (1997) Molecular evolution of C4 phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in the genus *Flaveria*. *Aus J Plant Physiol* 24:429–436
- Wetzker R (2004) Irrungen und Perspektiven der Phosphoinositid 3-Kinase-Forschung. *Biospektrum* 5:620–622
- White PR (1939) Controlled differentiation in a plant tissue culture. *Bull Torrey Bot Club* 66:507–519
- White PR (1954) *The cultivation of animal and plant cells*. Ronald Press, New York
- Widholm JM (1987) Selected gene amplification, a review. In: *Proc Int Botanical Congr, Berlin*, pp 3-09-7
- Widholm JM (1989) Initiation and characterization of photoautotrophic suspension cultures. In: Kurz GWG (ed) *Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures*, vol II. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 3–13
- Widholm JM (1992) Properties and uses of photoautotrophic plant cell cultures. *Int Rev Cytol* 132:109–175
- Widholm JM (2000) Plant cell cultures: photosynthetic. In: *Encyclopedia of Cell Technology*. Wiley, New York, pp 1004–1009
- Winans SC (1992) Two way chemical signalling in *Agrobacterium*-plant interactions. *Microbiol Molec Biol Rev* 56:12–31
- Wink M (1985) Metabolism of quinolizidine alkaloids in plants and cell suspension cultures: induction and degradation. In: Neumann K-H, Barz W, Reinhard E (eds) *Primary and secondary metabolism of plant cell cultures*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, pp 107–116
- Winkelmann T, Hohe A, Pueschel A-K, Schwenkel H-G (2000) Somatic embryogenesis in *Cyclamen persicum* Mill. *Curr Topics Plant Biol* 2:51–62
- Winkler WC (2005) Riboswitches and the role of noncoding RNAs in bacterial metabolic control. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 9:594–602
- Woerdenbag HJ, Van Uden W, Frijlink HW, Lerk CF, Pras N, Malingre THM (1990) Increased podophyllotoxin production in *Podophyllum hexandrum* cell suspension cultures after feeding coniferyl alcohol as a b-cyclodextrin complex. *Plant Cell Rep* 9:97–100
- Wu J, Ho KP (1999) Assessment of various carbon sources and nutrient feeding strategies for *Panax ginseng* cell culture. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 82:17–26
- Wu J, Lin L (2003) Enhancement of taxol production and release in *Taxus chinensis* cell cultures by ultrasound, methyl jasmonate and in situ solvent extraction. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 62:151–155
- Wu H, Sparks C, Amoah B, Jones HD (2003) Factors influencing successful *Agrobacterium*-mediated genetic transformation of wheat. *Plant Cell Rep* 21:659–668
- Xie X, Johanson LK, Gustafson AM, Kasschau KD, Lellis AD, Zilberman D, Jacobsen SE, Carrington JC (2004) Genetic and functional diversification of small RNA pathways in plants. *PLoS Biol* 2:E104
- Xu D, McElroy D, Thornburg RW, Wu R (1993) Systemic induction of a potato pin2 promoter by wounding, methyl jasmonate, and abscisic acid in transgenic rice plants. *Plant Molec Biol* 22:573–588
- Yamada H (1991) Natural products of commercial potential as medicines. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2:203–210
- Yamada Y, Hara Y, Katagi H, Senda M (1980) Protoplast fusion. Effect of low temperature on the membrane fluidity of cultured cells. *Plant Physiol* 65:1099–1102
- Yamada Y, Sato F, Watanabe K (1982) Photosynthetic carbon metabolism in cultured photoautotrophic cells. In: Fujiwara A (ed) *Plant Tissue Culture 1982*. Japanese Association for Plant Tissue Culture, Tokyo, pp 259–260
- Yamamoto Y, Mizuguchi R, Yamada Y (1982) Selection of a high and stable pigment-producing strain in cultured *Euphorbia millii* cells. *Theor Appl Genet* 61:113–116
- Yamamoto H, Suzuki M, Suga Y, Fukui H, Tabata M (1987) Participation of an active transport system in berberine-secreting cultured cells of *Thalictrum minus*. *Plant Cell Rep* 6:356–359

- Yamamura Y, Pinar Sahin F, Nagatsu A, Mizukami H (2003) Molecular cloning and characterization of a cDNA encoding a novel apoplastic protein preferentially expressed in a shikonin-producing callus strain of *Lithospermum erythrorhizon*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 44:437–446
- Yanagawa Y, Kimura S (2005) Cell cycle regulation through ubiquitin/proteasome-mediated proteolysis in plants. *JARQ* 39:1–4
- Yazaki K (2005) Transporters of secondary metabolites. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 8:301–307
- Ye X, Al-Babili S, Klöti A, Zhang J, Lucca P, Beyer P, Potrykus I (2000) Engineering the provitamin A ( $\beta$ carotene) biosynthetic pathway into (carotenoid free) rice endosperm. *Science* 287:303–305
- Yeh FT, Huang WW, Cheng CC, Na C, Tsay HS (1994) Tissue culture of *Dioscorea doryophora* Hance. II. Establishment of suspension culture and the measurement of diosgenin content. *Chin Agron J* 4:257–268
- Yeoman MM (1987) Techniques, characteristics, properties, and commercial potential of immobilized plant cells. In: Constabel F, Vasil IK (eds) *Cell Culture and Somatic Cell Genetics of Plants*, vol 4. Cell culture in phytochemistry. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp 197–215
- Yeoman MM, Yeoman CL (1996) Manipulating secondary metabolism in cultured plant cells. *New Phytol* 134:553–569
- Yeoman MM, Miedzybrodzka MB, Lindsey K, McLaughlan WR (1980) The synthetic potential of cultured plant cells. In: Sala F, Parisi B, Cella R, Ciferri O (eds) *Plant cell cultures: results and perspectives*. Elsevier/North Holland, Amsterdam, pp 327–343
- Yin DM, Wu JC, Yuan YJ (2005) Reactive oxygen species, cell growth, and taxol production of *Taxus cuspidata* cells immobilized on polyurethane foam. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 127:173–185
- Yin DM, Wu JC, Yuan YJ (2006) Spatio-temporal distribution of metal ions and taxol of *Taxus cuspidata* cells immobilized on polyurethane foam. *Biotechnol Lett* 28:29–32
- Yu KW, Gao W, Hahn EJ, Paek KY (2002) Jasmonic acid improves ginsenoside accumulation in adventitious root culture of *Panax ginseng* C.A. Meyer. *Biochem Eng J* 3596:1–5
- Yuan Q, Xu H, Hu Z (1999) Two-phase culture of enhanced alkaloid synthesis and release in a new airlift reactor by *Catharanthus roseus*. *Biotechnol Tech* 13:107–109
- Yukimune Y, Tabata H, Higashi Y, Hare Y (1996) Methyl jasmonate-induced overproduction of paclitaxel and baccatin III in *Taxus* cell suspension cultures. *Nature Biotechnol* 14:1129–1132
- Yasuda S, Satoh K, Ishii T, Furuya T (1972) Studies on the cultural conditions of plant cell suspension culture. In: Terui G (ed) *Fermentation technology today*. Society for Fermentation Technology, Osaka, pp 697–703
- Zárate R (1999) Tropane alkaloid production by *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* transformed hairy root cultures of *Atropa baetica* Willk. (Solanaceae). *Plant Cell Rep* 18:418–423
- Zárate R, Yeoman MM (2001) Application of recombinant DNA technology to studies on plant secondary metabolism. In: Bender L, Kumar A (eds) *From soil to cell—a broad approach to plant life*, pp 82–93. Giessen Electronic Library, GEB. [http://geb.uni-giessen.de/geb/ebooks\\_ebene2.php](http://geb.uni-giessen.de/geb/ebooks_ebene2.php)
- Zárate R, el Jaber-Vazdekis N, Medina B, Ravelo AG (2006) Tailoring tropane alkaloid accumulation in transgenic hairy roots of *Atropa baetica* by over-expressing the gene encoding hyoscyamine 6 $\beta$ -hydroxylase. *Biotechnol Lett* 28:1271–1277
- Zenk MH, Rueffer M, Kutchan TM, Galneder E (1988) Future trends of exploration for the biotechnological production of isoquinoline alkaloids. In: Yamada Y (ed) *Applications of plant cell and tissue culture*. Wiley, New York, pp 213–223
- Zeppernick B (1988) Untersuchungen zur Bedeutung des Ploidieniveaus (n, 2xn) für den Entwicklungsverlauf, das Gibberellinsystem und die Nikotinkonzentration von Blättern und Zellkulturen von *Nicotiana tabacum*, var. Xanthi. Dissertation, Justus Liebig Universität, Giessen
- Zhang S (1995) Efficient plant regeneration from Indica (type I) rice protoplasts of one advanced breeding line and three varieties. *Plant Cell Rep* 15:68–71

- Zhang ZY, Zhong JJ (2004) Scale-up of centrifugal impeller bioreactor for hyperproduction of ginseng saponin and polysaccharide by high-density cultivation of *Panax notoginseng* cells. *Biotechnol Progr* 20:1076–1081
- Zhang W, Franco C, Curtin C, Conn S (2004) To stretch the boundary of secondary metabolite production in plant cell-based bioprocessing: anthocyanin as a case study. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 5:264–271
- Zhang C, Gong FC, Lambert GM, Galbraith DW (2005) Cell type-specific characterization of nuclear DNA contents within complex tissues and organs. *Plant Methods* 1:7
- Ziemenowicz A, Gorlich D, Lanka E, Hohn B, Rossi L (1999) Import of DNA into mammalian nuclei by proteins originating from a plant pathogenic bacterium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96(7):3729–3733
- Ziemenowicz A, Merkle T, Schoumacher F, Hohn B, Rossi L (2001) Import of *Agrobacterium* T-DNA into plant nuclei: two distinct functions of VirD2 and VirE2 proteins. *Plant Cell* 13(2):369–383
- Zupan JR, Citovsky V, Zambryski PC (1996) *Agrobacterium* VirE2 protein mediates nuclear uptake of single-stranded DNA in plant cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93:2392–2397

# Index

## A

- abiotic stress, 281
- abscisic acid (ABA), 124, 128
- ac/ds family, 273
- ACC, 246
- Acer*, 246
- Acer pseudoplatanus*, 8
- acetate, 176, 228
- acetylation, 242, 255
- activated charcoal, 68
- activator element, 273
- adventitious embryos, 100
- adventitious roots, 102, 142–47, 238–288
- Aequorea victoria*, 267
- Aesculus hippocastanum*, 93
- agarose, 21
- AGL1, 283
- Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, 206, 210–212, 260
- Agrobacterium* system, 259–260
- Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, 63, 185, 210, 259–264, 277
- Agrobacterium* -mediated gene transformation, 259
- agropine, 261
- Agrostemma*, 188
- airlift bioreactor, 20, 221
- ajmalicin, 157, 220
- albino plant, 63
- alcohol dehydrogenase, 120–121
- aldolase, 177
- alfalfa, 115, 135
- alginate, 21, 30, 134–135, 205
- alkaloids, 182–221
- Allium*, 188
- alpha amylase, 119–121
- alternative electron transport chain, 175
- amikacin, 271
- amphidicolin, 237
- ampicillin, 237
- amylochloroplasts, 40
- amylochromoplasts, 164
- amyloplasts, 164
- Ananas*, 188
- androgenesis, 10, 63–73
- androgenic development, 64
- aneuploidy, 81, 249, 254
- Antharanthus*, 188
- anther culture, 66, 251
- anthers, 61–68, 159
- anthesis, 63, 283
- anthocyanin, 155
- anthraquinone, 183, 217
- Anthurium adreanum*, 75
- Anthurium scherzerianum*, 75, 90
- antibiotic resistance, 265–272
- antigens, 261, 276
- antigibberellins, 65
- antimicrobial agents, 187, 192
- antinecrotic, 264
- antioxidants, 264
- antitumor compounds, 194
- apical meristem, 66, 86
- Apium graveolens*, 188
- apomictic embryogenesis, 96, 106, 113
- apomixes, 95–95, 112
- Arabidopsis thaliana*, 131, 244
- arabinogalactan-rhamnogalactouran protein, 174
- Arachis*, 29, 33, 167
- Arachis hypogea*, 33, 167, 174
- artificial seeds, 86, 134
- Asclepias*, 188
- aseptic working bench, 17, 45
- Asparagus*, 75, 188
- aspartate, 162, 167–170, 233
- assay of GUS gene expression, 269
- asymmetric spacer region, 272
- atropine, 187, 189–190, 292

autoclavability, 14  
 autotrophic cells, 26  
 autotrophic conditions, 12, 134  
 autotrophic nutrition, 41  
 auxin, 8, 9, 26, 27, 102–132, 147, 227–278  
 Auxin transporter, 233  
 auxin/cytokinin, 126, 206, 256  
 auxin-responsive, 115  
 auxophyton, 15

## B

B5 medium, 55, 278  
 banana, 77, 278  
 BABY BOOM transcriptions factor, 64  
 bacteriophage P1, 272  
 BAP, 23–25, 81, 106, 284  
 Bar, 266  
 barley callus induction medium, 284  
 barley root induction medium, 284  
 barley shoot induction medium, 284  
 bax, 265, 268, 281  
 bax inhibitor-1, 281  
 bax protein, 268  
 6-benzyladenine (6-BA), 27, 124  
 berberines, 168  
*Beta vulgaris*, 163  
 binary vectors, 264, 282  
 biolistics, 53  
 biomedical, 276  
 bioreactor, 19–20, 132, 133, 174, 210, 219–224, 291  
 biosensors, 267  
 biotechnology, 225, 258  
 biotransformations, 203–205, 224  
 bisbenzimidazole, 69  
*Bluperum*, 188  
 bombardment, 185, 259–260, 265  
 borders, 262, 265  
*Botrytis cinerea*, 281  
*Brassica campestris*, 53  
*Brassica napus*, 69, 118, 176  
*Brassica oleracea*, 53, 59  
 brassinosteroids, 227  
 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronide (X-Gluc), 269  
 bulbosum method, 63, 251

## C

cacao, 76  
 calcium/magnesium, 155  
 calcium-alginate, 21  
 calcofluoro white, 56

callus, 2–10, 13, 16, 32, 33, 44, 47, 78, 80  
 cambium, 8, 18, 140–142  
 camphothecin, 187  
*Camptotheca*, 188  
*Camptotheca acuminata*, 11  
 CAMV promoter (cauliflower mosaic Virus), 214, 281, 283  
*Cannabis*, 188  
 capsaicin, 157  
*Capsicum frutescens*, 192, 204  
*Capsicum*, 188  
 carbon dioxide fixation, 161  
 cardenolides, 193, 207  
 cardiolipin, 176  
 carotene, 164  
 carrot, 8–13, 16–18, 26–32, 38–49, 42–82, 96–98, 150–157, 229–255, 271–289  
 carrot callus, 32, 33, 34, 92, 142, 156, 177  
 casein hydrolysate, 9, 23–25, 41, 55, 57, 125, 151, 177, 284  
*Catharanthus*, 157, 186, 188  
*Catharanthus roseus*, 185, 194, 207, 212  
 CCC, 232  
 CDK, 244  
 cDNA, 124  
 celery, 78, 135  
 cell cycle, 36, 127–128, 196, 235–252, 276, 288  
 cell cycle synchronization, 241, 280  
 cell suspension, 3, 4, 14, 20, 43–50, 78, 92, 122, 131, 190, 278  
 cell wall, 4, 51, 56–7, 174, 233  
 cellulose, 43, 174  
 cereals, 96, 150, 265, 275, 282  
*Chamomilla*, 188  
 charcoal, 57, 68  
*Chenopodium*, 163, 170–173  
*Chenopodium rubrum*, 167  
 chimeras, 5, 51, 257  
 chitinase, 124  
 chitosan, 135, 202  
 chloramphenicol, 271  
 chlorcholine chloride, 232  
 chlorophyll, 7, 41, 162, 171  
 chondriom, 60  
 chromatides, 255  
 chromoplasts, 164, 165  
 chromosome elimination, 63  
 cichorium, 124  
*Cinchona officinalis*, 214  
*Cinchona*, 188  
 cinnamic acid, 183–185  
 circadian rhythm, 115

- Clostridium cellulovorans*, 56  
 cm<sup>2</sup>, 271  
 coconut milk, 8–10, 23–27, 31, 48, 147, 154, 289  
*Coffea arabica*, 123  
*Coffea arabusta*, 123  
*Coffea canephora*, 123  
 coffee, 94, 134  
*Coleus blumeii*, 191  
 competent cell, 54, 106, 99, 275, 288  
 compositions of x-gluc, 269  
 concentration of nicotine, 74, 154  
 concentration ratio, 9  
 confocal laser scanning microscope, 285  
 conjugal transfer systems, 274  
 conjugation, 274  
 constitutive, 281, 282  
 coomassie brilliant blue, 119, 120  
*Coptis*, 187, 188, 217  
*Coptis japonica*, 111, 191  
*Coral discosoma*, 267  
 co-suppression, 188, 259  
 co-transformation, 274  
 coumarins, 182, 183  
 cre-lox, 272, 274  
*Crepis*, 63  
*Crocus sativus*, 201  
 crossbreeding, 62, 258, 291  
 cryopreservation, 29–31  
 c-terminus nuclear localization, 263  
 cucumber, 124  
 C-value, 42, 155, 238, 252  
 cyanogenicglycosides, 182  
*Cyclamen persicum*, 281  
 cyclic monoterpenes, 185  
 cycline, 240–245  
*Cymbidium*, 77, 83–86, 135  
*Cymbidium* explants, 85  
 cytogenetic homogeneity, 46, 291  
 cytokinin, 9, 10, 81, 106, 114, 128, 227, 230–232, 238, 240, 261, 288  
 cytophotometric, 36, 237, 249
- D**  
 2.4D, 123, 131, 147, 278  
*Daucus azoricus*, 107, 108  
*Daucus capillifolius*, 107, 108  
*Daucus carota*, 11, 33, 93, 96, 107–111, 116–118, 122, 123, 128, 142, 146, 147, 174, 236, 277  
*Daucus commutatus*, 107, 108  
*Daucus gadacei*, 107, 108  
*Daucus glochidiatus*, 107–109  
*Daucus halophilus*, 17, 108  
*Daucus maritimus*, 107, 108  
*Daucus maximus*, 107–110  
*Daucus montevidensis*, 107, 109  
*Daucus muricatus*, 107, 109  
*Daucus pussillus*, 107  
*Dactylis glomerata*, 101  
 D-alanine, 272  
 D-amino acid-oxidase (Dao1), 272  
 date palme, 79  
*Datura* cultures, 187, 189, 237  
*Datura innoxia*, 33, 44, 45, 49, 61, 72, 141, 190, 236  
*Datura meteloides*, 141  
*Datura stramonium*, 11, 237  
 dedifferentiation, 9, 118, 245  
 deep temperature absorption spectra, 167  
 defense-associated genes, 282  
 deficiency mutant, 257  
 dendra, 267, 268  
 densitometric, 280  
 2-deoxyglucose, 266  
 deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), 258  
*Derris*, 188  
 desiccation, 30, 264  
 2-deoxyglucose-6-phosphate-phosphatase, 266  
 development of plastids, 164, 166  
 dicamba, 284  
 dicarboxylate shuttle, 153, 157, 171, 172  
 dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2.4D), 26, 278  
 diethyleneglycolmonoethylether, 176  
*Digitalis*, 187, 188, 193–194, 203, 210, 221  
*Digitalis lanata*, 194, 217  
*Digitalis purpurea*, 194, 203, 204, 217  
 digoxin, 204, 220, 292  
 dihaploids, 4, 62, 72  
*Dioscorea deltoidea*, 193  
 diosgenin, 192, 206, 220  
 dipoidy, 249  
 direct somatic embryogenesis, 94, 105  
 disease-inducing agent, 281  
 disinfectants, 18  
 D-isoleucine, 272  
 diurnal rhythm, 233  
 DMSO, 30, 62  
 DNA amplification, 242, 255  
 DNA methylation, 242, 255  
 DNA replication, 245, 279  
 2-DOG system, 266  
 donor plant, 55, 69  
 double cassette, 274, 275  
 D-serine, 272  
 DsRed, 267

D-valine, 272  
dye Höchst, 69

## E

*E. coli*, 268, 271  
edible vaccines, 276  
electroporation, 259  
elicitation, 208–209, 222  
elicitors, 197, 202, 208, 220  
embryo development, 49, 66, 95–101,  
106–107, 110, 113, 119, 124,  
126, 133  
embryo rescue, 135, 137  
embryogenic potential, 64, 108, 117  
endogenous factors, 139, 140  
endogenous hormonal system, 26, 36, 228  
endoplasmic reticulum, 176  
*Ephedra*, 163  
epigenetic, 242, 252, 254  
epigenetic factors, 255  
epigenetic variations, 252  
*Epilobium*, 237  
erythroxyllaceae, 185  
ethylene, 227–231, 245, 246, 287  
etioplast, 164  
eukaryote, 244, 260  
*Euphorbia*, 188, 217  
euploid, 249, 254  
evaluation of experiments, 28  
exogenous factors, 139, 145, 164  
explants, 33, 36  
exponential phase, 31, 32, 37, 85, 90, 111

## F

fatty acids, 60, 176, 183  
FDU, 237, 278  
FDU/thymidine system, 128, 241,  
278, 280  
fermenter, 19, 174, 204, 223, 291  
fermenter culture, 2, 78  
flavonoids, 182, 183  
Flavr- Savr, 12  
flow cytophotometry, 70  
fluorescein diacetate FDU, 237  
fluorescence, 267  
fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), 259  
fluorescence induction kinetics, 167  
fluorography, 119, 241  
fluorometric assay, 270  
*Fragaria*, 75  
fumarase, 177  
fusion protein, 283, 284

## G

G1-phase, 36, 47, 236–250, 279, 288  
G2-phase, 36, 47, 236, 249  
GA3, 118, 173, 232, 254  
galactolipids, 176  
gelatine, 69  
gene gun, 259  
gene methylations, 253  
gene technology, 53, 57, 60, 249,  
258, 275  
genetic instability, 79, 89, 219, 249  
genetic manipulation, 240, 264, 275  
*Geranium*, 135  
geranyl diphosphate, 184  
*Gerbera*, 77, 233  
GFP, 70, 265, 266–268, 282–285  
ginsenoside, 198, 208–209, 216  
glandular canal, 101, 117, 246  
glucosinolates, 182  
glutamate dehydrogenase, 178  
glutamine synthetase, 178  
 $\beta$ -Glucuronidase (GUS), 268, 269, 280  
*Glycine*, 23–25, 67, 68, 162, 190  
*Glycorhiza*, 188  
GM crop, 292  
GMO, 270  
Golgi, 174, 217, 243  
*Gossypium*, 163  
green fluorescent protein (GFP), 265–266  
green technology, 270  
grey mold, 281, 282  
growth regulators, 81–84, 145–153, 227, 231,  
247, 255  
GS–GO–GAT, 178  
GUS gene, 268, 269, 278  
GUS protein, 116, 278  
gymnosperms, 131  
gynogenesis, 63

## H

hairy root lines, 210  
hairy roots, 198, 202, 211, 212, 260  
hanging drop method, 43  
haploid plants, 61, 65–69, 72, 141, 292  
haploidy, 249  
hardening, 78  
Hela cell, 263  
*Helianthus annuus*, 245  
*Helianthus tuberosus*, 245  
herbicide tolerance, 266  
heterotrophic nutrition, 41  
hexaploid, 254  
hexose isomerase, 172



highly repeated sequences, 242  
 histochemical assay, 269  
 histochemical localization, 269  
 histone acetylation, 242  
*Hordeum bulbosum*, 62  
*Hordeum vulgare*, 62, 253  
 horizontal gene transfer, 270  
 hormone autotrophic cultures, 233  
*Hydrangea*, 188  
 hygromycin B, 266, 285  
 hygromycin phosphotransferase (hpt), 266  
*Hypericum perforatum*, 209  
 hypersensitive reaction, 281

**I**

IAA, 14, 19–49, 81, 96, 106, 113–117,  
 126–128, 140–160, 207, 228–240  
 IAA synthesis, 36  
 IAA-inositol conjugate, 27  
 illumination, 13, 70, 159–160  
 imidazole, 183  
 immature egg cells, 63  
 immature embryo, 8, 130, 283  
 immobilization of Cells, 205  
 immobilized cell cultures, 21,  
 199, 207  
 in vitro culture, 12, 85, 91, 143, 287  
 indigo dye, 269  
 indirect somatic embryogenesis,  
 94, 95, 101, 105, 247  
 indole, 183, 185, 209, 214  
 indole acetic acid, 8, 26, 128  
 indole alkaloids, 183, 185  
 inducers, 264  
 inositol, 9, 23, 27, 136, 238  
 carbon dioxide, 161, 162  
 integration efficiency, 279  
 intron, 110, 269  
 2iP, 36  
 ipochitooligosaccharides, 124  
 iron deficiency, 243  
 iron, 142, 150, 154, 243  
 isolated meristems, 12  
 isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP), 182  
 isopentenyladenine, 9, 27, 128, 231  
 isoprenoids, 182, 183, 198  
 isoquinoline alkaloids, 183  
 isoquinoline, 183, 91, 218

**J**

jasmonic acid (JA), 118, 208, 209  
 jumping genes, 273

*Juniperus chinensis*, 21  
 junk DNA, 112, 154

**K**

K<sup>+</sup> ferricyanide/ferrocyanide, 269  
 kanamycin, 266, 271  
 kanamycin resistance, 271, 274  
 Kautsky, 167  
 kinetin, 9, 27, 36, 42, 142, 149, 153–156,  
 166–167, 172, 236, 238–240,  
 287–288  
 Kranz anatomy, 172

**L**

*Larix*, 126  
*Leiphaimos spectabilis*, 100  
 lettuce, 135, 253, 276  
 leucine, 37, 38, 40, 117, 120, 190, 240, 243  
<sup>14</sup>C-leucine, 120  
 life reactor system, 20  
 lignans, 183, 196  
 lignins, 183  
 lily, 159  
 lipids, 175  
 liquid culture, 13, 27, 66, 195  
*Lithospermum*, 188  
*Lithospermum officinale*, 50  
*Lithospermum erythrorhizon*, 190  
*Lolium*, 63  
*Lupinus*, 188, 237  
 luxA/luxB, 266

**M**

maceration solution, 28  
 maintenance of strains, 29  
 major pathways of biosynthesis, 183  
 malate dehydrogenase, 167  
 male sterility, 65  
 malformations, 79, 80  
 malic acid, 157, 217  
 malic enzyme, 170  
 manganese, 24, 142, 174  
 mannopine synthase (mas), 277  
 mas, 277–279  
 mas promoter, 101, 115–117, 157  
*Maytenus*, 188  
*Medicago truncatula*, 106  
 meiosis, 95, 140, 254  
*Mentha*, 188  
 meristem culture, 2, 75, 80, 86, 291  
 meristematic areas, 256

- meristematic nests, 34, 35, 252  
 MES, 131  
 metabolic DNA, 244  
 metabolic engineering, 188  
 metabolic marker genes, 266  
 metabolomics, 188, 257  
 5-methoxypodophyllotoxin, 195  
 methyl digoxin, 203  
 methyl jasmonate, 202, 205, 223  
 4-methylumbelliferyl- $\beta$ -D-glucuronide (MUG), 270  
 microbial contamination, 2, 16  
 microcalli, 63  
 microfluorometric determination, 49, 69  
 microinjection, 259  
 micronuclei, 63  
 microspore cultures, 4  
 microspores, 47, 66–95  
*Miotonia*, 75  
 mingo, 42  
 m-inositol, 9, 23, 27, 147, 241  
 model systems, 1, 287, 289, 291  
 molybdenum, 25, 154, 175  
 monoclonal antibodies, 258  
 monocots, 118, 131, 263, 276  
 monocotyledonous, 259, 264, 275, 282  
 monogalactosyldiacylglycerin, 176  
 morphotypes, 128, 129  
*Morus bombycia*, 11  
 MS (Murashige–Skoog) medium, 10, 23, 26  
 multicolor experiments, 267  
 multiple interaction, 147  
*Muscadinia*, 137  
 mutagenesis, 257, 267
- N**  
 N6-benzyladenine, 124  
 NAA, 26, 27, 55, 159, 196, 233, 76  
 Naphthoquinones, 217  
 naphthylacetic acid (NAA), 26, 68  
*Nardostachys chinensis*, 194  
*Nardostachys jatamansi*, 194  
 NASA, 10, 190  
 natural products, 184  
 neomycin phosphotransferase II (npt II), 266  
 NICA, 53, 54  
*Nicotiana*, 8, 63  
*Nicotiana glauca*, 8  
*Nicotiana langsdorffii*, 8,  
*Nicotiana tabacum*, 11, 54, 71, 74, 141,  
 159, 217  
 nicotine, 74, 152, 184, 213  
 nitrate, 126  
 nitrate reductase, 178  
 nitrite reductase, 126  
 nitrogen, 26, 65, 150, 176, 178, 182, 262  
 NL, 10, 23  
 NN, 23  
 non-recurrent apomixes, 96  
 nopaline, 261  
 npt gene, 271  
 nuclear location signals (NLS), 262, 263  
 nuclei, 35, 49, 60, 67, 263  
 nutrient efficiency rate, 149  
 nutrient media, 10, 22, 23, 88  
 nutrient uptake, 155  
 nylon, 21
- O**  
 oleic acid, 176  
 oncogenic genes, 260, 261  
 opines, 260  
 orchid cultivation in vitro, 12  
 organogenesis, 9, 37, 94, 210  
*Oryza*, 63  
 osmotic treatments, 264  
 outer membrane, 261  
 oxaloacetate, 167  
 oxalacetic acid, 157  
 oxidative dimerization, 269
- P**  
 P deficiency, 157  
<sup>34</sup>P, 245  
 pacific yew trees (*Taxus brevifolia*), 191  
 packed cell volume (PCV), 28  
 paclitaxel, 187, 191, 197  
 palatinase, 266  
 panama disease, 78  
*Panax*, 188  
*Panax ginseng*, 11, 197  
*Papaver*, 163, 176, 188  
*Papaver somniferum*, 143  
*Papaya*, 188  
 particle bombardment, 185, 259, 265  
 pat gene, 266  
 pathogen-free offspring, 79  
 Paul's scarlet rose, 179  
 pearl millet, 63  
*Pelargonium*, 79–81  
 PEMs, 241  
 PEP, 157  
 PEPCase, 157, 161, 170–173, 276  
 pepper, 157  
 peroxidase, 94, 233, 269

- petiole, 27, 91, 100–123, 145–147, 288  
 pH, 17, 23, 125, 152  
*Phalaenopsis*, 29, 75, 77, 83  
 pharmaceuticals, 187, 190, 212, 258  
*Phaseolus*, 246  
*Phellodendron*, 188  
 phenolic compounds, 209, 262  
 phenylalanine, 24, 182, 190, 208, 213, 246  
 phenylalanine lyase, 246  
 phenylpropanoids, 182, 209  
 phosphate, 153, 154, 157–175, 269  
 phosphate translocator, 153, 154  
 phosphatidylcholine, 176  
 phosphatidylethanolamine, 176  
 phosphatidylglycerol, 176  
 phosphatidyl-inositol, 176  
 phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase,  
     157, 169, 276  
 phosphofructokinase, 120  
 phospholipids, 157, 176  
 phosphorus, 149–150, 153–157, 287  
 photoactivatable fluorescent proteins, 267  
 photoautotrophic cultured cells, 19  
 photoconversion, 209, 268  
 photostability, 268  
 photosynthesis, 7, 19, 161, 171, 182, 183, 289  
 photosynthetic system, 41, 289  
 phototoxic, 268  
 phragmosomes, 35, 36, 101  
 phyloquinone, 183  
 phytoagar, 284  
 phytochemicals, 182, 202  
 phytohormones, 36, 113–115, 128, 145, 159,  
     227, 256  
*Phytolacca*, 188  
 phytosulfokine (PSK), 118  
*Picea abies*, 124  
*Picea alba*, 124  
*Picea glauca*, 124  
*Pinus patula*, 135  
*Pinus taeda*, 126  
 piperidine, 183  
 plant propagation, 2, 75  
 plasmid, 115, 211, 261  
*Plasmospora viticola* beri. and de toni, 137  
 plastics, 14  
 ploidy chimeras, 257  
 ploidy level, 69  
 ploidy stability, 249  
 podophyllotoxin, 187  
*Podophyllum*, 188  
 point mutations, 253  
 polyacrylamide, 21  
 polyembryony, 95  
 polyethylene glycol (PEG), 60, 118  
 polyketides, 182  
 polyphenyloxide, 21  
 polyploidy, 81, 55  
 polyurethane foam, 21–22, 192, 206  
 potatoes, 53  
 poplar cells, 31  
*Populus*, 246  
 post-harvest disease, 281  
 potassium, 149, 156  
 potassium/phosphorus, 155  
 pre-embryogenic masses (PEMs), 241  
 primary culture, 16  
 primordial, 83, 102, 115, 145, 251  
 proapoptotic protein Bax, 265, 268  
 production from hybrids, 62  
 progeny segregation, 274  
 programmed cell death, 64  
 prokaryote, 260  
 promoter, 9, 101, 109, 214, 282  
     35s promoter, 63, 218, 283  
 promoter studies, 268  
 propagations of ornamentals, 75  
 proplastids, 164  
 propylamide, 237  
 proteasomes, 245  
 protein, 177–178, 200, 215, 240–265, 277  
 protein labeling, 268  
 proteolysis, 188, 245  
 protocorm propagation, 86  
 protocorm, 83, 84, 85  
 protoplast cultures, 4, 51, 53, 254  
 protoplast fusion, 52–54, 57, 59, 220, 292  
 protoplasts, 51  
 purine, 183  
 putrescine n-methyltransferase, 213, 214  
*Pyrethrum*, 188  
 pyridine, 183  
 pyrrolidine, 183  
 pyrrolizidine, 183
- Q**  
 quinazoline, 183  
 quintine, 210  
 quinoline, 183, 186  
 quinolizidine, 183  
 quinones, 182
- R**  
*Ranunculus*, 237  
 rapeseed, 53, 58, 69  
*Raphanus sativus*, 245

- raspberry, 83, 88, 99  
 ratios of phytohormones, 289  
*Rauwolfia serpentine*, 11  
 reactive oxygen species (ROS), 281  
 recalcitrant, 79  
 recalcitrant genotypes, 107, 259  
 recessive genes, 5, 72  
 recombinase, 272, 273  
 repair mechanism, 263  
 repeated elements, 255  
 repetitive sequences, 254  
 reporter genes, 265, 266  
 reprogramming of cellular metabolism, 64  
 respiration, 174  
 retroelements, 242  
*Rhizobium*, 124  
 rhizogenes, 192, 210–212  
 rhizogenesis, 27, 143, 159, 211, 236, 240, 247, 255  
 rhizogenic center, 101, 106, 146  
*Rhododendrum*, 75  
 riboswitches, 239  
 rice, 53, 54, 74, 186, 259  
 RITA bioreactor, 132  
 RITA system, 16, 94  
 RNA, 177, 242  
 Rohme pathway, 182  
 Rol ABC, 129  
 ROL genes, 128, 130  
*Rosa*, 75  
 rose, 178  
 rosmarinic acid, 157  
 Rote Riesen, 111  
 rosin, 111  
*Rubia tinctorum*, 202  
 RuBisCO, 120, 169–173, 289  
*Rubus idaeus*, 83, 86  
*Ruta*, 188  
*Ruta graveolens*, 208
- S**  
*Saccharum*, 110  
 saffron, 201–202  
 saikosaponins, 215  
*Saintpaulia*, 77  
 salicylic acid, 183, 202, 227  
 sanguinarine production, 191  
 satellite, 111  
 scopolamine, 20, 189, 192, 212–213  
*Scopolia*, 11, 188  
*Scopolia japonica*, 11  
 Secale, 237  
 secondary metabolism, 148, 154  
 secondary phloem, 16, 18, 35, 38, 42, 142, 148, 161–169, 201  
 secondary products, 181, 187, 205, 210, 225  
 seed-specific promoter, 273  
 selectable marker genes, 265  
 selective agent, 266  
 self-protection (programmed cell death, PCD), 281  
 serine–threonine protein kinases, 244  
 shikimate pathway, 186  
 shikonin, 157, 187  
 shooty teratoma, 202, 210  
 signaling, 124, 209, 262  
*Silene*, 237  
 silver fir, 94  
*Sinapis*, 188, 237  
 single-stranded DNA, 262–263  
 site-specific recombination, 272  
 sodium alginate, 135  
 sodium hypochlorite, 18, 131, 283  
*Solanaceae*, 185, 212, 217  
*Solanum lycopersicum*, 51  
*Solanum melanogena*, 152  
*Solanum nigrum*, 52  
*Solanum phurea*, 63  
*Solanum stenotomum*, 52  
*Solanum tuberosum*, 51  
 somaclonal variations, 249, 252–256  
 somatic embryo, 5, 8, 20, 78, 92  
 somatic embryogenesis, 5, 6, 64, 75, 91–152  
 S-phase, 36, 128, 236–240, 280  
*Sphiccolae liocattleya*, 86  
 Squalene synthase, 215, 216  
 ssDNA, 263  
 starch deposits, 173  
 starch synthesis, 173  
 starch, 120  
 stationary cultures, 14, 17, 63  
 stationary phase, 32, 170, 236, 244  
 sterile filtration, 28  
 sterilization solution, 18, 89  
 sterilized seeds, 19, 131  
 steroidal alkaloids, 183, 192  
*Stevia*, 11, 188  
*Stevia rebaudiana*, 11  
 steward tube, 15  
 Stress requirement, 64  
 subculture, 29, 79, 253  
 subepidermal cell layer, 104  
 sucrose, 122, 123, 171–175, 193, 207, 240  
 sunflower, 112  
 super-binary vector, 282  
 surface of a callus culture, 33

synchronization, 37, 128, 237, 241, 278, 280  
*Syringa*, 75

**T**

tannins, 182  
taproots, 238, 277  
taxol, 187  
*Taxus*, 188  
t-DNA, 259–265, 274–275  
t-DNA integration, 262, 264  
temperature, 29, 64, 68, 145 159  
terpenes, 182  
terpenoid indole alkaloids, 186, 194  
terpenoids, 183, 198  
Thomas phosphate, 158  
thymidine, 237  
TIBA, 106  
timentin, 285  
Ti-plasmid, 261  
tobacco, 11, 54, 140  
tomato, 51, 53  
topatoes, 53  
toxins, 281  
tracheid, 246  
*Tradescantia*, 7  
transdifferentiation, 246  
transformation efficiency,  
259, 279, 282  
transgenic carrot culture, 157  
transgenic plants, 59, 255, 265  
transient gene expression, 265  
transitino, 32, 41, 236, 240  
transmitter, 262  
transposons, 242, 255, 273  
transposase, 273, 274  
TRI bioreactor, 134  
*Trifolium rubens*, 101  
triglycerides, 175  
triose phosphate, 170  
*Tripterygium*, 188  
triticale, 254  
*Triticum*, 237  
*Triticum aestivum*, 62  
tropane, 183  
tropane alkaloids, 189  
tropic acid, 189–190, 292  
tryptophan decarboxylase, 185, 214  
tryptophan decarboxylase, 15

tumor-inducing Ti, 260, 261  
tyrosine, 182

**U**

ubiquitin-26S proteosomal system, 64  
UidA, 266, 274  
ultrastructural development of  
chloroplasts, 167  
unfertilized egg cells, 62  
UV light, 182, 215, 267, 268

**V**

vaccine, 258, 276, 281  
*Valeriana*, 188,  
*Valeriana locusta*, 194  
*Valeriana officinalis* l. var. *angustifolia*, 194  
*Valeriana wallichii*, 194  
vinblastine, 187  
vindoline, 195  
virA, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, 262  
viral protein, 278  
virulence capacity, 262  
virulent strains, 260, 282  
virus-free plants, 5, 291  
*Vitis*, 136  
*Vitis vinifera*, 199  
Vosgeses, 111, 123

**W**

wheat, 63, 131, 132, 254, 259, 268  
wild carrot, 108, 109, 111  
*Withaferin a*, 192  
*Withania somnifera*, 192  
withanolide, 192

**X**

X-Gluc, 269, 280  
X-rays, 53, 60, 256  
YFP, 267

**Z**

*Zea mays*, 110  
zeatin, 9, 10, 128, 147, 230  
*Zinnia elegans*, 245